Srimad Rahasyatrayasara of Sri Vedanta Desika

......

Translated into English with Introduction and Notes by SrI U.Ve. M.R.Rajagopala Ayyangar Cover Image: Tirukkacchi Sri Perarulalan and Sri Thoopul Swami Desikan Cover Image Courtesy: Sri U.Ve. Satakopa Tatachar Swami Cover Design: Smt. Jayashree Muralidharan

CONTENTS

PDF Page No.

1. Introduction to SrImad Rahasya traya saaram	 4
2. ASynopsis of Srimad Rahasya Traya Saram by Dr. V.Sadagopan	 5
3. Srimad Rahasya Traya Saram Translation by Sri M.R.Rajagopala Ayyangar	 39

॥ श्रीः ॥

Sarvari Varusham Adi Masam Poora Nakshatram (July 24, 2020)

Introduction

On this Thiru Adi Pooram day. the thiruvavatara dinam of ANDAL, Bhagavad Ramanuja Millennium Foundation (BRMF) and Sri Hayagriva Likhita Kaimkarva Ghoshti Members are pleased to release Srimad Rahasvatravasaram (RTS), the Magnum Opus of Swami Desikan's Sri Suktis. The present release is a translation into English by Sriman M.R.Rajagopala Iyengar of Kumbakonam at the request of Agnihotram Sriman Ramanuja Tatachar Swami. This book was published in 1946 and is now out of print. We have been fortunate to release a number of books in English on the three rahasyams in our web portal - Sadagopan.org and the release of Sriman Rajagopala Ayyangar's translation is a timely samarpanam to meet the latest demand of many who are eager to study the Enalish translation of SRTS.

Bhagavad Ramanuja Millennium Foundation (BRMF) has been constituted among other things to enable people access to literature and knowledge pertaining to the sat sampradayam. We have expanded our mission to bring out rare books on Sri Bhagavad Ramanuja Darsanam such as the one that is being released on this sacred day.

SRTS was authored by Swami Desikan in the Manipravalam style , which has a mixture of Tamil and Sanskrit. This work is a quintessential grantham dealing with the three mantrams : Ashtaksharam, Dvayam and Charama Slokam. It is the magnum opus among the Sri Kosams of Swami Desikan instructing us on these three esoteric concepts. Hence, it has been included among the set of Four Sri Sooktis to be learnt in the Traditional manner. RTS is a required text to be learnt by all Vaishnavas desirous of gaining Moksham from the samsaric life and relief from being tossed round in the ferocious cycle of repeated births and deaths. To attain this ultimate goal of life, one has to gain a clear comprehension of Moksha Purushartham, one has to gain a clear understanding of the Tattvams and Hitams. RTS has 32 chapters and the three Tattvas, the Three Rahasyas, the Artha panchaka and Prapatti are described in detail in these 32 chapters. The summary of the content in the form of a synopsis is given in the following pages as an introduction to the subject matter expounded in Srimad Rahasya Traya Saram.

We hope to bring a few more of these source books that are rare, out-of-print and old in English and other languages and seek ANDAL's and Sri Rangamannar's anugrahams for realizing these goals.

Swami Desikan tiruvaDigaLE Saranam, Dasan, Oppiliappaan Koil Varadachari Sadagopan

A SYNOPSIS OF SWAMI DESIKAN'S SRIMAD RAHASYA TRAYA SARAM

by

'SrI nrsimha seva rasikan' Oppiliappan Koil SrI Varadachari Sadagopan

ଚ୍ଚାର୍ବର

A synopsis of the 32 chapters of the most sacred SrI sUkti of SrImad rahasya traya sAram (SRTS) of Swamy VedAnta Desikan is presented here to gain a fuller appreciation of the purport of each chapter and the linkages between them. SRTS is divided into four parts (bhAgam-s):

- 1. arthAnusAsana,
- 2. strIkaraNa,
- 3. padavAkyayojanA and
- 4. sampradAyaprakriyA bhAgam-s.

A chapter entitled "guruparamparA sAram" precedes the 32 chapters of SRTS. This chapter is considered as a separate chapter outside the 32 adhikArams of SRTS. Although the chapter of "guruparamparA" is not an integral part of SRTS, all AcAryAs teach this chapter as the MangaLacaraNam for the commencement and successful completion of the learning of SRTS in our adhyAtma sampradAyam.

aDiyEn will attempt to summarize yathA mati, the scope and purport of the 32 adhikArams of SRTS with the blessings of aDiyEn's AcAryan, SrI Malola divya pAdukA sevaka, SrI nArAyaNa yatIndra mahA deSikan. aDiyEn appeals to the readers to engage in sAramAtra grahaNam (taking in of what is the essence) and asAra tyAgam (discarding of what is inessential) and bless aDiyEn as a krtArthan and dhanyan.

A. ARTHANUSASANA BHAGAM (CHAPTERS 1-22)

There are 22 chapters in this first of the four bhAgam-s (divisions) that form the 32 chapters of SRTS. This bhAgam covers the doctrines that a mumukshu (one desirous of moksham) should know. We will comment briefly now on each of these 22 chapters of the arthAnusAsana bhAgam.

This chapter is an introduction (upodghAtam) to all that will be covered in Srimad rahasya traya sAram. The jIvan is qualified like the nityasUris by svarUpa yogyatai to enjoy paripUrNa brahmAnandam at SrI VaikuNTham and yet has lost that opportunity, nay the rights, because of its immersion in "samsAra bhogams" from time immemorial. The jIvan gets disgusted at one time with its lot and gains the sambandham of a sadAcAryan and begins to learn about tattvam, hitam and purushArtham. The six reasons for being blessed with such links to a genuine AcAryan (sadAcArya sambandham) are described here. Any one of the six reasons can confer the bhAgyam of sadAcArya sambandham for a jIvan. These six reasons are:

- ISvarasya sauhArdam: EmperumAn staying as an anukUlan
- yadrucchA sukrtam: Accidental sukrtam (good deed) done without intent
- vishNoH kaTaksham: jAyamAna kaTAksham of the Lord on the jIvan at birth
- advesham: Staying away from developing animosity (dvesham) to the Lord
- vishNoH abhimukhyam: Staying as a dependent on the grace of the Lord alone and
- sAtvikaiH sambhAshaNam: Association with the bhAgavatA-s of the Lord. Assisted by any one of these six favorable factors, the down trodden jIvan is blessed with AcArya sambandham through the grace of the Lord and begins his journey towards his emancipation from the bonds of samsAram. The indispensability of AcArya sambandham for severing the cycles of births and deaths is emphasized here. Swamy Desikan points out that all of the above truths find their home in the three rahasyams in an unique way and that everyone is entitled to salvation through the AcArya mukha upadesam on the essence of the three rahasyams. These facts are elaborated in a systematic manner in the subsequent chapters of SRTS.
- 2. sAranishkarshAdhikAram (Determining the essentials to be imbibed):

The jIvan desirous of gaining moksham (mumukshu) now realizes that the three

rahasyams are the most important as pramANams (valid knowledge) for understanding tattvam, hitam and purushArtham. This chapter establishes in an unambiguous manner that the three rahasyams and their meanings should be clearly known by a mumukshu. The knowledge of these three rahasyams - mUla mantram, dvayam and carama Slokam - is considered as the quintessence (sAratamam) and the loftiest of the knowledge needed by a mumukshu. In this chapter, Swamy Desikan instructs us on what a mumkushu (jIvan desirous of moksham) should seek, study and practice for moksha phalan without delay. This is the sAra nishkarsham or the determination of the quintessence of all SAstrA-s.

3. pradhAnapratitantrAdhikAram (The unique doctrine of our darsanam):

The jIvan becomes aware that the "pradhAna pratitantram" (the unique distinguishing doctrine of this school of thought) is to be understood with the help of the three rahasyams. This pradhAna pratitantram is identified as the SarIrAtma or SarIra-SarIri bhAvam, the relationship between ISvaran as the indweller of both the jIvans and the insentient, which serve as His SarIram (body). "pradhAna pratitantram" means the key doctrine unique to our darSanam, which is not found in the other darSanams (systems of philosophy). It rests on the foundation of "authentic, authoritative and infallible Srutis".

Here, the ParamAtmA's innate nature (svarUpam) is established as the support (dhArakan), Master (Seshi) and commander (niyantA) of both the sentient and the insentient. He is the in-dweller (antaryAmi) of both the sentient (cetanams) and the insentient (acetanams).

This SarIra-SarIri bhAvam, the central tenet of our siddhAntam, implies that the sentient and insentient are the Lord's body (SarIram) and are supported, controlled and used by Him as their Master (Seshi). The meanings and the implications of this pradhAna pratitantram (SarIra-SarIri bhAvam) blossom in to three relationships:

- AdhAra-Adheya,
- Sesha-Seshi and
- nityantru-niyAmya.

All these three relationships help us understand that the Supreme Lord is the ultimate goal to be attained and He alone is the upAyam for that attainment. Among these three relationships, the Sesha-Seshi (master-slave) relationship is established in this adhikAram as the most prominent (pradhAnam) among the pratitantrams (doctrines). This pradhAna pratitantram is taught by the Srutis

(upanishads) and this unique doctrine is to be comprehended through the study of the three mysteries (rahasyams).

4. arthapancAdhikAram:

The five important doctrines (artha pancakam) to be understood by a mumukshu along with the doctrine of SarIrAtma bhAvam referred to in the previous chapter are:

- The svarUpam (inherent nature) of ParamAtma,
- the svarUpam of the jIvAtma,
- upAyam (means) to gain moksham,
- the phalan of moksham and
- the obstacles (virodhis) obstructing the realization of this moksha phalan.

The above five doctrines are also described alternatively as

- prApyan, the object of attainment and His nature
- prAptA, the jIvan that has to attain the Lord and its nature
- prApti upAyam/means to attain the Lord
- prApti phalan/fruits of surrender (viz.), the attainment of the Lord and
- prApti virodhi, the nature of the obstacles on the way to the attainment of the Lord.

One who desires moksham has to reflect upon the meanings of these five items embedded in the three rahasyams:

a) The svarUpam of the ParamAtmA:

He is never without His devi. ParamAtma is vibhu (omnipresent). He has limitless j~nAnam and bliss (Anandam). He is free of any defects (blemishless). He has limitless auspicious attributes (ananta kalyANa guNams). He is the foundation (AdhAram) for all the world and its beings. He has a divine and auspicious form (divya mangaLa vigraham), which is not made up of panca bhUtams (prAkrtams). His body is made of the aprAkrta, Suddha sattva material. He has both the leelA and nitya VibhUtis (this world and SrI VaikuNTham) as His wealth (aiSvaryam/VibhUti). He has as His leelA, theacts of creation, protection and destruction of the world and its beings. This ParamAtmA is enjoyed by the liberated jIvans and the nityasUris at

SrI VaikuNTham.

b) The svarUpam of the jIvan:

It is of atomic size. It is also of j~nAnanda svarUpam. It is an unconditional servant of the Lord. It is under the control of the Lord. It is destined to attain the Lord. The jIvans are of three types:

- (1) bound (baddha),
- (2) liberated (mukta) and
- (3) eternally free (nitya) jIvans.

c) The upAyams (means) for moksham:

Bhakti and prapatti yogams are the two upAyams. Bhakti yogam is difficult to practice and yields its phalan in a tardy manner. Prapatti yogam on the other hand is easy to practice and is quick to yield results.

d) phalan of moksham:

The phalan to be enjoyed by adopting one or the other upAyams is moksham (i.e.), freedom from the cycles of repeated births and deaths (samsAram). In the state of moksham, the jIvan enjoys paripUrNa brahmAnandam at SrI VaikuNTham and performs blemishless and uninterrupted kaimkaryams to the Lord.

e) phala virodhi:

avidyA/nescience (false and viparIta j~nAnam), pUrva janma karmAs and their residual effects (vAsanA-s) are the three virodhis (enemies) that stand in the way of moksham.

Additional details on artha pancakam are covered in the 12th e-book of Sundarasimham series (www.sadagopan.org).

The nigamana Slokam of this fourth chapter of arthapancakam sums up the essence of this chapter:

प्राप्यं ब्रह्म समस्त शेषि परमं प्राप्ताऽहमस्योचितः

प्राप्तिर्दाय धन कमादिह मम प्राप्ता स्वतः सूरिवत्।

हन्तेनामतिवृत्तवानहमहम्मत्या विमत्याश्रयः

सेतुस्संप्रति शेषिदम्पति भरन्यासस्तु मे शिष्यते ॥

prApyam brahma samasta Seshi paramam prAptA ahamasyocita:

prAptirdAya dhana kramAdiha mama prAptA svata: sUrivat |

hantainAmativrttavAnaham ahammatyA vimatyaSraya: setu: samprati Seshi dampati bharanyAsastu me Sishyate ||

Meaning:

The object of attainment (prApyam) is the Supreme Brahman, that is by nature the Master (Seshi) of all and is free from any blemishes (heyam-s). I (the jIvan) is fit to attain the Lord by my inherent nature (svarUpa guNams). My attainment of Him is like the heirloom, where the wealth of the father is gained by the son. This attainment of the Lord is natural for me because of my svarUpam as in the case of the eternally liberated jIvans (nityasUris). Alas! Due to my own trespasses arising from my ahankAram and avidyA (ego and nescience), I have lost that inheritance. Now, I realize from the upadesam of sadAcAryans that the prapatti (bharanyAsam) at the sacred feet of the divya dampatis (Seshi dampatis) is the only means for my salvation.

5. tattvatrayacintanAdhikAram:

The knowledge about the links of the three rahasyams in the five topics of the artha pancakam are covered here.

This chapter deals with the three tattvams: ISvaran, jIvan (cetanam) and insentient (acetanams). This adhikAram is the toughest to understand. A clear understanding of these three tattvams with the help of a sadAcAryan is absolutely essential for a mumukshu. These three tattvams have their own svarUpams (inherent nature) and svabhAvams (vyApArams). Although they have been mentioned in the previous chapter of arthapancakam, a deeper understanding of their svarUpa/svabhAvams is essential to avoid the pitfalls of:

- (a) SarIrAtma brahmam
- (b) svatantra brahmam and
- (c) nirISvara vAda ruci.

The SarIrAtma brahmam is the confusion arising from the mistaking of the perishable body with the eternal Atman.

The svatantra brahmam is the delusion from the belief that one is an independent entity and not the eternal servant of the Lord, who is the Master and Commander of the jIvan in the matters of the execution of its karmAs. nirISvara vAda ruci is the development of the taste for denying ISvaran with its disastrous consequences.

TirukkuDantai Desikan has 17 nAmAs for Swamy Desikan in the context of this adhikAram (nAmAs 811-827) to capture the essence of the profound upadesams of this important adhikAram (e-book 91 of Sundarasimham series of www.sadagopan.org)

Among the three tattvams, ISvara tattvam has the following features:

It has under its control the svarUpam (intrinsic nature of the vastu or dharmi), the sthiti (existence) and pravrtti (activities) of the different kinds of the sentient (bhaddha, mukta and nitya jIvans) and the three kinds of acetanams (Prakrti, kAlam and Suddha sattvam). Among the three tattvams that are distinct and different (vilakshaNam), the ISvara tattvam is the One that rules (as ISan) and the other two (acetanam and cetanam) are the ones that are ruled. Isvaran has these lakshaNams:

satyatvam, j~nAnatvam, anantatvam, Anandatvam and amalatvam besides jagat kAraNatvam and vibhutvam.

This adhikAram describes also the five states of existence of ISvaran: Param, vyUham, vibhavam, arcA and antaryAmi.

The six avatAra rahasyams of the Lord are also covered. The individual lakshaNams of the cetanams and acetanams are described here as well.

Swamy Desikan has named this adhikAram as "tattva traya cintanAdhikAram" instead of "tattva traya adhikAram". His intent in inserting the word "cintanA" in the title is to instruct us that it is not enough to know about the three tattvams but we have to understand clearly the svarUpa, svabhAvam-s of the tattva trayams and think about their different and individual lakshaNams (paraspara vilakshaNams). He stresses that the mere knowledge about the tattvams from artha pancakam without the detailed awareness of their differences in svarUpam-s and svabhAvam-s will end up in us developing three illusions:

- The body itself is the Atman (dehAtmabrahmam),
- The jIvAtman is totally independent and not the servant of ParamAtman (svatantarAtma brahmam),
- anISvara vAda: the denial of ParamAtman as the creator, sustainer and

destroyer of all cetanams and acetanams.

These three illusions are called mahA virodhi-s since they obstruct our path to seek moksham. That is why a clear understanding of the svarUpam (inherent nature), sthiti (duration of existence) and pravrtti (activities) of the cetanam, acetanam and ISvaran is absolutely essential to defeat the three moksha virodhi-s.

6. paradevatApAramArthyAdhikAram:

The recognition of the fact that sAtvIka SAstrams assert that SrIman nArAyaNan is the Parabrahmam identified by the three rahasyams. This adhikAram establishes with the help of veda pramANams and AzhvAr aruLiccheyalkaL that SriyaHpati, SrIman nArAyaNan is the Supreme Deity and that He alone is the upAyam (means) and upeyam (goal) for moksham. Swamy Desikan sums up the paradevatA aspects of SrIman nArAyaNan with a profound summary:

श्रीमान्नारयणो नः पतिरखिलतनुर्मुक्तिदो मुक्तभोग्यः

SrImAn nArayaNo na: pati: akhilatanu: muktido muktabhogya:

Here, Swamy Desikan states that the Supreme Reality (paradevatA) is the One associated always with SrI Devi. He is our Master (naH pati) and He is the indweller (antaryAmin) forming the universal body of all sentient and non- sentient (akhila tanu:). He is the One and Only One, who is worshipped for gaining the fruit of moksham (muktidan). He is also the Supreme, SrIman nArAyaNan, who is enjoyed by the mukta jIvan.

This adhikAram instructs us that those who are aware of this pAramArthyam (the true situation) will not be misled to look at any other gods for their moksha siddhi. SrIman nArAyaNan alone will be their unfailing moksha dAyaka Mukundan.

TirukkuDantai Desikan, an aparAvatAram of Swamy Desikan created a SrI sUkti on the thousand names of Swamy Desikan (deSika sahasra nAmam) and used 31 nAmAs for Swamy Desikan (828-858) to summarize the upadesams of this sixth chapter of SRTS. Detailed explanations of these nAmAs can be accessed at the 91st e-book of Sundarasimham series (www.sadagopan.org).

The main message of this adhikAram is that SrIman nArAyaNan is the Para devatA and the jIvan that is confused about this cardinal principle cannot hope to become a ParamaikAnti and expect to gain moksham without delay. The jIvan which has attained clarity about the meanings of the three tattvams develops now the desire for moksham and begins to engage in the pursuit of the upAvam for moksham. The cetanam understands the differences between the Atman, indrivam (faculties) and the SarIram with the help from bhagavat SAstrams. The cetanam also comprehends his fitness to reach SrI VaikuNTham and develops disgust about returning to the world of samsAram. He develops aversion to accumulating sins and landing in narakam. He stays away from engaging in pursuit of pApa karmAs. He develops an appreciation of the greatness of the Lord and his own helplessness (Akincanyam). He learns the true meanings of ashTAksharam from a sadAcAryan and drives away the ahankAram and mamakAram that surrounded him, which interfered with the pursuit of the sAdhanA for moksham. Driven by his keenness to have the Bhagavat anubhavam, he intensifies the efforts to gain moksha sukham. He becomes thus a mumukshu and the SAstrAs celebrate such a mumukshu In the final analysis, one has to recognize that the Lord's grace alone makes it possible for us to be born as a human being, gain a sadAcAryan to be blessed with knowledge about the adhyAtma SAstrams and become a mumukshu.

8. adhikArivibhAgAdhikAram

The adhikAri performing the upAyam for moksham is identified as belonging to one or the other of two kinds:

- bhakti yoga nishThar (expert in practicing bhakti yogam) and
- Prapatti yoga nishThars (adept in pursuing prapatti) as their upAyams.

Those who follow the bhakti yogam, perform prapatti in between to ward off any interference to pursue bhakti yogam. Others use prapatti yogam as a direct means to gain moksha siddhi. There is no difference between the ultimate moksha phalan gained through either upAyam.

There are three kinds of prapatti anushThAnam:

- sva nishThai,
- ukti nishThai and
- AcArya nishThai.

In sva nishThai, the adhikAri with superior j~nAnam and tapo balam surrenders to the Lord directly.

In ukti nishThai, the adhikAri utters the words of prapatti spoken by the AcAryan.

In AcArya nishThai, the adhikAri takes refuge in his AcAryan and entrusts latter to actuate the prapatti.

There is also another nishThai known as bhAgavata nishThai, where the adhikAri takes refuge in a bhAgavata and stays under the protection of his prapatti.

The essence of this chapter is summed up by Swamy Desikan in the nigamana Slokam: प्रपन्नादन्येषां न दिशति मुकुन्दोनिजपदं

प्रपन्नश्च द्वेधासुचरित-परीपाक-भिद्या।

विळम्बेन प्राप्तिर्भजनसुखमेकस्य विपुलं

परस्याञ्च प्राप्तिः परिमितरसा जीवितदशा ॥

prapannAt anyeshAm na diSati mukundo nijapadam

prapannaScadvedhAsucarita-parIpAka-bhidayA |

viLambena prApti: bhajanasukham ekasya vipulam

parasya ASu prApti: parimitarasA jIvitadaSA ||

Here Swamy Desikan reveals that the Supreme Lord grants moksham only to those, who are prapannAs. These prapannAs can be either the advAraka or sadvAraka types.

The first type of prapanna is the one who is utterly helpless (akincana), the prapatti assures moksham at the end of his life and is without delay. Any one of the four varNams can perform this prapatti. The second type of prapannan is also known as sakincana prapannan and he adopts bhakti yogam for moksha phalan. He has to be one of the three higher varNams. It is a more rigorous yogam and the moksham may not be at the end of one life. Thus, it might have tardiness in yielding the phalan (viLamba prApti) but this adhikAri enjoys immense bliss of bhakti-laden kaimkaryam (vipula bhajana sukham) to the Lord right on this earth.

9. upAyavibhAgAdhikAram:

The previous adhikAram described the different kinds of mumukshus. The division of the two moksha upAyams for the above two types of adhikAris is the topic that is

covered here.

Questions arise on adopting one of the two upAyams (bhakti or prapatti yogam) for gaining the same phalan. In this adhikAram, the answers are given about the true svarUpam of these two upAyams to address these questions. Swamy Desikan covers karma and j~nAna yogam also in this chapter; these are auxiliaries to bhakti yogam. Para bhakti, para j~nAnam and parama bhakti, which follow karma and j~nAna yogams for a bhakti yogi are also covered here. At the beginning of this adhikaram, Swamy Desikan reminds us that a mumukshu adopts one or the other of these two upAyams through the grace of the Supreme Lord alone. Having immense puNyam alone, is not enough to adopt such upAyams.

Bhakti yogam is the unceasing and affectionate remembrance of the svarUpam, subhASraya tirumEni and ananta KalyANa guNams of the Lord, who is the Master of all. This continuous dhyAnam on the Lord has been compared to a unbroken stream of oil that is being poured from one vessel to the other. There are three stages in the bhakti yoga:

- Para bhakti,
- para j~nAnam and
- parama bhakti

sAmAnya bhakti leads to para bhakti, where the mumukshu develops a keen desire to experience the Lord after climbing the steps of karma yogam, j~nAna yogam and realizing the svarUpam of the jIvan. The second stage of bhakti yogam is para j~nAnam, when the intense desire (para bhakti) of the sAdhakan is rewarded by the Lord granting paripUrNa sAkshAtkAram for a limited period. That only whets the appetite of the sAdhakan and he arrives at the third stage known as parama bhakti. Now he develops the strongest desire for the permanent sAkshAtkAram of the Lord and can not bear to be separated from the Lord. The Lord responds to that prayer for urgent realization and grants the boon of union with Him. Those who do not have the knowledge and power to practice the difficult bhakti yogam can perform direct self-surrender (prapatti) and gain the same phalan that a bhakti yogi gains. This prapatti route is open for every one irrespective of caste (high or low), gender or creed. Bhakti yogam is restricted for the top three castes (varNams) alone. The viSesha adhikArams (eligibility) of the one who chooses prapatti among the two upAyams is described here.

Fifteen kinds of adhikAris qualified to engage in prapatti yogam for moksham are identified. The questions on how one becomes eligible to perform prapatti without observance of bhakti yogam is answered. ananyagatitvam (not having any other resource), Akincanyam (inability to undertake any other upAyams) are considered as the necessary qualifications to become eligible for performing Prapatti. Any one independent of jAti, varNam or sex can perform prapatti as long as they have the Akincanyam and ananya gatitvam. Thus prapatti yogam is glorious and superior to bhakti yogam. Even an adhikAri qualified to pursue bhakti yogam can choose prapatti yogam, if he can not counter the delay in gaining the phalan of moksham by pursuing bhakti yogam. Prapatti has sarva adhikAratvam. There is no restrictions based on jAti (jAtyAdi niyamam). From antaNar (Brahmins) to antiyar (caNDALan) can observe prapatti as mokshopAyam easily. There are four criteria for eligibility to perform prapatti:

- not having the Sakti to pursue bhakti yogam/Sakti-abhAva:
- not having the discriminating intellect/pramiti rahitan,
- not qualified by SAstrAs because of jAti/SAstrata: paryudAsa:,
- not being able to bear the delay to gain the phalan of moksham/kAlakshepa akshamatvam.

There are in total 15 adhikAris, who are eligible for pursuing prapatti anushThAnam because of having one or more of the above four features:

- The four adhikAris, each of whom have only one of the four needed criteria (i.e.), powerless to pursue the demanding bhakti yogam etc.
- six adhikAris having two of the four eligibility factors like not having the required j~nAnam or being outside the three jAtis and other five sets of permutations and combinations,
- The four adhikAris possessing three of the four eligibility criteria,
- The one adhikAri who fulfills all the four requirements.

This adds up to a total of 15 adhikAris, who are eligible to choose prapatti mArgam for the phalan of moksham.

11. parikaravibhAgAdhikAram:

The listing of the five angams of prapatti, which is to be performed only once for moksham and their divisions are included in this chapter.

The five angams of prapatti vidyA are:

- AnukUlya sankalpam,
- prAtikUla varjanam,
- mahA viSvAsam,
- goptrtva varaNam and
- kArpaNyam.

AnukUlya sankalpam: It refers to the decision on the part of the Prapannan to perform all actions that would please the Lord

prAtikUlya varjanam is a vow taken by the prapannan to desist from all activities that would displease the Lord

kArpaNyam is the recognition of the adhikAri's total helplessness to gain the parama purushArtham of moksham

mahA viSvAsam is the total faith in the Lord that He would surely come to his rescue and

goptrtva varaNam is the choice of the Lord to be his rakshakan accompanied by a prayer (prArthanA pUrvaka vij~nApanam for rakshaNam).

All the five angams (auxiliaries) are essential for successful prapatti and there should not be diminution in any one of them. Bhara samarpaNam is the angi for the panca angams of prapatti (nyAsa: pancAngasamyuta:).

Among the five angams, mahA viSvAsam is the most important angam. The five doubts (sankA pancakam) relating to the cultivation and sustenance of mahA viSvAsam, the key angam of prapatti, are identified and answered through the clear explanation of nature and grace of the Lord hailed in the three rahasyams.

12. sAngaprapadanAdhikAram:

The explanation of angi (BharanyAsa rUpam) and its importance for the one who is desirous of moksham (mumukshu) is covered here.

The ways of performing prapatti with the five angams are described. The importance of the four kinds of tyAgams (kartrtva, mamatA, phala and phalopAyatva tyAgAms) to the accompaniment of the reflections on the five angams of prapatti at the time of prostration before the sadAcAryan are described. The dvaya mantram is used as the sAdhanam for svarUpa samarpaNam, phala samarpaNam and Atma rakshA (bhara) samarpaNam.

Among the above three kinds of samarpaNam, bhara samarpaNam is the most important. There are three kinds prapatti: sva nishThai, AcArya nishThai, ukti nishThai.

Some include bhAgavata nishThai also among the four kinds of prapatti. MokshArtha prapatti is done only once. The various steps for performing prapatti with five angams are:

anushThAna sankalpam, sAtvika tyAgam, guru paramparA dhyAnam, purushakAra prapatti at the sacred feet of MahA Lakshmi, dvaya mantra (karaNa mantra) uccharaNam having five angams and the three samarpaNams.

The four kinds of tyAgam included in the sAttvika tyAgam are:

kartrtva tyAgam: abandonment of the idea that prapannan is the main doer and that kartrtvam came by the way of the grace of the Lord

mamatA tyAgam: The anushThAnam of prapatti is considered as not yielding prayojanam to the doer

phala tyAgam: The abandonment of the thought that the fruit of the prapatti belongs to the kartA and the recognition that the fruit belongs solely to the Lord,

phalopAyatva tyAgam: The abandonment of the idea that the prapatti is being observed as "a direct means for attaining his object, but it is only a pretext and that the Supreme ParamAtman alone, is the direct means of attainment of the object; the abandonment of the attachment that what is being performed is belonging to him". The absence of need to take any other actions by the one who has placed the burden of his protection at the sacred feet of the Lord.

krta krtyan is the one who has done mokshArtha prapatti. This chapter describes his anushThAnams after prapatti and his mind set. He performs the nitya-naimittika karmAs. He performs bhagavat-bhAgavata-AcArya kaimkaryams. He lives without fear, sins and doubts. These are the essential features of a krta krtyan, the one who has performed his duty that needs to be done. Another definition of krta krtyan is one who had nirvedam over his sad state before prapatti for success in his anushThAnam and abandons all Sokams thereafter to maintain the state of successful prapatti.

The state of mind of the krta krtyan is summed up by Swamy Desikan this way: भगवति हरो पारं गन्तुं भरन्यसनं कृतं

```
परिमित-सुख-प्राप्त्ये कृत्यंप्रहीणमकृत्यवत् ।
```

भवति च वपुर्वृत्तिः पूर्वं कृतैर्नियतकमा

परमिह विभोराज्ञासेतुर्बुधेरनुपाल्यते ॥

bhagavati harau pAram gantum bharanyasanam krtam parimita-sukha-prAptyai krtyam prahINamakrtyavat | bhavati ca vapurvrtti: pUrvam krtai: niyatakramA paramiha vibho: Aj~nAsetu: budhai: anupAlyate ||

Meaning:

The krtakrtyan has performed the bharanyAsam at the sacred feet of the Lord to cross the ocean of samsAram to reach the other side. All the kAmya karmA-s done before for gaining alpa sukham have been abandoned. The deha rakshaNam has been understood as happening according to pUrva karmA-s. For this krta krtyan with the full awareness of his svarUpam as a prapannan, the dam of bhagavat Aj~nA (Aj~nAsetuH) alone is to be protected.

The recognition of the appearance of signs/marks in one as a result of completion of the bharanyAsam is the topic of this chapter.

The signs of acquiring each of the three nishThais (svarUpa, upAya and phala nishThais) after prapatti is described. The recognizable lakshaNams consequent to the maturation of nishThais through the experience in one's mind set are covered.

This adhikAram focuses on the mental attitude and behaviour displayed by an ideal prapannan based on his integration of the knowledge about the doctrines of tattva, hita and purushArtham during his post-praatti life on this earth.

The lakshaNams following the acquisition of svarUpa nishThai are five in number:

- not to be perturbed, when others insult him
- having compassion for the one, who acquires his sins
- showing gratitude to those, who point out to his (prapannan's) sins
- no change of heart at people who insult him
- feeling happy over the diminution of his sins.

The five lakshaNams following upAya nishtai are:

- thinking that sarveSvaran is the sole refuge
- meeting death as a welcome act
- feeling consoled at times of crisis by believing that God alone is his rakshakan
- not seeking any other upAyam for moksham after bhara nyAsam and
- having the conviction that the good and bad are bhagavat sankalpam.

The three lakshaNams for one with phala nishThai are:

- enjoying the bhogams coming in one's path
- developing the ruci for bhagavat kaimkaryam
- impatience to gain Bhagavat anubhavam.

15. uttarakrtyAdhikAram:

The acts (svayamprayojana kaimkaryams) to be carried out by the prapannan until

leaving his mortal body are described here. The duties of the prapannan in the postprapatti period is thus the topic of this chapter.

Avoiding bhAgavtApacArams, control of one's indrivams and directing them towards the paths recommended by bhagavat SAstrams, seeking the satsangams of paramaikAntis, kaimkaryams to BhagavAn, His bhAgavatAs and AcAryAs are some of these duties to be carried out after the anushThAnam of prapatti. Living this way, the prapannan will avoid any transgression of the commands of the Lord (bhagavat SAstrams and Aj~nA) and follow a virtuous and exemplary life.

16. prushArthakAshThAdhikAram:

The development of the understanding that bhAgavata Seshatvam (being the servant of the bhAgavatAs of the Lord) is the upper limit of bhagavat kaimkaryam. BhAgavatAs are like rAja kumArAs. When we celebrate them, their father (the king) is pleased. The same is true for the tadIyAs (bhAgavatAs). BhagavAn is immensely pleased with the respect a prapannan extends to His bhAgavatAs. BhagavAn is Seshi, the Master. BhAgavatAs and AcAryAs are SeshabhUtars. BhAgavata and AcArya ArAdhanam leads to the fruits of bhagavat ArAdhanam in an indirect manner. Bhagavat Sesha tulyam is bhAgavata Seshatvam (i.e.), being a servant of the ParamaikAnti. BhAgavatAs is equal (tulyam) to being Seshan (servant) to BhagavAn.

ParamaikAnti BhAgavatan or the most exalted devotee of the Lord is the one, who experiences the ParamAtman alone as his means (upAya) and goal (upeya). Being blessed to be a servant of the ParamaikAnti bhAgavatA is the boundary/ culmination/ellai nilam of bhagavat Seshatvam.

17. SAstrIyaniyamanAdhikAram:

The emphasis in this chapter is on performing the prescribed kaimkaryams after prapatti according to the ways shown by the SAstrams.

The importance of carrying out all kaimkaryams after prapatti in the way in which bhagavat SAstrams suggest is asserted here. Swamy ALavantAr has instructed in his GeetArtha sangraham that which does not conform to SAstram has demonic quality (aSAstram Asuram krtsnam). GeetAcAryan Himself has provided the direction for us to perform our kaimkaryams with SAstram as pramANam (tasmAt SAstram pramANam te kAryAkArya vyavasthitau). The prapannan has to be extremely careful to perform the Aj~nA - anuj~nA kaimkaryams during the postprapatti period according to the canons of bhagavat SAstrams. This way SAstra maryAdai will be maintained and he will not land himself into trouble by displeasing the Lord.

The introductory Slokam of this adhikAram sums up the essence of this chapter:

मुकुन्दे निक्षिप्य स्वभरमनघो मुक्तवदसौ स्वतन्त्राज्ञासिद्धां स्वयमविदित स्वामि हृदयः । परित्यागे सद्यः स्व-पर-विविधानर्थ-जननात् अलङ्घयामामोक्षादनुसरति शास्त्रीयसरणिम् ॥ mukunde nikshipya svabharam anagho muktavat asau svatantra Aj~nAsiddhAm svayam avidita svAmi hrdaya: | parityAge sadya: sva-para-vividhAnartha-jananAt alanghyAm AmokshAt anusarati SAstrIya saraNim ||

Meaning:

Having placed the burden of his protection (rakshA bharam) at the sacred feet of Lord Mukundan and becoming sinless (anaghaH), the prapanna jIvan is like a liberated soul (muktavat). Staying still in the embodied state, he will not be able to discern directly the intention of the Lord (avidita svAmi hrdayaH). Through the abandonment (parityAgam) of the path of bhagavat SAstrams, he will expose himself to many hazards both to himself and others. He will therefore follow the commands of the SAstrAs till he gains moksham.

18. aparAdhaparihArAdhikAram:

The two topics covered here are:

The steps taken by the prapannan to avoid aparAdhams (transgressions) during the performance of kaimkaryams during the post-prapatti period of his life and the removal of those sins which have entered through repentance over them and seeking the forgiveness of the Lord.

If the prapannan acquires sins unconsciously, they do not stick to him. If he accumulates sins consciously (buddhi pUrvakam), then he has to perform appropriate prAyaScittams to banish the sins. The sins arising from viparIta anushThAnam have

to be destroyed by acts of atonement (prAyaScittam/ kazhivirakkam). LakshmI tantram declares in this context: "apAya samplave sadyaH prAyScittam samAcaret". For those prapannAs, who are hard of heart and do not perform the needed prAyaScittams receive laghu Sikshai/ upakleSam-s (light daNDanais) from the Lord. His prapatti does not however get negated. The earlier mokshArtha prapatti is never affected; as a result, he does not enter into naraka lokam or return to samsAram. PrAyaScittaprapatti (not for moksham) can also be done to overcome the sins from pApams accumulated consciously. This prapatti is called punaH prapadanam.

dehAtma brahmam, svAtmA svAtantryam and bhAgavatApacAram are the main causes for the generation of buddhi pUrvaka pApams. They have to be avoided at all costs by a prapannan until he shakes off his mortal coils.

19. sthAnaviSeshAdhikAram::

The conclusion is advanced that the fittest place of residence for the prapannan to perform his kaimkaryams is the place, where the bhAgavatAs reside and make that place a veritable divya desam. That place will be equal to SrI VaikuNTham as a place of residence (vaikuNTha sadrsa vAsassthalam).

PeriyAzhvAr refers to the fact that one should consider himself as extremely fortunate to live in the places, where ParamaikAntis reside (Periya Tirumozhi: 4.4.7). MahA bhArata Slokam also instructs us to reside in places, where AcAryAs are worshipped and sadhu janams flock:

गुरवो यत्र पुज्यन्ते साधुवृत्ताः शमान्विताः ।

वस्तव्यं तत्र युष्माभिः ॥ gurava: yatra pujyante sAdhuvrttA: SamAnvitA: | vastavyam tatra yushmAbhi: ||

20. niryANAdhikAram:

The way in which the jIvan of a prapannan exits the body with bhagavat sankalpam without any restrictions of deSam or kAlam is the topic of this chapter.

niryANam or utkrAnti means exiting. In this case, it is the exiting of the jIvan from the body, where it resided. From the time of performing prapatti to niryAyaNa kAlam, six mistakes have to be avoided so that there is no delayed exit for the jIvan from the body. These six hindering factors to be avoided are:

- ahankAra mamakArams,
- bhAgavata apacArams,
- ruci in prayojanAntarams,
- devatAntara sambandham,
- buddhi daurbalyam and
- upayAntara pratyASai.

These will extend the life in samsAram although the moksham at the end is not affected as a result of the mokshArtha prapatti done earlier. There is only time delay that is experienced.

PerumAL blesses the prapannan to get rid of the ahankAra-mamakArams.

PrAyaScittams remove the ill effects of buddhi pUrvaka apacArams.

For those with ruci in prayojanAntaram (phalans other than moksham after prapatti due to prArabda karmA-s), SrIman nArAyaNan blesses the prapannan with the bhogams that he hankers after and then saves him by encouraging to do prAyaScittams. Our Lord will not punish the prapannan with ahitams (inauspiciousness). He will only give light punishments (loss of wealth, sufferings from loss of kin etc) and bring the erring prapannan back into the fold. He will also provide the erring prapannan with vivekam (discriminative intellect) to desist from durAcAram.

If one develops devatAntara sambandham, SrIman nArAyaNan will not abandon the prapannan. He will unite the erring prapannan with paramaikAnti and make the prapannan feel ashamed over his leanings.

For those with buddhi daurbalyam (feebleness of mind) and icchai in upAyAntaram (pursuit of means other than prapatti), Our Lord will grow their MahA viSvAsam and transform the erring prapannans into a pUrNa prapatti nishThar.

In the case of the prapannan, PerumAL stays away from nigraha sankalpam; He blesses the prapannan with anugraha sankalpam; He hastens to bless him with SrI VaikuNTha vAsam; He prolongs the desire for moksham in the prapannan and hastens the prapannan's desire for moksham; He grants him the moksham as his body falls down; He distributes the puNyams and pApams of the prapannan among friends and virodhis; He lowers the niyamams at the time of deha vyoham and finally initiates the niryANa kramam by entering the jIvan in the path of mUrdhanya nADi (brahma nADi) and leads the prapanna jIvan via arcirAdi mArgam to SrI VaikuNTham (aham smarAmi madbhaktam nayAmi paramAm gatim - VarAha bhagavAn in varAha carama Slokam). antaryAmi brahman (hArdan) leads the prapanna jIvan upward with a sUkshma SarIram to SrI VaikuNTham, where, as a mukta jIvan, he enjoys niradiSaya bhogam (antamil pErinbam) and performs nitya (eternal), niravadya (blemishless) kaimkaryam to the divya dampatis at SrI VaikuNTham.

In this adhikAram, the exit of the jIvan from the body until it enters the brahma nADi is described.

The next chapter covers the journey of the jIvan via the arcirAdi mArgam to SrI VaikuNTham, the Supreme abode of the Lord.

21. gativiSeshAdhikAram:

The glorious way in which the prapanna jIvan travels by the arcirAdi mArgam to Paramapadam is described here.

The Lord facilitates the entry of the jIvan from its former home (sthUla SarIram/brahmapuram) into brahma nADi and initiates the journey via devayAna/arcirAdi mArgam. During the travel by the path of light (arcirAdi mArgam), the jIvan receives many upacArams from the AtivAhikAs/ arcirAdi devatAs at assigned stations. These AtivAhikAs are:

Agni (the deity of the day), Sukla paksha devatA, the deity of uttarAyaNa, the samvatsara devatA (the deity of the year), vAyu, Aditya, the Moon, the vaidyutapurushan, VaruNa, Indra and prajApati.

The jIvan arrives finally at the banks of the celestial VirajA river and casts aside his sUkshma deham (subtle body), crosses the VirajA river and takes on an aprAkrta deham (non-material body) to enter the gates of SrI VaikuNTham. Many mukta jIvans and nitya sUris await him there and offer their maryAdais through their kaimkaryam of brahmAlankAram. Now the mukta jIvan arrives at the foot of the throne of SrI VaikuNThanAthan and enjoys His sevai to his heart's content. The mukta jIvan manifests its nija svarUpam (true nature) and eight divine qualities and enjoys the kaimkarya sAmrAjyam. Paramapada nAthan welcomes the just arrived mukta jIvan with a fond embrace and blesses him to reside eternally under the cool shade of His sacred feet. The enjoyment of moksha sAmrAjyam after arriving at Paramapadam and the performance of nitya, niravadya (blemishless) kaimkaryams there are the topics of this adhikAram.

After the welcome by the Lord, the mukta jIvan engages in the performance of the above kaimkaryams for the Lord and His divine consort. He enjoys niradiSaya bhogam (antamil pErinbam/paripUrNa brahmAnandam) equal to that of the Lord Himself at SrI VaikuNTham. He enjoys the sAyujya moksham, the highest level of moksham. This mukta jIvan never returns to the samsAra maNDalam thereafter. The mukta jIvan enjoys j~nAnam and bhogam on a par with his Lord. The unique dharmams belonging to the Lord alone are not shared by the mukta jIvan or nitya sUris. These asAdhAraNa dharmams for the Lord are:

- jagat kAraNatvam (cause for the creation of the world and its beings),
- mokshapradatvam (power to grant moksham),
- sarvAdhAratvam (being the basis of the Universe and its beings),
- sarva niyantrtvam (directing the beings of the world) and
- sarva Seshitvam (being the Master of all).

The asAdharaNa dharmams for the mukta jIvan are:

- Adheyatvam,
- vidheyatvam and
- Seshatvam.

The muktan attains j~nAna-bhoga-parama sAmyam with the Lord (sarva Seshi) and enjoys the ubhaya vibhUtis. He never returns to material world as attested by the Sruti vAkyam: "anAvrtti SabdAt".

B. STRIKARANA BHAGAM (CHAPTERS 23-26)

This bhAgam answers the objections raised in the 22 chapters of arthAnuSAsana bhAgam and establishes the meanings behind the responses through the material housed in the four chapters of the strIkaraNa bhAgam:

- siddhopAyaSodhanAdhikAram,
- sAdhyopAyaSodhanAdhikAram,
- prabhAvavyavasthAdhikAram and
- prabhAvarakshAdhikAram

23. siddhopAyaSodhanAdhikAram:

The confusions about EmperumAn, the siddhopAyam, who is the most prominent among the tattvams to be understood and the removal of those confusions are the topics covered in this adhikAram.

A discussion on the three ways to overcome the nigraha sankalpam of the Lord, who is angry at the jIvans over their trespasses of the bhagavat SAstrams is provided. These three topics covered in this chapterare:

- ISvaran's guNams of svAtantryam and sahaja kAruNyam and answers for the confusion over them caused by the distorting arguments of the kuyukti vAdis
- The confusions over the Sesha-Seshi bhAva sambandham (the relation between the Lord and the jIvan) and clarifications of these confusions
- The explanations to remove the confusions about the "patNi" Sabdam linked to the "SrImat" Sabdam.

The reasons are given in this chapter to reject the petty and distracting arguments of kevala yukti vAdis and the recommendation is made to cut all of our relationships with these vAdis known for their alpa j~nAnam. The 91st e-book of Sundarasimham series on Swamy Desika sahasra nAmams related to rahasya traya sAram (www.sadagopan.org) has detailed discussions on the cardinal points about the siddhopAya SodhanAdhikAram (nAmAs 909-925).

In the introductory Slokam of this adhikAram, Swamy Desikan elaborates on the essential nature of the Supreme Being, the siddhopAyan this way:

युगपद्खिलं प्रत्यक्षेण स्वतः सततं विदन् निरवधि-दया-दिव्योदन्वान् अश्वक्य-विवर्जितः । जलधि-सुतया सार्धं देवो जगत्परिपालयन् परमपुरुषः सिद्धोपायः प्रतीष्टभरस्सताम् ॥ yugapadakhilam pratyaksheNa svata: satatam vidan niravadhi-dayA-divyodanvAn aSakya-vivarjita: | jaladhi-sutayA sArdham devo jagatparipAlayan paramapurusha: siddhopAya: pratIshTabhara: satAm ||

Meaning:

The Supreme ParamAtman, who by his own nature knows everything directly, and always witnesses all entities directly is an ocean of infinite compassion, and is capable of accomplishing all things that he wills. He together with Goddess MahAlakshmi is the protector of this Universe and the redeemer of humanity.

He is the self-realized means of protection, and He takes upon himself the burden of protection of those aspirants who entrust Him with that burden of protection. In this verse, the essentials of the siddhopAya have been expounded.

krpA viSishTa ISvaran (krshNam dharmam sanAtanam) is the dharmam, which is forever siddham. He is forever ready to drench us with His dayA. He is therefore recognized as siddhopAyam. The SodhanA is to examine the confusions caused by alpa j~nAnis and provide answers to their objections.

24. sAdhyopAyaSodhanAdhikAram:

The confusions about the sAdhyopAyams of bhakti and prapatti and the removal of those confusions and misunderstandings are covered in this chapter.

The objections (Akshepam-s) and the answers (samAdhAnam-s) to them are important areas to study to avoid the pitfalls of viparIta j~nAnam about the glorious upAyams of bhakti and prapatti yogams for gaining moksham.

In this adhikAram, Swamy Desikan has established prapatti as the independent and direct means (upAyam) for moksham and that bhakti yogam as well as prapatti yogam serve as upAyams for attaining the Supreme Being by two different kinds of adhikAris. Swamy Desikan cleared up all the common confusions about the validity of prapatti through raising nine objections against prapatti and provided clear answers for all of them.

The two e-books (76th e-book in the ahobilavalli series entitled, "nikshepa rakshA" and "Srimad rahasya traya sAram - Desika sahasra nAmam" - nAmAs 926-942 in the 91st e-book in the Sundarasimham series, at <u>www.sadagopan.org</u>) house many details on the doctrines covered in the sAdhyopAyaSodhanAdhikAram of rahasya traya sAram. Please refer to them to study the sound samAdhAnams offered by Swamy Desikan for the AkshEpams of opponents' of true svarUpam of prapatti and bhakti yogams as the two sure means for moksham.

In his SrI sUkti of "nikshepa rakshA", Swamy Desikan raises the nine objections against prapatti and answered them all clearly and establishes the validity of prapatti in an unassailable manner. After vindicating prapatti as an independent and direct upAyam for moksham, Swamy Desikan has established that bhakti and prapatti yogams are equally valid upAyams for gaining moksha sukham and are meant for two different kinds of adhikAris based on the authority of scriptures and the upadesams of revered pUrvAcAryAs.

25. prabhAvavyavasthAdhikAram:

This is a chapter to define clearly the glories of prapatti without exaggeration or diminution.

The 25th and the 26th chapters of SRTS are complementary in nature. In this 25th chapter, Swamy Desikan deflates the exaggerated views about prapatti and in the 26th chapter, he advances arguments against the views that underestimate the greatness of prapatti as an upAyam for moksham. Swamy Desikan uses the two chapters to understand prapatti in its true form without the distortions caused by convex or concave lenses. He describes the noble upAyam as it is without conflict with SAstrams. Some of the overenthusiastic exaggerations are:

- Prapannan is lifted up to a higher jAti after prapatti even if he was born in a lower jAti
- The sins acquired knowingly by a prapannan will not stick to him and he does not need to do anything about them
- The left over eaten by a prapannan is sacred

• There is no forgiveness through prAyaScittams for consciously acquired sins after prapatti.

Swamy Desikan rejects these exaggerations based upon the enthusiasm of those who wish to glorify of prapatti beyond what it is and "sets all overtones right".

26. prabhAvarakshAdhikAram:

The chapter protects the glories of prapatti from those who downplay it. He safeguards the vaibhavam of prapatti and refutes the views that deflate it. Swamy Desikan reminds us on the importance to observe prapatti without diminishing its vaibhavam even by an iota. He salutes the tapas of prapatti (nija bhara nyAsa abhidhAnam) accepted as being superior to many dharmams as dAnam, yaj~nam et al with its power to destroy sins and declares even a drop of the glorious ocean of prapatti can be described adequately by the Veda vAkyams (tat bhUma arNava leSavarNanam api prAcAm vAcAm na padam).

C. PADAVAKYAYOJANA BHAGAM (CHAPTERS 27-29)

In this third part of SRTS, the significance of the terms (padams) and the several constructions of the terms (vAkyams) constituting the three rahasyams (mUla mantram, dvayam and carama Slokam) areanalyzed.

27. mUlamantrAdhikAram:

The meanings of the padams, vAkyams of the tirumantiram (ashTAksahram) and the ways to link them to gain their overall purport are indicated. The condensed meaning of tirumantiram is:

SrIman nArAyaNan is the sarva loka rakshakan. He is never separated from His PirATTi. He blesses all with good j~nAnam and shows them the sadgati. He has the most beautiful tirumEni and is the abode of all auspicious guNams. He is the Creator of all. He stays as the upAyam and phalan for the cetanams. He is the AdhAram for cetanams and acetanams and stays inside them as their antaryAmi. The jIvAtma is j~nAna svarUpan. He has j~nAnam as his attribute. He is different from ISvaran and the acetanams. He is the unconditional servant of the Lord and PirATTi alone. He is not the Master of anything. He stays under the influence of SrIman nArAyaNan. He has no independence of his own. The jIvAtmA of these attributes will perform nitya kaimkaryam to SrIman nArAyaNan. tirumantiram is celebrated for its glory by Upanishad, Smrtis and Kalpa granthams.

Among the three vyApaka mantrams about the Lord (tirumantiram, shaTaksharam and dvAdaSAksharam), tirumantiram stands unique. It is sarva veda sAram. It banishes all the inauspiciousness. It grants all the desired phalans. It assists in uniting all upAyams and make them favorable to the sAdhakan. Members of all jAtis can recite it according to their adhikAram in the Vaidika or tAntrika mode. It reveals the meanings of the three tattvams. Without any sahakAram from the other mantrams, it blesses one with all phalans. All mUrti-s of BhagavAn SrIman nArAyaNan can be approached through this mantram.

One who has gained clarity from this mantram can not be shaken up by the vAdams of the nAstikAs or Para mata vAdins. After gaining a clear j~nAnam about this mantram, the adhikAri will have the mind set of a sthita praj~nan and will not be elated by joyous events or depressed by the sad events. This tirumantiram alone is capable of revealing what a mumukshu needs to understand about the tattvams and upAyams for moksham. Tirumantiram has the power to bless a prapannan who performed prapatti with mahA viSvAsam with limitless phalans. The power of tirumantiram as a grantor of every kind of boon has been sung by Tirumangai AzhvAr, who received direct upadesam on this mantram from SrIman nArAyaNan Himself. Those who perform japam on this mantram and reflect on the deep meanings of this mantram will possess all Atma guNams, eight kinds of bhakti-s, ashTa aiSvaryams, ashTAnga yogam and tranquility.

Detailed meanings of tirumantiram and its parts (praNavam, "namaH" Sabdam, "nArAyaNa" Sabdam, "Aya" Sabdam of the fourth case) are assembled in this adhikAram.

Swamy Desikan points out that the pUrvAcAryAs have provided ten interpretations (yojanais) based on using tirumantiram as one vAkyam or two vAkyams or three vAkyams. Two, three and five meanings respectively have been given for tirumantiram based on its treatment as one vAkyam or two or three vAkyams. The ten yojanais for the tirumantiram, the clarification of the doubts about tirumantiram and its glories have been extensively covered in the three separate e-books of the Sundarasimham series (www.sadagopan.org). These are:

- Tirumantira curukku (e-book # 24),
- pradhAna satakam (e-book # 27),
- virodha parihAram (e-book # 107).
- The 40th and the 41st e-books of SrI HayagrIvan series of

www.sadagopan.org named citra sAram also presents the doctrines on the three rahasyams in an uniquely graphic manner.

- The 86th e-book in SrI HayagrIvan series www.Sadagopan.org of has an elaborate commentary on the tirumantrAdhikAram of Swamy Desikan's Chillarai rahasyangal of sAra sAram.
- Also eBook # 85 in www.sadagopan.org has the synopsis (VivaraNam) of the tirumantiram as expounded by SwAmi nigamAnta mahAdeSikan.

28. dvayAdhikAram:

The meanings of the padams, vAkyams of the dvaya mantram and the ways to link them together to gain the overall insights.

dvaya mantram elaborates on the meanings of tirumantiram. It originates from the kaThavalli Sruti. Two mantrams from there have been united to form this mantram. Hence, it is called dvayam. It came to us by the way of pAncarAtra Agamam. It is a prapatti mantram. This mantram has to be received with reverence from a sadAcAryan. One has to perform self-surrender using this mantra ratnam. AcArya RaamAnuja has revealed the glory of this mantram with his upadesam that the uccharaNam of dvayam in any manner will grant the moksha phalan. This mantram covers the three amSams of SaraNyan, SaraNAgati and the phalan arising from the performance of the SaraNAgati. In view of this coverage of all these three amSams, the dvaya mantram is the most celebrated mantram.

The clarity embedded in the dvaya mantram is striking and is not found elsewhere. The tirumantiram has these meanings in a condensed manner. AcArya RaamAnuja has covered the sampradAya meanings of dvaya mantram in a magnificent manner in his SaraNAgati gadyam.

29. caramaSlokAdhikAram:

The meanings of the padams, vAkyams and the ways to link them together to gain the various meanings of carama Slokam are assembled in this adhikAram.

The carama Slokam was revealed by Lord PaarthasArathi as GeetAcAryan to the dejected and confused Arjuna in the battle field of Kuru Kshetram. It has been celebrated as the uttama Slokam by our pUrvAcAryAs and is considered by them to have the meaning of SaraNAgati trusted by the loka rIti and the faith generated by the Vedams (loka-veda-padavI-viSvAsita artham). The integrated meaning of the carama Slokam covers its seven bhAgams:

(1) Meaning of "sarva dharmAn parityjya" section

Oh cetanam! You have limited j~nAnam (alpa j~nAnam), limited Sakti (alpa Sakti). You live for a limited time on this earth (parimita kAlavarti). You are impatient about the delay in gaining moksham (vilamba kshaman). Other upAyams cannot be understood by you or practiced by you. These upAyAntarams like bhakti yogam also yield phalans in a delayed manner. Do not waste your time roaming in these difficult paths.

(2) The meaning of "mAm ekam"

I am sarva Sulabhan (easily accessible to all). I am the refuge for all the beings of the world (sarva loka SaraNyan). I have all the guNams to protect all (SaraNyatvaupayukta-sarvAkAra-viSishTan). Therefore use Me alone as upAyam for moksham.

(3) The meaning of "SaraNam vraja:"

Perform the bharanyAsam with its five angams (anga pancaka sampanna AtmarakshA bhara samarpaNam) at My tiruvaDi.

(4) The meaning of "tvA"

You will then become one who has performed the anushThAnam of prapatti and thereby become the krta krtyan and dear to Me and worthy of My protection. You will become very dear to me through your upAya anushThAnam.

(5) The Meaning of "aham"

The dayA, I have for you is limitless. I have started to bless you with My grace. I am the One, who receives the phalan for My action. There is no upper limit to My svatantram. My sankalpam alone is the sahakAri for My action. I am the One, who is the receiver of the phalan for My action.

(6) The Meaning of "sarva pApebhyo mokshayishyAmi"

The assembly of virodhis you have are of various kinds and huge. You cannot destroy them. I will destroy them to their roots. Afterwards, they won't follow you. I will bless You with the bhogams to enjoy that are equal to my own. When you enjoy Me fully in this manner, I will bless You and feel happy over granting you further with sarva deSa - sarva kAla - sarvAvastocita-sarva vidha kaimkaryams.

(7) The Meaning of "mA Suca:"

Therefore please do not grieve over anything anymore.

The following e-books in <u>www.sadagopan.org</u> portal will be of interest to learn about rahasya traya sAram in general and carama Slokam in particular:

- A. Sundarasimham e-books series
- 1) carama Sloka curukku: 20th e-book
- 2) GeetArtha sangraham: 30th e-book
- 3) SaraNagati dIpikai: 57th e-book
- 4) rahasya navaneetam: 68th e-book
- 5) rahasya Padavee: 69th e-book
- 6) SaraNAgati (in Tamil): 71st e-book
- 7) desika darsanam: 74th e-book
- 8) SrImat rahasya traya sAram (desika sahasra nAmam): 91st e-book
- 9) Virodha ParihAram: 107th e-book
- B. Ahobilavalli e-book series
- 10) nikshepa RakshA: 76th e-book
- (11 to 13) rahasya traya sAram: e-books 91, 92 and 93
- C. Sri HayagrIivan e-book series
- (14, 15) rahasya sandeSam and VivaraNam: e-books 2 and 3
- 16) rahasya traya sAram (Tamil): e-book 8
- 17) rahasya Maatrukai: e-book 10
- 18) rahasya ratnAvaLi: e-book 18
- 19) rahasya traya cuLakam: e-book 24
- (20, 21) rahasya ratnAvaLi hrdayam: e-book 81
- (22, 23) citra sAram: e-books 40 and 41

D. SAMPRADAYAPRAKRIYA BHAGAM (CHAPTERS 30-32)

30. AcAryakrtyAdhikAram:

The explanations about the fit and unfit ones to receive the upadesams of a sadAcAryan are covered here.

In the last three chapters, Swamy Desikan expounded the detailed meanings of the three rahasyams. Now, he focuses on the important role of the AcAryan in preserving, protecting and transmitting this precious sampradAya knowledge that he has been blessed to receive from his own AcAryAs. The handing over of the sat-sampradAya knowledge to a deserving disciple is emphasized as an important duty of an AcAryan before he ascends to Paramapadam. Swamy Desikan points out the need for extra vigilance of instructing undeserving and ill qualified SishyAs on the

esoteric teachings of these three rahasyams in this Kali yugam. Swamy Desikan concludes this chapter with the grateful acknowledgment that the three rahasyams and their inner meanings were taught by his revered AcArya sArvabhauman, KiDambi AppuLLAr and that he has taken pains to pass it on to the Sishya paramparai.

31. SishyakrtyAdhikAram:

The duties of a Sishyan who cannot ever repay the AcAryan for the great upAkAram rendered by the AcAryan are described.

While the last adhikAram focused on the responsibilities of an AcAryan to instruct a sat-Sishyan, this chapter emphasizes on the duties and the behaviour of a Sishyan seeking this j~nAnam. Unassailable AcArya bhakti (aSithila guru bhakti:), celebration of the vaibhavam of the AcAryan (AcArya praSamsA) sincere siSrUshai to the AcAryan and respect for the family and property of AcAryan (AcArya vastuvAstu-Adike api pracura bahumati) and protection of the rahasya sArArthams received from the revered AcAryan are some of the cardinal duties of the ideal Sishyan.

Swamy Desikan concludes this adhikAram with a most powerful appeal for the Sishyan's duties to celebrate his AcAryA-s and points out that the SishyA-s can never repay their AcAryA-s for their inestimable anugraham of lighting the j~nAna dIpam inside them. He praises the AcAryan for chasing away the darkness of aj~nAnam that enveloped the minds of the SishyA-s and reminds us about the supreme importance of praising the vaibhavam of one's AchAryan:

ஏற்றி மனத்து எழில் ஞானவிளக்கை இருளனைத்தும் மாற்றினவர்க்கு ஒரு கைம்மாறு காணகில்லான் போற்றி உகப்பதும் புந்தியில் கொள்வதும் பொங்குபுகழ் சாற்றி வளர்ப்பம் சற்றல்லேவா முன்னம் பெற்றதற்கே.

E. ERRi manattu ezhil j~nAna viLakkai iruL anaittum

mARRinavarkku oru kaimmARu mAyanum kANakillAn pORRi ukappatum puntiyil koLvatum pongu pukazh sARRi vaLarpatum caRRalavO munnam peRRataRkkE.

Swamy Desikan recognizes the sadAcAryan as one on whose tongue Lord HayagrIvan is seated on His throne and that he will not consider any devatA as being equal or superior to this AcAryan (adhyAsIna turanga vaktra vilasat jihvAgra simhAsanAt AcAryAt iha anyAm devatAm samadhikAm na manyAmahe). The adhikAram sums up the messages from all the topics covered in the previous 31 chapters and concludes the upadesams on the three rahasyams.

After recapitulating all his upadesams housed in the four bhAgams of the SrI sUkti of SrImad rahasya traya sAram, Swamy Desikan assembles the meanings of all these chapters like a precious necklace made up of lovely gems. He points out that it is not easy to comprehend the subtleties (sUkshmams) of dharmam. No harm will however come to us if we follow the timeless path of the sages and AcAryAs, who follow the strict path shown by Srutis and smrtis and do not swerve from those paths. Travel along those paths will lead one to the arcirAdi mAargam to enter SrI VaikuNTham and enjoy kaimkarya sAmrAjyam and paripUrNa brahmAnandam there without any possibility of returning to the samsAra maNDalam.

A clear comprehension of the upadesams of Swamy Desikan and the sadAcAryAs that follow Him would help us realize the indispensability of holding on to the sacred feet of Sriya:pati, SrIman nArAyaNan, during the upAya and phala dasais (stages of seeking the means of moksham and the fruits there of). Swamy Desikan has explained the esoteric doctrines relating to the three rahasyams and their links to artha pancakam, tattva trayam, the two upAyams for moksham and the glories of prapatti precisely with the help of Sruti, smrti, VedAnta sUtrams, AzhvAr aruLiccheyalkaLs, upamAs and upAkhyAnams.

Swamy Desikan is confident that an AstIka with sharp intellect, vairAgyam and modesty along with utter faith in the Srutis will follow the path laid out by our eternal sat-sampradAyam described in the SrI sUkti of Srimad rahasya traya sAram. Such a person's mind will be pure from the pursuit of sat-sampradAyam gained from his AcAryan. He will not seek alpa phalans (satrNeshu aSaktaH) and will always seek auspicious gains (sat-arthI). He will not have jealousy (anabhyasUyuH) and will follow the everlasting glorious path described in this SrI sUkti.

आस्तीक्यवान् निशित बुद्धिरनभ्यसूयुः सत्संप्रदाय परिशुद्धमनाः सदर्थी । सङ्केत भीतिरहितः सतृणेष्वसक्तः सद्वर्तनीमनुविधास्यति शाश्वतीं नः ॥ AstIkyavAn niSita buddhiranabhyasUyu: satsampradAyapariSuddhamanA:sadarthI |

sanketa bhIti rahita: satrNeshvasakta:

sadvartanIm anuvidhAsyati SASvatIm na: ||

At the end of this nigamanAdhikAram, Swamy Desikan performs sAtvIka tyAgam and states that Lord HayagrIvan appeared as his AcAryan and performed the upadesam and wrote it down on his mind . Our AcAryan asks a rhetorical question here and asks how can there ever be any defect in this grantham, which arose from such a divine source? Swami Desikan continues and says that he will not be elated if the intelligent ones praise the vaibhavam of this grantham or the jealous ones reject this grantham:

வெள்ளை பரிமுகர் தேசிகராய் விரகால் அடியோம் உள்ளத்து எழுதியது ஓலையில் இடடனம் யாம் இதற்க்கென் கொள்ளத் துணியினும் கொது என்று இகழினும் கூர்மதியீர் எள்ளத்தனை உகவாது இகழாது எம் எழில் மதியே

veLLaip parimukhar tesikarAi virakAl aDiyOm

uLLattu ezhutiyatu Olaiyil iTTanam yAm itaRkken

koLLat tuNiyinum kOtu enRu ikazhinum kUrmatiyIr

eLLattanai ukavAtu ikazhAtu em ezhil matiyE.

Swamy Desikan describes his contented state of mind after completion of this SrI sUkti this way:

எட்டும் இரண்டும் அறியாத எம்மை இவை அறிவித்து துடை வொண்ணாத இடம் தரும் எங்கள் அம மாதவனார் முட்ட வினைத் திரள் மாள முயன்றிடும் அஞ்சல் என்றார் கட்டு எழில் வாசகத்தால் கலங்கா நிலை பெற்றனமே

eTTum iraNDum aRiyAta emmai ivai aRivittu

eTTa voNNAta iDam tarum engaL am mAdavanAr

muTTa vinait tiraL mALa muyanRiDum ancal enRAr

kaTTu ezhil vAcakattAl kalankA nilai peRRanamE.

Meaning:

We are the simpletons who do not know that the sum of eight and two is ten or we

are the ignorant ones who do not know about the eight lettered tirumantiram or

the dvayam, with the two parts and carama Slokam. Our Lord Maadavan, the moksha sukha dhAyakan, out of His infinite grace blessed us with the knowledge about these three rahasyams through His sadAcAryans and made it possible for us to reach the unattainable SrI VaikuNTham readily. He destroyed our mighty bundles of sins at the conclusion of our upAya anushThAnam. Through His powerful carama Sloka vAkyams (sarva pApebhyo mokshayishyAmi, mA Suca:) made us enjoy the blissful, worry free state.

May we all learn the sacred doctrines covered by Swamy Desikan in Srimad rahasya traya sAram under the sacred feet of a sadAcAryan and live in a state of nirbharam and nirbhayam and perform bhagavat-bhAgavata-AcArya kaimkaryams befitting our svarUpam as prapannAs.

> इति कवितार्किकसिंहस्य सर्वतन्त्रस्वतन्त्रस्य श्रीमद्वेङ्कटनाथस्य वेदान्ताचार्यस्य कृतिषु श्रीमद्रहस्यत्रयसारः संपूर्णः

iti kavitArkika simhasya sarvatantra svatantrasya SrImadvenka TanAthasya vedAntAcAryasya krtishu SrImat rahasyatrayasAra: sampUrNa:

> कवितार्किकसिंहाय कल्याणगुणशालिने । श्रीमते वेङ्कटेशाय वेदान्तगुरवे नमः ॥ kavitArkika simhAya kalyANaguNaSAline | SrImate venkaTeSAya vedAnta gurave nama: ||

> Srimad Azhagiya Singar tiruvaDigaLE SaraNam

ନ୍ଧଙ୍କର

SRIMAD RAHASYATRAYASARA

QF

SRI VEDANTADESIKA

Translated into English with Introduction and Notes by

M. R. Rajagopala Ayyangar, M. A.

(Formerly of the Madras Educational Service)

٦

Published by :

Agnihothram Remanuja Thathacharlar, Kumbakonam.

All rights Reserved]

[PRICE Rs. 10/-

Copies can be had of :---

- (i) The Publisher.
- (2) The Literary Press, Salem-I.

THE LITERARY PRESS, SALEM-1.

PREFACE

Srimad Rahasyatrayasara is a classic of the first importance to the followers of Sri Vedantadesika. Though it is in Tamil, it is so full of Sanskrit words and of Sanskrit passages quoted as pramanas that the ordinary reader finds in difficult to understand it by himself. Since a number of my friends who have studied English told me that an English translation of it would be of great belp. I suggested to Professor M. R. Rajagopala Ayyangar who is well-versed in Sri Bhashya, Rahasyatrayasara and other works connected with the tradition of Sri Vaishnavism and has, in addition, an intimate knowledge of English, that he might undertake the work. He complied with my request, completed the translation and handed it over to me. It is not possible for me to thank him in adequate terms for baving done so.

In getting the work through the Press, I have received great and enthusiastic help from Sri K. V. Ramaswami, B. A., Assistant Secretary, Mettur Chemicals and Sri R. Natarajan, B. sc., Secretary of the Mettur Chemicals. I take this opportunity of expressing my deep gratitude to them.

I am also thankful to the Sree Vedanta Desika Sampradaya Sabha, Bombay for having kindly lent the block of Sree Vedanta Desika appearing in the book.

My grateful thanks are due to Sri K. Vasudevan Nair, Proprietor, The Literary Press, Salem who is well-known for bis deep interest in everything that concerns our religion, for his ready and willing co-operation in the printing of the book in his press.

54, Ayyangar St., KUMBAKONAM, 1946 Agnihothram Ramanuja Thathachariar

INTRODUCTION

Life and Writings of Sri Vedäntadesika

Sri Venkatanatha or Vedantadesika, to use the name by which he is more commonly known, was born at Thooppil, a village near Kancheepuram, in 1268. His father was called Anantasuri and his mother Totaramha. His maternal uncle was the renowned Appullar, whose real name was Ramanuja. It is under birn that Vedantadesika learnt the Sastras. Appullar had studied Vedanta under Nadathur Ammal (Varadacharya) along with Sudarsana Bhatta, the author of Srutaprakāsikā, the splendid commentary on Ramanuja's Sri Bhāshya. Appullar was the great-grandson of Pranatartibara, the disciple of Sri Ramanuja, who was called Vedanta Udayana for his proficiency in Vedanta. He had, therefore, personal knowledge of Ramanuja's religious teaching and tradition.

Vedentadesika seems to have attracted the notice of Nadathur Ammal by his precociousness. Before the age of twenty, he had acquired a mastery of all the learning current in his days not only in religion but in secular subjects as well. He states that his uncle, Appullar, taught him with as much patience and care as a man would teach a parrot to speak. Vedantadesika became so proficient in the composition of poetry and in the mastery of the science of logic that he came to be called *Kavi Tärkika Simha* (the lion among poets and logicians).

Three holy places are associated with Vedantadesika's name as having been his residences. One is Tiruvaheendrapuram in South Arcot District, where, it may be presumed, he composed some of his well-known Stotras or hymns like Gopāla Vimsati and Devanāyaka Panchāsat in Sanskrit and Achyuta Satakam in Prakrit. He lived for many years at Kancheepuram. It is on the chief deity in Kancheepuram that Desika composed his *Varadarāja Pauchāsat* in Sauskrit and his *Attigiri Mānmiyam* in Tamil.

Srirangam was, then, the centre of Vaishuavite culture and learning and every one who had pretensions to a knowledge of Visishtadvaitic philosophy and religion made it a point to stay there as long as he could. Vedantadesika seems to have spent some years of his life at Srirangam, gathering round him a hand of disciples who were greatly devoted to him. He says somewhere that he had taught Vedants (i. e.) Sri Bhāshya, thirty times over. He wrote also many treatises in Sanskrit and in Tamil in support of the Vedantic system of Ramanuja and in refutation of rival systems. It is no wonder that the title of Vedāntadesika (or Vedantacharya) was conferred on him, as he himself says, by the Lord Sri Rangaoatha.

Vedantadesika, or Desika, to use the shorter name by which also he is often referred to. followed the usual custom of going on pilgrimages to places in North India which are considered holy. It was probably at Tirupati that he composed his *Stotra* called *Dayāsatakam*. It is said that he visited also Mathura, Brindavan, Ayodhya and Banaras.

Desika chose to live a life of poverty. He would not seek the patronage of the great as it might lead to a loss of independence. It is said that the great Vidyaranya invited him to the court of Vijayanagar assuring him of an honourable reception. But Vedantadesika would not consent. His reply to Vidyaranya called *Vairāgyapanchakam*, which is in the form of five slokas in Sanskrit, reveals his stern and austers independence of spirit.

By his extraordinary learning and exemplary way of life, Vedantadesiks won the admiration and reverence of all those who were spiritually-minded. Like Ramanuja hefore him, he was blessed with a long life of strenuous, spiritual activity and died in his one-hundredth year (1869).

HIS WORKS

Sri Vedantadesika's life was dedicated solely to study, to teaching and to the composition of books and tracts. He is a voluminous and venatile writer who has left works in Sanskrit and Tamil, in prose and in verse, and also in *manipravalam*, a kind of Sanskritized Tamil. The number of his writings would come to a hundred or more and only the more important of them are briefly mentioned below.

Vedantadesika reminds one of the English poet Milton. It is well-known that Milton was a scholar in Greek, Latin and Italian, besides his own language. English. His poetry was the poetry of a scholar steeped in varied learning and a full and critical appreciation of his poems and prose writings is possible only to men of learning. With just a few exceptions, all the poems of Milton are on religious themes, as he had a lofty conception of the poet's art and mission and would not care to dally in secular writing. He wrote a drama taking his subject from the Old Testament of the Bible. Milton wrote extensively in prose also, both in English and in Latin. He was also noted for the austerity of his life, the earoestness of his religious convictions, and the stubborn independence of his character.

Sri Vedantadesika's works may be classified under the following heads :--

SANSKRIT

1. POETRY AND THE DRAMA :

Though Desika was wholly absorbed in the study and the interpretation of Vedanta and of the religious tradition associated with Sri Ramanuja, he had in him a genius for poetic composition which could not be repressed. So, instead of writing on secular subjects, he made his muse the handmaid of religion. With the single exception of Subhashita-neevi, which is a didactic poem containing gnomic verses like the Satakas of Bhartrihari, all his poems have a religious backgroond. When he wanted to write a Mahākāvya like Raghuvamsa, he chose for his theme Sri Krishna's life and composed his Yādavā. bhyudayam in twenty-four cantos (or sargas). The playful activities of Sri Krishna in his boyhood and youth lend themselves easily to poetic delight. It is Desika's peculiar feature as a poet to incorporate philosophical and religious ideas even into his poems. The great Advaitic teacher, Sri Appayya Dikahitar, has written a commentary on this Mahākāvya.

Desika was an admirer of Kalidasa's genius as a poet and wrote a Sandes'a Kāvya following his manner. This is Hamsa Sandes'a. Bri Rama sends a message by a swan to Sita devi at Lanks where she has been imprisoned by Ravans. The first part consisting of sixty slokas describes the route that the swan should take in its journey to Lanks. The religious hias of the poet makes him speak with reverence of the holy places and shrines of South India on this route. In the second part consisting of fifty slokas Sri Rama gives a description of the state of Sita in Lanka as he visualises it in his imagination and this is followed by the message which is to give her comfort and consolation. The poem is written in the metre called Mandäkräntä which Kalidasa had employed with hrilliant success in his Meghasandesa.

Pādukāsahasram is a poetical tour de force said to have been composed in the course of a single night. The theme is the sandals of Sri Ranganatha at Srirangam and the poem has one thousand and eight slokas in varied metres and is partly in praise of Sri Rama's sandals which were taken by Bharata to Ayodhya and which are identified with Sri Ranganatha's.

THE DRAMA :

Krishnamisra, a gifted poet, wrote an allegorical drama called Prabodhachandrodaya on the Advaita Philosophy, wherein Prabodhachandra or Jnäna brings about the deliverance of King Viveka from the bondage of sameāra into which he had been forced by his enemies, Lust, Anger, and the like. In reply, as it were, to this play, Desika wrote an allegorical drama called Sankalpa Sūryodaya in ten acts. The war is between two sets of combatants, Viveks, Bhakti, and Spiritual Serenity (Sānti) on one side and Lust, Pride, Vanity and the like on the other. The hero is Porusha or the Jiva and the final deliverance of Porusha is brought about by the victory of Viveka over Moha with the help of Vishnubhakti.

2. RELIGIOUS LYRICS (STOTRAS):

Vedantadesika has left a number of religious lyrics or Stotras which have won the admiration of Bri Vaishnavas so much that they are often repeated with great devotion during their gatherings. These Stotras or religious lyrics are mainly on the gods worshipped in Kancheepuram, Srirangam, Tirupati and Tiruvaheendrapuram. Some of them are on Garuda. the Goddess Lakshmi, the Goddess of the Earth and Goda the saintly daughter of Perialwar.

Desika is said by have been an upāsaka of Bhagavan as Hayagriva and has composed thirty-three slokas in His praise.

The ten avatārs of Vishnu are described in ten stokas called Dasavatārastotram. After the Moslim raids in the 14th century, Desika composed his Abheeti-stava, praying for succour to Sri Ranganatha's shrice and worshippers.

Dayās atakam consists of about one hundred slokas on the Lord of Tirupati and on His mercy shown to the *Jiva* in varied ways.

Varadarājapanckās at, referred to before, has fifty-one slokas in praise of Sri Varaderaja of Kancheepuram.

Desika was, for some years, a resident at Tiruvabeendrapuram where he was a staunch devotee of the god Devanatha, in whose praise he composed fiftythree slokas called *Devanāyakapanchāsat*.

Desike was a profound admirer of Sri Ramanuja whose works he studied again and again with reverance and also taught to others. In Yatirāja-saptati, he has expressed his boundless admiration and reverence and also his indebtedness to Sri Ramanuja. The poem has seventy slokas.

One of the finest of these lyrics is Gopālavimsati on Bri Krishna. Desika's poetic style is generally that of a scholar and is not easily understood by the ordinary reader. But in Gopāla vimsati, his deep and fervent devotion has enabled him to visualise Sri Krishna and sing his praise in slokas which are incid and delightful and can be understood even by the beginner of Sanskrit studies.

VEDANTA.

"It is in the discussion of subjects connected with Vedanta that Vedantadesika excelled. He had studied the philosophical writings of Sri Sankaracharya and others and was a master, as has already been stated, of Visishtadvaita as tanght by Sri Ramanujs. He dedicated his life, it may be said without any exaggeration, to the propagation of Ramanuja's gospel by all the means in his power. Being a subtle logician, he refuted rival systems of philosophical thought in many of his writings and established the soundness of Visishtadvaita from the point of view of Srutis and of reason.

Tatvateska: Sri Desika began an elaborate commentary on Sri Bhäshyam but left it incomplete. It is only the commentary up to the section dealing with the Mahāsiddhānta that is now available.

Adhikaraņasārāvali is in 562 slokas and is a synopsis of Sri Bhüshyam. Desika had a wonderful gift of expressing philosophical ideas concisely in Sauskrit verse giving the Poorvapaksha and the Siddhānta in each sloka. In this work which is in the metre called Sragdharā. Desika summarises the meaning of each sütra and of each adhikaraņa or section of Sri Ramanuja's commentary on the Brahma Sütras giving, as a general rule, the prima facie view in the first balf of each sloka and the right interpretation of the adhikaraņa or siddhānta in the second half. Tatvamuktākalāpā is a controversial work in Sanskrit verse, and consists of 501 slokas. The Visishtadvaita philosophy is sought to be established as the only right system by a refutation of rival views or theories. Here again, the first half of each sloka expresses the Poorvapaksha stating concisely the tenets of other systems and the second the siddhānta in connection with such topics as the Juda or non-sentient things. the Jīva, the Nāyaka or Iswara and buddhi, which are all dravya or substances and also adravya, those which are not substances. Desika has left also a commentary of, his own on this work called Sarvārthasiddhi.

Satadooshani is a controversial treatise in terse prose against Advaita. Desika finds a hundred points of objection against Advaita, but only sixty-six of them are extant in the texts that are now available-

Nyāya Parisuddhi and Nyaya siddhānjanam are treatises on logic or nyāya as it should be understood. They are both critical of the Vaiseshika and Nyaya schools of logic.

Seswara - mimāmsa: It is generally held that Jaimini, the author of the $P\bar{u}rva$ $M\bar{i}mams\bar{u}$ $S\bar{u}tras$, was an agnostic who had no faith in the existence of God or Gods. In this work Desika's aim is to prove that Jaimini did believe in the existence of gods. Only a fragment of this treatise is, at present, available.

Nyūsa - vimsati - Niksheparakshā, Nyāsa-tilaka, Saraņāgati panchāsat, and Nyāsa dasaka sim at establishing Prapatti as an upāya for moksha hy refuting the arguments of those who hold that bhakti alone is prescribed in the Sastras as the means for attaining it. They describe also the manner of performing prapatti and the potency of the $up\bar{a}ya$ along with the qualifications which make one competent for it.

4. COMMENTARIES :

Desika has left commentaries on Isdvāsyopanishad, on Alavandar's Chatus's loki and his Stotra-ratnam, and on the three prose works of Sri Ramanuja, namely, Saraņāgati godyam, Srīrango gadyam and Vaikunța gadyam.

Tütparya chandrikü is a scholarly commentary on Sri Ramanuja's Gitü bhüshyam. The author shows how Sri Ramanuja's interpretation of the verses of the Gitü is more apt and more in accordance with reason than that of other commentators.

TAMIL,

Desika has composed many treatises in Tamil, most of them being in verse. Among the verse compositions are Attigiri Mānmiyam aheady referred to and Mummanikkovai which is on Sri Devanatha of Tiruvaheendrapuram.

Paramatabhangam expounds, in Tamil verse and in highly Sanskritised Tamil prose, the doctrines of Visishtadvaita and refutes some sixteen rival systems. It is also in support of the Pancharatra Agamas and describes Saranjägati as an upáya.

Srimad Rahasyatrayasāra is, of course, one of his greatest prose works. The Tamil prose in this work, as in others, has a large admixture of sanskrit words.

Desika has left also a large number of tracts on the proper code of conduct and observances that a good Sri Vaishnava should follow and likewise on the doctrines of Visishtadvaita.

SRIMAD RAHASYATRAYASARA

Visishtadvaitins, who are the followers of Sri Ramanuja, consider the following as *pramanüs* or sources by which knowledge is acquired:— (1) Pratyaksha or sense perception. Among the

The above list is by no means exhaustive The attention of the reader who desires to have fuller information is invited to the article in Sri Nrisimhapriya (Vol. 12 Sanchika 9 of the year Vijaya and the month Purattasi 1 by Vidwan Poundarikapuram Narasimhacharya Swami, Kancheepuram and to Desika Prabhandham ($G_{\beta} \Re s i B_{\beta} u \delta_{\beta} u \delta_{\beta} d$) edited by Sri R. Kesava Ayyangar and Sri Soumya Ramanujacharjar and published by Sri T. Parthasarathi Ayyangar, Advocate, Devakottai.

senses or indriyas which provide us with knowledge are the sense of sight, the sense of hearing, the sense of smell, the sense of taste and the sense of touch. To these five should be added the mind or manas which perceives such internal feelings as pleasure and psin. (2) Anumana or inference; Even without actual sense perception, we sometimes acquire knowledge based on previous perceptions. For instance, we have seen on many occasions that wherever there is smoke, there is fire. When, afterwards, we see smoke rising on a bill, we, at once, infer that there must be fire on that hill, though we do not actually see the fire. Inference is always based on provious sense-perception. (3) The third source of knowledge accepted by Visishtadvaitins is stabda or verhal authority or trustworthy utterance. Though I have never been to London, I believe that there is a big city of that name on the evidence of those whom I consider intelligent and trustworthy. In matters of religion, this verbal authority is found in the sastras. They reveal to us what we cannot see or infer by ourselves. For instance, they speak of the existence of God, who creates the Universe, sustains it and dissolves or absorbs it into Himself. They also tell us that our souls are eternal and are now in the bondage of births and deaths owing to beginningless karma. They teach us also how we can get rid of this bondage. Among these sastras, the foremost place is given to the four Vedas with their crowning chapters called the Upanishads. These are called the Srutis. Next come the Smritis like those of Manu, Yagnavalkys, and Perasara and also the Mahābhārata with its great inset, The Bhagavad Gita, and the $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$, and the Poranes, and likewise, the Prabandhas of the Alvara. These smritts are valid sources of knowledge so long as they do not state anything that is directly opposed to the teaching of the Srutis. The Brahma Sütrās of Badarayana are the result of a critical examination of the Srutis in regard to what they actually teach and are also authoritative.

The followers of Ramanuja accept also the validity of the teaching contained in the Pancharatra Agamas. These $\overline{A}gam\overline{a}s$ have come down to us from time immemorial and are held by

Visishtadvaitins to have been taught by the Supreme Being, Narayann Himself. Only some among these ägamās are now available to us in print like Ahirbudhaya Samhitā, Lakshmī Tantram, Sātoata Samhitā, Poushkara Samhitā, Iswara Samhitā and Garuda Samhitā. The followers of Ramanuja do not find any doctrine or statement in these ägamas which conflicts with the Srutīs. Therefore they give a high place to them as to Srutis, so far as religion and spiritual life are concerned.

It is on a careful and critical examination of the knowledge provided by these varied sources of knowledge, (pramāņās), that Sri Ramanuja based his system of religious philosophy called Visistadvaita. We get to know the doctrines and traditions connected with this system from his commentaries on the Brahma Sütras of Badarayana called Sri Bhäshyam, Vedänta Deepa and Vedänta-sara and also treatises called Vedärthasangraha, Saranägati-gadyam, Vaikunta gadyam ond Srivanga gadyam. In regard to certain traditional beliefs and observances based on the Pänchariitra ägamas. Ramanuja has not left a clear and definite expression of his views. Sri Vedantadesika, who came some one hundred and fifty years after him and who was steeped in the religious lore and the religious discussions which had intervened during this period, sims at giving a clear, complete and comprehensive exposition of Visishtadvaitic thought and tradition in his Srimad Rahasyatrayasāra.

The following is a brief summary of the teaching contained in Rahasyatrayasāra on the more important dectrines of Ramanuja's system of philosophy and of the religious and spiritual tradition (sampradāya) connected with him as understood by the Northern School of Sri Vaishnavites (Vadagalais).

TATTVA

The intelligent man who is desirous of moksha or deliverance from the bondage of samsāra or the cycle of births and deaths should know the three kinds of tattvās or Reals (things that exist) and the relation in which they stand towards one another. According to Visishtadvaits, all existing things are real and none of them is a more illusory appearance.

There are three classes or kinds of existing things or reals. (1) Chit by which are meant sentient beings which have consciousness, however low their level might be (2) Achit which means nonsentient things, which have no consciousness and which are all modifications of matter or *prakriti* and (3) *Iswara* who stands by Himself (being of different from the other two) as their Ruler and Controller.

1. CHIT

Chit is a class name or a name which indicates a group or class of existing things. By Chit is meant Atma, soul or self. Its essential nature (Svar $\overline{u}pa$) or what distinguishes it from the other two, achit and Iswara, may be described as follows :-- It is different from the body, the senses, the mind, the vital breath (prana) and intelligence (buddhi). It is ajada or knoapable of shining by itself ; its essential nature is bliss or ananda and inana (consciousness or knowledge). It is eternal (nitya), atomic (anu) and incapable of being perceived hy the senses. It is indivisible and has no parts or avayavas. Besides being of the nature of knowledge (jnana), it possesses also jnana or knowledge as an attribute (dharma). This knowledge possessed by the self is called dharmabhuta jnana. The self or soul is subject to the control of Iswara (niyāmya) and is also supported by Him (dhārya). It is sesha to Iswara (i. e) it exists solely for the fulfilment of His purposes and not for itself.

It was said above that the self can shine by itself. A pot, for instance, can be seen only when it is lighted up by the flame of a lamp, but the flame of a lamp shines by itself and does not require any other light to help its being seen. So also the self shines or, in other words, is felt or realised by the person without any other help. The self is also conscions of itself as when a man says 'I'. Pain, misery and the like are due to contact with the body. This contact with the body has resulted from beginningless karma. The self or *ātmā* thinks that the pain is its own.

If the self is *nitya* or sternal, it may be asked what birth and death mean. The answer is that birth means contact acquired with a body; death is separation from that body.

The sastras say that the self is any or atomic and that at the time of death, it leaves the body from the heart. Though the self is atomic or infinitesimally small in size and confined to one place, namely the heart, it is able to perceive the pleasure or the pain in every part of the body by means of the knowledge which it possesses as an attribute (dharmabhīda jnāna). Dharmabhūta inana or attributive knowledge is capable of contraction and expansion (sankocha vikāsa) and can expand and reach everywhere. The self has no parts or avayavas and cannot undergo change or modification $(vik\bar{a}ra)$. In this respect it is different from achiever or material objects like pots and cloths and cannot be burnt, cut. cooled or otherwise modified. Advaiting hold that the self or soul as consciousness or knowledge and does not possess knowledge as ag attribute (i e.) dharmabhūta jnūna. This is wrong, for we feel that we have knowledge and do not feel that we are jnana or conscionanesa alone.

Just as the self is a knower who possesses knowledge $(jn\bar{a}t\bar{a})$, it is also a doer $(kart\bar{a})$, one that wills and makes efforts and one that experiences or enjoys $(bhokt\bar{a})$. It should be remembered in this connection that will which acts or puts forth efforts and enjoyment or experience are both only forms of knowledge.

The self is a doer but a doer that is ever subject to the control of Iswara. It is supported by Iswara because it can have no existence except that due to His essential nature $(svar \overline{u}pa)$ and His will (sankalpa). Taking the case of our own body and soul, the soul supports the body and prevents it from disintegrating or falling during sleep by its essential nature $(svar \overline{u}pa)$. During its waking moments, the soul keeps the body from falling by its will (sankalpa). So also in regard to the self as related to Iswara. It will be explained later that the soul stands in the relation of body to Iswara, who is its Inner Self.

The soul was stated before to be *sesha* to Iswara and to exist only for His purposes. Houses, fields, sons, wives and the like are *sesha* to a man and exist for the fulfilment of his purposes. But they can and do exist apart from him. The self, on the other hand, is a *sesha* to Iswara which cannot exist apart from Him. It is inseparable from Him. So it is an inseparable attribute or *viseshana* of Iswara. In this respect the self is like the body of a man in relation to his soul. The body may be defined as that which is supported by a self and is capable of being controlled by it, while existingfor the fulfilment of its purposes. In this sense the self of every sentient being is the body of Iswara.

When Chit is said to be a class-name, what is meant is that intuities or sentient beings are many, though all of them belong to the class Chit. The self's or souls may belong to any one of these groups: -(1) those that are in the bondage of samsāra (baddhas) (2) those that have obtained liberation from it (muktas) and (3) those who are eternally free (nityas) (i.e.) who have never been in samsāra, such as Garuda and Adisesha.

Owing to contact with *achit*, (the body or matter), the self has *avidyā* (ignorance), *karma* and *vāsanās* or past impressions, tastes and aptitudes. When contact with *achit* ceases entirely, *avidya* and the rest leave the self. The *avidyā* and *karma* have no beginning. Therefore their original cause is not to be enquired into. What is of importance is to bring about their end.

The self's, be they baddhas muktas or nityas, are innumerable.

Visisbudyaities stoutly oppose the Advaitic view that there is only a single self enveloped in $\sigma vidya$ and that when this is realised, the self becomes identical with Brahman, that there is nothing else but this Brahman, which is pure consciousness, and that all objects in the Universe, around, above and below us, are mere illusory appearances.

In the state of *multi*, though souls or self's are all alike and perfectly resemble one another, yet there is difference among them capable of being perceived by themselves.

The self or $\overline{a}tm\overline{a}$ may be defined as a conscious heing that solely exists for the fulfilment of Iswara's purposes (sesha). Achit or matter, too, exists for Iswara but it is not a conscious being. The *fiva* who is conscious and has intelligence is not only a sesha but a $d\overline{a}sa$ (servant) of God.

It was stated before that the svarupa or essential nature of the self is knowledge or consciousness and that it has knowledge as an attribute or dharma. Is there any difference between these two kinds of knowledge or jnāna, it may be asked. The knowledge which is svarupa is incapable of contraction and expansion; it does not light up anything other than itself; it is conscious of itself (self-conscious). The knowledge which is an attribute is capable of contraction and expansion and can light up other things like pots, cloths, stars, men and so on to the self. It is capable of all-pervasiveness in the state of mukti, though limited in its range in the state of samsāra owing to past karma.

According to Visishtadvaita, knowledge is a substance or dravya, because it is the seat of action and qualities. Knowledge, though a substance, may also be an attribute or dharma as dharmabhuta jnāna is of the svarūpa jnāna. Attributive knowledge or dharmabhūta jnāna is eternal. In the state of sushupti or dreamless sleep, it is dormant and does not spread out and reach anything, as the senses are then insetive.

II. ACHIT,

Achit or matter, in its different forms or modifications, is without consciousness or knowledge. It is subject to changes in its form $(vik\overline{\sigma}ra)$ though eternal as substance.

Achit is of three kinds :- The first kind of achit is called prakriti or matter, such as we see around us in this world. It is sometimes called also misrasattvam, because the quality of sattvam is mingled in it with rajas and tamas. It is prakriti which, by its contact with the bound self, conceals from it knowledge and bliss; it is also the cause of erroneous knowledge. As has already been said, it is eternal and is an accessory to Iswara's *leelā* or sportful activity. Sometimes it is called *prakriti* because from it, other forms of matter are evolved (vikriti). It is also called Müyā, for it is the cause of a wonderful variety of creations.

Misrasattvam is in twenty four forms — prakriti (the root cause or primordial matter), mahat the next stage of modification, ahankāra, manas (mind), the five kinds of subtle elements (tanmātras), the five senses of knowledge, sight, smell, taste, hearing and touch (Jnānēndriyas); the five senses of action, band, leg, speech, the orgaos of excretion and sex (karmendriyās), and the five elements or bhutās viz, earth, water, fire, air and ākāsa (space or ether). It is by mixing these twenty-four tattvās of achit that Iswara creates the anda or world by Himself and through Brahma. There are many such andās or worlds, which are all within the region of Iswara's leelā.

The second kind of *achit* is called *suddhasattvam*. It is so called because it is *sattva* that is free from all admixture of *rajas* and *tamas*. Suddhasattvam is a wonderful substance entirely different from the matter or *prakriti* that we see around us. It is eternal and capable of producing knowledge and bliss. By the mere will of Bhagavan and constituted of *suddhasattvam*, towers, palaces, assembly halls, groves, gardens and the like exist in the region of eternal glory (*mitys vibhūti* or *Paramapada*) which is

beyond the region of *prakriti*. Suddhasattvam is of supreme splendour (tējas) and is incapable of being measured by the *muktas*, and *mityas* and even *Iswara*. Some *ächaryās* say that it is capable of shining by itself (*ajada*), while others are of opinion that it has to be lighted up by something other than itself (*jada*). Those who hold that it is *ajada* state that it shines to the *nityäs*, *muktas* and *Iswara* without requiring to be lighted up by something else. But while the *jīvas* are in *samsāra*, it remains invisible to them. It is not self-conscious and is therefore *achit*. Besides it is, as already pointed out, subject to changes or modifications such as towers, palaces and so on. It is therefore *achit*.

The third kind of *achit* is Time or *Kāla*. It is in Time that the evolutes of matter (*prakriti*) undergo their modifications. Time or *Kāla* has none of the three qualities of *prakriti* (*sattvam*, *rajas*, or *tamas*). It is eternal (*nitya*) and all-pervasive (*vibhu*).

The two kinds of achit, suddhasattvam, and misrasattvam serve to Iswara and sentient beings (baddha, mukta, and nitya) as objects of enjoyment (bhogya), as accessory instruments of enjoyment (bhoga upakarana) and as places of enjoyment (bhogasthāna). Bhogya is that which is experienced or enjoyed; bhoga - upakaranas are the senses which help the Jīva in experiencing or enjoying, and bhogasthānas are the various worlds (andās) and nityavibhūti and so also the innumerable bodies of sentient beings.

III. ISWARA.

The essential nature of Iswara is Reality (satyam), knowledge $(jn\bar{a}na)$, bliss ($\bar{a}nanda$) and infiniteness ($\bar{a}nantam$). Iswara is opposed to everything that is of the nature of a blemish. He has hosts of auspicious qualities ($kaly\bar{a}naguna$) like knowledge and might. He creates the Universe, sustains it and likewise causes its dissolution. He is the refuge of all jivas and dispenses to them the objects desired by them whether it be dharma, artha (wealth), käma (desires), or moksha. He has a special and characteristic

INTRODUCTION

form (vigraha) of His own in *nityavibhūti*. His chief queen or consort is Lakshmi; Bhumi and Neela are also His consorts.

Even as light is opposed to darkness, Iswara is opposed to everything of the nature of change ($vik\bar{a}ra$) or of blemish (dosha). He is infinite in the sense that He is eternal in regard to time, omnipresent or all-pervasive in regard to space, and has all things and all beings as His *prakūras*, modes or attributes which are inseparable from Him. He is the inner self (*antaryāmī*) of all things and of all beings. Thus He is not limited from the point of view of time, space, or objects.

It may be asked: "If Iswara is within all things and all beings, would He not be affected by their faults or blemishes?" The answer is: "No. The individual self or $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ is not affected in any way by such changes as childhood, youth and old age which are the modifications undergone by the body. As in the case of the self of the individual, the inner self of all, namely Iswara, remains within, unaffected by the faults or blemishes of *chit* or *achit*.

Iswara is compact of happiness or bliss (*ananda*) and His essential nature is light or splendour that shines. His qualities like knowledge and might are eternal (*nitya*), innumerable and boundless. He has neither equals nor superiors.

Besides $Jn\bar{a}na$ or knowledge and might (*sakti*,). He has boundless compassion for $J\bar{i}vas$ and infinite love to them. He is easily accessible to everyone. He is ever ready to help mortals to cross over *samsāra* and attein *moksha*. He is Himself the $up\bar{a}ya$ for us to attain *moksha* when we are without any other $up\bar{a}ya$ or means for attaining it.

As has been already stated. He is the cause of all the world and this is not due to any karma of His, but His mere will. Creation, maintenance and destruction are of the nature of sport $(I\bar{n}l\bar{a})$ to Him; that is, they are not performed for the sake of any future gain but for the moment's pleasure, recreation or delectation like games played by kings. "Is destruction or dissolution of the world (samhāra) sport to Him?" it may be asked. The answer is: "That, too, is $\overline{H}\overline{a}$ for him", because in the state of samhāra or *pralaya*, the *jīva* is given repose and rest after the sins, troubles and tribulations of life. Iswara is also the material cause of the world ($up\overline{a}d\overline{a}na\ k\overline{a}rana$). It is He that evolves into the world of *chit* and *achit*. "Does it not mean that He undergoes chaoge? it may be asked. The answer is "No. It is *not* His essential nature ($svar\overline{a}pa$) that evolves into *chit* and *achit*, but His attributes or *prakāras*, *chit* and *achit*, which are inseparable from Him and which, during *pralaya* or dissolution, lie in so subtle a state that they may not be recognised as existing at all - it is these which evolve into the world."

It may be asked:— "How can the same object or person be both the material cause and the instrumental cause? In the case of a pot, mud is the material cause or $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na\ k\bar{a}rana$ and it is different from the instrumental cause such as the potter, his wheel and his stick." The answer is as follows:- "The same object may sometimes be both $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na\ k\bar{a}rana$ (the material cause) and the instrumental cause (*nimitta* k\bar{a}rana). Have we not seen the spider weaving a wonderful web out of the material or stuff constituting its body? Iswara, too, creates the universe with chit and achit, which are His body and which are inseparable from Him. Iswara remains without change in His svarūpa; it is only his prakūros, modes or attributes, chit and achit, that change.

To achit, Iswara is the cause of modification: to chit or sentient beings, it is He that gives the body and the senses, and that awards also moksha.

When we find some beings happy and others unhappy, we should not charge Iswara with partiality and cruelty in creating them differently. It is on account of their past karma that Iswara ' makes them different and this karma is anadi (beginningless). It has been stated before that Iswara has a unique form (or vigraha). By its nature and qualities, this form is supremely delightful; it is eternal, ever the same and pure, it is constituted of suddha sattvam. It is radiant and is of incomparable splendour. It is supremely beautiful and enchanting and is fit to be meditated on by Yogis. It is enjoyed by nityas and muktas in the region of eternal glory (nitya vibhūti). It is from this form that the incarnations appear. Iswara is the Universal Saviour and the refuge of all. He has ornaments, weapons, attendants and the like.

Iswara is, according to Pancharatra, in five forms. (1) Para or Para Vasudeva, the supreme Being (2) $Vy\overline{u}ha$ or emanations, such as are described in the Pancharatra $\overline{a}gamas$ (3) Vibhava incarnations. (4) antary $\overline{a}m\overline{i}$ or Harda (the inner self in the heart who controls all activities from within) and (5) arch \overline{a} or image. Para is the Supreme Being in Vaikanta or nitya vibhuti ever enjoyed by nityas and nuktas. The Pancharatra Agamas call Him Vasudeva. He is the same as Narayana, Vishna and Brahman. $Vy\overline{u}ha$ is the emanation from Para into the forms of Vyuba Vasudeva, Sankarahana, Pradyumna, and Aniruddha for the sake of the oreation, the maintenance and the destruction of the world and for conferring His grace on those who worship Him,

In Para the six[#] qualities such as Jnäna are full and perfect; each Vyuha other than Vasudeva exhibits has only two of those six qualities.

Vibhavas are many and they are of two kinds:— some are principal (mukhya) and others secondary (gauna). Incarnations are due only to the Lord's will and not to karma.

The forms of the principal incamations are not constituted of *prakriti* or matter. They retain the nature and qualities of the

^{*} The six qualities : Jaana (knowledge): alwarya (lord-ship or unimpeded activity independent of all others; sakii (ability or potency to become the material cause of the world; bala (strength, absence of fatigue sustaining power); wirya (virility-changelessness); and tejas (spiendout, might, the power to defeat others).

Supreme Being and are like new lamps lighted from an original one. They are fit for the meditation of those who aspire to muktr. Such are Rame, Krishna and the like.

The secondary incarnations are through such as are *Jivas* like Vyasa.

The object of an incarnation is to protect the righteons, to destroy the wicked and to establish *dharma*.

The Anataryāmī or Harda dwells within the heart of the *Nva*,* whatever he may be, in order to help him, in order to be meditated on by him and in order to redeem bim.

Archā is the Supreme Being residing within the images or other objects that are worshipped in houses or temples.

It was said above that *chit* and *achit* are the body of Iswara and that these evolve into the world of sentient beings and nonsentient things during creation. This doctrine that Iswara is the inner self of all beings and of all things and that they are His body is the principal feature of Sri Ramanuja's Visishtadvaitic thought. The relation that exists between *chit* and *achit* on the one side and Iswara on the other is that between the body and the self *sārīra sarīri bhāva*. The doctrine is based on a passage in the Antaryāmi Brāhmaņam of the Brihadāraŋyaka Upanishad, where Brahman is said to have everything in the Universe as its body and to control everything from within. The definition of body or *sarīra* given before should be remembered in this connection.

VISISHTADVAITA AND ADVAITA

Ramanuja's system of religious philosophy is called Visiehtadvaita or Visishia Advaita to dis inguish it from Sri Sankars. charya's Advaita. There are some passages or texts in the Upanisheds which state that Brahman alone exists and that there is no second to it. Besing his system on such texts, Sankara maintains that there is only a single reality or Real, namely, Brahman. The multitudinous objects and beings which we see around, above, and below us in the Universe are, according to him, unreal and illusory appearances like the mirage and like the silver which sometimes appears as an illusion on the shell. The world that exists around us consists of sentient beings, chit and nonsentient things, achit. Sankara holds that all these are illusory projections (mithya) on the only real entity which is Brahman. His system of philosophy is, therefore, called Advaita, the philosophy which treats of the one without a second. Bankara states that this Brahman has no attributes or qualities by which it can be described or defined and that we can only speak of it as mere consciousness (chit or Jnāna). It is not consciousness which is conscious of anything within it or outside of it, for there is nothing else within it or outside of it. It is the only Real and nothing more can be said of it except that it is the opposite of nonexistence, nonconsciousness and finiteness.

Ramanuja interprets these texts which declare the oneness of Brahman and its having no second in the Universe in a different way. According to him, Brahman is said to be the only Reality in the Srutis or Upanishads in the same way as a man who has a body and the senses in addition to his soul, or *ātmā* is said to be a single entity. In fact the passage referred to already in the *Brihadāraŋyaka Upanishad* called *Antaryāmi Brāhmaņa* declares, in unmistakable terms, that the Universe consisting of sentient beings, *jīvas* and *non-sentient things* (matter) are all the body of Brahman and that Brahman is their inner self or soul.

All sentient beings, be they gods, men, animals or plants, are the body of Brahman and, likewise, all inanimate things are its body. On the authority of such texts, Ramanuja explains the passage stating Brahman to he the only Reality as meaning that Brahman which has for its body the universe of sentient beings and nonsentient things (chit and achit) is the only Real. The body is an attribute or viseshana of the self. So the universe consisting of chit and achit which, according to the Statis, is the body of Brah. man, is a viscohana or attribute of Brahman. Unlike Sankara, Remannie holds that Brahman has an infinite number of anspicious qualities and finds many passages in the Upanishads to support bis view. So the Reality that the Scutis speak of is Brahman with its viseshanas or attributes and goalities (chit and achit and gunas). All these are as real as Brahman itself and being inseparable from their inner self or soul, they are included in Brahman when it is declared to be the only Real. Ramanuja's religious philosophy is therefore called Visishta Advaita or the philosophy that treats of the one Reality, namely Brahman, with all its inseparable attributes or viseshanas included within itself.

There is absolutely no difference between the views of the Northern School (Vadagelais) and those of the Southern School (Thengalais) in regard to what has been said so far shout the three *tattvas* or Reals. It is only about *Kevalas* or *Kaivalyam* that there is a slight difference of opinion. The Southen School consider Kevalas who delight in the enjoyment of their own disembodied self without attaining the bliss of Bhagavan as being also *muktas* who are of an inferior class residing in the outermost parts of Paramapada. The Northern School maintain that *mukti*, in the true sense of the word, means the attainment of that bliss and that *kaivalyam* is not *mukti* as it is without it. If, in some treatises of ancient *āchāryas*, *kevālas* are called *muktas*, it is only by way of courtesy, as they are in many respects superior to other *baddhas*. Kevalas have still to attain *mukti* since they have some remnants of sin or *karma* clinging to them, There is, however another point about which there is a divergence of views. What is the position and the essential nature (svariipa) of Lakshmi? Is she a jiva, atomic in nature like other jivas, though superior to all of them? If she were considered as being equal in status to Bhagavan, would it not be against the relationship of the wife to her lord and would it not also conflict with the saying that Bhagavan is 'destitute' of equals and superiors?

The Southern School hold that Lakshmi occupies a special and unique place of her own below that of Bhagavan. She is an or atomic and is a *jīva*. She acts as a mediator pleading to her Lord for mercy to the sinner (*purushakāra*). She is also the *seshī* along with Her Lord, both in *Leela vibhūti* and in *Nitya vibhūti*, and is entitled to the service of the *baddhas* in this world and to that of the *nityas* and the *muktas* in the world beyond vis. the region of eternal glory (*nitya vibhūti*). Bhagavan is, however, the sole $up\bar{a}ya$ or means for the attainment of *moksha* and Lakshmi has no part in this in the same way as she has no part in the creation, sustenance, and destruction of the world.

Vedantadesika, the greatest of the exponents of the Northern School, states that Laksbmi, the inseparable attribute of Bhagavan as described in the Bhagavat Sastra or Pancharatra is in every way the object of equal veneration and worship as Bhagavan and that our worship is always to the Lord and Lakshmi. Being inseparable from Him, She participates in all His activities except in the creation, maintenance and dissolution of the world. She is seshi to all of us, baddhas, muktas and nityas as much as the Lord Himself. She is not any or atomic but vibhu or all pervesive and omnipresent. She is not only the mediator (purushakāra) interceding and pleading for the pardon of the offences of Jivas but also the updya along with Her Lord for the attainment of mukti by the prapanna. Our service after the attainment of mukti extends to her as much as to Bhagavan. Whenever Bhagavan is mentioned, we should take it that Lakshmi also is intended to be understood. Our daivatam or Supreme Divinity is the Divine Couple, Bbagavan and Sri, and not Bhagavan alone,

PUBUSHARTHA OR THE GOAL OF LIFE.

It is true that men strive for varied ends or objects in the course of their lives, but the wise man who realises the misery implied in samsāra or the cycle of births and deaths understands that the only purushartha or goal that he should aspire to is moksha or liberation from samsūra. Moksha, according to Visishtadvaita, is not merely the deliverance of the *ātmā* from the bondage of karma and the cycle of births and deaths, but the positive attainment of the bliss of Bhagavan in Paramapada or Vaikanta. This alone is true moksha or sāyujyam, as it is otherwise called. In religious treatises, mention is often made of the attainment by some jivas of salokya, of sariipya and of sameepya. These are different from the true moksha or sāyujya, in as much as the happiness attained in those states is neither perfect nor eternal. Sälokya means the attainment of a world or loka which is one of Bhagavan's but is not Paramapada. Sarupya means the attainment of a form resembling the Lord's as the result of constant meditation on one of these forms, (say, Bana or Krishna). Sameebva is the attainment of a place near Paramapada but not Paramapada itself. So also mention is sometimes made of 'Vibhava lokas' and 'Vyülia lokas'. If a man constantly meditates on any of the important vibhavas or avatars he will attain the world of that vibhava from which he will afterwards have to attain Paramapada. So also with regard to the Vyuha loka. Sāyujya, which alone is moksha in the true sense of the word, consists in bhoga samyam, that is, the self stains, in that state, perfect happiness or bliss exactly like that of Bhagavan Himself. There may be and are differences between the activities permitted to the self in moksha and those that are Bhagavan's, but in regard to enjoyment or bliss, there is absolutely no difference • (paramam sāmyam upaiti). The love felt by the self to Bhagavan in moksha is so great that it overflowa into service or kainkarva to Him. Kainkarva or service to the Lord is also bliss In fact it is the crowning glory or bliss of mukti (Kainkarya sāmrajyam).

INTRODUCTION

UPAYA OR THE MEANS OF ATTAINING THE ULTIMATE GOAL OF LIFE.

How or by what means is the self to attain this bliss of *moksha*? In regard to this, there is a divergence between the views held by the Northern School and those held by the Southern.

The view held by the Northern School (Vadagalais) is stated in distinct and unmistakable words by Vedantadesika in his Rahasyatrayasära. There are only two ways in which or upayas by which the self can get rid of its past karma and attain moksha. They are bhakti or bhaktiyoga, as it is called, and propatti, the surrender of the self to the Lord whom it seeks as the only refoge. Prapatti may be adopted as a preliminary way of approaching the Lord for the performance or adoption of bhakti or as a direct means of attaining moksha. In the former case, prapatti or saranāgati is said to be an anga or accessory to bhakti. Or it may be adopted as the primary and direct means or upaya for attaining moksha, when it becomes angi. So prapatti may be anga prapatti or angi prapatti. These terms will be explained Bhakti and prapatti are the only two means for presently. securing the grace of Bhagavan, who, being pleased thereby, grants moksha. Bhakti is otherwise culled Upasana or dhyana. There are some thirty-two vidyas* or forms of upasana explained or indicated in the Upanishads. Constant meditation of Brahman and His attributes or qualities accompanied by the proper performance of the rites and duties prescribed in the stastras, such as nitya and naimittika for one's varna (caste) and one's āsrama (stage of life), is the way or upaya called bhakti. The meditation has to be practised daily as long as life lasts. Ultimately it should stiain the stage almost of actual visual perception of Brahman. To ordinary men, this upaya is difficult, but if it is adopted in the folness of its perfection, all past karma which stands as an obstacle

Brahma Vidyas like daharavidya, sadvidya, bhumavidya, sandilyavidya and antaradityavidya.

to the attainment of Brahman is destroyed except that part of it which has already begun to yeild its consequences (prārabdha) and when this too is brought to its end by the enjoyment or experience (anubhava) of its effects, either in this one life or in one or more future lives, the self attains moksha. Thus there is likelihood of delay in the attainment of moksha to the man who follows the way of bhakti. Bhakti is sometimes loosely employed to include bowing before archā, uttering the holy names of Bhagavan, singing His praise and such other acts of devotion and love. Service to Bhagavan in temples and the like is also sometimes called bhakti. This service also extends to the devotees of Bhagvan who are dear to him. These acts tend to promote bhakti but do not form bhakti-yoga.

Bhakti yoga results from seven auxiliary states of mind: (1) viveka which arises from bodily purity resulting from the avoidance of impure food (2) vimoka (freedom from desires) (3) abhyāsa (frequent meditation of the auspicious object) (subhüsraya) (4) kriyā the performance of such rites as the five kinds of yagna in accordance with one's ability, (5) kalyānāni (kindness to all beings: being one in thought word and deed, compassion, freedom from covetousness, refraining from injury to others by thought, word or deed) (6) anavasäda (freedom from depression) and (7) anuddharsha (freedom from elation or uodue exaltation of spirit).

Bhakti aided by these virtues results in something resembling visual perception of Bhagavan and is the cause of the last thought being turned to Bhagavan. It has three stages of development called by Sri Ramanuja: para bhakti, parajnana and parama bhakti.

When karma is said to be destroyed by bhakti or prapatti, what is really meant is that Bhagavan, being pleased with the man's devotion or self-surrender, gives up the thought of punishing him for his size or karma. Prapatti is also called nyasa, nyasa vidyā, nikshepa, bharanyüsa, saranagati, and bhara-samarpanam. It consists primarily in seeking Bhagavan as the sole refuge for one's salvation and surrendering one's self to Him for salvation.

It has five angas or accessories which are mental attitudes :-(1) the will or determination to do what is agreeable to the Lord, (2) the avoidance of whatever is displeasing to Him, (3) mahaviswidsa or intense faith that the Lord can and will be one's Saviour, (4) Helplessness or being without the knowledge or capacity to adopt any means for saving oneself and (5) prayer to ^{the} Lord that He should extend his protection.

Nyāsa has to be performed only once under the guidance of the acharya and it is capable of securing moksha at the end of this very life. Bhakti, it was said before, destroys all past harma except that which has already begin to operate (prarabdha). Prepatti, on the other hand, is capable of destroying even $pr\bar{u}$ rabdha karma. The central idea in prapatti is the thought of the man that he is incapable of performing the rites and duties prescribed in the stastras for the expiation of his sins and for the attainment of moksha and that, therefore the Lord should, Himself, be the $up \bar{a} y a$ or means for the explation of his sine and the attainment of moksha. Pleased with his surrender, the Lord, out of his natural compassion, pardons all the man's sins and short-comings and grants the man the goal desired by Him, viz. mokshe. In the Rahasyatrayasära, bhakti or upäsaua or dhyäna and prapatti are called sādhyopāya or upāya or means which the man can adopt following the guidance of the sastras and the acharyas. Bhagavan is called siddhof aya, the upaya that is already present ever ready to save.

One of the finest chapters in the Rahasyatrayasāra is that called the Uttarakrityādhikāra; it prescribes the kind of life that a man should lead after the performance of prapatti. Bodily purity, freedom from evil throughts, the performance of nitys and maimittiks rites without any desire for the fruits or consequences, goodwill to all, even to enemies who hate and revile, service to God and the devotees of God, contentment with whatever one has or whatever comes in one's way without any effort for attaining it these are some of the virtues that the *prapanna* should cultivate. His service to God and His devotees is prompted by the mere love of it and is not due to the expectation of any reward. When one has performed *prapatti*, one gives up all thoughts concerning oneself, even the thought of attaining *moksha*, for the Lord has taken on Himself all this burden or *bhara*.

It may be asked : " It was said before that one of the angas of prapatti is the will or determination at the time of its perfor. mance to do whatever is pleasing to God and the avoidance of what is displeasing to Him. Human nature being what it is, it is just possible that the prapanna commits offences against the Lord, either unawares or even deliberately. If he does so, how can it be said that the performance of prapatti is sure to bring him moksha wt the end of this very life?" Vedantadesiks answers this question as follows :--- "In matters which cannot be understood by human reasoning, and in which the sästras are the only authority, we have to accept with faith what is stated in them, just as they are stated there. Prapatti is said in the scastras to have the potency of conferring maksha at the end of this life. The man who has performed prapatti is not likely to commit sins deliberately. If he commits them unawares, they will be ignored by the Lord as they were not deliberate. If he has, at any time, owing to the weakness of human nature, committed a deliberate offence, he should offer expisiion (prayaschitta) for it and thus obtain pardon and the prayarchitta for such offences is another prapatti. If, however, being hard of heart, he does not choose to offer this expistion, the Lord will punish him in this very life, before his death, in some way or other for the sin committed by him. He may become blind or lame or meet with the loss of friends or relatives or become subject social obloguy. There are hundreds of other forms which the punishment might take. It is certain,

however, that the prapanna will have moksha at the end of this very life as desired by him.

Among the propannas, there are two classes driptas and \bar{a} rtas. Driptas are those that can bear the sufferings of this life until death overtakes them, secure in the consciousness that the Saviour will confer moksha thereafter. Artas are those who, while performing prapatti, beg to be relieved of this life at once, as they cannot endure separation from Bhagavan even for an instant. They, too, will have their prayer fulfilled.

Competence for the performance of *prapatti* consists, as bas been already indicated in want of full knowledge of the $up\bar{a}ya$ (bhaktiyoga) described in the s $\bar{a}stras$ or in the incapacity to adopt it. Even if a man has the knowledge and the capacity, if he cannot endure the delay in the attainment of moksha which is likely in bhakti as an upaya, he is competent to adopt *prapatti*. It has to be added that $up\bar{a}sana$ or bhakti is open only to men belonging to the three higher castes; *prapatti*, on the other hand, is open to all castes and to both sexes.

The performance of *prapatti* is a rite to be gone through with the prescribed mantras or mysteries. There are two forms in which the rite is usually performed today. One is the rite in which he disciple is made to repeat the mantra of *prapatti* as diotated by the *Achārya*. This is uktinishtā in the other the disciple does not repeat that mantra but the *āchārya* performs it for him. This is *āchāryanishtā*.

The reader may now ask: "In this scheme of updyas for the attainment of moksha, if bhakti and prapatti alone are stated to be the updyas, what is the place of karma yoga and jnānā yoga which are described at considerable length in the Bhagavad Oita? Do they in any way contribute to the attainment of moksha?" The answer is as follows :- Karma yoga means the performance, by one who has understood the truth concerning the essential nature of the jiva and that of the Supreme Being, of such karmas

or rites and duties as are prescribed in the sastras for one's varna and one's asrama under the heads of nitya (compulsory and regularly recurring rites) naimittika (compulsory but occasional rites due to particular occasions) and kamya, those which are capable of yielding certain fruits but which are not forbidden. These rites and duties should be performed without any desire for the consequences or fruits which they might be capable of yielding and in accordance with one's ability. Further any one of the following should be treated as most important, adoration of the Gods, susterities (tapas), pilgrimages to holy places, gifts of charity and yagnas or sacrifices. If duly performed, they tend to remove the sins of the Jiva and help to promote jnāna yoga and through it to oreate bhakti. They may also directly promote bhakti. Jnana yoga is capable of beloing the jiva to realise his self as detached or separated from the body. It leads directly to bhakti. Other means such as the proise of the Lord and the atterance of Hie holy names are belpful in promoting bhakti.

It should be clearly understood that bhakti and prapatti are only particular forms of jnāna or knowledge. So the saying Jnānāt muktih (mukti is the result of jnāna,) applies to bhakti and prapatti.

The devotee may be either an Ekāntin or a Paramaikāntin. He is called Ekāntin who prays to Bhagavan for moksha as well as for the good things of this life but worships no other deity. He is a Paramaikāntin who, while praying only to Bhagavan, does not pray for anything other than bhakti and jnāna and their fruit moksha.

From what has been said before, it would be clear that bhakti, as an $ap\bar{a}ya$, requires certain rites and duties as aids or accessories (angas) and that, without them, it would be incomplete. Prapatti, on the other hand, does not require the performance of any such rites and duties. It is complete in itself. It has been described as resembling the Brahma missile (Brahmāstra) whose potency would disappear if it were accompanied by the use of any other weapon or missile. The question would arise, "Why should the *prapanna* perform the karmas or rites like nitya and naimittika, if they serve no purpose in promoting the efficacy of *prapatti*?" Vedantadesika admits the potency of *prapatti* to secure moksha without the supplementary aid of other rites and duties, but insists that the *prapanna*, too, like the *bhakta*, should continue to perform these karmas or rites as they are God's ordinances or commands revealed through the Srutis and Smritis and as their nonperformance would be a violation of divine injunctions and therefore disobedience to God. By their neglect the *jiva* would incur punishment from Bhagavan.

So far we have described at some length Sri Vedantadesikar's teaching on bhakti and prapatti as upāyas for the attainment of moksha with reference to the competency for each of them, the fruit arising from each and the differences between them. It is well known that in the Rahasyatrayasāra, several chapters (notably 23, 24, 25, 26 and also 29) deal with controversial matter, For a clear understanding of the discussion contained in those chapters, it is necessary to have a knowledge of what the āchāryas of the Southern School, especially Sri Lokaoharya, have said in such treatises as Sri Vachanabhooshaņam and Mumukshuppadi about bhakti and prapatti.

1. According to Sri Lokacharya, bhakti, though described as an upaya in the Upanishads, is really against the essential nature of the *jīva* (svarūpa viruddha)) The *jīva* is the body of Iswara. He is absolutely dependent on Iswara for all that he is and does and is also His sesha or dāsa who has no will and no capacity for action which he can call his own.

He is not referred to by his name in Rahasyatrayasara.

MUMUKSHUPPADI: Tirumantraprakaranam Sutra; 21, Commentary of Manavalamamuni, Pago 81, Tirukkacchi Nambidasar's Edition, Presidency Press, Madras. Sri Lokacharya argues :- " If this is so, to adopt bhakti as an upāya would mean that the *jīva* trusts to his own endeavours and efforts for his salvation. How can a creature who has no will of his own think of depending on himself, when every thought and every movement of his are directed and controlled by Iswara?" Therefore *prapatti* alone is the means of salvation for one whose svarūpa is being sesha to Iswara.

To this Vedantadesika replies :-- "It is true that the $j\bar{i}va$ is dependent on Iswara for all that he is. But Iswara has endowed him with intelligence and reason, so that, as a rational being, he may use the powers granted to him hy Iswara for rejecting evil and for choosing what is good. If the $j\bar{i}va$ is absolutely without any free will of his own, the $s\bar{a}stras$ which enjoin certain things to be done hy him on pain of God's displeasure would be meaningless. And the $s\bar{a}stras$ do prescribe at great length bhakti as an $up\bar{a}ya$. It would be unfair on the part of the sastras to prescribe something for the adoption of the $j\bar{i}va$ if it were against his essential nature.

2. Another point at issue between the two schools is the nature of *prapatti* or what is meaut by that word. Sri Lokucharya lays emphasis on the *jiva*'s being the hody of Iswara and on his being His *sesha* and also on the overwhelming compassion of the Lord towards the *jiva*. He argues from these that, like a man who would cleanse the dirt on his own body without being prayed to by that body, Iswara would, of His own accord, cleanse the impurities of the self which is his body without any prayer or effort on its part. So *prapatti* is, according to him, merely mental acquiescence, on the part of the *jiva*, in Iswara's undertaking the responsibility of his protection. What is required of the *prapamua* is refraining from rejecting the Lord's eagerness to save him (* *apratishedha*) or in other words, merercceptivity. The *jiva* does not remain passive like a clod (*achit*) but is mentally aware of the Lord's mercy, of His omnipotence and of his grace which requires

Srivachanabhooshanam: Prathamaprakaranam: Sutra 60, Page 73 (Ananda Press Edition.)

INTRODUCTION

xxxiii

no cause here or effort on the jiva's part. In fact, considering the enormity of man's offences, how insignificant and worthless would any endeavour of his be! So the Lord's grace redeems man from samsāra, for He is bound to protect what is His own. Besides, He is so compassionate and his love to man so overpowering that, like the cow which licks the slime on the body of the new-born calf. He would consider even the faults, offences, and shortcomings of the self as agreeable (* bhogya). To a lover, the dirt on the person of his beloved is fat from being hateful.

Sri Vedantadesika replies :— "The sāstras lay down rules and regulations for the conduct of man. They teach what is *dharma* and what is *adharma* and state that offences against the Lord would meet with punishment unless explated." It is gross exaggeration to say that offences could ever be agreeable to God.

When a man feels that he is ignorant of what he should do for following the path of *bhakti* or is unable to adopt it owing to his weakness or inability, he seeks refuge under God, begs for His pardon and protection and surrenders his self to God to be saved hy His mercy. Then God stands in the place of expisitions which he is unable to perform and in the place of bhakti as the upaya for his moksha. Here prapatti is not mere passive acquiescence or receptivity but an active mental process of seeking the Lord's refuge and praying to Him for succour. So prapatti is a rite or dharma which has to be adopted with the help of an āchārya. It is true that God's mercy is houndless (miravadhi), but unless man who is a being endowed with intelligence, does something to deserve the Lord's grace, it will not come down on Him of its own accord So some endeavour or effort, however insignificant it inight be, some gesture at least (a $v_{j}\overline{a}_{j}a$) is required before the Lord would undertake the bhara or responsibility of protecting Further if the Lord's grace were to come to man's succour him. without any effort on his part, it might he asked whether it would

 Mumukshuppadi, Charamaslokaprakaranam Sutra 27 Page 123 Timkkschinambidasar's Edition. come to all without exception or only to those whom it chose. In the former case, it would mean that there is salvation for all beings and all at once (sarva mukti). In the latter, the grace of God would seem partial to some and indifferent to others. So a $vy\bar{a}ja$ or gesture is necessary on man's part to become worthy of the Lord's grace and this $vy\bar{a}ja$ is the performance of *prapatti*.

8. Another point at dispute is the question whether the verformance of nitya and naimittika karmas is at all necessary for the propanna, since propatti is admitted by all to be capable of yielding moksha without any such aid. Sri Loka. charya states in his Mumukshuppadi - that it is no offence at all for the prapanna to give up the performance of these rites and duties. But, he adds, almost immediately after making this statement, that the prapanna, however, performs them for fear of public opinion and out of compassion to those who are ignorant, lest they too should give up their performance by following his example and thus bring ruin on themselves t (lokasangraha). Since they are performed by the prapanna ont of love for his fellow-human beings who are not prapannas and without looking upon them as upayas, the Lord would feel delight. ed at their performance, which would, therefore, be of the nature of kainkarya or service to the Lord.

Sri Vedantadesika agrees in thinking that *prapatti* does not require any complementary or supplementary rites and would yield its fruit irrespective of their performance or non-performance. But the performance of *nitya* and *naimittika* rites is ordained by God as a command and its transgression would bring the consequent punishment. So these rites have to be performed by the prapanna, not for *lokasangraha* or as *kainkarya*, but to avoid punishment due to disobedience of God's injunctions.

Sutre 8 Cheramaalokaprakaranam : Page 151 of Tirukkacchinambidasar's Edition.

¹ Sutra 85 - Page 151-

4. Sri Lokacharya enjoins great reverence for the propanna, whatever may be his caste and considers it one of the greatest of offences to treat him with indifference, disregard, ill-will or comtempt on the ground of his caste. Though he does not state that inter-dining and inter-marrying are proper among prapannas, his eulogy of the prapanna might lead people to think that these acts' were permitted.

Sri Vedantadesika also speaks in high terms of the *prapanna*'s devotion and of his being fit for *moksha*, whatever his casta. But he states that the rules concerning inter-dining and inter-marrying are based on the differences of the bodily equipment of the *jiva* and that these rules should he observed as long as the body lasts. There will, of course, he no difference in the attainment of *moksha* and there will be no such things as caste in *Paramapada*, but as long as the body lasts, the *prapanna*, too, however great his devotion to God and however pure his life, has to follow the rules and regulations of caste in social life. "The temple cow is certainly more worthy than other cows inasmnch as its milk, butter and the like are used in the service of God, but on that account, it does not cease to be a cow".

5. In addition to prapatti, the *āchāryas* of the Southern School consider that the love and goodwill of the *āchārya* to his disciple who has won them by devout service to him can, of themselves, secure moksha for the disciple even without any prapatti of his. It is true that Jnāna is the means to moksha. In this case the *āchārya's jnāna* secures it for his sishya.

The controversy concerning the performance of nitya and naimittika by the prapanna had its origin in the interpretation of the Charamasloka † of the Bhagavad Gita. There it is said

[•] Sri Vachanabhushanam: Chaturthanrakaranam: Sutras 461 & 462 Pages 360---367; Ananda Press, Madras (1908).

[†] Note:— "Having given up all rites and duties, seek no slone as refuga. Do not grieve, for I will release thes from all sins." Chapt XVIII—Sloke 66.

"Sarva dharmān parityajya māmekam saraņam vraja" [Having given up all dharmas (rites and duties) seek me sa your refuge] What is the meaning of "Having given up all rites and duties?" Sri Lokacharya argues, "When it is said snātvā bhunjeetha ("Having bathed, one should eat") it means "first bathe and then eat". So also "having given up" means: "First give up the performance of these rites and then seek me as the only dharma".

Sri Vedantadesika, on the other hand, interprets the words thus: "When it is said, "Having come into this world of suffering, it is your duty to seek some means of deliverance from it," having come does not mean "first come into this world and then seek deliverance". It means "since you have already come into this world of suffering". So also in the Charamasiloka, the meaning is, "Since you find yourself unable to perform the rites and duties enjoined in the srāstras for the forgiveness of your sins and the attainment of moksha, seek me as your refuge". The man is not asked to give them up as a condition for seeking God's protection, since he has already given them up owing to inability. Besides the performance of ordained karma is insisted on again and again by the Gitacharya. So it is only in the event of inability that saranägati is prescribed.

It is because *prapatti* is clearly defined in it with its accessories and with its potency in full detail and in accordance with what is laid down in the *Pāncharātra Āgamas* that *Rahasyatrayasüra* has been called *Prapatti Sāstra*.

Rahasyatrayasüra is thus a handbook of Visishtadvaitic Vaishnavism as understood by the Vadagalai Acharyas. It is in four parts: the first part comprises chapters 1 to 22 and gives a detailed exposition of the doctrines of Sri Ramanuja's system or Visishtadvaitic Vaishnavism, in accordance with the traditions of the Northern School and with detailed instructions about bhakti and prapatti as upity as for the attainment of the supreme goal of man; the second part (chapters 28 to 26) discusses a number of disputed points concerning bhakti and prapatti and states the

conclusions arrived at by the Northern School. The third part (Chapters 27 to 29) is devoted to an interpretation of the words and the sentences contained in the three great rahasyas" or mantras which are mysteries into which the disciple is initiated by the acharya. The last three chapters 30 to 32 are on the duties of acharyas and sishyas and a peroration. Sri Vedantadesika shows that all the vital tenets of Ramanuja's system are contained. as it were, in an epitome in these mantras or mysteries. Just like the world of matter, the jiva is sesha to the Lord and exists only for the fulfilment of His purposes. Since the jiva is besides a chetana endowed with intelligence, his being sesha means that he is the servant of God. His service in this world extends also to the devotees of God. The ultimate goal of life is moksha which has, for its crowning glory, the service of Bhagavan and His consort. Man does not exist for himself and the sooner he gives up thoughts of 'I' and 'mine', the better will it be for him. The moolamantra states these truths briefly. The easiest and best way of obtaining God's mercy or grace and moksha which results from it is saranagati or self-surrender and this is propatti which is done with the mantra called Dvaya. The charamastoka explains how Bhagavan accepts the responsibility of redeeming the jiva when He is propitiated by propatti and when the five is unable to edopt other upayas.

Sri Vedantadesika wrote this treatise in Tamil with a free and generous admixture of Sanskrit words and phrases, which has been called *manipravalani*, for the benefit of those whose knowledge of Sanskrit is very limited. The book is, by no means, easy to understand, for the author is not satisfied with giving only a brief and broad outline of Ramannja's system. He aims at an

[•] The Three Mantras:--- (1) Moolamantra or Ashtakshara "Namo Narayanaya with the pranavam or the syllable awa preceding it. (2) Dvaya "Sriman Narayana charanau saranam propadye, Srimate Narayanaya Namah" and (3) The Charama sloka of the Bhagaved Oita slready referred to :---

[&]quot;Sarva dharman patityajya mamekam saranam vraja-Aham tva sarva papebhyo mokabayishyami ma suchah.

exposition of it in all its details and with all the references and authorities (pramanas) for what he says, so that it may be a manual of Visishtadvaitic Vaishnavism, complete and comprehensive. When he quotes pramanas in support of his statements, interpretations or contentions. Vedantadesika often gives only the first one or two words of the slokas or prose passages and of the Tamil verses of the Alwars, presuming that these texts must be familiar to the reader and need not therefore be quoted in full, At the present day, many readers are likely to be ignorant of them and cannot understand the line of reasoning adopted by the author owing to this ignorance. It is therefore, rightly the practice everywhere to study Rahasyatrayasāra under a guru. Besides these passages quoted as pramanas, the explanation of the meanings of the words and sentences io the three great mantras requires, for a clear understanding, at least an elementary knowledge of Sanskrit grammar. Further the author olinches conclusions arrived at after a discussion in a number of Sanskrit slokas of his own which he does not reoder into Tamil. The gist of each chapter is also given in Tamil verses and in Sankrit slokas, both at the beginning and at the end. These, too, add to the difficulty of understanding the text by oneself.

In this English translation, an attempt is made to minimise these difficulties. The *pramänas* referred to only by their first words are translated and given in full. The author's own slokas are also rendered in English. Whenever it is felt necessary, explanatory words, phrases or sentences are added within brackets to make the author's meaning clear. Occasionally longer explanations are given as footnotes. The author's interpretation of the meaning of the three mantras has been made as lucid as possible, though, as has been already said, a full and perfect understanding would be possible only to those who have a knowledge of Sanskrit grammar, so far at least as declensions of nonns and prononns are concerned. It is carnestly boped that this English translation will be of help to those who have only a meagre knowledge of Sanskrit, when they study the original under their guru. It now remains for me to conclude this introduction with a grateful acknowledgement of the generous help which I have received in connection with this translation. I should not have taken up the adventure but for the suggestion and kind encouragement given by Sri Uhbaya Ve-Agnihotram Ramanuja Thathachariar Swami. His approval of the rendering of some of the earlier chapters was heartening and, after the completion of the work, he took upon himself the entire responsibility of getting it printed and published.

My grateful thanks are due to Sri K S. Patrachariar, M. A., whose eminence as a scholar in Sanskrit and in English has been the admiration of all his friends and acquaintances. He read through the manuscript of the introduction with great patience and made a number of valuable suggestions for its improvement. I should like to express my respectful gratitude also to my guru, Sri Ubhaya Ve-Sinnamu Srinivasa Patrachariar Swami of Kumbakonam, under whom I studied Srī Bhāshya and Srīmad Rahasyatrayasāra. He was kind enough to hear me translate the introduction orally into Tamil and to correct several errors that had crept in.

I consider myself as having been lucky in having sought the assistance of my esteemed friend, Sri G. K. Rangaswami Ayyangar, M. A., hefore giving the manuscript to the press. As a devout admirer of Sri Vedantadesika, he gave me unstinted and enthusiactio co-operation in making the translation as free from errors and defects as possible. Having studied the original under the late Chetlur Mahamahopadhyaye Narasimhachariar Swami, he has a good mastery of the subject and by close scrutiny and unsparing criticism, he drew my attention to inaccuracies in the rendering and to the omissions of even single words left untranslated by oversight. He assisted me also in the laborious task of reading the proofs. It would be presomptuous on my part to think of thanking bim for all that he has done.

BOOKS CONSULTED

Sri Bhashya: Rahasyatrayasara: Sri Lokacharya's Tattvatraya. Sri Vachanahbooshana, and Mumukshuppadi with the commentaries of Sri Manavalamamuni: Yateendramata deepika (of Srinivasadasa): P. Otto Schroder's Introduction to Päncharätra and the Ahirbudbuya Sambita: Ahirbudhuya Samhita: Sri 'T. Rajagopalachariar's Vaishoavite Reformars of South India'' (G. A. Natesan and Co). The commentaries of Chetlur Narasimhachariar Swami and Saraswadini.

CONTENTS.

INTRODUCTION

A brief account of the succession of *Āchāryas*. The *Āchārya* essential for moksha. Bhagavan the first *Āchārya* - The succession of *Āchāryas* in SriVaishnavism. Bhakti to *Āchārya* essential. I to J0

CHAPTER I. Introductory :--

The heritage of the Jivātmā and how he lost it - The parable of the prince brought up by huntsmen - The applicability of the parable to the Jiva - His rescue by the Guru. 11 to 17

CHAPTER II. The Determination of what is best :--

The three Mantras - Their great value - The only Pramäna in regard to moksha and to the means of attain. ing it is Sästra. The importance of the three Mantras. 18 to 21

CHAPTER III. The most important and distinctive doctrine that is unique to Visishtädvaita :---

What is a starira and what is a Sariri? Adhāra and Niyantā - Iswara's support by His svarūpa and by His will (sankalpa) - The consequences of this knowledge - The meaning of stesha-steshī bhāva - The presence of these ideas in the three mantras - Seshatva means dāsatva in regard to Chetanas. 22 to 81

CHAFTER IV. The five things that every seeker after salvation shauld know :--

Some speak of five things and some of six but there is no real difference between them - (1) The object of attainment is Bhagavan who is ever associated with Sri. Bhagavan has innumerable auspicious qualities - He has a divine and auspicious form (vigraha); (2) The nature of the individual self; (3) The means of attainment; (4) The nature of moksha; (5) The bindrances to attainment and how to get rid of the bindrances. 32 to 47

Pages.

Pages.

CHAPTER V. The three 'Reals' or Tativas ;---

Classification of tattvas or 'reals' and its use - The Jiva or experiencing subject. The nature of the Jiva (baddhas, muktas and nityas) - dharma bhūtajnāna the three kinds of non-sentient things (sūddhasattvam; prakriti; its essential nature and activity - Kāla or Time) Īswara :- His svarūpa and qualities - His rūpas or forms; (1) Para; (2) Vyūhas; (3) Vibhavas; (4) Archā; and (5) Hārda - The nature of the Avatārs - Lakshmi; Her status and role - Other classifications of the tattvas. 48 to 71

CHAPTER VI. The truth concerning the Supreme Deity:

Why should we determine this? Brahma, Rudra and other gods are created beinge. They are the servants of Bhagavan and Bhagavan's $prak\bar{a}ras$ or modes. The aspirant to mukti should worship only Bhagavan. The boons granted by other deities are dependent on the grace of Bhagavan. 72 to 87

CHAPTER VII. The Aspiration for mukti :--

The study of the differences among the three Reals leads to an aversion from the pleasures of this world and of swarga - The study of the meaning of *Tirumantra* roots out the notions of 'I' and 'mine' in regard to our possessions, our body, our actions and their results. From these arises the desire for *mukti*. 88 to 98

CHAPTER VIII. The classification of qualified persons:

The Bhakta and the Propanna, ukti nishfā and Achārya nishfā modes of prapatti; residence in holy places and the like only aids to the above; difference between Bhakta and Prapanna; but the final fruit vis., moksha the same for both bhakta and prapanna. 94 to 102

Pages.

CHAPTER IX. The classification of the means or updya for attainning mukti.

Upäya and upeya; Bhagavan is both upäya and upeya; karma yoga, jnäna yoga and bhakii yoga; bhakti and prapatti; the difference between them. 108 to 110

CHAPTER X. The fitness for Propatti :-

The meaning of competency or adhikāra; ākinchanya and ananyagatitva; their need and the pramānas in support - Prapatti open to all. 111 to 114

CHAPTER XI. The classification of accessories or añgas: —

The añgas or accessories of prapatti, ünukülya sankalpa and prātikülyavarjana, kärpaņyam, mahāviswäsa, goptritva varaņam; illustrations of the presence of these five angas in well-known acts of staraņāgati; the presence of these angas and the angi in Dvaya; the five doubts concerning the efficacy of prapatti and their clarification. 115 (o 126)

CHAPTER XII. The Performance of Prapatti with its angas:-

The nature of the angī (prapatti); the manner of performing bharanyāsa; Iswara the principal beneficiary, the true meaning of Alavandar's slokas (52 and 53) in connection with bharanyāsa; the presence of the idea of bharasamarpanam in Dvaya; prapatti a momentary act; a brief statement of the nature of bhara'samarpanam; Nadathur Ammal's summary of the meaning of prapatti; prapatti with its angas to be performed only once. 127 to 136

xLiv SRIMAD BAHASYATBAYASABA

Pages.

CHAPTER XIII. The man who has done what ought to be done :--

The propanna as one who has done what he ought to do and has won his goal; illustration from Sri Ramanuja's Saranägatigadya; the marks of the man who has done what he ought to do. 196 to 140

CHAPTER XIV. The marks or signs by which one can recognise that one is in the proper state or nishtā :-

Signs of steadfastness in regard to (1) tatton, (2) hita or $up\overline{a}ya$ and (3) purushärtha or goal of attainment; the consequence of the knowledge of this steadfastness. 141 to 146

CHAPTER XV. The manner in which one should conduct oneself after prapatti :---

Reflection on Bhagavan and His attributes; the companionship of those who are solely and exclusively devoted to Bhagavan; consciousness of one's helplessness; service to archā; gratefulness to Bhagavan; what ought to be done and what ought not to be done with the mind, the speech and the body. 147 to 159

CHAPTER XVI. The farthest extent of our ultimate object in life :--

Reasons for rendering service to Bhagavates; God's appreciation of the service rendered to Bhagavatas; Bhagavatas are seshas to one another; the consequences arising from service to Bhagavatas. 160 to 167.

CHAPTER XVII, The Ordinances imposed by the Sästras:

Sūstra the true guide of the prapanna in this life; Sri Ramanuja's advice to the prapanna; pitfalls in the path of the prapanna; Sri Ramanuja's advice explained; the pramānas in support of the binding authority of the Sāstras; the place in the three mantras where the authority of the Sūstras is indicated. 168 to 175

Pages.

CHAPTER XVIII. Atonement for offences :--

The propanna not likely to offend; If offences occur, $pr\bar{a}yaschitta$ necessary; the propanna will never, in any case, go to the world of Yama; the view that even deliberate offences of the propanna will not have adverse results untenable: the nature of the propanna's prayaschitta; illustrations; the heinonsness of offences against Bhagavatas; necessity of securing their pardon. 176 to 189

CHAPTER XIX. The places suitable for the propanna's residence.

Places suited to the residence of the propanna; the places where Bhagavatas dwell most suitable; the holy places where Bhagavan is worshipped in temples specially suitable. 190 to 195

CHAPTER XX. The departure of the self from the body :

The delay in the attainment of the goal; the time of death of no consequence; the process of the self leaving the body; the path of the journey after departure; the last remembrance. 196 to 207

CHAPTER XXI. The Prescribed Route:

The route called Archiradi and the $\tilde{A}tiv\bar{a}hikas$ who escort the soul; entertainment on the way; the study of other routes not necessary; the meditation on the route how useful to the *prapauna*. 208 to 214

CHAPTER XXII. The full and perfect enjoyment of the bliss of Brahman :-

The nature of the bliss of Brahman; full and perfect enjoyment of Bhagavan overflows into kainkarya or service; no degrees or differences in the bliss enjoyed by muktas; refutation of the Advaitio doctrine of the identity of the self and Brahman; the mukta will never return to samsūra.

Sloka summarising Part I- Appendix on the Visakhastambha. 215 to 229

PART II.

Discussion and clarification of doubts and disputed points:

CHAPTER XXIII. The clarification of what is meant by the upäya that is already existent (Siddhopāya) 1---

Recapitolation of the contents of the First Part; three causes by which the Lord's grace is won; how infidelity arises and how it should be resisted. Doubts and their clarification (1) Is the adoption of the upāya necessary at all? (2) Is there any injunction or vidhi enjoining its performance? (3) The statement of some that prapatti is no upāya is only to stress the importance of siddhopāya; (4) Is prapatti inconsistent with one's relationship to the Seshi? (5) Lakshmi is as much upāya as the Lord. This does not mean two upāyas. 231 to 268

CHAPTER XXIV. The clarification of what is meant by Sādhyopāya or the upāya that has to be adopted :--

The need for the clarification ; competence for prapatti open to all (castes and both sexes); misconceptions about the nature or svarupa of prapatti (a) the knowledge that one is seshe to the Lord is not propatti in itself (h) refraining from one's own activity is not prapatti; the sastra has to enjoin prapatti; bhakti not inconsistent with one's svarupa; the objection that the Jiva being entirely dependent on the Lord cannot and should not adopt any $\mu \rho \bar{a} \gamma a$ not tenable; the objection that the rites of varna and *avrama* and *bhaktiyoga* are inconsistent with exclusive devotion to Bhagavan untenable; the objection that bhakts and prapatti are not adopted by sishtas; does prapatti require angas or accessories ? Why should intense faith be called an anga instead of mere faith ? causes of the decay of faith and the remedy therefor; the rites of nitva and naimittika are, on no account, to be given up by the propanna. 264 to 298

CONTENTS

CHAPTER XXV. The limits within which the updyas, bhakti and prapatti have potency :--

The greatness of the devotees of Bhagavan; does prapatti lead to the elevation of one's caste? sattva of the body and sattva of the mind; ekäntins and paramaikäntins; the rites of varna and äsrama are obligatory; the real meaning of "having given up all dharmas" in the charamasioka; the real purport of the sloka "pravrittincha nivrittincha"; the necessity for präyaschitta in the cases of deliberate offences committed by the prapanna. 299 to 329

CHAPTER XXVI. The vindication of the potency of prapatti.

Iswara's purpose in allowing the *prapanna* to suffer; even punishment may be a matter of favour: the potency of *prapatti*; no offence should ever be committed against Bhagavatas; residence in holy places efficacious only to those that do not bate the Lord. 330 to 844

PART III

The Interpretation of the meaning of the three rahasyas or mantras by a study of the grammatical construction of the words and sentences.

CHAPTER XXVII. The Moolamantra :--

Purport of the three mantras and their relation to one another; the primary importance of *Tirumantra*; it may be attered by all with suitable modifications; meaning of *pranava* and its potency; meaning of *a*, of *u* and of *m* in *pranava*; meaning of *namas* or *namo* in *Tirumantra*; the three meanings of *namas*; sthula, sukshma and *para*; the word Narayana in Tirumantra; its meaning when split in two ways; the meaning of Nara and the meaning of ayana; the purport of the fourth or dative case; the attributes of Iswara and qualities of the Jiva indicated therein; the ten ways of construing Tirumantra; the gist of the meanings of the Vyāpaka-mantras; the potency of Ashtākshara. 345 to 419

CHAPTER XXVIII. The Doaya,-

Why is the mantra called Dvaya? Saranāgatigadya a commentary on the Dvaya; detailed interpretation of Dvaya; the meaning of Srīman; the force of the suffix matup in it; the meaning of the word Nārāyaņa and the qualities disclosed in it; the meaning of charanau; the meaning of saranam and of prapadye; the second half of the mantra; the meaning of the word names; the purport of Dvaya. 420 to 464

CHAPTER XXIX. The Charamasloka :--

Introduction to charama-scloka; meaning of sarvadharman; meaning of partityajya; refutation of the view that the giving up of rites or dharmas is enjoined and of the view that what is enjoined is the giving up of the notion that they are $\mu \rho \bar{a} y a s$; the obligatoriness of the performance of the tites of varna and avrama'; bow akinchanya and Nairapekshya are to be understood in the charamasiloka; summary of the meanings of parityajya considered; the meaning of mam and of ekam; the refutation of the view that prapatti is no upāya; mām includes Lakshmi, the attribute; the meaning of saranam; the meaning of vraja; the proper interpretation of Alavandar's slokas in this connection; the meaning of aham and of two; the meaning of sarvapāpebhyo and of mokshayishyāmi; the manner of liberation from all sins; the meaning of masuchak; the reasons for giving up grief or despair; the purport of charamastoka.

Pagea.

PART IV

The Path of Spiritual Tradition.

CHAPTER XXX. The Duties of an Achirya :

To whom should the *Achārya* impart spiritual instruction? How should he do it? The nature of the instruction. 566 to 573

CHAPTER XXXI. The Duties of a Sishya :--

The service rendered by the *sishya* to the *Guru* is in no way a recompense; the duties of the *sishya*; the imparting of instruction should be preceded by meditation on the succession of *Gurus*. 574 to 580

CHAPTER XXXII. Conclusion :--

Topics treated in the book in the respective chapters; the good results that will follow from a study of this treatise.

The prononneistion of Sanskrit words printed in italics in the body of the translation of the text.

	-	Vowels Devanagari	Should be pronounced as the vowel or conso- pent sound in the English word
a	corresponds to	म	up
ā		भा	father hit
i ī		र हे उ	Bee See
		Ξ.	
14 14			թռ է too
n Fi		জ	
		南	сало
ai		н н н	fine
0		ओ	code
au		भी	crowd
		Consonants	-
k		%	kitten
<u>k</u> h		स्व	<u> </u>
8		ग	go
gh.		घ	
ท	_	ক্ত	thing
	r ch	च	chit
ch		5	
Ĵ		জ	join
jn t		朝	tin
		ž	
ţ d		ड 77	good
		र प	turn
ž.		्य	path
th		्य	
ð		न द	then
dħ		भ	
11		न	name
Þ		प	pit
ġ).		Ч.	
Ь		च	bet
bh		ম	—
8		হা	
sh		ব	shine
8		स	ain.
h		E.	He

SBIMAD RAHASYATBAYÁSABA

A BRIEF ACCOUNT

OF

THE SUCCESSION OF ACHARYAS

SANSKRIT SLOKA

(With due devotion) we repeat the expression of adoration to our gurus and likewise to their gurus; and among these (latter), we pray for the grace of the Primeval Couple (Narayana and Lakahmi), the twin sieshis' of all the worlds (so that they may be both the end to be attained by us and the means of attaining it).

TAMIL VERSE :

Having carefully studied the beautiful hymns sung with delight in Tamil hy seers, we have come to understand the real meaning of the regions of the Upanishads which are otherwise difficult to comprehend - seers known as Poygai Muni, Bhotamuni, Peyalvar, Kurugesan (Nammalvar) who was born on the banks of the cool Tamraparni, Vishnuchittan, the pure-minded Kulesekhara, our Pananathan, Thondaradippodi, the splendour that appeared in Mazbisai (Tirumazhisai alvar) and the prince of Mangai armed with sword and spear for making hright the path of the Vedas throughout the world.

TAMIL VERSE :

To those who venture to attain release from the bondage of sam. $s\bar{a}ra$, the ancient path indicated to us by the spotless Madhurakavi

^{*} A sesha is one who exists solely for the purposes of another. That other is seshi.

Note: (1) The commentator says that Vishnuchittan stands for Vishnuchittan and also for his daughter Andal, the authoress of many hymns.

by his own example is the only safe ² path, for while there is Sri Krishna, the great cowherd, who incarnated solely for the sake of his devotees to confer bliss on them, to be their refuge, to be their final goal, to stand in relation to them as mother, father, brother and so on, to change their desire for the pleasures of this world into a desire for Himself, to remove all their sins, to show them infinite compassion, to reveal the truth, and so also to bring about in them a likeness to Himself — while there is Sri Krishna ever ready to do all this, ³ he sought only the feet of the sage Satagopa (Nammälvär) who rendered in Tamil the truths contained in the Vedas which are otherwise hard to understand.

THE ACHARYA ESSENTIAL FOR MOKSHA:

It is said in the Smritis: "Kshatrabandhu^{*}, who was the worst of sincers, and *? Pundarika, the virtuous — both of them obtained moksha or release from bondage by virtue of their having $\ddot{u}ch\ddot{u}ryas$." It is thus declared that in the case of every one, the only means of securing moksha is to have an $\ddot{u}ch\ddot{u}rya$. To the man desirous of moksha, the line of $\bar{a}ch\ddot{a}ryas$ is stated in the Sruti³ to extend upward even to the Supreme Bhagavan for purposes of meditation.

Note (2) safe path: This means Bhakti and Prapatti which lead to moksha. Acharya bhakti is called bhakti and prapatti as it leads to them.

Note (3) Madhurakavi in his decade of Tamil verses, says that, to him, Nammalvar is the only God and that through him, he expects to derive all the benefits that men expect to have from God Himself. The path indicated by him is that of devotion to the Acharya for attaining muktl as well as other things.

• 1 Kshatrabandhu led the life of a highwayman in a forest. He was a source of terror to the sages who lived in that forest. Once when the sage Narada happened to pass by him, he rushed at him with his stick. Narada took pity on him and asked him to find out from his wife and children whether they would ahare the sin he was committing, as it was done for their sake. They refused and Kshatrabandhu realised his folly and begged to be instructed by Narada in the truths of religion.

• 2 Pundarika was a virtuous Brahmin, who, though he followed the tighteous path and went on a pilgrimage to holy places, did not get a vision of God. It was only after being initiated into the Ashtakshara by Narada that he realised God.

3 The sruti referred to here means :— "This line of the acharyas extends up to Bhagavan thus: This is his acharya; his acharya is so and so and so on up to the Lord".

BHAGAVAN THE FIRST ACHARYA:

The Supreme Lord is the foremost of all ückäryas, as may be seen from the following passages :-- "Him' (Sri Krishna) who is possessed of all excellences, who is the *āckārya*, father and guru", "Narayana² who is the guru of all the world is also my guru", "Thou³ art my kinsman, and Thou art my guru", and "Thou art⁴ the guru of all the worlds and likewise their goal". It is He that, at the beginning, vouchsafed the Vedas to Brahma and when they were stolen, brought them back to him and through him spread their knowledge in the world. It is He that blessed Brahma's sons, Sanatkumara and others, to understand ⁵ all truths by themselves and to follow the path of renunciation. Through them He revealed the means of attaining salvation. It is Bhagavan who, later by the agency of such great seers as Narada, Parasara, Suka and Sounaka, maintained intact the tradition of the Upanishads. It has been said "Know⁶ that Vyasa who is otherwise called Krishna Dvaipayana is the Lord Narayana Himself. Who else is there in the world, O Maitreya, that could compose the Mahābhārata?" It has also been said, "Devoutly 7 uttering the name of that great sage (Vysa), Bhishma, said with folded hands ". Into such great men as Vyasa referred to above the Lord entered and through them published to the world great works like Mahābhārata and Sārīraka Mimāmsa (Brahma Sūtras). Further in such incarnations as Hamsa, Matsya, Hayagriva, Nara, Narayana, and the Gitächärya (Sri Krishna), He himself stood out and revealed spiritual truths and also the means of attaining mokska (tatya and hita). He had his invaluable teaching confirmed also

- Vishnu Purana 5 : 1—14.
- 3 Mahabharata : Gandhari's words,
- 4 Alavandar's Stotram: 60.
- 5 Mahahharata : Santi Parva : 349-71.
- 6 Vishnu Purana : 3 : 4—5.
- 7 Mahabharata : Adi Parva ; 114-40,

3

i Mahabharata; Sabha Parva : 41-21, Acharya here means one who teaches Brahma Vidya and gara one who teaches the Vedas. This verse was spoken by Sahadeva to those assembled at the Rajasuya sacrifice.

through the words of such men of wisdom as Bhishma. It has been said ⁶ "Narayana Himself dictated the whole of the Pancharatra". When this $S\bar{a}sira$ which was originally taught by Him became lost, once again at the end of the Dvapara Yuga and the beginning of the Kali Yuga, He ? revealed it again through Sankarshana in accordance with the ordinances of the Satvatas so that all castes, Brahmins, Kshatriyas, Vaisyas, and Sudras, might, after initiation by the acharya with the prescribed marks (Sankha and Chakra), worship and render service to the lord in the performance of their daily duties with their minds set on the Eternal. As is said in the following verse, " In the yuga of Kali 10, the Lord Achyuta enters into the respective persons of men already horn and carries out His designs ", Narayana assumed a new series of ten incarnations in the forms of Parankusa (Nammalvar), Parakala (Tirumangai) and others. In these ten avatars, just as clouds take in the water of the ocean and then pour it down in the form of rain so essential to the life of every one, the Lord gathered together those parts of the Vedas which are most significant and revealed them briefly in a language (Tamil) which is accessible to every one.

When this path of spiritual life was threatened with obstruction by heretics, open and covert. He incarnated again in the land of Agastys in the forms of many teachers of the true doctrine. Has it not been said, "The god," Narayana, Himself, assumes a mortal form out of compassion and lifts up the world submerged (in the darkness of ignorance) with the sastras as His hand", and so also, "Our" Lord dressed in his yellow raiment came as the guru out of compassion to impart the teaching of the Veda". In reference to this, the Maharshi, Suka, says, "In the" yuga of Kali, devotees of Narayana endowed with spiritual greatness, will

- 9 Mahabharata : Bhishma Parva 66-39-40.
- 10 Vishnudharma : 108-50,
- 1) Jayakhya Samhita.
- 1 Ja Perialwar : Tirumozhi 5-2-8.
- 12 Bhagavatam XI. 5-38, 39.

⁸ Mahabharata: Santi Parva: 359-68.

be born here and there in large numbers in the land of the Dravidas, where flow the rivers, the Tamraparni, the Vaigai, the Palar, the holy Cauveri and the Mahanadi which runs westwards."

THE SUCCESSION OF VAISHNAVITE ACHARYAS.

Among these acharyas. Nathamuni was the son of Iswaramuni, and he gave us "Nyaya Tatvam" and "Yoga Rahasyam." Since Nathamuni received the sacred tradition from one of the spiritual descendants of Madhurakavi, and was blessed with spiritual wisdom from a study of Tiruvoymozhi and since, in his yogic contemplation. Nammalyar appeared to bim and taught bim the sacred lore, his āchārya was Nammalvar bimself (though they lived at different times). Nathamuni's son was Iswara To Iswara Bhatta was born Alavandar (Yamunacharya). Bhatta The works of Alavandar are the following eight :- " Agama Prämänyam", "Purusha Nirhaya", the three Siddhis, namely, "Ātma Siddhi", "Iswara Siddhi", "Samvit Siddhi", "Srī Gitartha Sañgraha", "Stotram" and "The Tetrad of Slokas." Sottai Nambi was the son of Alavandar. Sottai Nambi's son was Ennachchan. Ennachchan had four sons, one of whom was Pillayappar. Pillayappar's son was Thozhappar. Thozhappar had two daughters. The chief disciples who sought the feet of Nathamuni were eight :--- Uyyakkondar, Kurukaikavalappan. Nambi Karunakara Dasar, Erutiruvudaiyar, Thirukkannamangai Andan, Vanamadevi Andan, Uruppattur Achan Pillai and Sendalangarar, Sri Pundarika Dasar and Ulagapperumal Nangai. The chief disciples of Manakkal Nambi were five; they are Alavandar, Deivattukkarasu Nambi, Gomatam Tirovinnagar Appan, Siruppulloor Avudaiya Pillai and Acchi. Alavandar had fifteen disciples : they are :-- Peria Nambi, Tirukkottiyur Nambi, Tirumalai Andan, Alavandar Alvar, Tirumalai Nambi, Isandan Deivavari Andan, Siriyandan, Tirumohoor Appan, Tirumohoor Ninrar, Deivapperumsi, Tirumangai Aliyar, Pillai Tirumalirunjolei Dasar, Meraner Nambi and Alkondi. Six disciples sought the feet of Perianambi; they were :- Emperumanar (Sri Ramanuja), Malaikuniya Ninrar, Arya Sri Satagopa Dasar, Aniaran. gattamudanar, Tiruvoykulamudaiyan Pattar and Tirukkacebi Sri Ramanuja learnt the meanings of the rahasyas Nambi. or mantres at the feet of Tirukkottiyur Nambi. He studied Tiruvoymoshi at the feet of Tirumalai Andan. He learnt Tiruvoymoshi at the feet of Alavandar Alvar and learnt also "Stotram" and the ancient tradition from him. At the feet of Tiromalai Nambi he studied the Rämäyana. Sri Ramanuja's writings are nine in number: Srī Bhāshyam, Deepam, Sāram, Vedārtha Safigraham, Srī Gītā Bhūshyam, Siriya Gudyam (Sriranga Gadyam), Periya Gadyam (Saranāgati Gadyam), Vaikunta Gadyam and Nityam. The names of the chief disciples of Ramanuja may be learnt from the respective tradition of each reader.

BHAKTI TO ACHARYA ESSENTIAL:

It has been said, "The wise' man should bring his guru to the light (of renown) and should guard the sacred manura with great care: from the omission to bring his guru to light and by revealing the manura, he declines in wealth (of knowledge) and in age (spiritual standing)." His bringing the guru to light and his omission t.) do so, it needs no saying, are due to the excess or deficiency of his devotion to his guru.

From * Kata Sruti and ! Jabäla Sruti it is well-known that that all desired knowledge arises from supreme devotion to the guru resembling that to Bhagavan. This is learnt also from the incident of Sanjaya seeing all things as if they occurred before

- 1 Seshasambita: 14-50
- * NOTE: Kata Stuli: This wisdom concerning the soul cannot be obtained by the mere exercise of reason. Only when it is imparted by another (the guru), does it lead to the knowledge which is the means of attaining moksha-Katopardshad 1. II.9

† Jabaia says in the Scuti: "It is only the Vidya or wisdom learnt from an acharya that gives proficiency" Chandogya Upaniahad: IV-9-3

him, as the result of his supreme devotion to his guru Vyasa. From the episodes of Raikwa (and others) it is evident that the gurn who does not reveal spiritual truths immediately even to those sishyas who are of excellent character will not be adversely affected in his spiritual life. If, on the other hand, the guru reveals these spiritual truths to any and every disciple in the belief that the sishya who has sought him must be good, he will be adversely affected; for, in such cases, it is said that the sins of the disciple become also the sins of the guru. This may be seen from the story of Brahma. Without careful enquiry (into his fitness) he revealed truths to Indra. As a consequence Brahma forgot his divine knowledge and had to be taught again by Bhagavan through the agency of Narada, Brahma's very disciple. In the sloka referred to above, the meaning that, by not bringing the guru to light and by improper bringing of the mantra to light, the man suffers decline respectively in wealth and in age is primarily intended owing to appropriateness and the support of Jabaia and Kata Statis. There is nothing wrong in interpreting the sloka as meaning also "He who omits to bring his guru to light and brings the mantra to light will suffer decline both in wealth (the wealth of spiritual knowledge) and in age (spiritual standing)." It follows from this that a man should bring his guru to light by way of the overflow of his supreme devotion to him under all conditions and that the sacred mantra should not, for the sake of any materisl advantage, be revealed to the fickle-minded who do not possess all the qualities prescribed for a disciple. If the mantra is revealed to undeserving persons, its great value which is like that of a casket made of rubies containing a precious jewel will suffer and the guru's spiritual greatness will also be adversely affected. The word guru refere, by implication, to the earlier gurus also in the line of ascent extending up to Bhagavan. Or it may meau gurus in general. Similarly the word mantra refere also to the meanings of the mantra and other secret doctrines connected with it. Asa role or vidhi, it has been laid down that, whenever a man meditates on these secret meanings or mysteries, he should also meditate on the lineal succession of gurus. It has also been said: "As

7

an ⁽³expisition for baving conversed with those that are forbidden as unfit (for conversation), we should meditate on the virtuous." By the words "the virtuous", this *sloka* refers primarily to the *üchäryas*.

TAMIL VERSE:

"Having sought the protection of my guru who has graciously taught me that Bhagavan is the Inner Self within me and having also bowed with devotion to the line of his gurus, I seek the refuge of the feet of the Lord, after bowing at the feet of that generous soul who appeared in Sri Perumbudur owing to his compassion (for his fellow-men). (his guru) Peria Nambi, Alavandar (the guru of Peria Nambi, Manakkal Nambi, Uyyakkondar who taught the good path (of prapatti) to Manakkal Nambi, Nathamuni (the guru of Uyyakkondar), Satagopan (Nammalvar) (the guru of Nathamuni) and Senainathan (the guru of Nammalvar) and then, of the gracious Lakshmi, sweet to the Lord as ambrosis.

SANSKRIT VERSE :

May these great spiritual teachers. Nathamuni and others, fill my heart here and now with delight, so that I may not be subject to the impact of the arrows of Manmatha (i. e. to the pleasures of the senses)—teachers who bring us blissful truths of varied kinds from Vedanta, who convey to us (like Bhagiratha) the celestial river of the compassion of the divine couple to be sought in full faith and who are foreign to the paths of envy, error and deception !

SANSKRIT VERSE:

The bliasful line of our gurus who are possessed of many auspicious qualities, who have brought down the arrogance of rival controversialists by the successive neighs issuing from Hayagriva who delights in sitting on the throne of their hearts, and whose triumphant banner fixed at the top of the mansion of the four

¹³ Goutama Dharma Sutra : 9.18

quarters has, by the flourish of its cloth waving in the breeze, dispelled the doctrines of their respective systems like tufts of cotton and thereby rendered the good path clear to all — this blissful line of our gueus shines supreme.

TAMIL VERSE:

We that cling to the noble qualities and sweet words of the benevolent sage, Ramanuja, who adorns the world with his renown and who, like an elephant, knocking down plantain trees, refuted the arguments of those that, with the help of their unrestrained logic, wrought great havoc in the straight paths of the *Brahma* $S\overline{u}tr\overline{u}s$ — we (that cling to his words) and are thereby blessed with spiritual fervour shall no longer (even) think of deeds that are evil.

TAMIL VERSE:

We, who were caught up in the stream of samsūra from time immemorial, and have, now, by a change of fortune, come to acquire a knowledge of our true spiritual nature, consider that Sri Yamunacharya (Alavandar) came into this world to protect us from being sunk again in the mire of samsūra and we will ever be attached to the feet of the sage (Alavandar) who triumphed over his opponents and blessed us with his writings. Never more shall we read the works of heretics.

TAMIL VERSE:

We shall come to new life sgain by bowing every day at the feet of the noble and generons Nathamuai, who to his (two) § loving disciples who were like a trumpet and a conch (to proclaim the truth and to cow down the exponents of rival systems) taught the art of music so that they might sing the sweet strains of the Tamil

NOTE:--- The disputations of these acharyes are compared to the succession of neighings coming from the God Hayagriva who is seated in their hearts. By these disputations they are said to have put down the pride of the exponents of rival systems.

^{* (}or the Upaulshads)

[§] Two disciples : Kilagathalvan and Melagathalvan.

Veds which had been long forgotten in the world and who propagated in the world, for the pursuit of all, the path of *tapas* (bhakti and prapatti). In all the four regions of the world there is no one equal to as (because of this devotion of ours to Nathamuni).

NOTE: There are some who maintain that this chapter on the succession of gurus is not part of Srimad Rahasyatrayasara and that the latter begins only with the "Introductory Chapter", which follows.

(1) INTRODUCTORY CHAPTER.

SANSKRIT VERSE:

I adore the regular and spotless succession of Acharyas which is well-known to extend upwards even to Bhagavan. It is by virtue of their grace that the essence of the meaning of the three secret mantras (mysteries) abides in my mind.

SANSKRIT VERSE:

I bow to those great men who have refuted the arguments of men "that chop logic and ask, "Why and wherefore?", in connection with (the truths of) the *sastra* which treats (in two parts) of *karma* and Brahman. They have thereby rendered clean the highroad that leads to the Lord of Hastigiri.

TAMIL VERSE:

Lucky indeed are we in having an opportunity, in this world and every day, of meditating on the three mysteries (mantras) with great delight — secrets which were tanght to us with great earnestness for the removal of our dense ignorance by great souls endowed with compassion who sought the feet of the Spouse of the lotus born Lakshmi as their sole refuge and gave me also those feet for our protection.

SANSKRIT VERSE:

Though the *Jiva* is ever dear to the Lord (Sonri) like the gem Kaustubha, be fails to obtain the paramount supremacy of service (to the Lord) owing to his ignorance; but being favoured

* logic choppers like Tarkikas

NOTE :-- It is said by some that this *sloka* pays homage to the author's uncle Appullar who established the importance of *bhakti* and *prapatit* by refuting the arguments of opponents in his writings. There are others who hold that there is a reference to Desikar's teacher under whom he studied *Tiruvoymozhi* and who is known to have swept the streets clean during the procession of Sri Varadaraja at Kancheepuram.

with His glance at a turn in the tide of fortune, he attains to a knowledge of his real nature (as one entirely dependent on God and existing only for Him). This becomes possible by virtue of the teaching imparted to him by his gurus and thus he succeeds in saving himself.

THE HERITAGE OF THE JIVÄTMÄ. How the Jivätmä lost this heritage.

The individual soul (Jivatma) has been declared in the different sästras as being dear to the Lord of Lakshmi even like the gem Kaustubha; he has been called the prince, the Lord's son, His disciple, His attendant, His dependent existing solely for Him "(sesha) and His servant. The Lord is his seshi for whose purposes (alone) he exists by his very nature. The Lord is the rulet of the eternal Suris, who are ever free from ignorance. Be is possessed of unsurpassed auspicious qualities ; He loves us as He does the lotus-born Lakehmi. He is the Lord of men on earth and of the Suris in Heaven. As has been said ". The Lord of the Universe dwells in the Supreme Region of Vaikunta along with Lakshmi," " †Thou art ever with Lakshmi adorned with shining bracelets ". He dwells in the pure world of suddhasatva (nitya vibhūti) along with His great queen and is seated on a couch, as described in such places as the Koushitaki Brahmana, in a hall of splendour which is lit up with gems and stands on a thousand pillars, in the eternal and changeless city called by names like Ayodhya; for instance, it is said, "flt is called Ayodhya and Aparajita and is beyond the world of svarga." The Lord is seated on the bed of the serpent called Sesha who is like § an umbrella to the Lord when He moves about and like a throne whenever be is seated. He has been described "as the "Lord's abode, His bed,

NOTE: — A Sutra or aphorism in Purva Mimamsa says: "That is called a sesha which serves the purpose of another (and that other is called a seshi) (e. g.) In a secrifice, the grains of rice are sesha to the sacrifice which is seshi because they serve the purpose of the sacrifice.
Lainga Purana § Mudal Tiruvandadi: 53

† Tiruvoymozhi: 4, 9, 10

§ Mudal Tiruvandadi: 53 ‡ Srigunaratnakosa: 23 ¶ Alavandar: Stotram

and His seat." Sesha, the serpent, is ever bent on serving the Lord in all places, at all times, and in all situations and enjoys rendering service of all kinds in varied embodied forms. As he is thus fulfilling the purposes of the Lord and has no other aims in life, he is, indeed, a real and nuconditional Sesha and the name Sesha fits him in every way. The Lord shines on the bed of Sesha as the Supreme and ever-youthful Sovereign of Heaven-It is His gracious desire that all souls should enjoy His blissful state and attain their goal. The Jiva is thus entitled, by bisessential nature, to the service of His Master as his birthright in as high a degree as the eternal $S\bar{u}ris$ themselves who have the endless bliss of serving Him. But sunk in the sleep of beginningless Maya, he has fallen into the wilderness of matter (prakriti), has had repeated hirths in quick succession, has lost the primary aim of existence, has found no comfort or consolation, has lost the splendour of his real nature owing to evil desires and passions and is without a true knowledge concerning tatva (that which should be known for selvation and hita the means of attaining it). Well has it been said, "*Trudging along the many thousand pathways of samsāra consisting of cycles of births and deaths, he has become deluded and exhausted and is covered with the dust of tendencies left by impressions in the mind."

The parable of the prince brought up by huntsmen.

The condition of the Jiva in samsāra has been aptly described in the following parable — A certain king went ont ahunting with the women of the barem and was keenly absorbed in the sport. The little prince lost his way even before he knew who he was and was brought up in a bamlet by tribesmen who found him wandering about (helpless). As he grew older, he identified himself with the people who brought him up and thought that he, too, was a savage tribesman, learnt their language as if it were his own and, like their own sons, ate their food and lived their life. Without knowing anything about the enjoyments, the

^{\$} Vishnu Purans : 6 - 7 - 10

code of conduct and the traditions of his birth, he found his good and his evil, his joys and sorrows, in extremely gruesome things totally different from enjoyments befitting a prince. Though there were some wise and sage-like men who knew him as a prince, he was not accessible to them and lived like a savage without even the means of being born in a better life after death, owing to life-long eavagery due to erroneous notions. The story is told of two parrots born of the same parents. One of them was brought up by holy men and the other by tanners who ate cow's flesh. The former said, "I was brought up by sages and he was brought up hy tanners. I hear, every day, the speech of the sages and he hears the speech of tanners. You have yourself seen the difference between my speech and the speech of the other bird. Goodness and wickedness are the result of (good and bad) association (respectively)".

Such was the condition of the prince who lost his real character and acquired a different nature. Similar is the state of the Jiva who, by identifying bimself with the body, loses his real character and assumes a different nature. In the case of the prince (of the parable) some generous benefactors who were aware of his real birth (in a royal family) made up their minds to save him (from savagery) by some means or other. They removed from his mind his false identification of himself with the tribesmen and by discipline and training, physical and spiritual, enabled him to become gradually fit for princely enjoyments and the means of attaining them. They made him realise, both by precept and by example, what befitted him as a prince in matters connected with character and conduct and thus rendered him capable of hating the mean and gruesome enjoyments of savage life and created in him the power of discrimination by which he could choose and prefer the supremely refined aims and enjoyments of his princely station,

Vinhnupurana : 2 - 13 - 98

INTRODUCTORY

The applicability of the parable to the Jiva.

In the same way, some generous benefactors who are introduced to the Jiva by parents and the like help him realise that " the soul is neither God nor man nor beast nor tree, and that these differences of body and shape are due to karma." They enable him to understand that the soul is different from the body and adopt the proper means to make him pursue the goal or aim suited to his essential nature and to follow the path that would lead to it. They create in him qualities and modes of conduct found in men endowed with the splendour of wisdom and not in men who are so absorbed in their bodies as to forget their soul and they bring bim to a position in which he is capable of distinguishing between what should be rejected and what is worthy of adoption. Now in the parable, on seeing for themselves the prince's innate fitness, the transformation wrought in him by some worthy men and his intelligence, some teachers being directed by the Snpreme Master who is all compassion and being themselves highly compassionate sppear before him and reveal his true parentage to him as also what is highly desirable to one in his station and the means of attaining it. It has been said, "God's love, good deeds done hy chance, the gracious glance of God, freedom from hate, willingness to learn and the conversation of good men - these are six causes that lead to the acquisition of an *āchārya*." Those good men in the parable would strive in every possible way to bring about a longing for re-union in (the mind of) the prince and the king. So also with the Jiva. His gurus reveal to the Jiva the intimate relation existing between him and Narayans, the Lord of Lakshmi, who rules with His sceptre the earth surrounded by the e includent ocean and the world of Vaikunta without even the least exception. Do not the stastras say, "The soul is neither God nor man. neither beast nor tree. Its essential nature is knowledge and bliss and it is entirely dependent on the Supreme Being and exists solely for His purposes (Sesha)" and again, " The souls are indeed the servants by nature of the Supreme † Being ?" These gurus

try earnestly to help the Jiva attain the Lord and feel that his attainment of the glory of moksha would give them as much delight as the sovereignty of Vaikunta (Nitya vibhuti) and of the whole earth (lilā vibhūti). They have as much love to him as the cow to its calf on the day of its birth when, out of loving kindness, it gives it milk. So with the help of words, neither excessive nor defective, they desire to remove his ignorance, his doubts, and his erroneous notions. As stated in the sloka. "He i who teaches the truth concerning cit (sentient being) acit (non-sentient matter) and Iswara and their essential character etc.", they (the gurus) teach him the true nature of the Lord who is the ruler of sentient and non-sentient things that are ruled by Him, of their respective character and of their mutual relations to one another, of enjoyment or experience in samsura (iocluding sparga) and of release from that bondage and of the respective means for attaining them (one to be rejected and the other to be adopted), of the routes by which the soul travels to its desticed abodes and of the obstacles, expressed and unexpressed, that stand in the way of salvation ; for these are matters that should be known to every one desirons of release.

All these things that should be known to every mumukshu are found concisely stated in 'the three mysteries or secrets' (mantras) which are, as it were, the quintessence of all passages treating of the Jiva and Iswara and which contain the best part of all the main doctrines.

TAMIL VERSE:

We that deserve a place near the heart of Vishna even like the gem Koustubha which rose from the sea along with Liakshmi at the time of the great churning, we that are competent to wear, on the crown of our head, the flower-like feet of God, were in peril of being swept away by the current of the stream of *karma* which caught us up even while in our mother's womb, when, fortunately

§ Alevandar : Stotram

for us, certain great souls proficient in the knowledge of the five things that ought to be understood and likewise of the subtle doctrine that the relation of the Jivatma to the Lord is that of the body to the soul, came to us out of compassion to save us from being lost (in that stream).

[NOTE :- Konstubles: This gern is stated in certain treatises to stand allegorically for the Jiva.]

SANSKRIT VERSE :

In the cycle of karma, $avidy\bar{a}$ and others which succeed one another, as in the revolution of a wheel, in the varied streams of sumsāra peculiar to each individual and beginning from time immemorial, all systems of religious thought declare that, when the proper time comes, there is varied fruition resulting from past karma. At the time of this fruition, the Lord, who is the first $\bar{A}ch\bar{a}rya$, finds his opportunity, catches the Jiva and bestowe His grace on him. Such Jivas are indeed rare and they become the repositories of all forms of wealth (like discrimination and freedom from desires) extending up to the sovereignty enjoyed by the freed souls in moksha (viz., the enjoyment of Bhagavan and service to Him).

1

[[]NOTE :--- and others : The word others refers to vasanas or impressions left in the mind in previous births, ruchi or tastes and aptitudes and praktitl rambandha or association with matter.]

(2) THE CHAPTER ON THE DETERMINATION OF WHAT IS BEST?

NOTE :— "The Three Mysteries" have been occasionally referred to in previous chapters. Sri Vedantadesika presumes that everyone of his readers knows what they are. It is just possible that some of them are not in the know of these mysteries. So a brief account may be necessary of these mantras.

1. One of them is called Ashtakshara or Tirumantra. It consists of eight syllables in Sanskrit which are in the form of three words. The first word which consists of a single syllable is Om, which is made of three parts a, u and m ot akara, ukara and makara. The second word is name which, when there is no sandhi, is namas. It means 'Obeisance' or adoration! The third word is Narayana which means "to Narayanaya". Narayanaya is the dative (the fourth case) singular form of Narayana. The mantra, as a whole, means "Adoration to Narayana!" or "I offer my adoration to Narayana." (This is the meaning appearing at first eight.)

2. Another manera or mystery is the "last" sloka of Sri Krishna's teaching in Bhagavad Gita usually called the charama sloka.

It means: "Having given up rites or activities as means to secure moksha, surrender thyself to me alone". (This is the first half of the sloka.)

"I will free thee from all sins; despair not." (This is the second half of the *sloka*.)

3. Yet another mystery or *mantra* is usually called *Dwayam*. It consists of two parts; the first part means "I seek refuge at the feet of Narayana who is ever inseparable from Sri or Lakshmi". The second part means: "I offer my adoration to Narayana and Sri".

These, then, are the "three mysteries or mantras" which are the best of what should be known and meditated upon. The fuller and more subtle meanings of these mantras are explained in latter chapters.)-Tr.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

What is opposed to the way of the Vedas is like poison; even in the Srutis or Vedas, those parts which treat of the means of attaining the enjoyment of material goods (Prakriti bhoga) and of the enjoyment of one's own *ätmä* or self (*purusha-bhoga*) or (*Kaivalya*) are not salutary. Therefore the wise who are afraid of the cycle of births and deaths (*samsāra*) seek the best essence extracted out of the ocean of nectar called the Upanishads which has been guarded with great care by sages (i.e.) previous *āchāryas* for our benefit.

THE GREAT VALUE OF THE THREE MANTRAS:

Among these three mysteries (mantras), Tirumantra or Ashtākshara has i been stated to contain everything within itself and, if it is known, the meaning of everything becomes known; the charama sloka, which says (in its first half) "having given up all rites and activities as means of attaining moksha, surrender thyself to me", establishes that the adoption of the single means taught in it, vis, self-surrender (or saranägati) would secure the benefits of all other means prescribed elsewhere; the Dvayam, as has been stated in Kata srati and elsewhere, is capable of making a man perfect in the discharge of all his duties even if it is attered only Therefore these three mysteries alone are of value to the once. seeker after salvation. It has been said, "One should ignore what is of no value, what is only of slight value, and, likewise, what is valuable and even what is more valuable. It is only what is most valuable that one should prefer in the sastra, like ambrosia in the ocean.

THE ONLY PRAMANA IN REGARD TO MOKSHA AND THE MEANS OF ATTAINING IT IS SASTRA:

The ultimate goal or aim of life (purushārtha) (namely, moksha) and the means of attaining it are not capable of being understood from sense perception (pratyaksha) or (anumāna) inference. As stated in such passages as "It is from the

1. Naradiya Kalpa 1-9.

² Sāstra that I know Janardana", "Therefore ³in determining what "ought to be done and what ought not be done, the only authority that should guide you is Sastra" and "He who is well 'versed in the Agamas or Vedas will attain Brahman", the only pramana that exists for our guidance is sabda or what is revealed in the Sāstras. In this context it has been said, "What are⁵ to be known are many and endless; the time (at our disposal) is short; the bindrances are many; therefore prefer only what is valuable, like the swan that separates the milk from the water with which it is mixed." The word 'valuable' really means 'most valuable', because it refers to what is always and unconditionally valuable. The Sastras of those who are outside the pale of the Vedas (like Buddhists) and likewise of heretics (like Advaiting) are not valuable at all and are therefore to be ignored. In the first part of the Veda, the portion which treats of rites leading to the attainment of worldly goods is only of very slight value and hence not to be resorted to. That part which treats of the attainment of the good things of svarga after death, though it may appear valuable to some as leading to fruits higher than worldly goods is of no use, as, in the final estimate, they are based on sorrow and have other objectiousble features. That part, too, which treats of the realisation of one's own soul and the means thereof, though a little more valuable, is not of value to those who desire the enjoyment of the Supreme Self. To the man of discerning wisdom, the part of the Veda which treats of the Supreme Brahman, the attainment of Brahman and the means thereof is the most valuable, and is therefore to be preferred.

- NOTE :- 2. Mahabharata : Udyoga Parva : 68-5.
 - 3. Bhagavat Gita : 16-24.
 - 4, Mahabharata: Santi Parva: 276-2.
 - 5. Uttara Gita : 3-10

* (Alternative meaning): "what should be attained and what should be rejected (see Tatparya Chandrika: Gita: XVI: 24)

THE THREE MYSTERIES ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT.

Even there, the three Mysteries (mantrās) which are, as it were, an epitome of the truths that ought to be known and of the means of attaining salvation, which are the distinctive, nnique and exclusive doctrines (of our Visishtadvita system) are invaluable and therefore to be most preferred. As stated in the *sloka* "From the many and great *sästras*, the wise man should choose only that which is most valuable as the bee takes in the honey from the flowers," the *jīva* who thirsts for *moksha* should prefer as invaluable these three mysteries (mantrãs.)

TAMIL VERSE:

As if what has been already learnt is not enough, the eighteen subjects of study may confront us like burdens (on the intellect); but they add only to the number (and are of no use). Therefore the $\bar{A}ch\bar{a}ryas$ of our system (*Visriştādvaitam*) have, with wise discrimination, given us what the *Nitya-sūris* whose wisdom never languishes (literally 'who never wink') speak of in exalted termsnamely, the 'eight' (Ashtakshara) and the 'two' (vis) the Dvayam and the charama sloka.

SANSKRIT VERSE :

The basic mantra (moolamantra) called Ashtäkshara shines conspicuously in the Upanishada (literally, the end of the various branches of the Veda). If there is any one endowed with discriminating wisdom who has understood from that mantra the truth about his essential nature, and if he spends all his time in uttering the Dvayam which, even at a single utterance, can produce the fruition of the desire for the ultimate goal of life and if . he has also absolute faith in the means of salvation taught with compassion in the charama stoka by Sri Krishna who, for his sport or $\pi l \bar{u} \bar{u}$, became the obsrioteer, and, who is the crest jewel, as it were, of the Vedas - if there is any such person (at all) in the world, he will be the leader of all our hosts.

3. THE CHAPTER ON THE MOST IMPORTANT AND DISTINCTIVE DOCTRINE THAT IS UNIQUE TO VISISTADVAITA.

SANSKRIT VERSE:

When Bhagavan is seen in the mirror of the $Vy\bar{a}paka$ mantras, which disclose His all-pervasiveness, one will see that the universe (which we perceive with our senses) is absolutely dependent on Him for its existence and continuance and likewise for its activity and the fruit or result arising from it; since the universe is supported and controlled by Him and is also solely for the fulfilment of His purposes, one will understand that it is the body of the Primeval Creator. By realising this relationship of the universe being the body of the Creator, one is enabled to reach the heart of the Srutis, which were notcomposed by any one and which have the deepest significance.

WHAT IS MEANT BY PRATITANTRA? THE MEANING OF SARJRA-SARJRI BHAVA:-

The word *Pratitantra* means a doctrine or feature which is peculiar and distinctive to a certain (philosophical or religious) system exclusive of all others. Here it may be asked what the doctrine that is most important and unique to our system of Vedants is. It is the doctrine which maintains that the relationship between Iswara and the world of sentient beings and nonsentient things is that between the soul and the body. (Another is the doctrine that every word, whatever its ordinary denotation may be, such as 'cow' "man" and the like, refers ultimately to Iswara who is within them as their innermost soul).

NOTE :-- • Vyapaka mantras: These are (1) Ashtakshara (2) Shadakshara, the mantra with six letters (Namo Vishnave with Pranava at the beginning) and (3) Dvadasakshara, the Mantra with twelve letters (Namo Bhagavate Vasudavaya with pranava at the beginning.)

WHAT IS A SARIRA AND WHAT IS A SARIRI:

Iswara is called the inner self or soul (Sariri), because as long as they exist, He is, in regard to sentient and non-sentient substances (dravya), their support (ādhāra), their controller or ruler (niyanta) and their Lord or Master (Seshi) for whose purposes they exist. Sentient beings and non-sentient things form His body, since, with regard to Him, they stand as substances supported by Him, controlled by Him, and existing solely for the fulfilment of His purposes, throughout their existence. Iswara is called the Supporter and the Controller or Ruler of all sentient beings and non-sentient thiogs, because from His swarupa or essential nature and His sankalpa or will, they derive their existence, continuance and activities as ' befitting each of these two (svarupa and sankalpa). It may be asked how this could be. Just as Iswara is the abode, *āsraya* or *ādhāra* (support) of the attributes which define His essential nature (svarūpa viz. Satyam, Inānam, Anandam and the like) and of the other qualities possessed by Him with His essential nature so defined (qualities like compassion and accessibility), He is also the inseparable and direct support, (äsraya or ādhāra) of all substances (sentient and non-sentient) other than Himself. He is also the support, through these substances ((i.e.) indirectly), of the qualities that pertain to them. There are some who hold that Iswara is the support, through the jīvas, of the bodies supported by the jīvas. Some Acharyas say (on the other hand) that both directly by His essential nature and indirectly through the *juas*, He is the support of these bodies.

ISWARA'S SUPPORT BY HIS SVARÜPA AND BY HIS WILL.

In relation to Iswara, all (substances), sentient and nonsentient, are attributes that are inseparable from Him (Aprithak

NOTE •:-- As befitting each of these two:-- By His svarupa, He is the cause of their existence and continuance. this is being their support; by His Sankalpa or will also, He is the cause of their existence, continuance and activities; this is being their controller.

siddha visceshanas). Therefore their existence and the like depend entirely on the existence of their support (i. e.) Iswara. The existence of all things is also dependent on His will. Those of them that have only a temporary existence take their origin from a temporary will or sankalpa of His and those of them that are eternal (like Vaikunta and the sūris) exist for ever by His eternal will. This distinction has been stated hy one who is worthy of respect for his learning in the (following) sloka :— "The ' existence of all things is only by Thy will. Of these some are eternally dear to Thee and are hence eternal and even these eternal beings or things are entirely dependent on Thee for their essential nature."

Since the continuance of a thing is merely the continuity of its existence, everything, (i. e.) existence and continuance, is dependent on His will. There are heavy substances that are stated in the sästras to be supported by Iswara's will. For instance, the following stoka says :--- "The Heavens,2 the sky with the moon, the sun and the stars, the different quarters, the earth, the great ocean -- all these are supported by the might of the Supreme Being, Vasudeva." It is stated here that, in regard to keeping these heavy things in their respective places without their falling away from them, the existence, continuance and activity of these things depend on Iswara's will. The word 'supported' (in the sloka) means 'is dependent on His will'. If it be asked how, when every substance is supported by His will, the essential nature (svarüpa) of Iswara can have anything to do with them, the answer is that the will of the Supreme Being ordains that these objects should be supported by His essential nature (svarupa). Thus all things are dependent on Iswara's essential nature and also on Iswara's will. In the world (of daily life) also, we find that the body is supported by the essential nature $(svar\overline{u}pa)$ of the soul or self and also by the will of the self. Since the body exists from

^{1.} Vaikuntasthavem: 36

^{2.} Mahabharata: Anusasenika parva 254-136.

the time of the entry of the soul into it and perishes or disintegrates when the soul leaves it, it is known to be supported by the *svarūpa* or essential nature of the soul. In the state of dreamless sleep (*sushupti*) and the like, when the will of the self is dormant, the body continues to exist without any deterioration; so its existence then is clearly dependent on the *svarūpa* of the self and not its will (or *sankalpa*). In the waking state, when the body is kept from falling, it is sustained by the will or *sankalpa* of the soul. When the existence of the body is dependent only on the *svarūpa* of the self or its essential nature, it is said to be supported (*ādheyatva*) by the latter; and when the existence depends on the will or *sankalpa* it is said to be controlled and directed by the latter (*niyūmyatva*).

WHAT IS THE MEANING OF SESHA-SESHI BHAVA?

Iswara is said to be the seshin of all things, because they exist solely for the fulfilment of His purposes. They are His seshas. The following stoka explains how Iswara is is the seshin of all:— "The 'ntierance of the Upanishads is to the effect that the Lord takes in both sentient and non-sentient things for the fulfilment of His own purposes, by being the cause of their existence, their continuance and their control. In regard to (one of the two (i.e.) the sentient being), Thou art both the end to be attained ($wp \bar{e}ya$) and the means of attaining it ($up \bar{a}ya$). This is Thy essential nature and not an adventitious quality of Shine. Therefore, O Thou that hast Thy repose in Brirangam, I seek refuge in Thee without any selfish interest."

THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS KNOWLEDGE.

Sentient beings and non-sentient things exist not for their own sake but for the fulfilment of God's purposes. Their nature is ever to exist for somebody else (i.e.) the Lord. In using them for His own purposes, His glory is manifested. This is being

^{3.} Srivangarajastavam: (Uttara Satakam) 87.

Seshi. "But" it may be eaked, "what does this sentient being gain by being supported and controlled by Him and by existing solely for his master?" The enswer is as follows :--- By having the Lord as his adhara, the Jiva becomes an ineeparable attribute of the Lord even like His own attributes, Jnana and sakti or power. By being the Lord's seshe and by having the Lord as his seshi, the jiva acquires a taste for an ultimate goal or end which is appropriate to his essential nature, for every being aims at a goal or end suited to the conception held by it about its nature. By being the seshe of the Lord and hy having Him as his controller and ruler, he comes to know the specific means or upaya (vis Bhagavan) of attaining the goal or ultimate aim suited to his essential nature. This upaya or means (is the Lord Himself who is the only proper means for the attainment of this end and who) does not require any suxiliary aid for helping Him. From what has been said above, it follows that this sentient being has no other support, exists for no body else, and has no other protector than Bhagavan.

THE PRESENCE OF THESE IDEAS IN THE THREE MYSTERIES OR MANTRAS.

If it be eaked how this idea is contained in the first mystery (i.e.) ashtākshara, the answer is as follows:— The word 'Narayana' is a compound word which means either "He to whom Nārāh are a resting place (ayana)", in which case it is a possessive adjective compound (bahuvrihi samāsa), or "the resting place of nārāh (i.e.) men", in which case, it is a determinative compound in which the first part Nārāh is in the genitive case (i.e.) nārānām + ayanam (which is qualified by the genitive.) The compound word Nārāyaņa interpreted in these two ways establishes His being the support (of everything) and of His pervading it. From this it would follow that the fiva, in his essential nature, has no other support and is pervaded by no other than Narayana. The words Om and Namo which precede Nārāyaņāya imply that the fiva exists for Narayana and is entirely dependent upon Him for protection. It would follow therefrom that the *juva* serves no other purpose than that of Narayana and that he has no other refuge or protector than He.

In Dvayam which is, as it were, a gem of a mantra and which discloses the activity or process of self-surrender (*prapatti*) to the Lord, the first part reveals that the jva has no other refuge than Narayana and the second that he exists for no purposes other than those of Narayana, while, in both parts, the idea of the jvahaving no other support ($\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ra$) is evident (from the word Nareyana).

Similarly these ideas may be discerned in the *charama sloka* also, either directly and explicitly in the words themselves or by implication or purport arising indirectly from the meaning of the words.

The charama sloka declares that Bbagavan is Himself the already existing and established means (siddha upāya) for attaining Him, for He is ever ready to help us to attain Him, and that, in order to secure His help, we have yet to accomplish or adopt a means (sādhya upāya), which is self-surrender (saraņāgati) to the lord. This sādhyopāya, namely, self surrender or saraņāgati is performed by uttering the dvayam and the thoughts that we should bear in mind at that time are concisely disclosed in the Tirumantra or Ashtākshara as in a little mirror reflecting bigger forms.

The (two) meanings of the first word OM (aum) are illustrated in Arjuna's chariot and in the (famous) sloka 'of the Rāmāyaņa which says:— "" Rama went first. Sita walked in the middle, and Lakahmana, bow in band, walked behind, following them. The word OM is constituted of three parts a, u, and m; a, which is seen first, means Bhagavan, the Protector or Saviour, who is seen first in Arjuna's chariot, and m means the *jiva*, Arjuna, the object of protection who is seen afterwards; u means, only; it may also

27

^{4.} Rameyana; Aranya kanda.

mean Lakahmi. When a refers to Sri or Lakahmi, the two seshis, Bhegavan and Sri appear first and the sesha appears afterwards. In the sloka quoted from the Rāmāyaņa, first we think of the Saviour, then we think of Lakshmi and then of Lakshmans who is their sesha. So also is pranava or aum; a, a and m refer respectively to the Saviour, Lakshmi who pleads for the jiva and then the jiva who requires their protection. The second word in Tirumantra, vis. namo or namah means "adoration" or "I adore". This brings out explicitly, by the word itself, the idea of the jiva being entirely dependent on the Lord. Since Bhagavan is pleased with those who serve His devotees (Bhagavatas), it is implicitly stated in the word namas that we depend also on Bhagavatas and are subject to their direction and control. Illustrations of these may be found respectively in the lives of the devotees, Bharata and Satroghna (the former of whom delighted in obeying and serving Rama and the latter in obeying and serving Bharata).

The third word in *Tirumantra* is $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}yan\bar{a}ya$, which is the dative or fourth case of Narayana. (The word Narayana has two meanings: (1) the resting place or refuge of *naras* or living beings) and (2) He who has *naras* (living beings) as His abode or resting place. This means that the *jīva* depends for his very existence on Narayana and that Narayana's glory (*vibhūti*) cannot manifest itself without the *jīva*. It has therefore been well said: "I do 'not exist without thee, O Nārāyana, nor dost Thou without me". Nārāyanāya, which means 'for Narayana' should be construed along with some such word as syām or *bhaveyam* and the meanings of the word Narayana may be seen illustrated in all created beings of Kosala (who could not bear their existence without Sri Rama and who departed this world along with Him, and in Sri Rama, too, who departed with them.

The first word, Pranava (aum), signifies that m, the jiva, exists only for a (aya) and the second word name that the jiva depends on Bhagavān. His existence is for Bhagavan and His

^{5.} Nanmukan Tiruvandadi : 7

devotees, to the latter of whom the *seshatoa* extends as its ultimate limit, and he depends absolutely on Bhagavan and His devotees. In the light of this interpretation, the third word Närðgaŋäya has, for its purport, the goal of the *jiva*, *vis.*, *kainkarya* or service to the Lord and His devotees. This service may be seen illustrated in an active form in that of Lakshmana and in a passive form in the sandels * of Sri Rama which were an incarnation of Lakshmana or Adisesha and which left Rama's feet to go along with His devotee, Bharata. (In these instances) the service is such as would be pleasing to the *seshī* (*namely*, Bhagavan).

All this elucidation and illustration of the meaning of Tirumantre were vouchesfed by Sri Appullar. The implicit and explicit meanings contained in *Dvayam* and the *Charama sloka* should be understood on the same lines.

IN REGARD TO BEINGS ENDOWED WITH INTELLI-GENCE, SESHATVA MEANS DASATVA:

In these mysteries Iswara is disclosed as the syshi (i.e.) as one for whom everything else exists. He stands in the relation of a syshi to both sentient beings and non-sentient things in common. In relation to non-sentient things He is Syshi, because they exist for His purposes. In relation to sentient beings or jivas endowed with intelligence, He is syshi in the special sense of being Swami (i.e.) Master whom it is their duty to serve, and this is the manner in which we should understand while uttering the mantras. Our being sysha to the Lord we share in common with non-sentient things but we are syshas in the special sense also of being His servants ($d\bar{a}s\bar{a}h$).

The ordinary relationship of Sesha and Seshin between the *jiva* and Bhagavan is disclosed in the first syllable of the Ashtāk-shara, viz. and where the a, the first member, is in the dative

NOTE :- Lakshmana is a partial incarnation of Adisesha and Adisesha serves the Lord as His sandals. His bed, and so on. Therefore the sandals of Rama are, in a sense, the incarnation of Lakshmana.

case z_{ya} , though the termination has dropped. It means ' for a or Bhagavan'. The specific form of being seshas which applies only to jivas (and not to non-sentient things) and which is really being the servants of the Lord (dasas) and of His being the Swami or Master is revealed in the purport or implication. So also in the word 'Narayanaya' there is the general relationship of the seshin towards all things and the specific relationship of Swami or Master which obtains in the case of juds. Here the goal of use. fulness to the Lord, which is the result of the general attitude of the sesha, becomes the goal of service or hainharya to the Lord, owing to the jiva being His servant or dasa. Thus by being the seshin, Iswara attains a unique giory and as a consequence of His being the Swami or Master, this glory consists in the enjoyment of service which is His purushärtha. Iswara is responsible for the protection of His servants and has also the power to do so, whereas the jiva or Chetana is not responsible for his own protection nor has he the ability to protect himself. This is due to Iswara being the unconditional (nirupādhika) seshī and the unconditional Director and Ruler. Owing to their being the unconditional seshas and their being subject unconditionally to His control, it becomes, indeed, the responsibility of the owner to protect what belongs to Him and of the strong to protect the weak. Iswara, however, makes up His mind to protect the *jiva* only after making him adopt some means or upaya for winning His protection, (because the *fiva* is subject, according to the Sāstra to the law of Karma).

TAMIL VERSE :

The Lord gave us our existence and is our support $(dh\bar{a}raha)$ as well; He is also the unconditional Ruler that directs and controls us. There is nothing that does not exist for the fulfilment of his purposes; He is also our Master for whom all things exist; He stands alone with no one to compare with Him. It is the earnest conviction of those who understand the spirit of the Vedas that we are the bodies and the unconditional (or natural) servants of the Lord with the crown of Tulsi leaves (for the Antaryami Brähmana of Brihadāraņyaka upanishad states this explicitly.)

SANSKRIT VERSE :

4. THE CHAPTER ON THE FIVE THINGS THAT SHOULD BE KNOWN TO EVERY SEEKER AFTER SALVATION.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

In the 'a' in Om and in the word 'Nārāyana' occurring in Ashtākshara, the supreme end of life (i.e.) Bhagavan, who is opposed to all that is objectionable or faulty, is indicated; in the min om and in the part nara occurring in Narayanaya, the essential nature (svarupa) of the jiva who is to attain this end is disclosed; the means of attainment already existing as established (siddha updya) namely, Bhagavan, is indicated in the part ayana occurring in Nārāyaņa and the means to be accomplished or adopted (sādhya upāya), which is self-surrender, is in namas; the fruit desired, vis., the enjoyment of Brahman which includes also service to Him is disclosed in the dative or fourth case in Narayanaya; the hindrance to it, namely, the feeling of 'mine' is in the word mama occurring in namah (na mama). He who understands the Ashtākshara maura that reveals all these five and *Dvayam too, which is to the same effect—he will be considered by all as one who knows everything.

THERE IS NO REAL DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THOSE WHO SPEAK OF FIVE THINGS AND THOSE WHO SPEAK OF SIX.

In the words Ndrayana and in om, the relationship between Iswara and the *jiva* is revealed as that between the soul and the body. Having taken this relationship as the foundation or basis of the Visishtadvaita system, some investigated the five truths that should be known to every one who desires *moksha*. Others took this relationship also as one of the things to be known and hence spoke of the six things to be known. If it be asked what the five

NOTE :- * Drayam may mean also the two (i.e.) Drayam and charamasioka.

things are that should be understood by the seeker after moksha along with the relationship itself, the answer is as follows:—" The nature' of "the end to be attained" vis. Brahman, the nature of the individual self who is the seeker of the attainment, the means of attainment $(up\bar{a}ya)$, the fruit resulting from it and so also the hindrances to the attainment of Brahman - all the Vedas with their (elucidatory) *itihāsās* and *purāņās* speak of these five."

BRAHMAN, THE END TO BE ATTAINED: ITS SVARUPA:

Among these, the essential nature of Brahman, which is the object of attainment, is revealed in the first letter a in om and in the word 'Närāyaņa' in Tirumantra and in the words Närāyaņa with the adjectives 'Srīmän' occurring in Dvayam and in the words 'me' and 'I' occurring in the Charama sloka. It is in this way that they should be considered. While doing so, one should think of His essential nature (svarāpa) as infinite juäna and infinite bliss ever inseparable from His consort, Lakshmi, who, in every form and in all situations, participates in all His actions. For there are many authoritative passages in support of this prescribed contemplation :—

"The Lord of the ²Universe is ever with Lakshmi in Vaikunts, the world beyond " (Here the reference is to the Supreme Lord (*Para*) in Vaikunts.)

"This "Narayana is always with Sri — Narayana whose abode is the ocean of milk. He has now come to the city of Madhura leaving His bed (Adisesha)" (The reference is here to the Vyūha) "Thou" art the God Narayana, the spouse of Sri and hast the Chakra as Thy weapon and Thou art omnipresent". (The reference is to the Vibhava or avatāra.)

^{1.} Harita Samhita.

^{2.} Lingapurana.

^{3.} Harivamsa : 113-62

^{4.} Ramayana: Yuddhakanda 120-13,

" Bhagavan has the ⁵mole, Sri Vataa, on His chest and Sri is with Him eternally." "Lakahmi is 'ever inseparable from Vishnu," "He (Lakshmans) 'said as follows to Rama in the presence of Sita". (Here Sri is indicated as purushakara). "The renowned "Lakshmana spoke thus to Sita and to Rama who had the great vow (of protecting His suppliants)". (Here Sri is indicated in the state of Her being the updya). "This Sits" is capable of protecting this bost of Rakshasis from (the anger of) Baghava." (Here and in the next passage, Sri is indicated as the upaya) "I will be "your protector" (Sita's words to the Bakehasis). "You will "enjoy yourself on the slopes of the hills with Sita and, whether you are asleep or awake. I will render service to you" (says Lakahmana): (Here is the prayer for the fruit, namely, kainkarya). "He is "seated ou the serpent Ananta (Sesha), along with Her (Lakshmi)"; "When ^{12A}shall I become their eternal servant and thus find delight?" (This is also prayer for service). "Thy "spouse is Purushottams."

"When the Lord, "who is along with Sri, is pleased by the service rendered to Him by the eternal Suris who delight in that service,"

"I worship "Vishnu with the inseparable Sri; He is the sea of purity and bliss "

"The "beloved of Sri, who is infinite and whose form is the unique abode of all auspicious qualities "

- 5. Ramayana : Yuddha kanda 114-15
- 6. Vishou purana 1-18-17
- 7. Ramayana : Aranyakanda 15-6
- 8. Ramsyana : Ayodhyakanda 31--2
- 9. Ramayana : Sundarakanda 58-87
- 10. Remeyana : Sundarakanda 58-90
- 11. Remayana : Ayodhyakanda 31-27

- 12. Alavandar : Stotram
- 12A. Alavandar: Stotram 46
- 13. Alavandar : Chatussloki : 1
- 14. Alavandar Atmasiddhi
- 15. Remanuja : Vedantasara
- 16. Ramanuja : Vodantadeepa

"In "Brahman, the abode of Sri (Srinivasa), may my mind be ever centred !"

"The Lord of "Sri, whose essential nature is opposed to all that is objectionable and faulty and who is possessed of auspicious qualities and is (at the same time) infinite *jaāna* and infinite bliss."

"Thou", the protector of the cowherds from the torrent of rain caused by Indra, and the Goddess Lakshmi."

"Thon art "ever with Lakshmi adorned with shining brace-

"Am I "still to remain in samsāra without enjoying you in the company of Lakshmi?"

"Thy "grace and the grace of the lotus-born Goldess — with these I will sweep the floor of Thy temple."

"Thon "and thy lotus-born Spouse should be pleased to accept the service of the three worlds."

"I will "not be parted (from Thee) even for a moment", says Sri and abides on Thy chest."

" Thou "art all jaāsa and bliss."

"Thou "art the light that never goes out and art beyond all limitations.

BHAGAVAN POSSESSES ALL AUSPICIOUS QUALITIES :

Bhagavan is to be thought of as being opposed to all that is faulty or objectionable; for it has been said, "That "Supreme

17. Remanuja : Sri Bhashyam	23. Tiruvoymozhi: 9-2-3
18. Ramanuja : Gita Bheshyam	24. Tiravoymozhi : 6-10-10
19. Mudal Tiruvandadi, 86	25. Tiruvoymozhi : 1-1-2
20. Tiruvoymozhi : 4-9-10	26. Perla Tirumozhi : 3-8-1
21. Tiruvoymozhi: 6-9-3	27. Vishnupumna : 1-22-53
22. Tiruvoymozhi: 9-2-1	-

Goal, which is called Vishno, is free from all imperfections." and "He is "greater than the great; in the Lord of the great and the low, there are no such things as pain." He is to be considered also as possessing all those qualities which are necessary for one who is the object of attainment and who is, likewise, the means of attainment. Many are the passages that speak of Bhagavan's qualities :---

"Hear "now of a man (Sri Rama) possessed of these qualities ".

" Him who "is possessed of these qualities."

"Him who is "the efdest (son) and who is possessed of all zoble qualities."

"Him who is "thus possessed of noble qualities"

"Rama "shone with (all these) qualities."

"Him who "was possessed of such qualities and of irresistible valour."

"There are "many noble qualities, O King, in your son."

""Benevolence, compassion, learning, integrity of character. control of the senses, control of the mind — these six qualities adorn Rama, the best of men."

"He is "known to be earnest in the matter of dharma; He is affectionate to those that seek His protection."

28. Vishnupurana : 6-5-85
29. Ramayana : Balakanda : 1-7
30. Ramayana : Ayodhyakanda : 2-47
31. Ramayana : Ayodhyakanda : 2-47
33. Ramayana : Ayodhyakanda : 1-34
34. Ramayana : Ayodhyakanda : 1-34
35. Ramayana : Ayodhyakanda : 2-26
36. Ibid: 33-12
32. Ramayana : Ayodhyakanda : 11-31
37. Ramayana : Sundarakanda : 21-20

"Thou art "capable of affording protection and art also the refuge of all. This is declared by the divine sages."

"Thou art "the tree in which the good find their resting place; and Thou art the supreme refage of all those who are in distress."

"He is "the store-house of such qualities as splendour strength, lordship, great wisdom, supreme valour and might."

"Is "there any one that can comprehend the qualities of Vishnu, who is the inner self of all beings?" "My "boy, just as the gems in the ocean are countless, the qualities of the Lord armed with Chakra are innumerable;"

"His "qualities cannot be enumerated even in tens of thousands of years by even all the gods assembled together. If there be any man of pure mind anywhere, gifted with the period of life appointed for Brahma and if he be further endowed with a thousand mouths, he may be able. O best of gods, to enumerate one ten-thousandth fraction of Thy qualities or may not be able."

"Though Thy "qualities are infinite, yet six of them are foremost among them; even as the universe is borne within thy body, the other qualities too, are contained within them."

"It is "owing to the deficiency of arrows that the man who wishes to cover the sky desists and not owing to any deficiency in the space of the sky. (So also) we fall back from the praise of Govinda, owing to our deficiency of intelligence and not owing to any deficiency in His qualities."

38. Ramayana: Yuddhakanda:	41. Brahmapuraba
121-18	42. Vemenapurane : 74–40
39. Ramayana: Kinhkindakanda:	43. Mahabharata: Karna Parva: 83-15
15-19	44. ??
40. Vishnupurana: 6-5-85	45. 77

"Thon hast "qualities which, being remembered, afflict me during Thy separation ---- me the great sinner."

"He has "noble gualities like bliss, which are limitless in extent."

BHAGAVAN HAS A DIVINE AND AUSPICIOUS FORM :

Bhagavan should be thought of, also, as having a transcendent, super-sensuous $(apr\bar{a}krita)$, divine and auspicious form, which is the resting place $(\bar{a}sraya)$ of the universe, for there are many passages which refer to this form of His :--

"To Him "whose form ever remains the same -- "

"He who "has faith in His form that is sternal and in His being the Supreme Deity — him Bhagavan approaches soon, O Ponshkara."

"Hari has "another form entirely different from all forms seen in the universe, in which all these *waktis* abide."

"He "assumes by His will a form that is immense and that is pleasing."

"The body "of the Supreme Being is not constituted of the (five) elements."

"His form "is not constituted of *prakriti* or matter nor formed of flesh, lymph or bone."

"This form "has four arms, is supremely excellent, and is in Vaikunte."

— — —	
46. Tiruvoymozhi : 8~!-8	51. Viahnupurana : _6 - 5 - 84
47. Tiruvoymozhi: 1 - 1 - 1	32. Mahabharata : Santiparva: 206 - 60
48. Vishnupurana : 1 - 2 - 1	53. Varahapurana: 31 - 40
49. Poushakera Samhita	54. Mahabharata: Mousalaparva: 5–34
50. Vishnupurana : 6 - 7 - 70.	

"He has a "form respiradent like gold and capable of being seen by the mind as in a dream."

"In that "form, the whole universe occupies a small part,"

"In Thy "form, O Lord, I see the gods."

"He has "a body on which rest weapons and ornaments."

"All this "quiverse is in His form as weapons and ornsments."

"The "Supreme Being armed with the conch, the chakra and the club is in the region beyond the universe of matter (tamas)"

From the Pancharatra Sastra (Bhagavat Sastra), it is learnt that this form of the Lord is of five kinds:— (I) The Supreme (*Para Vāsudeva*), (2) $Vy\bar{i}ha$ (Vasudeva, Sankarahana, Aniruddha and Pradyumna), (3) Vibhava (avatārs like Rama and Krishna), (4) Hārda and (5) archā (images worshipped in temples).

Details concerning them may be learnt from the Pancharatra s'astra and from tradition. Bhagavan should also be considered as having endless glories or possessions (*Vibhūti*). For there are passages like the following which describe His vibhūtis :--

THE VIBHUTIS OF BHAGAVAN: LILA AND BHOGA:

"These "are the vibhūtis (glories) of Vishnn (i. e.) Brahma, Manu and others, time and all beings."

 55. Manu; Smriti: 12-122
 59. Ibid: 1-22-66

 56. Bhagavad Gita: 11-13
 60. Ramayana: Yuddha Kanda 114-25.

 57. Ibid: 11-15
 61. Vishnupurana: 1-22-32

 58. Viahnupurana: 1-22-76
 53. Viahnupurana: 1-22-76

NOTE :-- Harda is an aprakrita form of the Lord present in the human heart, like the archa in temples.

"He has, for " His abode, the region of sternal glory called Maha vibhuti.

"There is "no end, O mighty warrior, to my divine glories " (vibhusis). These ideas are concisely expressed in the sloka :---

"The "anda of the Universe and whatever else is found within that and a are His vibhutis."

These vibhatis (possessions or glories) of God are of two kinds, sentient and non-sentient, and these again are of two kinds, some existing for His LWB or sport and others for His enjoyment (*bhoga*). This division into things for play ($Rl\bar{a}$) and things for enjoyment (bhoga) is based on the difference in the rasa or delectation, though all of them have agreeableness (or *änukülyam*) in common. Bhagavan should be considered as being engaged in the work of the Universe merely for His play or sport (lita), for it has been said as follows :

" From "whom is the origin etc. of this world "

"All **this is Hari's play (or sport)."

" Of "Him who plays like a child "

"** Playing like a boy with his toys "

"Thou "playest with Thy creatures, as if they were balls for sport."

"All "this is meraly for play (on the part of Brahman) as in the world (kings play with balls).

62, Vishpupurana: 5-1-50 63. Bhagavad Gita: 10-40 64. Alavandar Stotram: 17 65, Brahma Sutrae: 1-1-2. 66. Mahabharata: Santiperva: 206-58

67. Vishnupurana: 1-2-18

Mahabharata: Sabhaparva;

40-78

69. Vishoudharma

70. Brahma sutras: 2-1-33

40

* SUMMARY.

Thus Brahman, the object of attainment, is ever with Lakehmi: He is infinite *juitua* and infinite bliss, and is opposed to all that is imperfect and objectionable. He is possessed of countless auspicious qualities like wisdom and power. He has a celestial and transcendent form of auspicious nature. He has a viblinitis (*litā* and *mitya*) which are His body; He has, for His play or sport (*litā*), the work of creating the world, of maintaining and controlling it and the like.

THE NATURE OF THE INDIVIDUAL SELF:

Now for the individual self (*Pratyak ätmä*) which is to atlain Brahman :—

We should know that the individual self is in three categories: Baddha or those who are in the bondage of samsdra, Mukta, or those who have attained release from samsāra and Nitya or those who are eternally free from boudage. The seeker after salvation should also know the peculiar features which distinguish him as a seeker of the means of mukti, from other baddhas.

The baddhas (or the bound) are those caught up in the cycle of births and deaths owing to the stream of beginningless karma. They range from Brahma to the blade of grass and are called kshetrajnāh. (Brahma, gods, men, beasts, trees, grass and so on).

The multids (or released souls) are those who, by adopting the means (updya) prescribed in the substrike, have obtained the Lord's grace, permenently transcended the cycle of births and deaths, and have obtained nnsurpassed bliss as a result of the unrestricted enjoyment of Bhagavan.

The mitpäs (the eternally free) are those whose knowledge has never undergone contraction and who are, in this respect, like

NOTE :- This summarizes Prapys (i.e.) the object of attainment, w/z. Brahman.

Iswara Himself. "They" are ", it has been said, "of the same age as the Lord, eternal and free from all trace of imperfections," They have never been in *samsāra* and are engaged in eternal service to the Lord e.g. Ananta (i.e.) Adiseaha, Garuda and Vish-vaksena.

The characteristic attributes common to all the three of them are being atomic (in size) (anu) and being of the nature of knowledge (jnana), bliss (ananda), purity (anulatvam) and the like. They are all seshas to Bhagavan and are entirely subject to His will. One should also understand what is distinctive and peculiar to one as a mumukshu or seeker after salvation. This has been (already) mentioned in the Introductory Chapter and will be described later on also.

The essential nature of the $j\bar{i}vu$ who seeks attainment should be thought of, while considering the letter *m* in *om* and in *namas* or *namo* in *Tirumantra*, and in the part *nāra* of the word-*Nārāyaņa*, wherever it occurs in the *Ashtākshara* and the *Dvayam*, in the first person singular form "I seek refuge" *prapadye* in *Dvayam*, in the second person singular of the word "thyself" in "surrender thyself" (*vraja*) and in the word *thee* ($tv\bar{n}$) and in the sentence "despair not" in the *charamasloka*.

THE MEANS OF ATTAINMENT.

We will describe at length the means of attainment $(up\bar{a}ya)$ and its auxiliaries and also the nature of the fruit to be attained in their proper context later.

Among these two, the updya or means of attainment is to be considered as indicated in *Tirumantra* in the word names or name and in the part ayana (resting place) in Nöräyaŋäya; n Dvayam in the first part and in the Charama sloka in the first balf.

71. Sri-gunaratna-kosa: 27

THE GOAL OF ATTAINMENT.

The nature of the fruit to be attained or enjoyed is mentioned in the dative or fourth case (i.e) in Nārāyaņāya in the Tiru. mantra, in the word namah in the Dvaya, and in the charama sloka in the words "I will free thee from all sins."

THE HINDRANCE TO ATTAINMENT.

The hindrances to the attainment are the multitude of obstacles that stand in the way of our attaining moksha, such as ignorance (avidyā), karma and vāsana or impression left in the mind by karma in previous hirths.

The foremost of these hindrances is the punishment inflicted by Bhagavan for disobedience of His orders continually from time immemorial. This chastisement causes association with prakriti or matter with its three qualities (satva, rajas and tamas) and thereby contraction of knowledge. It brings about a connec. tion between the soul on the one hand, and the body, the senses and the like which are specific modifications of matter (or prakriti) on the other. Further it makes the jiva subject to the sway of the body and the senses. To quote the words of the Alwar, "With the "strong ropes of my sins, Thou hast bound me tightly with the body, covered the sores within the body (like flesh, fat and blood) with the skin and let me walk away from Thee." "With "the body given by Thee at the time of creation, I have been wandering about to the satisfaction of the body." This chastisement often consists in placing the jiva in the body of animals which can have no knowledge of the Sāstras and cannot act in accordance with them. Even in births like those of men, it confounds the mind with the views of infidels and heretics and, even in the case of those who escape this, causes, through the concealing agency of the pescock feather of primal matter (moola-prakriti) and its modifications, the bodies and the senses, (1) ignorance

of the truth and (2) erraneous knowledge and so also (3) subjection to sense-pleasures. As Sri Ramanuja says, "This ⁷⁸(association with matter) causes obscuration of a knowledge of the essential nature of Bhagavan and also false knowledge. Besides it makes the *fiva* think that this association is delightful." As a consequence of this, it induces the jiva to disobey His orders and do forbidden things for the sake of petty pleasures. To quote again the words of the Alwar : "Is it "right on Thy part to confound my soul and excite my five senses by showing me, who am a sinner, all sorts of petty pleasures ?" One act of disobedience leads to further acts of sin. Well has it been said. "Sin "committed again and again destroys wisdom and the man without wisdom begins further acts of a sinful nature." In consequence the Lord makes the jiva wander again and tbrough the cycle of life in the womb, birth, again old age, death, and hell, as stated by Himself, "I throw "them always into asuric births" By means of the scriptures of those who are actuated by rajas and tamas, which show the way to secure petty pleasures, the jiva is made to fall at the feet of petty deities who are themselves souls (kshetrajna) fettered with similar chains. "Those who "are actuated by rajas" it has been declared, "worship yakshas and Rakshasas; and those who are actuated by tamas worship the spirits of the dead and elemental spirits (Bhutagana)". The jiva is deloded into considering himself as greatly benefited by the mean and gruesome enjoyments afforded by these deities in the same way as worms find a pleasure in dirt and filth.

Even those who practise *yoga* are made to practise it in connection with petty deities or in the meditations of such inanimate

75. Sri Ramanuja : Peria Gadyam.	77. Mahabharata: Udyogaparva 35-72.
76. Tiruvoymozhi, 6-9-9.	78. Bhagavad gita. 16-19.
	79. Bhagaved Gita : 17-4.

things as *nāma being Brahman and are made to fall therefrom in the acquisition of minor results. Even those who are devoted to the soul or atma are made to meditate on their atma either in contact with matter or as separated from matter either as if it were Brahman or as the ātmā in its own essential nature. As the result of these four kinds of meditation, the *jiva* obtains petty enjoyments after which he falls back into samsara. Even in the case of those who practise true meditation on Brahman, and who meditate primarily on their own selves as having Brahman for their inner self or who think primarily of Brahman who has for His body their own selves - even they may be detained by the state of kaivalya or the enjoyment of their own selves, by the attainment of the !! eight kinds of siddhi, by the attainment of the status of the vasue, or by the entrance into the body of Brahma and the like. Their (temporary) lapse from the highest aim or goal of life (namely, the enjoyment of the bliss of Brahman) is like that of a prince, whose coronation day has been already fixed, casting eyes on the servant maids who attended on him while in prison. They are made to linger fondly over the body and the senses which are the result of past karma and those that are related to the body (like relatives) and the enjoyments connected with them. In this way they become subject to other pleasures than the final goal. Thus there are many forms of hindrance in the way of the attainment of Bhagavan. When a well thirty-two steps wide bas to be leaved over, it makes no difference whether the man falls at the very first step or at the thirty-second step. In the same way wherever or at whatever stage the hindrance occurs, the jiva has not transcended samsura.

NOTE: — In the Chandogya upanishad VII chapter, it is stated as follows: — "He who worships name or names like rig veda, same veda, Itihasa and purana as if they were Brahman will realise only very limited fruits such as can be reached with these names".

¹¹ NOTE: -- The eight kinds of siddhi: -- Anima (contraction of one's body to the alze of an atom, makima (assuming a buge form) Laghima (making one's body light) Garima (making the body heavy), wasilvam (mastery over self), alsvaryam (lordshlp) prapti the power of obtaining whatever one wants and prakamyam (perfect freedom of the will.)

It is true the *Gitä* says that for those who practise "karmayoga, the effort will never perish or be in vain. What has been built up will, of course, remain built up, as it were, of stone. But we cannot say when the fruit will be attained, whether at the end of a kalpa or of a manuantara or of a yuga. Even sages like Vasishta, who ever acted in such a manner as to please God, had to wait long. Those who acted against the will of God like Vritra and Kshatrabandhu attained moksha without any such delay. So it is difficult to say which individuals have done meritorious deeds that would lead to moksha without delay. Similarly it is difficult to state who have done bad deeds leading to divine chastisement causing delay.

SARAŅĀGATI THE ONLY MEANS OF GETTING RID OF THESE HINDRANCES;

How can this chief hindrance which is of the nature of divine punishment resulting from disobedience of the Lord's commands and which is the root cause of this chain of suffering-how can this be removed? The author of Sri Bhāshya (Sri Ramanoja) has declared that the only remedy for this hindrance is to obtain the favour of the Lord and that this can be done only by Saranāgatior self-surrender to the "Lord. This declaration is made by him, while commenting on the order in which the senses, the mind and the like have to be controlled as stated in Kata upanīshad.⁶⁴

All these hindrances to moksha are to be understood in whatever is contrary to what is ordained in the three mysteries (mantras) (e.g. the feeling that the jiva is independent and acts for his own purposes which is opposed to the truths shown in the Ashtākshara), in the egoism, or sense of 'my' or 'mine' in the genitive mama in namah (na + mama) and also in the words' all thy sins' in the charama sloka. These have to be thought of, so that one may feel the suffering which lies in walking on the hot

Bhagavad Gita: 2-40.
 82. Katopanishad L. 3 - 10 - 11.
 81. Sri Bhashya. 1-4-1.

sands of samsāra and desire to hasten towards the path leading to bliss.

TAMIL VERSE :

Those who know these five have declared them in order that I may not despair in mind and in order that the darkness of ignorance may not confound met the five namely the Lord of the lotus born Lakshmi, who stands as the one thing to be attained, the *jiva* who loves the lord and craves for His grace by seeking His feet, the means (or upiiya) to be adopted by the *jiva* for the attainment, and the fruit resulting therefrom, as also the strong fetters of sin due to delusion or ignorance (which are hindrances).

SANSKRIT VERSE :

That which is to be attained is the Supreme Brahman who is the *sreshi* of all; I am also a fit person to attaio Him; the attainment should come to me as inheritance due naturally to me as to the Suris; alas, by my egoism and the ignorance arising from it, I have lost it, but I have been taught that, by surrendering myself to the divine couple who are my *seshis*, I can now attain it.

4

(5) THE CHAPTER ON THE THREE 'REALS' (OR TATVAS)

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The delusion that the soul is nothing but the body and the senses (*prakriti*) disappears when one has a knowledge of the distinctive features of sentient beings and non-sentient things. So also the disputes on such questions as the unity of the *jīva* and God will no longer continue, when the characteristic features of each of them are marked out. Our $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$, whose wisdom never failed, teach us about the existence of three realities (*Taivas*) classified in the $s\bar{a}sir\bar{a}s$ as the experiencing subjects (*bhaktā*), the objects of experience and enjoyment (*bhogyam*) and He who rales over both of them (Iswara).

CLASSIFICATION OF TATVAS OR REALS AND ITS USE :

It may be asked wby the $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ry\bar{a}s$ should state that the three tatvās or 'reals' should be specially prescribed for the study of those who seek moksha, when they form only a part of the six things that should be knowm, namely, the five truths arthapanchaka and the relationship of soul and body (which exists between God and the world). The answer is as follows:-----It is necessary to root out the delusion that the body is the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ and that the $j\bar{v}va$ is independent (of the Lord), as well as the view that there is no God, which is the cause of that delusion, for these are great obstacles to moksha.

The science \bar{x} therefore, divide Reality into three classes: the experiencing subject, the object of experience or enjoyment, and the Ruler who controls and directs them. Among them, non-sentient things which are the objects of experience are of three kinds (1) Prakriti or matter which has the three qualities of satuam, rajas and tamas (2) Kaia or time and (3) Suddha Satuam, the substance of which the transcendental region beyond matter called Vaikunta is made. The nature of (1) Prakriti

has been thus discribed ;- " It is inon-sentient (achetana). It exists (always) for others and it is eternal, but subject always to change or modification. It has three qualities satvam, rajas and tamas and is the field of action (karma) for the jiva". The nature of (2) Käla or time is described thus :- "Bhagavān ⁴Kāla (i.e.) Time, which is the body of Bhagavan, has no beginning nor end." "It takes "the forms of such divisions as kalā and mahoortha which are respectively 13 minutes and 1/30th part of a day (and is the cause of the modifications of matter). But it causes no change or modification in the transcendental world (Aprākritaloka) beyond matter". The nature of the transcendental world beyond matter is such that it is made of suddha satoam. The world 'made of it is of the nature of jnana and bliss. God controls time there; time is not the cause of any change or modification in the world of suddha-satvam (as it is in the world of matter). Thus the nature of Prakriti, Kāla and suddha satva which belong to three classes of non-sentient things (achetana), has been described.

NOW FOR THE EXPERIENCING SUBJECT OR JIVA:

"The $j\bar{v}\bar{v}\bar{u}tm\bar{u}$ is "neither a god nor a man"; "He is "neither a god nor a man". (*That is*: These distinctions are based only on the respective bodies, whereas the soul within has no such distinctions.) The $j\bar{v}va$ is of three kinds:— Baddha or the soul in bondage is called in the $G\bar{u}\bar{u}$ "Kshara" (because he is in contact with matter which is subject to change) All beings" (from Brabma to the blade of grass) are 'Ksharas' or Baddhas. The mukta or the soul which has obtained release from contact with matter is no longer subject to change and is called akshara or Kootastha (because it is immutable). The third class

- 1. Parama Sambita : 2
- Vishnu Purana : 1-2-26.
- 3. Visbou purana : 4-1-84.
- 4. Papcharatra,

- 5. Mahabharara: Santi parva 196-9.
- 6. Vishnu purana : 2-13-98.
- 7. ???
- Bhagavad Gita 15—16.

of *jivas* are the Sūris^{*} who are described as seeing eternally the transcendental world beyond matter. The third class of 'Real' is Iswara who has been thus described :--- He is all;¹⁰ He knows all; He sees all; He possesses all strength, all knowledge, all power, all wealth : He is without fatigue, without lassitude, without fear, without anger, without desire and other such (blemishes). Thus has the nature of Iswara been taught.

Thus the three realities consist of the Ruler and the Ruled (the latter including prakriti and the sentient beings) and our Achāryas (Sri Yamunacharya and Sri Ramanujacharya) have stated this concisely in the words "(Iswara)" on whom depend the essential nature (svar $\overline{u} \phi a$), the continuance (sthiti) and the actively (pravritti) of the three kinds of sentient beings and of non-sentient things with all their differences ". The three kinds of sentient beings are those that are in bondage (baddha), those that have obtained release (mukta) and those that are eternally free (nitya). The three kinds of non-sentient things are Prakriti with its three qualities (satvam, rajas and tamas). Time, and Suddhasatvam. The essential nature (svarupa) means the substance or thing which is defined by attributes peculiar and unique to it. Continuance (sthiti) means continuance of the thing or object in time. This is sternal for sternal things. In the case of non-sternal things, this continuance is subject to expansion and contraction in accordance with the will of Iswara. Activity or pravritti includes action and abstention from action. All these three: the essential nature $(svar \overline{n} \rho a)$, the continuance in time (sthithi) and the activity (pravritti) are seen (by perception and other praminas) to be different in the case of each thing or object.

- 9. Vishou Purana 1-6-39.
- 10. Vishnu Purana 5-1-47.
- 11. Alavandar : Atmasiddhi and Ramanuja's Vaikunta-Gadyam.

When the *pramānas* or sources of knowledge (like perception and inference) reveal an object or thing, they disclose the *essential* nature or *svarūpa* of the object, the attributes that define it, the (other) qualities of the object so defined and also its activities. The essectial nature is always revealed as endowed with the attributes that define it. It is not possible to speak of the essential nature of a thing (*svarūpa*) except in terms of the respective attributes. To speak of an object minus its attributes would be as meaningless as to speak of a hare's horns.

THE NATURE OF THE JIVA.

Therefore the essential nature (svarupa) of the jiva may be described as jnāna (knowledge), bliss (ānanda), (amala) being pure, and being atomic (anu) in terms of its defining attributes (i. e.) being of the nature of knowledge, heing of the nature of bliss, heing pure and being atomic. This reality, vis. the jiva is always sesha to Iswara (i.e.) it exists only for Iswara and it is un-Thus its existing (always) for the conditional sesha only to Him. Lord is affirmed (ayogavyavaccheda) and also its existing for no other than the Lord (anyayogavyavaccheda). This quality of being seeha to the Lord, which is disclosed in the first word of Tirumantra, namely om (aum), is of the nature of a relationship (samhandha) and requires, therefore, a thing having that relationship and cannot be understood without it. 80 this seshatua may be called an additional quality of a thing, namely, the jiva, which has already been defined by its defining attributes. Here the definition of the *jiva* is that it is a thing which is sentient while being also atomic. Similarly the *jiva* may also be defined as a thing which is sentient while being also, by its nature, sesha. So this quality of being sesha may also be called an attribute which defines the thing, vis. the jīva. Similarly Iswara may be defined as baying sentience along with omnipresence (vibku). He may also be defined as one that is absolutely independent of everything else, while being the unconditional seshin (i.e.) one for whom all other things exist (nnconditionally). The class of *ätmäs* which includes

jivas and Iswara may be defined as those that are sentient and pratyak (i.e.) which are subjective and have inwardness. To be sentient is to be the possessor of knowledge. To be subjective or inward (or pratyak) is to possess luminosity to oneself. The atma or soul is self-luminous and shines as 'I' without the help of the attributive knowledge *(dharmabhinta jnana). Since sentience and inwardness (pratyaktva) are common to both jivas and Iswara, the jiva has to be defined as having, in addition, dependance by its very nature (on Iswara). In the Ashtākshara, in the dative of the first syllable $(\bar{a}ya) a$ in and (om), the *jiva*'s existence for the fulfilment of Iswara's purposes is stated without any condition; therefore the jiva is unconditionally and absolutely dependent upon the Lord of Sri (Lakshmi), who is the universal Saviour. This statement of the absolute relationship between the jiva and Iswara throughout the existence (of the former) is called ayogavyavaccheda or denial of the non-existence of the relationship. The second syllable n in anm (om) in Ashtākshara means 'only' and hrings out the idea that the *jiva* exists for the purposes of only Iswara and denies his dependence or existence for the sake of any others. This denial is called anya-yogavyavaccheda or denial of (the *jiva*'s) relationship with others. We will show later that this seshatua extends from the Lord to His devotees as well. The activity of centient beings consists in their being the doers and the enjoyers subject to Iswara's will and purpose. Since this activity and this capacity for enjoyment are given to them by Him, they are for His purposes and not their own.

BADDHAS :

The difference between sentient beings that are in bondage and others consists in the former having $avidy\bar{a}$ (ignorance), karma, the impressions left by past karma ($v\bar{a}san\bar{a}$) the inclinations due to them (*ruchi* or taste for worldly enjoyment) and

*NOTE :- The soul is of the nature of *jnana* or knowledge (i.e.) its svarupa is knowledge. At the same time the soul has knowledge as an attribute and this *jnana* or knowledge is called *Dharmabhuta jnana* or attributive knowledge. association with *prakriti* or matter. The differences existing mutually among *jivas* in respect of knowledge, pleasures and the like may be seen in the groups of *jivas* ranging from Brahmā to a blade of grass.

These bound souls support bodies given to them by Iswara in accordance with their respective karma, both by their essential nature (swarupa) as inana and by their attributive knowledge (dharma bhuta jnana). The support given to the body by the essential nature (svarupa) serves to make it exist. The support given to it by the attributive knowledge or dharmabhutajnana, while in such states as waking, serves to enable the body to be useful for securing the ends or goal of life and for adopting the means to secure these ends. In the case of the supreme devotee who has already adopted the means of attaining mukti, the support given by attributive knowledge makes the body useful for the enjoyment of Bhagavan and for rendering service to Him. In the case of sinners. this support given to the body is productive of adverse experiences. When the *jiva* leaves the body, the latter undergoes disintegration. The constituent substances of the body into which it disintegrates (such as the five elements) remain the body of Iswara. As regards contiouance in time (sthiti), the specific character of sonls in bondage, as distinguished from others, is continuous association with the body until mukti. As regards activities, these jugas in bondage have three kinds: punya, $p\bar{a}pa$ and that which is neither of these two.

MUKTAS:

The difference between released souls and others is that the former have attained their essential nature in a manifest condition by the removal of obstacles. As regards their continuance in time (sthiti), their distinctive feature consists in this :— their essential nature (svarūpa), which has become manifest, will continue to be so without any end. Among souls that have attained mukti or release, the mutual difference is their having attained the manifestation of their essential nature earlier or later. The difference in their activities consists in specific kinds of service to the Lord chosen by each and prompted by love, which has resulted from the perfect enjoyment of Bhagavan gained after the loss of it from time immemorial.

NITYAS :

The specific and distinctive feature of the nityas (the eternally free) is that the manifestation of their essential nature (svarupa) is without any beginning and also their absolute dependence (on the Lord): The specific feature of their continued existence in time is that their enjoyment of the *Seshī is beginningless. Since this is common to all nityas, there is no mutual difference between their respective states of continuous existence in time. The difference in their activities consists in the continuous performance of specific kinds of service to the Lord from beginningless time which, though different, yet form a continuous stream of service. It has been said that such nityas as Ananta (Sesha) and Garuda hold certain specific offices and perform specific kinds of service in due relation to them. If so, it may be asked, how it would be possible to reconcile this with the statement (made by Sri Ramanuja in the gadya) that nityas (the eternally free) and muktas (who have attained moksha) have all access to all kinds of service. The answer is that they are not barred from any form of service which is pleasing to them and is in accordance with the will of the Lord and that no one of them ever desires to render the forms of service which have been specially marked off for others. Besides the service rendered hy any one of them is as pleasing to the Lord as that done by others; therefore the service rendered by any one of them gives the same pleasure of service to all of them. There is no difference in the delight which results from the service as its fruit. Hence there is nothing unreasonable in stating that all of them have access to every form of service.

* NOTE :-- ' Instead of Seshi the author's use of the word seshitated is to include Sri or Lakshmi also as Seshi ". Chetlur commentary.

DHARMABHÜTAJNĀNA* : (Attributive knowledge).

To all these $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}s$ or souls, in the same way as their essential nature ($svar\bar{u}pa$) is that of a substance, their attributive knowledge ($dharmabh\bar{u}t\bar{a}jn\bar{a}na$) is also a substance. Its essential nature has not been separately referred to in the *passage* because of its having been included as an attribute to the soul.

(Note: Passage: the passage which refers to the three kinds of achetana in quotation 11 on p. 50).

This attributive knowledge is luminous by itself to the soul which possesses it, while revealing objecte. (That is, when the attributive knowledge reveals, for example, a pot to the soul which possesses that attributive knowledge, it reveals its own existence as well). To Iswara and the mityas (the eternally free), this attributive knowledge is eternally all-pervasive. For others in the state of samsāra or bondage, it is subject to varying degrees of contraction and expansion owing to karma. In the state of muke, it is all. pervasive for all released souls, owing to perfect expansion and remains so throughout. The functions (pravritte) of attributive knowledge are as follows :-- It discloses objects (external or internal); while willing or making an effort, it directs the body (and the senses etc.); in the state of bondage, it undergoes contraction and expansion; it undergoes experiences (bhoga) which reveal objects as agreeable or disagreeable. Experience or Bhoga is the process of feeling a thing as agreeable or disagreeable. All objects, which are among the glories of Iswara, are, by their very nature, agreeable and are enjoyed as being agreeable by Iswara, the nityas and the souls that have attained moksha. To those in samsāra, however, these objects appear at different times, and in

According to Visistadvaitinms, the self or atma is, in its essential nature or svarupa, knowledge. It also possesses juana or knowledge as its dharma or attribute. This knowledge is called dharmabhutajuans or attributive knowledge.

different places and in relation to different jivas as slightly agreeable, or disagreeable, or indifferent, but these variations do not belong to them by nature. Their slight agreeableness, disagreeableness, or being neither of the two is the fruit of the past actions of the jivas awarded to them by Iswara, whose will is irresistible. The jīvas that are in bondage are subject to the experience of the fruits of their karma, both by nature and by auxiliary causes. By nature they are subject to it, because they are sentient beings entirely under the control of another (Iswara). The auxiliary causes are their having committed offences against the Lord. In the case of muktas and mityas, although, by their nature as being subject to the control of Iswara, the experience of the fruit of action might be possible, yet since they never perform any action displeasing to Him, there are no auxiliary canses for such experiences. Iswara, by His essential nature, is not subject to such experience or enjoyment of the fruits of action, since He stands as the Ruler over all and with no one else to command Him. Nor are there any auxiliary causes in His case, as there is no one whose commands He may be said to have disobeyed.

The essential nature (svarūpa) of all ātmās, jīvās as well as Iswara, is being self-luminous to oneself. This luminosity of the self to itself suffers, sven in the case of those in bondage, no contraction or expansion at any time. The attributive knowledge (dharmabhutajnana) of all atmas is luminions to its supporting self, while disclosing objects (like pots). A common characteristic of svarupa jnana or the self and attributive knowledge or dharmabhutajnuna is their both being of the nature of knowledge and being self-luminous (i.e.) being luminous without requiring anything else to light them up. Attributive knowledge has the additional characteristic of revealing or disclosing objects (vishayitva). The additional characteristic possessed by svarupajnana (or the self) is subjectiveness or inwardness (pratyaktva). Juana or knowledge, (which is the character of both of them), may be defined as that which makes a thing luminous; that is, it makes either itself or something else fit for being spoken

about and acted upon (vyavahāra). Self-luminosity is the capability of being luminous without the need of any other knowledge to illumine it. Attributive knowledge has visha yitva, because it discloses objects other than itself (like pots, cloths etc.) The subjectiveness or inwardness of souls or ätmäs (pratyaktva) consists in their being luminous to themselves; that is, the benefit of their luminosity is enjoyed by themselves The atmst or self is the recipient of the benefit of every object being disclosed or revealed, Pratyaktva is only a special instance of this general, statement, for in it, the self receives the benefit of its own disclosure or revelation. A thing which does not possess this pratyaktva or subjectiveness does not also possess the common benefit of objects being disclosed. It cannot, therefore, be called sentient. Though subjective knowledge or the 'I' and attributive knowledge, which is its attribute, are both luminous by themselves, yet they are also capable of being apprehended by other forms of knowledge (like that from süstras), as being endowed with attributes like being eternal. When one's attributive knowledge happens to be known or apprehended by one with the help of another piece of knowledge, the latter is called another piece of knowledge, merely because of the direction to which the attributive knowledge is turned. When we perceive an object, say a pot, dharmabhutajnuna contacts the object and reveals it to the soul or self; but when the dharmabhatajnana itself becomes the object of knowledge, it is revealed to the self by a part of it.

THE THREE KINDS OF NON-SENTIENT BEINGS:

The three classes of non-sentient things are capable of being apprehended only by others, (and not by themselves). Nonsentience means being without the possession of knowledge. To be capable of being apprehended only by others means that their apprehension is not to themselves or for their own benefit. These two (viz. being without the possession of knowledge and the apprehension not being for their own benefit) are true also of attributive knowledge (dharmabhītajnāna), which is thus a nonsentient thing.

Of the three classes of non-sentient things, matter (Prakriti) and time (Kāla) are jada (i.e.) not luminous by themselves. There are some who hold that suddha satuam is also jada. То be jada is to be without self-luminosity. Those who have studied such treatises as Pancharatra or the Lord's Sastra would say that suddhasatvam is luminous by itself, as it is declared to be so in that söstra. It may be asked why, if it is luminous by itself, it is not directly perceived by beings in the state of samsāra, without having to be known through stastra only. The answer is as follows :- The soul whose essential nature (svarüpa) is knowledge and its attributive knowledge are both luminous by themselves and yet the former is luminous to itself and not to other souls, who have to know it with the help of their own attributive knowledge. Attributive knowledge, though luminous to its substrate, namely the soul, is not luminous to others. In the same way, the suddhasatuam may be luminous by itself only to Iswara, the muktas and the nityas and not to others. There is nothing unreasonable in this view.

It has been said in the following sloka :--

"We "bow to Hari and proceed to expound the sastra called Nyaya-Tatva : to Hari who sees, directly by perception and of His own accord, all things simultaneously." It may be asked how, to Iswara who sees all things with His attributive knowledge. suddha-satvam could be "luminous by itself, when it is illuminated by the attributive knowledge of Iswara. The answer is as follows :— Iswara's attributive knowledge apprehends all things including His own divine svarā¢a and yet do we not hold that His divine form is luminous by itself? So also it is possible that suddhasatvam is self-luminous, thongb it is apprehended also by the attributive knowledge of Iswara. This is true also of nityas. When lighting up an object (like a pot), attributive knowledge, it

* NOTE: — A pot is not luminous, because it becomes visible or is seen only when lighted up by the flame of a lamp. The flame of a lamp is luminous, because it does not require anything else to become visible.

Nyaya-tatvam : Mangala sloka.

has already been said, is luminous by itself only to its sub-strate, namely, the self or soul. In the same way suddhissatuam may be luminous by itself to those that have attained release in their new state. There is nothing inconceivable in this. The luminosity of attributive knowledge is obstructed at the time when it is not lighting up external objects (like pots), by past karma of certain kinds. So also the luminosity of suddhasatuam may meet with obstruction in the state of bondage and may not, therefore, be apprehended by those in samsāra.

SANSKRIT, SLOKA.

The luminosity of (attributive) knowledge is natural to it (svābhævika), after release from samsāra, but is obstructed sometimes during bondage. So also in this case, (there may be obstruction during samsāra and luminousness after release)".

Substances which are subject to modification (vikari) are sometimes agreeable and sometimes disagreeable to the same individual and to different individuals. (Similarly suddha-satuam may be luminous to God, the eternally free souls and those souls that have attained release from bondage, while being non-luminous to those in bondage.) There is no contradiction in this. In matters ascertained by the authority of the Scriptures, it is no use pointing out inconsistency based on analogy. If, without accepting this principle, we were to say that suddha satvam is said to be luminous not in reality, but by courtesy, as it were, or figuratively (upachāra), it might become possible to argue that knowledge, bliss etc. are also true of the self or soul only figuratively and not in truth. It may be asked :-- " How could there be, in a luminous object (vis. suddhasatvam), qualities like form and taste and classifications like earth and water and also modific tions. Selfluminous things like the soul and attributive knowledge do not, in general, have shape, tiste, smell and the like)"? The answer is as follows :--- (All self-luminous objects are not alike but bave differences peopliar to each); for example, knowledge or self which is

the substrate (*i.e.* the soul) and attributive knowledge, though both are luminous, are different from each other in other respects. Knowledge, which is the substrate, has subjectivity, while attributive knowledge is objective, and apprehends objects. Bo this objection can be given a counter-check (*pratibandhi*) and cannot hold good in a matter where the authority of the $S\bar{a}stra$ must be accepted. Suddhasatvam, which is luminous, is included among the three classes of non-sentient things, because it has not the quality of sentience or being the knower. The activities (*pravritti*) of these three kinds of non-sentient things are of the nature of varied modifications (*parināma*) in accordance with the will of Iswara.

PRAKRITI.

Among these, *prakriti* or matter is, in its essential nature, possessed of three qualities or attributes. *Prakriti* or matter is constantly subject to modification. When its three qualities *satvam*, *rajas* and *tamas* are evenly balanced, there is dissolution or termination of the world (*mahüpralayam*) and when these qualities are uneven in proportions, *prakriti* undergoes modifications into *mahat* and the like. The region of matter which is not modified and the region which has become modified have been classified by the Sāstras into twenty-four *tatvās* or reals - *prakriti*, *mahat*, *ahankāra*, *tanmātras* (five), the elements (*bhūtas*) (five) and the senses (eleven) - the senses of knowledge (five), the senses of action (five) and the mind (*manas*).

Sometimes they are spoken of as more than twenty-four or less than twenty-four in accordance with the terms or basis of classification. The subdivisions of each of these classes of *tatvas* or reals, and the deities (*abhimāni devatās*) presiding over or representing each of them should be understood by those who are qualified for their respective meditation. What we are primarily concerned with here is their being different from the $\overline{a}tm\overline{a}$ or self. These may all be understood as weapons and ornaments of the Supreme Being, in the following way :---

TAMIL VERSE:

The self or soul is the invaluable gem, (koustubha); prakriti or matter is the mole on the Lord's body (Srivatsa); the tatva or real called mahat is His club; knowledge and ignorance ($jn\bar{a}na$ and $ajn\bar{a}na$) are His sword and its sheath; the ahankāras are His bow and conch ($t\bar{a}masa$ ahankāra is the bow ($s\bar{a}rnga$) and $s\bar{a}tvika$ ahankāra the conch); the mind is His discus (chakra); the ten senses are errows; the tanmātras and the bhītas (the elements) form His vanamātā ($vaijayant\bar{i}$) or garland of wild flowers; Garuda is the Veda and Sri Krishna (Kannan) the object of description in the Veda, is endowed with these and protects all from the top of Hastigiri ".

The distinctive features of each of these twenty-four 'reals' may be seen in their respective definitions. Of these the twentythree reals which are effects and modifications of prakriti and also their effects and modifications differ from one another in their mature and these differences may be understood from a study of the purämas.

ESSENTIAL NATURE, ACTIVITY ETC. OF PRAKRITI: Suddhasatvam¹⁸

"Satvam¹⁸ or Suddhasatvam illumines its own existence; it is different from the quality called sätvam" "The creator" is beyond tamas; "It is different from¹⁵ prakriti and is revered by the gods". These and other passages declare the existence of a region (of suddha satvam) beyond tamas. Therefore the passages which say "It (Prakriti) is eternal and has no limits and is beyond all measure. It is endless and incapable of being meaeured" should be understood as stating that moola prakriti or

- 13. Pancharatram
- 14. Ramayana : Yuddhakanda : 114-15.
- 15. Jitanta Stotram : 2-21.
- 16. Vishnu purana : 2-7-25, 26.

matter is endless or infinite except for the region covered by the nitya vibhilii (the region of eternal glory).

The activity or pravritti of matter (or prakriti) is to become modified with its three qualities duly balanced (during pralaya), and with them as uneven after creation (srishti), for the sake of the experience of souls bound in samsarā, and for their release from bondage and so also for the delectation or $\overline{n}l\overline{a}$ of Iswara. It also acts in various ways, having assumed the forms of the hody and the senses. Owing to the qualities of rajas and tamas in it, it conceals the truths concerning the 'reals' (tatvas) and gives rise to false or erroneous knowledge. While existing for the experiences of the baddha during samsāra, it may also reveal the tatvas or 'reals' just as they are, when the quality of satvam in it is developed and may help in the striving for moksha. All these contribute to the delectation or $t\overline{n}\overline{a}$ of Iswara.

Suddhasatvam is, in its essential nature $(svar \bar{n} pa)$, the seat or abode of that satvam which is unmixed with rajas and tamas. Its specific state in time or sthiti is eternal in the (permanent) halls and towers (of Vaikunta) and in the specific and permanent forms of Iswara and the eternally free. It is not eternal but temporary in such things as the forms that come into existence out of a temporary desire of Iswara, of the nityus and of the muktas. Its specific activity is to undergo modifications to suit their desires so as to serve as accessories for the enjoyment (bhoga) of Iswara, the seshī, and as instruments for the service to be rendered by the seshas.

TIME: Küla.

Time or $K\ddot{a}la$ is, in its essential nature, non-sentient or nonluminous and all-pervasive (*vibhu*). It is effect because its continued existence (*sthiti*) is not limited by time. Its activity consists in its being accessory to creation and the like by such divisions as kalā (1/80 of muhūrtha), kāshta (1/80 of kalā) and the like. All these substances (dravya) are, in their essential nature (svariipa), eternal. Some of them are said to be temporary or transient, owing to their assuming a new state or condition (avastha), which justifies their being called by a new name. As new states similar to those which have perished follow each other in continuous succession, they are said to be eternal in the sense of a stream or current of water (retaining the same form, though the water at any time may be different).

The essential nature (svarūpa), the existence in time (sthiti), and the activity (provritti) of all these substances are dependent upon Iswara, because they have no existence arc. apart from His existence and without His will or desire. Therefore it is due to Iswara's will that all substances are, by their very nature, agreeable. From this it follows that to Isware, to the eternally free and to the released souls, they are all agreeable. To those bound in sams $\overline{a}ra$, however, they appear as disagreeable or as only slightly agreeable at different times and to different persons in accordance with the karma of those persons. Even to bound souls, the essential nature of their own self or soul is always to be agreeable, as such is the will of Iswara. It is indeed, because of this false identification with the self which is agreeable and also karma, that the body which is disagreeable appears agreeable to those who are ignorant. Since prakriti and its modifications are harmful owing to karma, the man who desires release from bond. age should abandon the attachment to them. To those that have attained moksha, however, these very things are agreeable and not to be rejected. All those things that are taken for one's own with the notion of 'I' and 'Mine' are harmful. They become agreeable, when a man has come to understand his real nature and to realise that these things exist for the purposes of the Lord. We will explain this matter at length when we come to speak of the perfect and complete enjoyment of (the bliss of) Brahman.

ISWARA:

The essential nature (svarupa) of Iswara on whom depends the existence of all things is truth (satyam), knowledge (judna),

infiniteness (ananta), bliss (ānanda) and purity (amalatvam) because of attributes like being truth, being knowledge, being infinite, being blissful and being pure. These attributes define His svarupa or essential nature. This truth is brought out by the Alwars in the following passages; -- "Thou "art light that never becomes extinct and is 'not capable of being measured" (where His essential nature as Truth and Knowledge is revealed as also His infiniteness) "Thou that "art perfect knowledge and bliss" and "Thou "that envelopest all and art a Light greater than all other lights, being both knowledge and bliss" "He is "the Pure". In these and other ways the Alwars meditated on Him. His other qualities, along with His divine and anspicious form and such other things, are attributes of His essential nature (svarüpa) already defined by those attributes. Among these qualities knowledge, strength, lordship, valour, energy, splendour-these six are associated with His nature as the Supreme Being. Goodness, spontaneous love, and the like are qualities that make him easily accessible to all. These qualities are, at all times, connected with His essential nature (svarupa). The statement that some of these qualities are associated with the Supreme Person (Para), some with vyūka and so forth is only to show what qualities the Lord of all reveals to those who are devoted to any of these manifestations of His. (It does not mean that the other qualities are absent in any of these forms). Just as in the respective vidyäs of the Upaniabads, we are to meditate on certain specified attributes of Brahman, so also certain specified attributes are to be meditated upon in connection with particular forms of the Lord as revealed in Pancharatra or the Scripture of the Lord. In the Para or Supreme Vasudeva, all the six "qualities

^{17.} Peria Tirumozhi : 3-8-1. 19. Tiruvoymozhi 10-10-10;

^{18.} Tiruvoymozhi 1-1-2; 20. Amelan Adi Piran (Tiruppanalwar) 1.

^{*} Six Qualities or Gunax: 1. Juana (Knowledge) 2. Aiswarya Lordship; unimpeded activity; activity based on independence 3. Sakti (ability) potency to become the material cause of the world; also the power of bringing about what appears impossible of being brought about; 4. bala strength; absence of fatigue; sustaining power; 5. virya virility; changelessness in spite of being the material cause of the world; 6. tejas splendour, might, the power to overcome others.

beginning with knowledge are to be thought of. The sastras sometimes say that the vyühas (or emanations) are four and in some places that they are three. Where it is said that there are four vyuhas and that Vasudeva is one of them, since there is no difference in the qualities to be meditated on between the Supreme Vasudeva and the Vyiiha Vasudeva, it is tantamount to saying that there are three vyūhas. Those who hold that the vyūhas are three state their view briefly in the following sloka :- "O Lord, "Thy original form shone with these six attributes; then came three forms of Thine which had, each of them, a couple of these Their respective qualities and activities attributes." 616 epitomised in the following s-loka :- "O, Sovereign of "Sriranga! As the Supreme Vasudeva (Para) endowed with all the six quali. ties, you are the object of blissful enjoyment for those that have attained mokska; as Sankarshana being endowed with strength and knowledge, you destroy the Universe and also promolgate the sāstras; as Pradyumna being endowed with lordship and virility. you create the Universe and make Dharma prevail; as Aniruddha being endowed with splendour and the power to overcome others. you protect the world and teach the Truth. In this way you divide yourself."

The differences arising from planes like the waking state have been thus summarised :— "O Lord, "of "those that meditate on Thee, some are like those in the waking state, some like those that dream in sleep, others like those that sleep without dreams, and others still are like those that have fallen into a swoon. (In the first group, the senses function, in the second the senses do not function, only the mind is active; in the third group even the mind does not function; they only breathe; in the fourth group even the breath is suspended). In the same way, O Lord, Thou art in four forms and dividest Thyself into four forms and art meditated npon with attributes and weapons suited to each."

21. Varadarajasthavam 23. Rangarajastavam : Uttarasatakam 39.

22. Rangarajastavam: Utterasatakam 40.

 This sloka cannot be easily understood without the account given in the Appendix.

The twelve forms that begin with Kesava are other forms derived from the vythas. (Bhagavan also manifesta Himself as vibhavas.) These vibhavas are thirty odd forms like Padmanabha. Among them Avatars like Mataya and Kurma are specified in relation to some specific purpose. In these vibhavas or avatārs, Iswars conceals those attributes of His which He wants to conceal and reveals those attributes which He wants to reveal to suit the special mission which He has undertaken in them. Among them, there are varied manifestations which are countless. So has it been said, "The "forms of Krishna are innumerable ". Other forms similarly derived from the vibhavas can also be seen in the sastras. Sometimes the Lord enters into some jivas with His own specific form and into others with His energy (sokti) and accomplishes wonderful things. These belong to another class of vibhavas. The forms of the Supreme Vasudeva (Para), the Vyühas and the Vibhavas assume the "forms of the images and enter into the shapes of images (installed in temples), for the sake of devotees as desired by them. This is archavatāra: The Lord is (also) present in a subtle form in the hearts of all jivas. This form is called Antaryami Avatara, as meditation on this form has been prescribed as the means which enables those who wish to enter into the meditation of the Antaryamin or the divine all-perveding svarupa of the Lord. It has been said of this meditation :- "Those "who have attained success in Ashtānga 30ga and who are desirous of worshipping the One who dwells in the heart are fit to mediate on the one (i.e.) the form in which He dwells in the hearts of all." Therefore this One (Harda) is spoken as Antaryāmī.

All these forms of incarnation are constituted of the substance called *suddhasatvam* and have no connection with *karma* or its fruits. They are therefore called *pure* creation (*suddha srishti*).

24. Pancharatra 25. Satvata samhita 6-22 This is stated in the following passage: "He assumes a form similar to that of the image, enters into it, and remains there".

26. Setvate samhita: 2-7-8

These avatārs (vibhava, archā and Hārda) are all real; in them there is no contraction or abridgement of *jnāna* and the other attributes of Iswara; the bodies of these avatārs are made of *suddha-satvam*; the reason for these avatārs is only the Lord's will; their time of manifestation is the time when *dharma* has to be safe-guarded; their purpose is the protection of the good and the like; those who meditate on these avatārs with clear knowedge may attain moksha at the end of this very life without any future birth, by their successful adoption of the right means saited to their competency and capacity. All these truths have, been explained by the Gītāchārya in five slokas beginning with:—

"Bahūni me ^{26A}vyatītāni etc." Many are the births that I have gone through, just like you.

This meditation on the vibhavas (or avatārs) creates supreme faith or confidence (mahāvis vāsa) in the mind of the seeker after salvation who seeks prapatti as an independent means or $u p \bar{a} y a$, by affording him a knowledge of the great qualities of the Lord who is sought as the refuge and this at the moment when he adopts prapatti as the means. So also the worship of Archavatara (the image) will lead easily to moksha. Bhagavan Sounaka has said :--- "" " Having shaped a beautiful image of Vishnu with a lovely face and lovely eyes, out of gold, silver and the like in a runner that would be pleasing, one should adore it, bow to it, sacrifice to it and meditate on it. By doing so, one would enter into that form which is none other than Brahman and will have all one's sine dispelled." The Alwars, too, very largely dwelt on this secret doctrine about the Lord's avatārs and the distinctive excellence of the avatārs in the form of $arch\bar{a}$ or consecrated images and considered the Supreme Self (in Vaikunta) as their original canse.

Iswara, who is in these varied forms, creates, maintains and destroys all, is also the giver of *moksha* and has such other acti-

26. Satvata Samhita 2-7-8 27. Vishnudharma : 103-16 26A. Bhagavad Gita IV5. vities. These activities of His are due to the over-flow of His blies or *ānanda*.

LAKSHMI

Iswara is with His consort in all situations as stated in passages like: "Lakshmi, the "mother of the world, is eternal and inseparable from Vishnu." This may also be clearly understood from the writings of Parasara and Parankusa (Nammalwar), who, for the help which they have rendered, have been spoken of by Alavandar with great regard in these terms: "I bow to the generous eage, Parasara, who composed that gem of a purana (Vishnupurana), wherein he discloses, as they are in reality, the truths concerning *cit*, *acit* and Iswara, their distinctive nature, *swarga*, *moksha* and the means of attaining them" and "I bow my head at the two feet of Nammalwar fragrant with *vakula* flowers, for they are, to all those who are connected with me, mother, father, wives, sons and all forms of wealth and lordship."

In this context, we have to understand a difference in their activities or division of labour, as it were; for the Lord is concerned with the punishment (of the evildoer) and Lakshmi with pleading to Him for mercy on behalf of the sinner; the Mimāmsakas have declared that the object which is stated by analogy to have attributes similar to those of another object actually described must be different by that very circumstance^{*} from that other object. So in this case, as it has been stated by analogy or *atidesra* "Just^{28A} as Vishnu is everywhere, so also in Lakshmi, O best of Brahmins", there should be a difference between Bhagavan and Lakshmi. This difference abould be understood as referring (here) only to the difference in their functions or activities. This is the conclusion arrived at in the following *sloka* in Srigunaratna-

28. Vishnopsmana 1-22-53

28A. Vishnopurana 1-8-17

• NOTE:- By that very circumstance :--- Without requiring any other authority to prove it. The different activities shared by Lakshmi and Her Lord are determined by their own will and not by difference in the nature of the two-

-

kosa; "Youth and other attributes are common to both of you; however the Lord has manly qualities, like independence, conquest of foes, firmness and the like; you have such femiuine qualities as existing solely for the husband, compassion and forgiveness. Thus you two have taken diverse qualities." "It is impossible to understand the real nature of God", says Nammalvar in (1-8-6) So in a matter like this, vain assertion or insistence is not proper.

As has been said:— "Some make "weak cases appear strong." Although it is possible to prove whatever we please with the help of our proficiency in logical disputation, we submit to the authority of the sāstras. Therefore we should understand clearly the truth concerning the Real or Tatva that rules and the reals or tatvas that are ruled, only in accordance with the pramānas. We need not try to know all things but should try to know what is exceedingly useful. In matters which are only of limited use, we need not be so curious to obtain knowledge. The pilot tries to know just as much about the (vast) ocean as would enable him to steer the ship along the right path. Similarly we have to understand necessarily as much as has been said here. In order to obtain unshakable conviction (about these truths), more elaborate treatises may be studied.

OTHER CLASSIFICATIONS OF THE TATVAS OR 'REALS':

Here we have classified the Tatvas into three. So also some consider Iswara as the only real or Tatva, because all other reals are His attributes. Some have classified the tatvas into two as follows:— The ruler and the ruled; the self and the non-self; the means and the end (upaya and upeya). Some classify what abould be known into four: the Saviour and that which is to be saved; that which should be rejected and that which is to be accepted. Others classify what should be known under five heads as pointed out before and others into six. In the Rahasyastastras,

^{29.} Mahabharata: Sabhaparva: 39-5.

what should be known is stated to be seven. To all those who think in those varied ways, there are respective advantages accruing to the strengthening of their knowledge and their daily observances.

What is said in the following *sloka*, is of course true:— "A knowledge ¹⁰ of the *sāstras* can be obtained only with the greatest difficulty. Further it may unsettle the mind. Therefore having obtained a knowledge of Hari from the teaching of the *guru*, a man should cease from all such activities." This does not mean that one should make no attempt to learn the *sāstras*. It only means that one should learn what is most important as quickly as possible and proceed at once to adopt the means learnt therefrom for attaining *moksha*, without spending too much time over elaborate treatises, which might cause delay in the adoption of the means. The man who has enough to eat without tilling the soil would never think of tilling it. So also without wasting time over what is unimportant, we should, as soon as possible, adopt the means prescribed for *moksha*.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

A clear knowledge of what is nsefel, indifference to the three ends (*dharma*, *artha*, and $k\bar{a}ma$) and being one in thought, word and deed - these constitute the elixir of happiness.

TAMIL VERSE:

Our ancient $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$ who gave us the essence of the Veda have, out of compassion (for us), taught us clearly all about the three wonderful and varied *tatvas*, *cit*, *acit* and Iswars in order to remove, from our minds, the cruel delusion in regard to the body arising from *karma* and the notion that we are independent (of the Lord).

÷.

.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

By the addition of a point here and the omission of a point there, there are countless systems of thought, each appearing beautiful with fallacious arguments owing to the endless differences due to 'is' and 'is not'. These are indeed as numerous as the poems composed by the imagination of poets (some omitting an incident and others inventing an incident and so forth). Notwithstanding this, the unparalleled vision of the truth (about the *tatvas*) will make them all vanish at once into thin air. When the man is seen in reality, the delusion that he is an animal or a log of wood, *sthāņu* vanishes at once. So also when, with the help of the *pramāņas*, Purushottama is ascertained as the Supreme Deity, the delusion that Rudra (Sthanu) or any other is the Supreme Deity is at once dispelled.

6. THE CHAPTER ON THE TRUTH CONCERNING THE SUPREME DEITY.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Those who are not proficient in the Sästras say that there is only a single self, that all deities (like Indra, Agni and so on) are only one, that the three deities (Brahma, Vishnn and Siva), when properly understood, are alike and of equal rank, that these three deities are only one deity (in three forms) and that the Supreme Deity is other than these three and hold other such (erroneous) views, but those who have discrimination entertain no regard for these (divergent views). The Upanishads, with one voice, and so also Mann, Vyasa and others, following the Upanishads, proclaim that Sriman Narayana is our Lord who has all (things and beings) for His body, that He (alone) can give *mukti* and that He is the object of hlissful enjoyment for those who have attained *moksha*.

WHY SHOULD WE DETERMINE WHO THE SUPREME DEITY IS :

All 'reals' have been classified into matter (*Prakriti*), the individual self and the Supreme Self or Iswara on the basis of the differences among them pointed out before. (From a knowledge of this classification alone), it is not possible to attain supreme devotion to a single deity to the exclusion of all others, unless the truth as to who is the Supreme Deity has been ascertained as in the words of the Alwar: "She will not worship any god other than Bhagevan nor dream of any such god". Further, to no one but the exclusive devotee of the Supreme Deity, is moksha possible of attainment without delay. So it is necessary to decide who is the Supreme Deity.

CRITICISM OF ERRONEOUS VIEWS:

In this context, the view that all (things and beings) are the substance of Brahman cannot be accepted, since sentient and nonsentient things are known by the *pramāņas* to be entirely different from one another. In the same way, the essential differences between the *jīva* and Iswara cannot be ignored (and they disprove the view that they are one). Similarly the mutual differences among the gods are based on the *pramāņas*, because of their association with varied pleasures and psins. Therefore, though the indwelling Supreme Self, *Antaryāmin* is one, the view that Brahma, Rudra, and the other gods are not different from Iswara and from one another cannot be justified.

BRAHMĀ, RUDRA AND OTHERS ARE CREATED BEINGS:

Those who are considered as the chief among these gods, namely, Brahma, Rudra, Indra and others are created beings subject to the law of karma, as can be proved by pramanas. Iŧ has been said, "When 'all individual beings and even the aggregate jiva (Samashti) have gone into dissolution and when mahat has become merged into prakriti (from which it evolved), there is one remaining as the soul of the universe and He is the Lord Narayana." Again it is said, "The 'god Narayana is at the beginning; from Him arises Brahma", " "Narayana is the Supreme Deity; From Him was born Brahms, the four-faced, and from Brahma arose Rudra, O goddess ", and further, it is stated, "Then you, 'the irresistible, assumed the form of Vishnu from that eternal existence (vis. Namyana) for the protection of all beings." From this it is clear that, by his own will. He incarnated as the middle one (i.e. Vishnu) of the Trinity. He who is expressed by the names, Vishnu and Narayana, is stated to have been the ultimate cause of the world in His previous and original state. It is

- 1. Mahabharata: Santi parva 210-24.
- 2. Varaha Puranam : 25-6.
- 3. Varaha Puranam : 90-3.
- 4. Ramayana : Uttara Kanda (Brahma's words) 101-26.

also said elsewhere, "There is no 'being in the world that is eternal, either among those that move or among those that do not move, except that one Primaeval Purusha called Vasudeva." This declares that He alone is sternal. Therefore, other views such as the following :--- "The gods of the trinity (Brahma, Vishnu, and Siva) are of equal greatness"; "The three gods of the Trinity are only one", "Iswara is above and beyond the Trinity " "Either Brahma or Rudra among the Trinity is the Supreme Lord "-these views stating equality, identity, the existence of an entity superior to all the three of the Trinity and the supremacy of a different member of the Trinity, are against the pramanas. That Brahma, Rudra, and the like are created beings subject to karma may be seen in the following passages :-- " The' purusha or person created by Him (Narayana) is called Brahma in the world". "Having 'caused the dissolution of the worlds before, you lay on the waters of the great ocean and created me at first by your Maya (will).", " Brahma's name "is Ka and I, Isa rule over all embodied beings. We two were born from your limbs. Therefore you are called Kesava (Ka and Isa)" Further Brahma says, "I was 'born from His graciouaness for some reason and you, from His wrath, for some other reason, in one of the earlier creations." The very words of these rival gods, which are, so to say, a deed of release written with their own hends, declare this truth. That Brabma and Rudra are subject to karma and attained their high status, because of having worebipped the Lord of all with special rites, may be seen from the following passages :- "All the "gods sacrifice to Vasudeva and all the gods bow to Vasudeva." "Brahma, "Rudra and Indra, together with all other gods and the great rishis worship the divine Narayana or Hari, the greatest of Gods", "Meditating "constantly on the

- 5. Mahabharata: Santiparva 347-32
- 6. Manusmriti 1-11
- 7. Ramayana: Uttarakanda 104-4
- 8. Harivama (Siva's words) 131-48
- 9. Mehabharata Santiparva 352-62 10. ?
- 11. Mahabharata Sautiparva 350-30
- 12. Mahabharata Santiparva 210-33

Lord. Brahms, Isana (Rudra) and others have not yet attained to a realisation of His nature. It is He whose protection I seek." And Brahma says :- "Having "created me in the divine lotus in your navel shining like the sun, you have assigned to me all the duties of creation (of Prajapati) and with this burden imposed on me. I worship you, the Lord of the Universe." And in snother place, we find the following :- "Brahma, "born of the lotus, having worshipped Vishnu for thousands of crores of yugas, obtained again the position of the creator of the three worlds. This is what we have heard." So also it is said :- " " Mahadeva (Rudra), who is called Viswarupa, sacrificed all things in a great yaina called Sarvamedha and then secrificed himself also mentally". " Mahadevs sacrificed himself in the Sarvainedha and became the god of gods. The "resplendent Rudra, clad in the skin of the elephant, reaches all the worlds with his knowledge and shines with his fame". "Since in this "great sacrifice, he gave me my share of the offering, he has been authorized by me, in the Vedas and the Sutras, as fit to receive his share of the offerings." These gods, Brahma, Rudra and others are dependent upon the Māpā of Bhagavan ((i.e.) Prakriti) and are subject to the influence of the gunas (satvam, rajas and tamas). Their knowledge is also subject to contraction and expansion. All this may be understood from the incidents of the loss of the Vedas and others and also from the following sloka :- "All the "Agods beginning with Brahms, all men, and all animals are enveloped by the dense darkness of delusion arising from the whirlwind of Vishnu's Mays (Prakriti)." " The wise say that "these (positions) are the rewards of those who follow the good path of Satua, namely, Brahme and the other creators, and the deities presiding over dharma, mahat and avyaktam "

- 13, Ramayana: Uttarakanda 104-7-8
- 14. Mahabharata: Kundadharo- 👘

pekhyuna

- 15. Mahabharata: Santiparya: 8-37
- 16. Mahabhatata: Santiparva: 20-12
- 17. Mahabharatha: Santiparva: 349-61
- 17A. Vishnupurana: 3-30-17
- 18. Menu Smriti: 12-50

THE OTHER GODS ARE THE SERVANTS OF BHAGAVAN.

That these (Brahma and Rudra) render service to the Lord, who is their inner self, with the help of the knowledge given to them by Him may be seen from the following sloke ;- "These two ", who are the greatest among the gods, are considered to have been born (respectively) out of His (Bhagavan's) graciousness and wrath; and they perform the duties of creation and destruction in accordance with the lines laid down by Him." They are not pure and are not objects for spiritual meditation (subhasraya), for it has been said : "Bhagavan "Hiranyagarhha (Brahma), Vasava (Indra) and Prajapati all these gods and others are impure, their hirths being due to past karma " and again, "From Brahma³¹ to the blade of grass, all beings that have their existence in the world have had their births as the result of karma and are subject to the cycle of births and deaths (samsāra)" and further, "The "man who has no attachment (to the things of this world) should know that every being including Brahma is impure as being tainted by the ripening of karma — to Him, Vasudeva alone is the Supreme Goal or refuge." These statements have been made by Parasara, Sounaka, Suka and others. Brahma, Rudra and others have to seek Bhagavan's protection and Bhagavan has to seek no body else's protection. This is clear from His own words :- "The gods" are under the protection of Rudra, O King, and Rudra is under the protection of Brahma. Brahma is under my protection and I do not seek the protection of any one. There is no one that I need for my protection, for I am the refuge of all." These gods are among the great possessions (vibhūti) of Bhagavan, who is the Lord of both Estavibhūti and nitya-vibhūti.) - for it is said. "Brahma" Dakshe and others, Kals,

- 19. Mahabharata: Santiparva: 350-19
- 20. Vishnupurana: 6-7-56, 77.
- 21. Vishoudbarmam: 104-23 22. Bhagavatam: 11-19-18.

 Mahabharata: Aswamedhikaparva 118-37, 38

24. Vishnupurana: 1-22-33

Rudra and the destroyer of Kala - all these are among the vibkutis of Bhagavan," where Brahma and Rudra are spoken of as being on the same footing as Daksha and others.

THE OTHER GODS ARE THE PRAKINAS OR MODES OF BHAGAVÄN.

These gods (Brahma, Rudra etc.) are others like the modes or prakāras of the Lord of all who has all things as His body. This is clear from the grammatical apposition or co-ordination (sāmānādhikaraņya) in which they are used, just like other substances, in connection with such words as Narayana. (For example it is said that Rudra is Narayana, Brahma is Narayana,. the earth is Narayana and so on.)

That these are His bodies and that He is their inner self or soni is evident from these words of Brahma to Budra ;-- "He is" the inner self of you, of me and of all those who are called embodied beings. He sees all but cannot be apprehended by any one, anywhere." These are seshas who exist solely for the fulfilment of His purposes and He is their seski. This truth was expressed by the all-knowing Rudra himself in Mantrarājapadastotra, where he says, "All beings are, by their nature, the servants of the Supreme Self. Therefore I am also Thy servent and, with this knowledge, I bow to Thee."

BHAGAVAN HAS NEITHER EQUALS NOR SUPERIORS :

Thus Narayana is destitute, in every way, of equals and superiors. This may be seen from the following stokas :- "O best" of men, there is no one superior to (Narsyana) the god of the lotus-like eyes; " "There was" no one superior to Him in the past nor will there be any one superior to Him in the future T_{ij} "There is no" god superior to Vishnu, O best of kings;" "There is *

28.

nothing¹⁰ more anspicious than Vasadeve, there is nothing more parifying than Vasudeva. There is no greater divinity than Vasudeva. No one who adores Vasudeva ever perishes." "In all "the three worlds, there was no one like Him ever born and there will be no one like Him born in the future." " There^{sh} is no divinity higher than Kesava," "He is the "King of all kings, Vishnu, the great Brahman. We know Him to be Iswara. He is the Father, the Creator." Those who are lucky, even while in their mother's womb and have received, while in the state of being born, the glance of Madhusudana will become desirous of moksha; for His glance dispels rajas and tamas. Those who are looked at by Brahma and Rudra just before hirth will become subject to the influence of rajas and tamas. This difference is brought out in the following passages :- " He who" is looked at hy Madhusudana just as he is being born should be considered as a pure soul (sātvika) and he will ever think of the goal of moksha. If, on the other hand, a person is looked at immediately at birth by Brahma or Rudra, his mind will be overcome by rajas and tamas."

THE ASPIRANT FOR MUKTI SHOULD WORSHIP ONLY BHAGAVÄN.

That these gods are not to be worshipped by those who desire moksha (and that Narayana, the Lord of all, who is the cause of these gods, is alone the deity to be worshipped by all those who desire moksha, including these gods) may be seen from the following slokas :— "To those ³⁴who are sunk in the sea of samsāra and whose minds are overpowered by the objects of sense pleasures, there is no other refuge than the ship of Vishnu." "Those who ⁸⁵have a discriminating intelligence never worship Brahma, or Rudra or any of the other gods, for the fruit of their worship

- 29. ? 30.
- JU. :
- 31. Naradiya Purana 18-33
- 32. Mahabharata: Aswamedhika
 - parva 43-13
- 33. Mahabharata: Santiparva

- 34. Vishnudharmam: 1-59
- 35. Mahabharata: Santiparva 350-36

is very limited. " "Hari alone" is fit to be meditated upon, O Brahmins, by you who are noted for your satuam. He is always to be adored and I am the means for en. abling you to remember Hari." If, in some places, these gods are spoken of as assisting in the attainment of maksha, it should be understood to mean that they, like Acharyas, assist by imparting knowledge and in such other ways. This is also the purport of the following passage ; "The man" who is a devotee of the god of the sun (Sürya) will, after seven more births, become a devotee of Rudra by the Sun's grace. He who is a devotee of Sankara will, after seven more births, become a devotee of Vishnu by the grace of Sankara." And again :- "He who is a devotee of Vasudeva will, after those seven births, become one with Vasudeva by His grace." In this context it has to be stated that devotion to Surva and others leads gradually to devotion to Bhagavan, only in the case of those who are not subject to mental delusions about the Supreme Self and the Self's that are below it -- such delusions as holding that they are all one or that the lower self is the Supreme Self or that all these divinities are of equal power, and provided they are not filled with the hatred natural to the *āsuric* or demonian This is evident from the sloka: "Those who" hold nsture. Purushottama as being similar to the other deities are to be considered heretics unfit for any kind of harma or rite. " People who have such delusions in their knowledge (and worship) will meet with defeat due to the punishment inflicted by Bhagavan, although they have devotion to other deities. From the following slaka: "O mighty Rudra," get Sästras of deluding import composed and by prompting men to slight effort, show the fruit of these actions as soon as possible"-from this sloka, we may infer that, if there is success in achieving tangible fruits by following these false gastras. it is only for making men fall into delusion and thence into hell.

If Bhagavan, whose will is irresistible, decides that some one deserves His punishment, then that person will find no other god

36.	Harivamen: 132-8. 1		?	
37.	7	39.	Varaba Purana : 70-36.	

capable of protecting him. It is said in the $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yaya$ "If a man has "deserved death at the hands of Rama, neither the four-faced Brahma, who was born of no mortal, nor the three-eyed Rudra who destroyed Tripura, nor the great Indra, who is the leader of the gods, will be able to shield him in battle." If, on the other hand, a man seeks refuge under Bhagavan, even if all the gods and intimate friends of His, like Sugriva, the great king, propose to injure him, Iswara will protect him by over-coming wicked men like Ravana who should be overcome, and helping, by persuasion, such good people as the leaders of the monkeys who had spoken against Vibhishana, as they deserve that course. For has he not said :— "To bim who" has sought my protection even once and begged of me saying, "I am thine" — to him I afford protection from all beings. This is my vow" and is He not one who ever keeps bis word and sees that His vows do not fail?

THE BOONS GRANTED BY OTHER DEITIES ARE DEPENDENT ON THE GRACE OF BHAGAVAN.

If men seek the favour of other gods, they will soon obtain minor rewards which are like poison mixed with honey, for it is said, "Those "who desire the fruits of *karma* or rites perform sacrifices to the gods and obtain the rewards of their actions in the world of men very soon." And again :-- "From them" they obtain the objects of their desire as ordained by myself". The Lord said to the gods :-- "This Brahma" is your father and mother and grandfather and will, under instructions from me, grant boons to all beings, and Rudra, his younger brother, who had his origin in my forehead, will, under instructions from Brahma, grant boons to all beings."

As stated in these passages, these are dependent upon Bhagavan. It is said :- " The" rewards (obtained from these deities) are of

- 40. Sundarakanda 51-45
- 41. Ramayana: Yuddhakanda: 18-33
- 42 Bhagavad Gita: 4-12
- 43. Bhagavad Gita: 7-22

- 45. Mahabharata: Santiparva:
 - 349-76-77
- 46. Mahabharata: Santiparya: 350-36

a very limited nature;" "In kalpas" or ages when satua is predominant, Hari's glory becomes great and, only in them, those who have successfully practised yoga in accordance with the sostras will attain the supreme goal." Therefore, from these other deities. moksha cannot be attained even after a length of time. From the Lord of all, on the other hand, a man obtains unsurpassed wealth and the like, even as "Brahma attained his high position aftar worshipping Vishnu for crores of thousands of yugas; and afterwards, like the man who bathes in the Ganga for physical refreshment and thereby obtains purification also from all his sins, he gets incidentally freedom from sins ; his rajas and tamas decline and his satuam becomes dominant and, in course of time, he will attain moksha like Janaka, Ambarisha, Kekaya and others. But there is delay in such cases as may be seen in the following passages :---"At the ⁴⁹Aend of many virtuous lives, the man attains spiritual wisdom and seeks my protection " and again, " Those "who have attained success in crores of hirths will at length become well established here." "Those who" have, in many thousands of lives, become purified of their sins by penance, meditation and yoga (samādhi) will acquire devotion to Krishna." When the desire for moksha has become ardent and when the man practises an $u p \overline{a} y a$ which is within his power, there will be no delay in the attainment of moksha, for He has declared : "To those who have fixed their minds on me - to them I become the redeemer and save them quickly out of the sea of samsāra". To him who adopts prapatti as the independent means, there is neither delay nor absence of delay, except in accordance with his own desire, These distinctions will be accepted by all who have regard for the bramanas and they have their effect by the will of Iswara which cannot be questioned, for has it not been said, "The "lordship of Iswara is absolutely independent and brooks no questioning ?" To

- 47. Matsya Purana; 290–16 48. Mahabharata; Kundadhara Upakhyanam
- 48A Bhagavad Gita: 7-19
- 49. Poushkara Samhita
- 50. Pancharatram
- 51. Bhagavad Gita: 12-7
- 52. Vaikuntasthavam: 55

those who do not clearly understand these principles in this way, the worship of other deities is prescribed in such passages as the following: "They may "be worshipped by men other than those who have discriminating intelligence."

Those who worship these other deities without knowing that they are the bodies of Bhagavan are like a follower of Charvaka (a materialist who believes that there is nothing other than the body called the soul) who anoints the body of the king, his master, without knowing that his master has a soul. It is true that the soul of the king is pleased with the service done to his body. In the same way, as a matter of fact, the devotion to these other deities is really the worship of Bhagavan, as they are only His bodies. Notwithstanding this, the fruit of this devotion to the lower deities would be only partial and incomplete. (We may remember in this connection the sloka in the $G\bar{i}t\bar{a}$) which says :- "Those "who sacrifice with faith and earnestness to other deities - they, too, eacrifice only to me, but not in the manner and according to the rules prescribed for it." Since the rite is performed in an irregular manner without the observance of all the rules, the fruit will be partial and incomplete. If, on the other hand, a man, knowing that these other gods are only the bodies of Bhagavan, offers worship to them owing to a special desire for obtaining quickly the smaller goods of life, the fruit will be fully and completely realised in perfect measure.

The Gitā thus speaks of those who approach Bhagavan for minor fruits:—" The man" who has lost his wealth but seeks to recover it, the man who wants to enjoy his own soul, and the man who wants to acquire prosperity" All these will have their reward in even greater measure." Of those who adore Bhagavan without any auch desire for minor fruits and only for the sake of moksha, it is said, "Hari", who confers moksha, gives to His worshippers who meditate on Him, health of body, wealth, and enjoyments, in

53.	7		
54.	Bhagavad Gita:	9-23	

- 55. Bhagaved Gita: 7-16
- 56. Vishnudharmam: 74-43

addition to what they desire vis., moksha ". These are, so to say, adventitious or incidental rewards (*ānushangika*) not sought by Sri Kulasekhara Perumal, to whom such adventitious them. prosperity was vouchesfed, says in this connection :--- " The 56A man who desires only Thee and does not seek great prosperity - prosperity comes and seeks him." Isandan also has stated this in his stotra thus :--- " Those enjoyments which were formerly desired but could not be obtained come to us, of their own accord, without any effort or election on our part, like rivers flowing into the ocean, (although we do not desire them now)." (It may be asked why all those who desire only moksha are not blessed with the good things of this life). (The answer is) :- This is due to the special form of meditation or vidyā practised by the devotee and the special desires of his earlier life. (Some-vidyas secure worldly prosperity in addition to moksha after death, while others secure only moksha.)

The Alwars, too, have spoken of these differences between the Lord of all on one side and Brahma, Rudra and the other gods on the other side, in passages such as the following :- " The gods" are only the food eaten by Bhagavan and vomited afterwards, (eaten during pralays and vomited after oreation); are there any (gods) who are not of the nature of this vomit?" " Narayana ⁴⁰created the god with four faces, and the god with four faces created Sankara." "O Thon" that art the original hulb of the lotus of the navel, from which arose Brahma, Siva, Indra and the others who worship you with reverence." "Arjuna "saw on Siva's bead, the flowers of the garland that he had placed at the feet of the holy Bhagavan which had measured the whole world, and understood clearly that Sri Krishna was the Supreme Deity wearing a garland of green tulasi." "Indra" who rules over the gods, Brahma the god with four faces, and the great Siva with his matted looks of bair - (all these) meditate with earnestness and

56A. Perumal Tiromozhi: 5-9 57. Poria Tirumozhi: 11-6-2 58. Nanmuzan Tiruvandadi: 1

- 59. Tiruvoymozhi: 10-10-3
- 60. Tiruvoymozhi: 2-8-6
- 61. Tiruvoymozhi: 3-6-4

sincerity on His lotus-like feet and go about praising Him." "He slone" is the Lord of Siva who is spoken of in high terms, of Brahma and of all others." "O Lord" whose glory cannot be adequately understood even by him who rides on the unique bull and hy Brahma," And again, "Neither the god who has the bull for his banner, vis. Siva nor Brahma, nor Indra nor any other knows the remedy for the disease called " hirth " (i.e.) samsāra."

This truth about Bhagavan being the Supreme Deity should be considered as present in the first letter a of aum in Tirumantra and in the word Näräyaņa therein and in the word Näräyana occurring in Dvayam along with its attribute Srimān (along with Sri or Lakshmi) and likewise in the words 'me' and 'I' occurring in the Charama Sloka.

For men other than these who have this conviction about the Supreme Deity, it is not possible to he in the state of having Bhagavan alone exclusively as their protector, a state such as is described in the following and other words of the Alwars :-- "There is "no refuge other than Krishna", "Whether you "weed out my suffering or whether you do not weed it out, I have no other means of getting it removed," "I "know of no other staff of support for my soul". (We may see also) the ten stanzas beginning with: "If you do "not withhold the suffering due to my karma", The Alwar* who learnt all spiritual truths directly from the Lord of all discerned the truth about the Supreme Deity in Tirumantra, gave up all devotion to other deities and became well established in exclusive devotion to Bhagavan, which extends to His devotees and also in rendering exclusive service to the Lord and His devotees. He gives expression to this in the following verse :--- "I cannot" live in agreement with those who

- 62. Tiruvoymozhi: 4-10-4
- 63. Perialwar Tirumozhi: 4-10-4
- 64. Perialwar Tirumozhi: 5-3-6
- 65. Tiruvoymozhi: 2-2-1
- 66. Tiruvoymozhi: 5-8-8

- 67. Tiruvoymozhi: 10-10-3
- 68. Perumal Tirumozhi: 5-1
- * Tirumangai Alwar
- 69. Peris Tirumozhi : 8-10-3

hold that there is any other deity (than the Lord); I have also obtained the privilege of rendering service to Thy devotees." In the verse beginning with "The earth, water, fire, sir, ether-having created these," the Alwar places (before himself) the three gods concerned in this controversy and, after a consideration of pramanas, ignores two of them and decides on the remaining deity who is Supreme Light" "as His god having a complexion resembling that of a cloud." Perialwar also declares in the following verse that the deity who is of this form and complexion is the Supreme Reality (Tatva) described in all the Vedes: "If "you "meditate on the god who is of the colour of the ocean by uttering the origin of all Veda, namely, the syllable aum with three matras (metrical units) etc. etc."

Having in mind the passage in Taittiriya which describes the Supreme Deity as being the Lord of Lakshmi, the Alwar understands that this description excludes other gods and exclaims :--- "I bave" seen Lakshmi and the form of the Lord shining like gold on which she rests." Beginning with this verse he concludes with the statement that "for wise men it is only Bhagavan who ever acts in conjunction with Lakshmi that is both refuge and goal." This he declares in the following verse :- "Our refuge "is the goddess residing in the beautiful lotus covered with honey, who has long eyes and shines with a splendour which throws into the shade the lightning seated in the black cloud and who fascinates (with her beauty) the God armed with the discus and wearing the garland of tulasi on his broad chest." The conclusion has been arrived at that this couple (Bhagavan and Sri) are our goal of attainment and our refuge.

This truth regarding the Supreme Deity was taught at great length by the great sage, Parasara, to his worthy disciple who was fit to receive the great spiritual secrets, in the following stoka :--

- 70. Tirunedunthandagam : 2 72. Third Tiruvandadi : 1
- 71. Perialwar Tirumozhi : 4-5-4
- 73. Third Tiruvandadi: 100

"In all "beings, gods, men and animals, all that is masculine is Bhagavan, Hari, and all that is feminine is Lakehmi, O Maitreya, and there is no one other than they." This sage, Perseare, obtained the knowledge concerning the Supreme Deity by the grace of Pulastys and Vasishta who gave him this boon :--- "You will⁷⁶ understand the truth about the Supreme Deity." "What was" said to you by Pulastya will certainly become true." Our great Acharya, Sri Yamunamuni, also speaks of Parasara with the greatest regard in 'I how" to Parasara, the best of sages," And Nammalwar, who received the gift of knowledge free from all delusion and bhakti from Bbagavan and who occupies the highest place in the line of those who have performed prapatti, has stated the same truth (namely, that Lakshmi should also be considered along with Bhagavan as our refuge and our goal) :- "You have" enabled me to have a vision of Thyself and Thy consort (Lakshmi) with the shining bracelets, standing together."

We have already stated all that should be said in this connection in our commentary on *The Four Slokas* (of Yamunacharya) with a refutation of the views of opponents and refer the reader to the same.

TAMIL VERSE:

Our Acharyas have proclaimed, in no uncertain terms, that our eternal Lord with His Consort seated on the lotus is the Supreme Deity, so that disputants, proud of their knowledge of reasoning and argumentation, may not, each according to his own will, declare that the ultimate cause is this or that (Brahma, Rudra or Indra) thus causing dread to the Vedas* and trepidation to all devotees.

 74. Vishnu Purana: 1 - 8 - 35
 77. Alavandar Stotram: 4

 75. Vishnupurana: 1 - 1 - 26
 78. Tiruvoymozhi: 4 - 9 - 10

 76. Ibid: 1 - 1 - 28
 78. Tiruvoymozhi: 4 - 9 - 10

• NOTE: — The Veda is afraid of the man who has only a little knowledge of it. (Bibheti alpa stateh vedah) SANSKRIT VERSE:

In this world which is enveloped in $avidy\overline{a}$ or barma, there may be found, here and there, a single individual who has closely studied Vedanta and who can realise that Narsyana with His consort Sri is the only refuge, when rulers sitting on victorious thrones as sovereigns of countries, of the whole world, and of Brahmanda itself perish utterly along with the story of their lives.

(7) THE CHAPTER ON THE ASPIRATION FOR *MUKTI*.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Who, indeed, is there that will bear the bondage of samsdra — who that has understood the revolutions of time, the nature of matter (*prakriti*) and its evolutes or modifications which cause contraction of knowledge, the evils attendant on the enjoyment of the pleasures of this world and of *svarga*, the experience of sufferings resulting from sin which resemble a pit of fire, the true relationship that exists necessarily between himself and the Supreme Being, the region of divine bliss and also the nature of the body which is like a prison-house?

Thus, from the Sāstras which treat of the soul, a man should understand the principles and truths explained so far. He should know clearly that the soul or self is self-luminous or luminous by itself, is a knower, doer and enjoyer having a body and that the soul is atomic, eternal, without parts or limbs, incapable of being cut, burnt, injured, dried up or otherwise affected and that its essential nature is such as not to admit of increase or diminution and that, in all these respects, it is different from the body, the senses and the like which are attributes of the self. With the conviction that the soul or self is capable of passing on into another world and taking up another body, the man learns, in a general way, that it is possible for him to have higher aims or goals than those found in this world. He is full of dread at the thought of falling into hell and the like or experiencing the sufferings of another life and abstains from those actions which are the causes thereof. He is also convinced that he is different from Iswara in that while he is supported and controlled by Iswara and exists solely for the fulfilment of His purposes and is besides weak, atomic and subject to ignorance, doubts, errors, sufferings and the like, being the abode of impurities, Iswara, of whom his self is an attribute, is, in every one of these respects, absolutely the opposite. From this

knowledge he becomes fit to desire the glory due to his essential nature (of rendering service to Bhagavan). He then realises the most important truths from the Tirumantra which is a concise summary of all that is needed. By an understanding of the significance of the third letter in its first word assn, namely, m, he realises that the self is essentially Jnana or knowledge in its nature (and has knowledge also as an attribute.) By this realisation he gives up the notion of 'I' in regard to the body ((i.e.) mistaking the body for 'I') and of 'mine' in regard to those things that are connected with the body. Similarly from the dative or the fourth case of the first syllable σ where the dative termination has been dropped ((i.e.) from $\ddot{a}ya$), we should learn that the $J\bar{v}a$ exists for Iswara and thereby, completely and without any trace, give up the erroneous notion implicit and explicit in the words 'I' and 'mine' in regard to the following :-- the notion of 'I' in regard (even) to the soul as distinct from the body, that it is seshe to oneself and the notion of 'mine' in regard to the attributes of the soul (such as this jaza is mine). In this connection we should remember. (Bbattar's) sloka (written in the form of an imaginary dialogue between Iswara and the Jiva which reads as follows :--)

"Bhagavās : You are mine.

The Jiva :	I exist (only) for mysself.
Bhagavān :	Where is it stated ?
The Jiva :	Where is the opposite stated?
Bhagavän :	In the original pramāna : the Veda.
The Jiva :	The opposite view is based on the strength of my own enjoyment of myself from beginning- less time.
Bhagaoðn :	But protests have been recorded then and there.
The Jiva :	Where is it objected to ? and by whom ?

Bhagavān :	The protest or objection was made in such works as the <i>Olt2</i> and it was made by me.					
The Jiva :	Is there any witness?					
Bhagavān :	The wise man.					
The line .	But he is an interested witness					

Thus in this dispute between Bhagavan and the *Jiva*, the need arises for an arbitrator."

With a knowledge of the meaning of u in *aum*, which means 'only', we should root out the notion of 'I' in thinking "I am the seshe of others (those other than Bhagavan) and the notion of 'nnine' in thinking, "Another (and not Bhagavan) is my seshi." By an understanding of the negation contained in the middle word (vis. name, na mama) which declares that there is no such thing as independence for the Jiva, we should rid ourselves of the notion of 'I' in thinking 'that in respect of the means of securing one's own protection, one is wholly independent ' and the notion of 'mine' in thinking that this act or activity for securing protection is unconditionally 'one's.' By the force of this very negation (na mama), we should give up erroneous notions that may exist in regard to the fruit (of the updya), which consists in future enjoyment (in Vaikunta) extending up to the service of the Lord, which is the meaning of the dative in the third word (Narayanaya) - erroneous notions of 'I' in thinking on the analogy of other fruits enjoyed here (in this world), "I will be the independent doer" and "I will be the enjoyer subject to no one else" and "mine" in thinking "I do this for myself" and "I enjoy this for myself ". (These notions of 'I' and 'mine' in varied situstions should be completely rooted out by considering the meanings, implicit and explicit, in the several words of the Tirumantra.) Thus one should become well established in right knowledge and should say to oneself in the words of the Alwars :- "Having

:90

'enjoyed the pleasures of sense and found them petty, I have now given them up and found relief." "The pleasures of the five senses in seeing, hearing, touching, smelling and testing and, so also, the unlimited but inferior enjoyment of one's own self (atmänubhava), which is not capable of being realised by the senses, have been given up hy me." "When He is' gracions, what is there difficult of attainment? I have had enough of dharma, artha and kāma. They are petty." 'The 'fruits obtained hy these weak-minded men are temporary and end after a short time.' "Having come into this world which is not eternal and is full of misery, seek me as your refuge." "Having" heard of those who were mighty and valiant and of those who had immense treasures of wealth and who, after the lapse of some time, have left nothing behind them hut tales of their lives, the wise man never considers as 'his', sons, wives, houses, land and the like, nor wealth". "The 'world is all misery". "Even' in Suarga, since there is fear of a fall after the expiry of the ordained period, there is no happiness ". "It is 'only fools who hanker after kingdoms, thinking that they are theirs. Men like me never long for them, because they are not intoxicated by the strong liquor of egotism the sense of 'I')." "From the "mansion of Brahma downwards, O sage, these evils exist; therefore the wise never desire the attainment of Svarga." "Above "the mansion of Brahma, there lies the supreme world of Vishnu, which is pure, eternal, full of supreme splendour and is called 'the Supreme Brahman.' Fools, deluded hy the pleasures of the senses and tormented by vanity, covetonsness, arrogance, anger, perfidy and delusion never go to that world. Only good men without possessiveness and egotism, who remain indifferent to the pairs of opposites (like

- 1. Tiruvoymzhi: 3-2-6
- 2. Tiruvoymzhi : 4-9-10
- 3. Vishnupurana : 1-17-91
- 4. Bhagavad Gita : 7-23
- 5. Bhagavad Gita : 9-33
- 6. Vishnu Purana : 4-24, 142, 143

- 7. Vishnu Purana : 1-17-69
- 8. Tbid: 6-5-50
- 9. Ibid: 6-7-7
- 10. Itihasa Samuchchayam : 4-49
- 11. Mahabharata Aranya Parva :

262-37, 38, 39

pleasure and pain, heat and cold and the like), who have full control over their senses, and who are devoted to Yogic meditation go there." "In that "world are beautiful vimanas (airabips) which can travel as they please, assembly halls, gardens of varied kinds, O king, and, likewise lotus tanks, full of pellucid water." ""Compared with that world of the Supreme Being, these lower worlds (of Brahma and Indra) are like hell." From these and other sayings, the Jiva should realise the seven kinds of evil inherent in the enjoyment of non-sentient things, viz. their littleness, their instability, their origin in pain, their being mingled with pain, their resulting altimately in pain, their origin being due to a perverse egotism and their being opposed to the blies which is his nature. He should also realise such of these evils as are inherent in the enjoyment of one's self, atmanubhava. He should then realise, with clear vision, the unique character of the enjoyment of of the bliss of Bhagavan, which, in every one of these respects, is opposed to them. He would then attain the condition of "one who longs esgerly for the Supreme Beiog and who, consequently, is averse from things that are other than the Supreme Being. He would then abstain from activity whose characteristics Brahma is" said to have described (as leading to fruits other than Moksha) and embrace renunciation whose characteristics are said to have been described by the "sage Narayana (as leading to Moksha). Iŧ is only men of this type that can be described as competent aspirants for mukti. Even if a man knows clearly what is high and what is low among the tatvas and what is high and what is low among the aims and objects desired as the goal of life as explained above, if he does not adopt the upaya which can secure the supreme goal of life, after acquiring a distante for other pleasures (vairagya), he will be an object of ridicule like the dog whose tail does not hide its private parts nor drives flies and mosquitoes. His learning will be of no use to him. So has it been said :--

12, Mahabharata Santi Parva: 196-4 15.

13. Ibid: 196-6

14. Berhaspatya Smriti:

"Learning is "fruitful when it leads to purity of character and of conduct:" "The "Sastras have been enjoined by wise men for securing screnity of mind. Therefore only he who has attained screnity of mind should be considered as having a knowledge of all the sastras". Therefore, "men "should conduct themselves in a manner which will be in keeping with their age, with the duties which they undertake, with their goal in life, with their learning, and with their birth, so that their appearance, speech and action are all alike " --- it is only these that will secure the glory described in passages like the following: when a man performs his duties, he is leaded by others. "The gods^{to} consider him as a Brahmin who is clad in whatever rags he can obtain. eats whatever food comes to him and has his bed wherever he can find it;" "The gods" bow to him (who is free from the pairs of opposites, who has no attachment to anything in life and who ever delights in doing good to all beings").

TAMIL VERSE:

The wise man longs for Moksha, which is the supreme goal or aim of life, having conquered the senses by the grace of those $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$ who have taught him of the eternal bliss of holding the feet of Bhagavan, who stands ever ready to redeem him, of the sea of sams $\bar{a}ra$ whose essential nature is to perish and of that which is good and that which is evil.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

There may be born somewhere in this world some one who, being lucky and wise and desirons of obtaining release from samsāra, gives up the pleasures of external objects which are like honey mixed with poison and who, being averse, also, to the limited delight of realising or experiencing his self (*ātmānubhava*), longs for the enjoyment of the infinite bliss of Brahman.

18. Itihasa Samucchaya : 12-37 21. Vishnudharmam : 43-28 19. Manu Smriti : 4-1-8

(8) THE CHAPTER ON THE CLASSIFICATION OF QUALIFIED PERSONS.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Even though the desire for moksha is the same, a distinction arises among those who practise the vidyas or forms of meditation prescribed in the scrutis, as a result of their respective qualifications or competence in regard to such vidyas as Madhavidya, Sadvidyaand so on. In the same way, a distinction arises between the adoption of *prapatti* and other vidyas (which are of the nature of *bhakti* or devont meditation), as a result of an unseen power or destiny depending on past karma which brooks no questioning.

[NOTE :- Some aspirants for moksha are qualified for madhu vidya and others for sadvidya as a result of their respective competence or special forms of aspiration. So also some are qualified for prapatil, while others are for bhakti.]

TWO KINDS OF ADHIKĀRIS:

Among those who thus resort to the practice of the *dharmas* of renunciation as a means for the attainment of the supreme goal of life (namely moksha), there are two classes of qualified persons:those who adopt *prapatti* as the sole and direct means and those to whoth *prapatti* is auxiliary to the chief means, viz., *bhakti*. Both these are *prapannas*, one adopting *prapatti* as an independent means and the other adopting it as anga to *bhakti*. Both of them are also called *bhaktas*, because *bhakti* is the *phala* or fruit for one and *sādhana* or means for the other.

NOTE: --- phala bhakti means bhakti which arises as the fruit of prapattiwhereas sadhana bhakti is bhakti which leads to maksha.

Just as, according to the passage, "Ablation is prescribed in seven forms", (1) the utterance of a mantra; (2) the mental process; (3) celestial ablation; (4) ablation by air and so on, are held as different and equally effective kinds of ablation in regard to those who are qualified for those respective forms, the forms known as

Uktinishta (by one's utterance) and acharga mishta (that done) by the acharya on one's behalf) and varieties of propatti. UKTINISHTA :

Of these, that done by one's utterance (uktinishta) is as follows : Those who have no clear knowledge of auxiliaries (anga), such as the determination to do what is agreeable (to the Lord), but who possess the qualification of knowing that, without, the Lord, they have no other means (akinchanya) and who have also the faith (viswāsa) that He will grant selvation if prayed to -- they pronounce, before the Saviour, the sentence taught to them by their acharyas, which will be considered by the Lord as equivalent to perfect prapatti ; they say :- " May my salvation be Thy burden or responsibility ! " They are like the ignorant children of feudatory princes who seek the protection of the emperor by repeating the words taught to them by their nurses. If a little boy who does not understand the meaning of words and sentences says, once, " Madam, give me food as alms", it meets with immediate and favourable response in the bouses of good men who are well to do. In the same way, it has been said, ""The Lord, whose wealth never suffers any diminution. however much He may give, will also grant whatever is prayed for ". Since He issupremely rich and generous, even this utterance or akti will neverbe fruitless. In the case of those who are ignorant and who depend entirely on this utterance, the compassion of the Lord, who is the refuge of all, cannot ignore even this mere atterance (as it cannot digest it); for it has been said, "Whatever' may be the manner or form, you have uttered the Dvaya Mantra. Therefore your redemption is my responsibility." This truth has been stated by those who are well versed (in the $S\overline{a}stras$) in such slokas as the following: "Though" I am a sinner, it will not become Thee, who art the Lord of all, to neglect me when I utter the words stating that I seek Thy refuge." " This 'speech of mine expressing the thought that I seek refuge under Thee is not uttered

^{1.} Tiruvoymozhi : 3, 9, 5, 7. Saranegati gadya :

^{3.} Atimenushastava : 61

^{4.} Varadarajastava; 64

with all my mind and with all my heart. I can be saved only if even this mere utterance will secure Tby compassion." "I long^{1A} to see Thes by merely uttering the words of *prapatti* — Thee who caust not be attained by *bhakti*." The state of one who performs *prapatti* by utterance (*ukti*), and that of one who depends solely on the *āchārya* have both been described in the same *sloka* as follows :— "I have been made Thy responsibility by my *āchāryas* who follow the way of *dharma*. I have *also* (myself) uttered the word seeking refuge. Taking this into consideration, O, Lord of Srirangam, make me Thy burden or responsibility" The word *api* (also) in the *sloka* indicates that any one of the two will suffice.

ĀCHĀRYANISHŢĀ:

Of the two, the one who depends solely on the $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$ is included in the $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$'s own propatti as part of it, for it has been laid down in Sāndilya Smriti and other treatises as follows: "He should also state thus: "This is ^{5A}my son, my servant, my disciple (who is to be saved)". It has been said: "There *may be doubts concerning (the redemption of) those that serve Achyuta, but there is absolutely no doubt about the (redemption) of those who delight in the service of His devotees". So, in the case of those who depend solely on the $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$, there is no doubt at all concerning the fruition of prapatti, hy the principle of "How much more, then" (kaimutika $ny\bar{a}ya$).

Mudali Andan said: "When a lion leaps from one hill to another, the little creatures (like bugs and lice) on his body are also taken over from that hill to the other. So also when the author of Sri Bhashya performed prapatti (the surrender of his responsibility or bhara), we "too have been saved (by that act)

4A. Varadarajastava:925A. Sandilya Smritl:3 - 755. Sri Rangarajastava:2 - 1026. Sandilya Smritl:1 - 95

• NOTE :-- This is interpreted in two different ways by the southern school of Visishtadvaitins and the northern school. The former hold that since Sri Ramanuja has already performed propatil, even his followers of a later day who acknowledge him as their acharys will benefit by it and they need not perform propatil; the latter hold, on the other hand, that only those who were his alshyas then would benefit by it. owing to our intimate connexion with him." We, too, have expressed this idea in $Ny\bar{a}sa\ Til\bar{a}ka$ as follows :— "The blind man walks on being led by one who is not blind; the lame man is taken (across the stream) by the boatman, heing placed within the boat; the children of the king's servants enjoy the pleasures (of the palace), although they do not know the king. So also my *āchārya*, who is compassionate, is capable of making me attain Thee, O Lord of Srirangam" It comes to this that the Lord of all will not be gracions enough to grant us the supreme goal of existence, unless *prapatti* is performed in some manner or other and hy some one or other.

The favour of Bhagavatas, residence in holy places and the like are not direct and independent means for attaining moksha. (It is true that we find such passages as the following in the Sastras):- "Whether' it be a beast or a man or a bird - those who are held by Bhagavatas as their own will go to the supreme abode of Vishnu for that very reason ". " We, "who live in your territories, should be protected by you, for you are our king, O ruler of men, whether you are in the town or in the foresta", "All the beings^e in Ayodhya which contained (many) good regions --whether they were beings that moved or beings that could not move - he enabled them (all) to acquire nobility of nature". The nobility was that of feeling delight in the company of Sri Rama and grief in separation from him. "The" strength that lies in living in places where Thou hast temples is the strength that enables a man to attain moksha". (From these passages, it might appear, at first sight, that the favour of Bhagavatas or God's devotees and the fact of living in places belonging to the Lord would lead of themselves to the supreme goal.) But even here there is always some connexion with either prapatti by one's own utterance or prapatti through the acharya or bhakti or prapatti

- 7. Bharadwaja Smriti :
- 8. Ramayana : Aranya Kanda :
- 9. Tiruvoymozhi : 7 5 1
- 10. Perialwar Tirumozhi : 5- 1-3

which existed before or *bhakti* or *prapatti* which followed. It may be asked how this could be. (The answer is as follows:--) They will lead to *bhakti* or *prapatti*. In the case of one who has already adopted *bhakti* or *upāsanā*, they will promote the further development of the *upāya* or means. In the case of one who has performed *prapatti* as an independent means, they will promote the eagences for service while in this world. It is in this way they render help.

DIFFBRENCE BETWEEN BHAKTI AND PRAPATTI IN SECURING THE GOAL:

Among these, he who has adopted prapatti as the means to bhakti is capable, like Vyasa and others, of other means or upäyas then prapatti and is therefore not absolutely helpless (akinchana). Since he can bear delay (in attaining moksha), he is not averse to other interests (in life). He will attain moksha only at the expiry of the karma which has already began to yield fruit (prärabdha), when he will have the intense thought of the last moment hefore death. Since the primary $up\bar{a}ya$ or means $(ang\bar{i})$ which he has chosen is upasana or bhakti, he attains the fruit thereof, namely, moksha, when it is performed fully and in accordance with the rules and injunctions prescribed for it. On the other hand, prapatti adopted as the primary or direct means (angi) is open to all adhikāris, is capable of everting all hindrances (to moksha), can further the attainment of all that is desired, is easy of performance, has to be performed only once, can bring about the fulfilment (of what is aimed at) quickly and will not countenance any opposition or obstacle. Like the missile, Brahmastra, it will not tolerate the adoption of any other means or updya for attaining the fruit. The man who has resorted to prapatti as the direct and independent means will have no hindrances at all in the way of his attaining the perfect enjoyment (of the bliss of Bhagavan). Therefore from the moment of the performance of prapatti, there is nothing in the way of his attaining moksha but his own desire to live till the death

of this body so that he may enjoy such things as the randering of service with this body to the images of the Lord $(arch\bar{a})$ to which be has an attachment. So his moksha has to await only the death of this body and will consist in the rendering, in Vaikanta, of perfect and full service which has begun even here in the performance of service limited by the conditions of place, time and the nature of bodily life (svar $\overline{u}pa$). The state of the propanna who is prepared to continue in this world till the dissolution of the body is referred to in passages like the following:----"What is there" wrong in us who go about here having already won the grace of being permitted to serve Thee?" "Leaving this bliss of "uttering Thy names, I do not want even the bliss of going to Paramapada and ruling over it." "Can" I indeed give up the hare and go in pursuit of the crow !" (That is - it would be foolish to give up hare's flesh which is excellent as food and go after crow's flesh. So also the service of the image here in temples is superior even to the bliss of serving the Lord in Paramapada). "My deep love to Thee, "O King, is always staunch. So also my devotion to Thee, O valiant Sire, is always firm. Nowhere else can my mind turn". (In these words Hanuman expresses his love and devotion to Sri Rama and states that this love and devotion are greater towards Rama than even to the Lord of Paramapada).

*Since the Supreme Ruler is the giver of all things, and since prapatti at His feet is capable of yielding all kinds of fruit, it becomes the means of securing whatever fruit is desired respectively by these two (bhakta and prapanna). The Sāstras say, "Ask "any boon that you want, when I am in the range of one's vision, all (good) things will follow." "O Thou that "hast

 11. Tiruvoymozhi: 8-10-4
 15. Vishnu Purena 1 - 12 - 76

 12. Tirumalai: 2
 (said by the Lord to Dhruva)

13. Tirumangai Alwar: Siriya Tirumadal: 16. Vishou Purana 1 - 12 - 79
 14. Ramayapa : Uttara Kanda : 40-15 (said by Dhruva to the Lord)

NOTE :--- The sentence means that the 'direct' propaging gets moksha which he desires and the propaging who performs propatit for the sake of bhakti gets bhakti which he desires, for propatit can secure whatever is desired.

100

created all the worlds ! Is there anything that is difficult to obtain, when Thou art pleased?" "When" He is graciously disposed, is there any thing here that cannot he obtained ?" " What is "there in this world or in the world above, O Dalbhya, that cannot be accomplished by those men whose minds are directed towards "The fruit is from Him. It stands to reason". Vishnu?". (Brahma Sütras 3 - 2 - 37). Bhakti or upāsana is the means of securing the four kinds of objects that may be desired in accord. ance with one's aim as stated in the Bhagavad Gita (7-16). "Four types of men who have performed meritorious deeds wor. ship me :- He who has lost his wealth and is anxious to recover it, he who wants to have a vision of his own self (atma) and to enjoy it (\bar{a} tm \bar{a} nubhava), he who wants to acquire wealth anew, and he who is a Inani, who desires to he for ever with the Lord and practises bhakti for attaining Him." Similarly have not the great rishis declared that prapatti is also the means of attaining the four kinds of objects or sims as is stated in the sloka :- " Only" so long as one does not perform prapatti to Thee that canst destroy all sins, will there be the anxiety to recover lost wealth, only so long will there be the desire to acquire new wealth, only so long will there be the absence of the enjoyment of one's self as something different from the hody; only so long will there be the sorrow of samsāra". From the words "that destroyest all sins" and the repetition of "only so long " repeated in every case with great consideration, it is made clear that the fruit which is desired by the man will all become his, in accordance with his desire and at the very time when he desires to have it. It is in consideration of this superior efficacy of prapatti that it is stated (in Lakshmi Tantra 17 - 62) as follows :- " Those who practise Jnāna Yoga and Bhakti Yoga with a pure mind and those who perform karma which would discipline the soul in renuncistion are not worth even one ten-millionth part of the man who has

- 17. Vishnu Purana 1 17 91
- 18. Vishnu Dharma 43 46
- 19. Vishnu Purana 1 9 73

performed *prapatti*. The difference in the kinds of service done here (in this life) by the *prapanna* is due to the difference in the desire of the *prapanna* and that difference in the desire is due to the difference in the meritorious deeds of the past which have begun to operate in this life (*Prārabdha karma*). There will be no difference at all in the bliss that will be attained after casting off the last body.

THE BLISS IN MOKSHA IS THE SAME FOR BOTH.

Entire dependence on the Lord is uniformly the same for all. For it is stated in Vishnu Tatvam: -- "Having understood his absolute dependence on the Supreme Person, he gets rid of the bondage due to past karma, attains peerless independence and enjoys hiss with him." It has been declared (by the Bhashyakara in the chapter on the Goal (Chapter IV of the Brahma Sütras) that this independence which is said to be acquired in the final stage of attainment (i.e.) moksha is "fitness to render every kind of service to the Lord without being subject to past karma.

TAMIL VERSE:

Those who desire to attain the bliss of Bhagavan realise that the supreme aim of life is moksha and that there are two $up\bar{a}yas$ or means attaining it, one prolonged and the other quick which depend on their luck. They adopt one of these two $up\bar{a}yas$ and find that, for getting rid of the hindrances caused by past karma, there is no other way than seeking the feet of Mukunda for refuge.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Mukunda does not vouchsale His abode to any one other than the *prapanna*. The *prapanna* is of two kinds, namely, he who adopts *prapatti* as the sole and independent means and he who adopts *prapatti* as the auxiliary means to *bhākti* or *upāsana* and this difference is due to difference in the fruition of their good deeds. He who adopts propatti as an auxiliary to bhakti will attain moksha after much delay caused by the need to expiste past karma (in one or several bodies), but will have the happiness of devout worship in this world for a long time. He to whom prapatti is the sole and independent means will attain moksha quickly (i.e.) at the end of this life itself, hut his happiness of serving the Lord in this world will be limited and of short duration.

102

(9) THE CHAPTER ON THE CLASSIFICATION OF THE MEANS OR UPÄYA FOR ATTAINING (MUKTI).

SANSKRIT SLOKA.

Bhagavan is declared in the Upanishads to be Himself the means $(up\bar{a}ya)$ of attaining Him. The ways of bhakti and prapatti are indicated for winning His grace. The adoption of these "ways is the result of the great ripening of meritorious deeds (done in the past) and, even for this, Bhagavan, who has the ability to create everything, is Himself the cause.

NOTE :--* These ways : The commentary Seraswadini says that the Sanskrit word Gati does not mean here ways or upayas but forms of knowledge.

UPAYA AND UPEYA:

The means or $\mu \rho \bar{a} \gamma a$ to be adopted by them (i. e.) the seekers after mukti) is a special kind of expansion of knowledge. The upeya or object of attainment which can be realised by this means is another special kind of expansion of knowledge. Of these, the special kind of expansion of knowledge which is the means requires the help of instruments of knowledge (karana) and has been prescribed in the $S\bar{a}stras$. It has for its object Brahman who is slways endowed with the five attributes like satvam, (satvam, jnänam, anautam, änaudum, amalatvam) which define His essential nature or substance (svarupa), along with the qualities associated invariably with the respective vidyit or form of meditation. The object of attainment (upeya) which is a special kind of expansion of knowledge does not require any instruments of knowledge. It is the natural heritage of the *jivätmä* and has for its object Brahman perfect with all qualities and glorious possessions (vibhūtis).

SLOKA:

The principle of *tathratu* states only that the qualities (and forms) meditated upon would not be excluded in the attainment.

it does not exclude other qualities (and forms). Service to the Lord is also the object of attainment (upeya) as it is the over-flow of the experience (of Bhagavan) which is attained.

NOTE: — The principle of *tatkratu* or *tatkratu nyaya* is to the effect that in whatever form or manner and with whatever qualities one meditates on God — in that very form and with those very qualities will one attain Him. Though service to the Lord is not specifically prescribed in a *vldya*, it will be attained after *mukti*.

BHAGAVAN IS BOTH UPAYA AND UPEYA:

Iswara is the object of the knowledge which is the means, $u p \bar{a} y a$, and is also the object of the knowledge which is attainment : He is the means or Upaya as being the giver of the (desired) fruit and, likewise, He is Upeya because He is Himself the object that is to be enjoyed. In the case of the mumukshu who has adopted prapatti as the sole and independent means, Iswara takes the place of other upityas. In the case of others also (i, e,) those who adopt bhakti, Iswara whose favour has been won by prapatti (adopted as an auxiliary means to bhakti) intervenes and, standing in the place of rites and duties which are too hard for them in those situations which lie between the beginning of karma yogu and the completion of the (prescribed) meditation, brings about the removal of sins and the manifestation of satvam which can result from their performance. He sees to it that the meditation or worship which has been adopted as an $up \bar{u}ya$ is so completed as to bear fruit.

KARMA YOGA:

Karma Yoga means the performance of certain kinds of karma or rites and duties as the result of knowledge acquired from the sustras in regard to the true nature of the *fivatma* and the *Paramätmā*. The rites and duties consist of the following: (1) nitya karma or regular duties to be performed compulsorily (like the daily sandhyā vandana) (2) naimittika karma or rites to be compulsorily performed on specific occasions (like the eclipse

104

of the sum or the moon) and (3) such $k\bar{a}mya\ karm\bar{a}s$ or rites as are optional and as have been chosen to be within one's ability. Though these $k\bar{a}mya$ rites are ordained for obtaining certain specified fruits like svarga, they have to be performed without any desire for those fruits. All these rites and duties, 1, 2 and 3, have to be performed regularly and in accordance with the prescribed rules by the Karma-yogin.

This karma yoga has several sub-divisions described in the solokas beginning with "Some perform the rites or sacrifices (Yajna) which are of the nature of the worship of the Gods" (Bhagavad Gitä IV 25.) They include such (items) as the adoration of the gods, the performance of austerities (tapas), pilgrimage to sacred places, giving in charity, and sacrifices.

In the same way as, in accordance with the differences of competence, prapatti becomes the means of attaining makti, either through bhakti or directly by itself and without the intervention of bhakti, so also karma yoga, either through Jnāna Yoga or without it, becomes the means of having a vision of one's self or soul ($\bar{a}tm\bar{a}valokana$), the contemplation of one's own self or $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ with the help of yogic auxiliaries like yama (self-restraint), niyama (observance of rites) and pränāyama (the control of the breath).

JNĀNĀ YOGA:

Juitna Yoga is the constant and uninterrupted contemplation, by one who has conquered bis mind by karma-yoga, of bis $svar\bar{u}pa$ or essential nature or the self as being distinct from matter, (the body, the senses and the like) — his $svar\bar{u}pa$ which is the mode or $prak\bar{u}ra$ of Iswara in virtue of its relation to Him as His body or $sar\bar{u}ra$. The self is the body of Iswara (as has been already pointed out) because it is apported and controlled by Him and serves His purposes.

If a person has succeeded in attaining to a vision of his self ātmāvalokana) by the practice of yoga preceded by karma yoga and Jnäna yoga and if he escapes the soure of being (permanently) attracted by the pleasure of enjoying this vision which is so great as to create a distaste for all sense-pleasures — then he begins the practice of *bhaktiyoga* which is (the direct) means for the attainment of the supreme goal of enjoying Bhagavan. While practising *bhaktiyoga*, the aspirant contemplates on Bhagavan as the Inner Self, or *antaryāmin* of his own self which is His body. The vision that he has (already) acquired of his own pure self is then useful, for it is only through it that he reaches its Inner Self, just as the cloth within which a gem is tied up is first to be seen before the gem itself can be seen. In this way the vision of one's self serves as a qualification for the practice of *bhakti yoga*.

BHAKTI YOGA:

Bhakti yoga is the special form of meditation which is of the nature of unsurpassed love and which has, for its object, the essential nature and the like (form, qualities, etc.) of Bhagavan who is not dependent on any one else, who is not subject to the authority of any one else and who does not exist for the fulfilment of the purposes of any one else. Bhakti is of the form of a continuous stream of knowledge which is of the nature of aninterrupted memory like oil streaming down continuously; it has clearness similar to that of visual perception; it grows from strength to strength by being practised every day until the day of journey to Paramapada and terminates in the remembrance of the last moment. The performance of the rites and duties of one's varna and Berama is anxiliary to it (bhaktiyoga), as it dispels sins that cause rojos and tamas, which, like weeds, impede the growth of satuam so necessary for the expansion of knowledge. This bhakti soga is also a means or sādhana in accordance with the specific desire of the sādhaka, for acquiring lordship (in this world or in svarga) and other fruits (like kaivalya). This is calculated to strengthen the faith of the weak-minded as stated in the sloka; "Worldly" benefite have also been mentioned as arising from

1. Satvate Sambite

bhakti-yoga. They produce confidence in the attainment of makti (by verifying what is stated in the $s\bar{a}stra$ from the example of these worldly benefits). This idea is expressed in the *sloka* in the $G\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}$ (7-16) which says: "Four kinds of persons worship me etc." The superiority of the $Jn\bar{a}n\bar{\imath}$ which is stated there in the words, "Among them, the $Jn\bar{a}n\bar{\imath}$ is the best because he desires to be slways with Bhagavan and is devoted solely and exclusively to Him" is thus described hy Bhagavan Himself :— "Four "kinds of people are my men. They are all devotees (*bhaktas*). Of them they who worship me alone exclusively are the best, for they do not approach other deities. They perform the prescribed rites and duties without caring for their fruits and desire to attain me alone. The other three kinds of devotees desire (worldly) fruits which have an end and they are subject to lapses. The wise man who worships me with sole and exclusive devotion attains moksha."

Bhakti yoga which has thus been prescribed as the means of obtaining moksha has been called parabhakti. Love of the Lord which results from intimacy with sattvikas (and the scriptures) and which produces parabhakti is also called bhakti, because it generates an eager desire to know Iswara with perfect clearness. It has been said :- "Owing to "my devotion to the Lord and to my gurus. I have attained spiritual parity and come to know Janardana with the help of the süstras." (So parabhakti is born successively of a knowledge of the truth obtained from the sästras which leads to karma yoga and the like). It (parabhakti) produces in its turn an eager desire and determination to see the Lord and makes the man cry out as follows :- "O Lord, 'who art the abode of attributes like jnana, be pleased to show Thy whole self !" "Voucheafe' Thy grace so that I may see Thee ": " May I 'see Thee some day!" By this keen desire alone, he wins the grace of Bhagayan who rewards him with a perfect visual perception

- 2. Mahabharata: Santi parva: 350
 - (33 35)
- 4. Bhagavad Gita: 11-4
- 5. Tiruvoymozhi: 8-1-1
- 3. Mahabharata: Udyogaparva: (8-5 6. Tiruvoymozhi: 6-9-4

of himself for the time being. This visual perception is called *parajnāna*. From this perfect vision of the *svarāpa* of the Lord is born an excessive and unsurpassed love for the Lord similar to that felt by a man suffering from great thirst at the sight of a tank. This (excessive and unsurpassed love for the Lord) is called *parama bhakti*. *Parama bhakti* produces an eager desire and determination to enjoy the Lord without any limitations, as the *bhakta* feels that it is impossible to live any longer without this experience of the Lord as described in the *Tiruvoymoshi* $10 \cdot 10 \cdot 1$ (where the Alwar cries out that he will not hereafter allow the Lord to leave him) and that he must become one with the Lord and declares all this with an oath that cannot be ignored by the Lord. It causes (likewise) an excessive eagerness in the Lord to give him *moksha* immediately and makes him attain *moksha* after quenching his great thirst for union.

NOTE :- Bhakti leads through yoga to para-bhakti which leads to para-jnana, which, in its turn, leads to parama-bhakti.

BHAKTI AND PRAPATTI:

(Prapatti stands in the place of para bhakti to the man who adopts it as the direct and independent means). Since bhakti yoga is not suitable for those who do not belong to the three higher castes and (likewise) also for those in these three castes who are wanting in *juilna* or ability or both and since it will not suit those who caunot endure any delay in the attainment of its fruit (namely, moksha) and are therefore extremely impatient, prapatti is prescribed as the sole and independent means of moksha for them. Since it will be the means of securing all desired objects, it has been prescribed in the place of para bhakti for those who know their limitations. Just as in the case of the man who practises the vidyās, para bhakti is followed by (certain) stages of attainment (like para jnāna), this prapatti will be followed by certain favourable results or states in accordance with the desire of the man who adopts it as the independent updya. These states are to be considered as part of the fruits of prapatti.

108

Thus *prapatti* and *bhakti* are said to be optional in relation to those who are qualified for them as they secure the same final goal or fruit.

BHAKTI IS DIFFERENT FROM PRAPATTI:

That the two are different from each other is evident from the *adhikarana* or section. "The Brahma Vidyas are different as their *names and the like are different". Brahma sūtras (11I - 3 - 56). That there is option (to choose any of them) is also evident from the section : "There is option (to adopt any of them) because the result or (final) fruit is the same". Brahma sūtras (III - 3 - 57).

It may be seen that, as in $up\bar{a}san\bar{a}s$ there are differences in mantras and the like (angas), there are, in Nyasa Vidya also, differences stated in the different branches of the vedas (Sākhas) and in the different samhitās of the agamas. Just as obeisance (namaskära) is divided into three forms, verbal, mental and bodily, so prapatti, too, is said to be of different kinds owing to the excess of one or other of these differences. It has been stated by some that, just as obeisance becomes complete when all the three, verbal, mental and hodily are combined, prapatti, too, becomes complete when verbal and bodily actions are combined with mental states or inana. This statement of theirs should be understood as meaning that mental prapatti is foll and complete and that verbal and hodily activities are, as it were, the overflow of the mental prapatti (jnäna). (It should not be taken to mean that prapatti is incomplete when verbal and bodily actions are absent). We have already stated that all these are productive of the desired result or fruit in accordance with the competency of those who adopt them.

NOTE: — * Commentators of *Brahma sutras* like Sudamana Bhatta point out that this difference in names applies specially to *syasa*, since it is a different word from 'contemplate', 'meditate', and 'adore' which are used in connection with the other *vidyas* and which mean the same thing, whereas *syasa* has a different meaning (altogether).

110 SRIMAD RAHASYATRAYASARA

TAMIL VERSE:

They are Brahmins who know the following :— (1) Karma Yoga as suited to one's state (vis. varna, $\bar{a}srama$ and the like); (2) Jnāna Yoga adopted with keen intelligence on account of its beneficial results: (3) Bhakti Yoga adopted by those with an inner vision which is delightful and (4) Prapatti which yields immediate fruit by the grace of God to those who are destitute of the qualifications (for the other three) and who cannot endure delay in attaining moksha.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Karma, Juāna, Upāsana (bhakti) and likewise saranāgati are the good ways prescribed in the Vedas for securing moksha; of these some are indirect and auxiliary means (namely, karma and jnāna) while the others, bhakti and saranāgati are direct and independent upāyas for mukti. Of these, some (*i.e. karma* and jnāna), have only one form (that of being indirect and auxiliary means); bhakti has only one form (that of being the direct and independent means for mukti, while saraņāgati or prapatti has two forms, that of being an indirect and auxiliary means as leading to bhakti and also that of being the direct and independent means or upāya for obtaining mukti. The wise (who know the sāstras), baving understood well the difference among these upāyas due to their having this single or twofold nature, delight in the last words (charamasloka) of the obarioteer who is the Saviour of all, (*i.e.*) they prefer s'araņāgati).

(10) THE CHAPTER ON FITNESS FOR PRAPATTI.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Fitness (for Bhakti or Prapatti) consists in a combination of desire (for the fruits of a course of action) and of ability which is threefold. This fitness of man is differentiated as consisting in conditions prescribed for the yoga of eight angas or auxiliaries (bhakti yoga) and for the yoga of six angas or auxiliaries (prapatti). That Bhagavan is the refuge or Saviour of all has been declared in the sruti and confirmed in the smritis In adopting this righteous path viz., prapatti, there is competence for all as in the matter of speaking the truth and such other injunctions as are ordained in the Vedas (for all men without any difference of caste or $\bar{a}srama$).

(Those who are not of the three higher castes are prohibited from the performance of certain rites and duties ordained in the *srutis*, but this prohibition does not apply to such injunctions as "speak the truth ", and "look upon your mother as divine " etc. which are also ordained in the Vedes. So also *prapatti*, though prescribed in the Vedas, is open to all castes).

THE MEANING OF COMPETENCY OR ADHIKARA:

It is necessary that one should know the special qualifications and the like required in a person who intends to adopt *nyāsa vidyā* (*prapatti*) without any desire for the other *upāyas* in the quest for the goal that is desired. Competency (*adhikāra*) consists in

NOTE 1 :- Ability which is threefold :- The ability to understand the meaning of sastras: the ability to perform what is ordained in them and the competence as laid down in the sastras according to caste, qualities and the like.

NOTE 2:— Bhakti Yoga: Bhakti Yoga is called the ashtanga yoga, because its eight angas are yama, niyama, etc. Prapatti is called shadange yoga, because its six angas are such as anukulya sankalpa, prathikulya varianam, mahavisvasam, akinchanyam, etc., to be described later. 112

the attribute of desiring the end on the part of the person who adopts an updya for the sake of a certain desired result and also ability to adopt it. Ability means the capacity to understand the meaning of the süstras and the capacity to perform what is ordained therein and, likewise, fitness or competency in accordance with what is prescribed in the $s\bar{a}stras$, such as, caste, quality and the like (*ächāra - samskāra*). This competency exists already (before the adoption of the $up \overline{a} y a$). To the man who has this competency, what is stated to be the object to be obtained by the means, is the desired result or fruit. $Up\bar{a}ya$ is the means prescribed or ordained for securing that fruit or result. The person who has become desirous of mukti (mumukshu) and who adopts the special $up\overline{u}ya$ for moksha called direct and independent prapatti should have, in common with the upäsaka (who has adopted bhakti), a knowledge obtained from the suistrus of such things as the relationship (between Jivätmä and Paramatmä as that between the body and the soul) and he should have in addition, the special qualifications of *akinchanya* and *ananyagatitva*; \vec{a} kinchanya means the absence (in a person) of the ability for other upāyas. Ananyagatitvam means an aversion to all other interests (than moksha) and a turning away from all other refuge than Bhagavan. The latter is implicit in the former, namely, aversion to all other interests. This may be seen from such passages as the following :-- " Wise 'men never worship Brahma, Rudra and others who are called gods, for the boons that they could grant are limited."

If, without an eager and impatient desire for immediate moksha, a person who wants such things as the continuance of the body (or the continuance of the worship of $arch \bar{a}vat \bar{a}ra$) adopts *prapatti* for the sake of moksha, his mukti will be delayed in proportion to these other interests.

1. Mahabharata : Santiparva : 350-36

AKINCHANYA AND ANANYAGATITVA:

Akinchanya and Ananyagatitva are conditioned by the ignorance of and inability to adopt other $up\bar{a}yas$ on the part of the person adopting this means, as also his inability to endure delay in attaining mukti. His turning away from other saviours than Bhagavan is conditioned by his conviction of the absolute dependence on Bhagavan alone, which is common to himself and to others (including other deities than the Lord), as is stated in the sloka: "Just as, O "Bharata, tips of grass are entirely subject to (the force of) the wind, even so are all beings subject to the sway of the Lord", and it is conditioned also by his aversion to other interests than mukti.

THE PRAMANAS IN SUPPORT:

The nature of the specific competency for *prapatti* is evident from the following authoritative passages and from spiritual tradition: "Having" been abandoned by his own father (Indra), the gods and the great sages, he, (i.e.) Kakasura, wandered about the three worlds and finally took refuge under Rama alone", "I am" the abode of all transgressions; I have no means or $up\bar{a}ya$ to save myself and I have nothing eise to attain besides Thee"; "I seek" the refuge of Thy feet, O Saranya (Saviour): I have no other $up\bar{a}ya$ and nothing else to attain than Thee": "Finding that there is no $up\bar{a}ya$ for leaping over samsära even in all the endless future, etc.": "I "who am aware that there is no $up\bar{a}ya$ for me in all the thousands of crores of kalpas other than performing prapatti at Thy two lotus-like feet", and, "I who have no" other refuge and no other saviour etc."

PRAPATTI IS OPEN TO ALL:

If such qualifications exist. *propatti* is certainly open to all as an *upuya*, since there are no restrictions to it such as caste.

4. Ahirbudhnya Samhita: 37-30 8. Tiruvoymozhi: 6-10-10 5. Alavandar: Stotram: 22

^{2.} Mahabharata; Udyoga Parva: 30-29 6, Sriranga Gadyam

^{3.} Ramayana: Sundarakanda: 38-33 7. Vaikunta Gadyam

TAMIL VERSE:

The devotees of the Lord (Acharyas) have themselves understood and have made us (also) understand the eternal Lord who is the origin of all things and who is anxious to save us, being full of the might of mercy, so that all persons from the Brahmin to the Chandala and especially those who feel most the sufferings of samsāra may, in their helplessness and without seeking any other saviour or any other fruit, approach Him and seek refuge under Him.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

(1) Inability to adopt such updyas as Bhakti yoga, (2) ignorance of the required knowledge; (3) prohibition by the sāstras of the adoption of such means and (4) inability to endure any delay in attaining mukti:— these four, occuring either singly* or in combinations of two, three or four, by virtue of adrishta (resulting from meritorions deeds performed in the past) are qualifications of varied kinds for the performance of direct and independent prapatti to the Lord of Lakehmi and good men resort to it with these qualifications and free from all doubt for the attainment of mukti.

NOTE :- * Those who have only one of these four are of four classes, those who have any two of them are of six, those who have any three are of four and those who have all the four form one.

(11) THE CHAPTER ON THE CLASSIFICATION OF ACCESSORIES OR ANGAS:

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Propatti to Bhagavan which is of the nature of bharanyāsa (the surrender to the Lord of the responsibility of one's protection) is ordained in such sāstras as the Vedas as having certain distinctive accessories or angas, which are of a certain specific nature and of a certain specific extent and it is to be necessarily performed and only once to Bhagavān, who is the celestial ocean of mercy who controls the whole universe from within it and who is already bent on the destruction of samsāra.

THE ANGAS OR ACCESSORIES OF PRAPATTI:

The accessories or angas of this vidy \bar{a} (ny \bar{a} savidy \bar{a} or prapatti) are the following: the intention or will to do (thereaftar) whatever is agreeable (to the Lord), the avoidance of whatever is displeasing (to Him), helpleseness ($k\bar{a}rpa\eta ya$), supreme faith, and supplication or seeking His protection.

It has (sometimes) been said that saranagati is 'of six kinds:— intention to do whatever is pleasing (to the Lord) ($\bar{a}nu-k\bar{u}lyasankalpa$), the avoidance of whatever is displeasing to Him ($pr\bar{a}tik\bar{u}lyavarjanam$), the faith that He will afford protection ($mah\bar{a}visv\bar{a}sa$), begging His protection (goptritvavarana), the surrender of the self ($\bar{a}tmanikshepa$) and the feeling of helpleesness ($k\bar{a}rpanya$). It is stated to be six-fold in this and other passages by the inclusion of the $ang\bar{s}$, namely prapatti or selfsurrender among its angas or accessories as in the word ashianga yoga.

NOTE :-- (Ashtanga Yoga is really dhyanayoga. It is said to consist of yama, niyama, asana, pranayama, praiyahara, dhyana, dharana and samadhi, Samadhi which is the angi is included among its angas or accessories).

That one of these is angl and that the others are angas are evident from the following sloks and (this does not require any argument) : "Nyäsa or 'self-surrender which has the word nikshepa for a synonym has five angas and is called also sannyāsa, tyāga and saranägati." In the Ahirbudhnya Samhita, it is stated as follows :- "The prapatti^{2A} that I perform to the Lord is itself eternal fruition to me; I desire no other fruit than this. This absence of the desire for any other fruit (than prapatti) is itself considered as the chief anga. The desire for the fruit is opposed to this." This other anga (described in the Ahi. Samhitā), namely, the absence of any desire for alterior results or fruit is essential in the surrender of the self for the sake of moksha. (and not in every form of prapatti). The giving up of all attachment to the fruit or consequence and also of the thought of one's doership is common to all forms of renuncistion such as karma yoga, This thought or reflection should therefore be entertained by the person desirous of moksha, at the time of his surrender of His self to the Lord with all the angas.

1 & 2. ÄNUKÜLYA SANKALPA AND PRĀTIKŪLYA VARJANAM:

Among these angas, the essential condition for the will to do what is pleasing (to the Lord) ($anuk\overline{u}lya sankalpa$) and the avoidance of what would be displeasing to Him, ($pr\overline{a}tik\overline{u}lya$ varjanam) is the knowledge that the person exists solely for the Lord of Lakshmi for whom all things and all beings exist and abould therefore do whatever would please Him by performance and by abstention from performance. From this it follows: that "by the 'determination to do whatever is pleasing and the other (the avoidance of whatever is displeasing) one would avoid transgressing the command of the Lord.

^{2.} Lakahmi Tantra : 17-74

²A. Ahirbudhaya Samhita : 52-14

^{3,} Lakshmi Tantra : 17-76

(8) KĀRPAŅYAM:

Kärpanyam , or helplessness is meditation on one's akin chanya and other attributes (like ananyagatitoam) described in the previous chapter, or the freedom from pride to which it gives rise. These generate a feeling of wretchedness or helpleseness. In any one of these ways, it would serve to heighten the compassion of the Saviour (saranya) and would be useful later on for the promotion of the knowledge that there is no other upaya. For it is said :- "Karpanya" is abstention from seeking any other upāya".

(4) MAHĀVISVĀSA:

Supreme faith or mahā visvāsa is necessary for the performance of prapatti free from all doubts and it leads later on to freedom from all care or anxiety. For it is said :-- " "From the faith that He will protect arises the performance of the desired upaya,"

(5) GOPTRITVA VARANAM:

Though moksha is the appropriate goal of our essential nature, (svarüpa), yet when it is sought as the desired end (purushārtha), it has to be asked for, just like other desired objects not so appropriate. Supplication for protection is necessary since the thing (vis. moksha) would be given only to one who asks for it. No good thing is ever given without its being asked for. Therefore moksha will not be purushartha, unless it is asked for by the purusha, That is why it has been stated as follows :-- " No 'protection would be given when it is not sought", and " ^{6A} Suppliancy or seeking the Lord as Saviour is to make our mind known (to Him)."

Since these five are of use at the time of the performance of prapatti, they are essential for the surrender of one's self, atma nikshepa.

- Lakshmi Tantra 17–72 Lakshmi Tantra : 17–77
- 5. Lakshmi Tantra : 17-77

- 6A. Lakshmi Tantra : 17–78

٠

ILLUSTRATION OF THE FRESENCE OF THESE ANGAS IN SARANAGATI:

These angas that are essential for prapatti may be seen in the words of the good Trijata to the Rakshasis advising them to seek refuge under Sitadevi. "Enough 'of your cruel words." This states the avoidance of what is displeasing. "Use ^{7A}only conciliatory language ". This states implicitly the intention or will to do what is pleasing, since the utterance of speech is impossible without being preceded by mental resolution. " Terrible 78 dread has, indeed, befallen the Rakshasäs from Rama." By describing the state of belplessness in which they stand, this shows their akinchanya which is a qualification (for propatti) and it reveals their härpanya also, for, by reflecting on their helplessness, they would give up their arrogance and the like, which leads to kārpanya, one of the angas. "She^{7C} is capable of protecting us, O Rakshasis, from this great danger", which is elaborated by Hanuman into "She is^{7D} capable of protecting these Rakshasis from Raina". This shows the great faith or mahāvisvāsa that she will protect, for even when the Lord is intent on punishing one (for his or ber mis-deeds) she can turn his mind from anger-"Let us beg Sita⁷ (to protect us). This is what I consider Though you threatened her before, beg of her now; propèr. O Rakshasis, do not ask whether she will protect. Such talk is vain." This is supplication for protection. The surrender of the self, atma nikshopa, which is the ang? and which has these five as its angas is intended in the word pranipäta (obeisance) which states the cause that produces the gracioneness, in the stoka: "Sita, the "daughter of Janaka, is surely bent on showing her graciousness to those who do her obeisance". Therefore the statement in the sastras that Nyasa has "five angas" is seen in its complete. ness here (in the prapatti of the Rakshasis). The Rakshasis did

7. Ra		Sundarakanda :	27-42	7 D . B	Lamayana	. Sundarakanda	
78.	14	PI	27-42	7 E _		**	27-44
78,	**	Þİ	27-45	7F.	**	37	27-45
7C.		i 77	27-43	8, La	celenti tao	dram: 17-74	

not reject this advice and even this (more) acquiescence (namely, non-rejection) made Sita become their refuge owing to her extreme love and graciously say :- " I "will be your refuge." That these words were from the very heart and ultimately bore fruit has been declared hy the great Parasara Bhattar renowned for his learning and wisdom in the scloka : "O Mother Maithili, by the protection which you gave to the offending Rakshasis from Hanuman, you reduced Rama and His council (Sugriva and others) to a lower position, (for Rama protected Vibhishana (who had committed no offence) and Kakasura (who had offended) when they were able to ask for protection whereas you protected the Rakshasis while they were in the very act of offending, and even when they did not themselves beg of you to do so, (at the intercession of Trijata)." In this surrender, by Trijata, of the responsibility (for protection) of herself and of others connected with her, the Rakshasis who were related to Trijata by birth and who had won her affection, were included. So also the four Rakshasas who came along with Vibhishana were included in the $up\bar{a}ya$ adopted by him. In that context also where protection was granted (by Rama), it is possible to fit in the angi and And it is in this way :- Since (Vibhishana) tells the angas. Ravana who is determined to continue in his evil career, "Let "Site be given back to Rama ", and " Let us" give Site Devi to Rama and live here, O King, free from all anxiety," Vibhishana's intention to do whetever is pleasing $(\bar{a}nuk\bar{u}lya sankalpa)$ is dis-This wholesome speech caused anger in Ravana's closed. mind in the same way as milk tastes bitter to the person suffering from (excess of) bile. The intention to avoid what is displeasing (prātikūlya varjanam) is revealed in his coming (to Sri Rama) having given up all attachment to Lanka in accordance with his words, "I seek "refuge under Rams having given np (my) sons and wives", and "Lanka", friends, and all kinds of wealth have been

- 9. Ramayana: Sundara Kanda: 58-90
- 10. Sri Gunaratnakosa: 50
- 11, Ramayana: Yuddha Kanda: 9-22
- 12. Ramayana: Yuddha Kanda:15-14
- 13. Ramayana: Yuddha Kanda: 17-14
- 14. Ramayana: Yuddha Kanda: 19-5

abandoned by me," (These words were attered by him) after Ravana cursed him saying: "Shame¹⁵ on thee, O thou that bringest disgrace to our race." He then came to the conclusion that there was no use of giving him advice any more, that he should not enjoy any further the glories of being with him and that he should not even stay in the place where he was. Vibhishana's karpanya or feeling of helplessness is stated in his words beginning with. "The wicked Ravana" and in his saying that he has no other course left open owing to his enmity with the all-conquering Ravena and in bis declaring a short while after: " I am the "younger brother of Revens and have been put to disgrace by him ; 1 have sought Thy protection, O Thou Saviour of all." His supreme faith (mahāvisvāsa) which made him approach without fear and say : "I have^{16A} sought, as my refuge or upaya, Raghava who is the protector of the whole world " is indicated in the words " Vibhishana who was great¹⁶⁸ in wisdom" as being the cause (of this faith). The world "great " which qualifies " wisdom " is intended also to show his supreme faith : bis supplication for protection (Goptritva varanam) is conveyed in the words, "I have sought Raghava", for it is included within them. Besides the indirect suggestion of the supplication for protection, his speech, "Offer me (Vibhishana) to him at once " shows the surrender of his self (*atmanikshepa*) done with the help of those who could promote this surrender (ghatake). The word Nivedayata, which here means "offer to Him " would be of no use, if it were interpreted as 'inform'.

These ideas can be found either briefly or at length in all other contexts of *prapatti* and in all deposits of property on trust with others in ordinary life. When depositing, with a capable person, property or wealth which one is oneself unable to keep safe, has it not been seen that one intends to do what is pleasing and to give up the intention to displease that person? One believes that the person (so trusted) can keep it safe and will do so if required, tells him of one's inability to take care of it and begs him to be its 15. Ramayana: Yuddha Kanda: 16-15 16A. Ramayana: Yuddha Kanda: 17-75 16. " 19-4 169. " 19-35

120

custodian. Then one leaves it with him and sleeps care-free, without any fear and with one's arms on one's obest.

THE PRESENCE OF THESE ANGAS AND THE ANGI IN DVAYA:

It may be asked how these angas are to be understood in the, mantra called Dvaya which reveals the meaning of the action (prapatti) to be performed? The answer is as follows :-- Doubte might arise whether the Lord of all (1) who is omniscient and omnipotent, (2) who rewards in proportion to the karma (performed by one), (3) who does not require any help or assistance, (4) who does not take action immediately like minor deities and (5) who is destitute of equals and superiors— (1) whether the Lord who is of such a nature could (at all) be accessible to those who have committed unlimited transgressions (2) whether He would grant fruits beyond all measure to those who have committed unlimited transgressions which are hindrances to their attainment, (3) whether He would give (these blessings) in return for insignificant actions (on the part of the persons concerned), (4) whether he would grant them without delay and (5) whether He would he absolutely indifferent to the status of the suppliant. To remove these doubts one should know that the Lord has certain features. like (1) helpful recommendation (purushakāra) from Lakshmi, (2) the relationship of the Master to his servants. (3) attributes and qualities like love (to His creatures). (4) the will or determination (to redeem them) and (5) His own satisfaction in . saving them. These features of the Seshi (Bhagavau) which are needed, respectively, for the *upaya* or means and for the attainment lie imbedded, as it were, in the words Srimat (with Sri or . Lakshmi) and Narayana (the refuge of all men) and these fea-. tures will not, in reason, be available for salvation if there is no determination on the part of the Jiva to do what is pleasing : (*unukulya* sankalpa) and the avoidance of what is displeasing ' (pratikulya varjana). This should be considered as referred to r in those two words in Dvaya. These words which show that the master has these features reveal by their appropriateness (the need / for) the determination to perform what would please Him and the avoidance of what would cause displeasure.

These five, namely (helpful recommendation from Sri, the relationship of the Master to His servante, His attributes and qualities, His activity in redseming and the satisfaction (prayojana) He receives have, respectively, the following specific properties: (1) inability to refuse, (2) impossibility of dissociating (Himself from His servants), (3) the unconditioned nature of His grace, (4) the absence of the need for accessory help and (5) His feeling that the gain of those who are below Him is His own gain. If it is asked how the doubts (about selvation by prapatti) stated before are removed by these specific properties, the answer is as follows :-- (1) Though He is omniscient and omnipotent. He cannot ignore recommendations of certain kinds and will pardon all faults which stand in the way of His accessibility in the same way as a king will pardon the faults of servants of the zenana (on the recommendation of the royal ladies). He will appear as if he is unaware of these faults and be easily accessible. (2) Though He rewards in accordance with one's karma, he will become gracious owing to the performance of prapatti, which is some thing like an apology for greater things, a $vy\bar{a}ja$ or gesture, and grant even that fruit which is beyond all measure and which is one's natural right like an inheritance, on account of its being due to the relationship of master and servant. (3) Although He has all objects of desire and does not require any help or assistance. He looks upon the little action (prapatti) performed by one as if it were an act of supreme help to Him because of His supreme and unconditioned compassion ; for He is like a generous emperor who is easily won over by even little tokens of homage. Thus he becomes grateful and acts (accordingly), (4) Though He does not (in general) act quickly like inferior deities and rewards those who adopt other means laid down in the stastras only after (some) delay, yet when a man who has no other protector or refuge has performed propatti, He grants whatever is desired at the very time when it is wanted, as He did in the case of Kakasara and Vibhishana, by

His mere will which is accompanied with generosity and which does not require any other help or aid. (5) Though He is destitute of equals and superiors, He has such attributes as independence and does what is desired by His dependents for His own satisfaction; so He grants (their request) without any consideration of their status or rank as (Sri Rama did) in the case of all creatures in Kosala and in the same way as a man feeds his parrot and his child alike with milk. Thus the doubte (regarding the efficacy of propatti) arising from analogy with everyday life are removed also by the same analogy. Therefore there is nothing to hinder prapatti from heing the upaya for the desired object, as ordered in the sastras. Supreme faith or Mahavisvasa is not possible for one who has not understood, from the special grace of a good acharya, these five, namely, helpful recommendation and the like, qualified by such attributes as have been mentioned before. This is how it happens: The man finds that he is unfit to adopt such upayas as karma yoga and the like on account of his great sins resulting from Iswara's being unfavourably disposed towards His condition is such as is described in the following him. stoka : "Shame" on me that have neither purity, nor modesty, neither compassion nor shame; for, O Supreme Person, I desire to aspire to Tby service which even such great yogis, as Brahma, Rudra and Sanaka find far beyond the reach of their meditation." Thus he has a hankering for attaining an object that is hard to attain; but for securing it, he adopts an $up\bar{a}ya$ which does not involve physical strain, expenditure of money or length of time and which is easy of performance, since it involves only a single mental act or even a single utterance with only a general understanding of the meaning of the words as a whole. With this easy upaya he desires to attain that supremely great object (namely, moksha) and that, too, when he wants it. He knows that, by birth, conduct and the like, he is unfit to enjoy that fruit, like a ¹⁶dog which is unfit to est the offering made to the gods, and yet he longs to attain this glory not only for himself but also for those

who are connected with him. Embar has, therefore, illustrated the difficulty of having such faith by saying that to expect this man who dreads *bhaktiyoga* to have this supreme faith (regarding the efficacy of *prapatti*) is "to ask a man who pleads inability to pay a hundle of sesamum stalks which would yield a *kalam* of seeds to pay instead a *kalam* of oil".

In this connection Appullar stated that the man who, like the gopis, has little discrimination but knows that the Lord is easily accessible and who seeks Him as having this quality has greater faith than the man who thinks only of the Lord's supreme greatness and remoteness and avoids Him; for the latter is called in the $G\bar{u}t\bar{a}$ the worst of men (*narādhama*) as the birth of his knowledge has only led to his ruin. The greatness of this supreme faith born of a knowledge of such thiogs as helpful recommendation (*purushakāra*) from Lakshmi, the essential nature of this faith and the feeling of helplesaness are to be seen respectively in the preposition *pra* in *prapadye* (in *Dvaya*), the root *pad* in *prapadye* occurring with the word *saranam* and in the first person singular in *prapadye*.

In Saranagati Gadya, Sri Ramanuja explains the implication contained in the first person singular (*prapadye*) as meaning "I that have no other refuge". In *Dvaya* the words Saranam prapadye express supreme faith in the Lord's protection. Therefore the supplication (or prayer for protection) is implicit in the words which express supreme faith in the $up\bar{a}ya$ (though not actually stated in so many words). It has been said "I am the abode¹⁹ of transgressions; I am without any other means of protecting myself, I have nothing else to attain than Thee." "Be pleased^{19A} to be my $up\bar{a}ya$. This thought of prayer is called *saranagati*. Let it be performed to the Lord." Further "this word *saranam*^{19B} is employed to mean any one of the following :upaya, house and protector; here it means only $up\bar{a}ya$." The

19B. Ahirbudhnya Samhita : 37-29

19A. Ahirbudhnya Samhita : 37-31

Lord of all, besides being the protector in the general sense, as stated in all sāstras should, in the case of the prapanna, be thought of steadfastly as being an $up\bar{a}ya$, since he has accepted the responsibility of protecting bim and stands therefore in the place of other $up\bar{a}yas$. Besides, the Lord should be thought of as an $up\bar{a}ya$ in order that this $adhik\bar{a}r\bar{i}$ (namely, the prapanna) who has surrendered the responsibility for his protection to the Lord may remain steadfast without seeking other $up\bar{a}yas$.

The word $u\phi\bar{a}ya$ stands for "the means to secure an end" and this means may be either sentient or non-sentient. It has been said :- " Among the angas to prapatti are the faith that He will protect and the supplication or prayer for protection " " The Lord²⁰ who controle everything, though He is omniscient and always compassionate, expects a prayer for protection, since He has to look after the process of samsāra". Since the upāya or means in this case is a sentient being (namely, the Lord), and the prayer for protection (or goptritua varanam) which is applicable only to sentient beings is necessary here, the word saranam in Dvaya, which means $u \rho \overline{a} y a$, implies also the proper for protection. Since the word saranam cannot have two meanings when it is used only once. (we should understand that) in the Dvaya, the steadfast thought of the Lord being an $\mu p \bar{a} y a$ which is peculiar to the adhikari called propanna is brought out by the word staranam itself and that the prayer for protection which is common to all adhikāris is understood by implication from the meaning.

TAMIL VERSE:

Our kind $\overline{A}ch\overline{a}ryas$ have thught us the way of seeking, as an $up\overline{a}ya$, the Supreme Being who is (ever) ready to help us in our state of helplessness, when we are without such $up\overline{a}yas$ as bhakti yoga which give rise to doubte (concerning our shility to adopt them with success). They have taught it to us so well that we

shall never commit the mistake of begging, for deliverance from the sorrows of *samsāra*, the (other) gods who are bound by *karma* and are therefore like ourselves and who are not related in any way to us.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

In the Scriptures (vouchsafed to us) by Bhagavan himself (i, e. in the Pancharütra Agamas), this yoga called prapatti is proclaimed as having live or six angas and as requiring to be performed only once. In this yoga, absention from transgressions results from two of the angas, namely, anukalya saukalpa and prātikūlya varjana. It may be understood (also) that from one anga, namely, $k\bar{a}rpanya$, one feels that there is no other $np\bar{a}ya$. From supreme faith, another angu arises firmness of mind. By another anga, namely, goptritva varanam, is generated the Lord's will to save. In this yoga, namely, prapatti, and also in its angas, the thought that all these are dependent upon the Lord should arise from a knowledge of the truth (tattva) (that the Jiva is sesha to the Lord and that all he does is really done by the Lord and that for His own purposes - sättvika parityäga). This last thought is common to prapatti and all other upāyas for securing moksha, (When saranagati is performed for the sake of worldly goods, this last thought, sativika parityaga is absent.)

(12) THE CHAPTER ON THE PERFORMANCE OF PRAPATTI WITH ITS ANGAS.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

When a desired object is incapable of attainment by oneself and by other $\mu p \bar{a} y as$, *prapatti* is the placing of the responsibility or burden of securing it in somebody who is capable of doing to with a request or supplication. It is declared to be the specific thought such as (the following):— "This object should be accomplished (for me) by you without my making any effort of my own hereafter."

THE NATURE OF THE ANGI:

In this $up\bar{a}ya$ (consisting of $ang\bar{i}$ and angas) to be adopted by the seeker after moksha, the $ang\bar{i}$ is the surrender of one's self or $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ with a clear understanding that, neither in the prapatti nor in the fruit thereof, one has any independence; it should be in the same way as one would surrender a jewel belonging to another to that person himself to be kept safe by him and to be worn by him.

That is :— one should realise that, in relation to the Lord of all who appears in the base and the suffix of the first letter in the *pranava* (i.e.) a which means Iswara and the suffix of the dative or fourth case which has been dropped (i.e.) $\bar{a}ya$ (for the Lord of all) as the protector of all and the *seski* of all, one has no interest or connection at all in the activity of protecting one-self or those related to one-self and also in what results from the protection of onescif and those related to oneself, either as depending upon oneself or as bringing about some results for oneself. It is the specific form of thought that one is *seska* to the Lord and is entirely dependent upon the Lord — in this, the most important feature is surrendering the responsibility of protection (*bharanyāsa*) to the Lord.

THE MANNER IN WHICH BHARANYĀSA SHOULD BE PERFORMED:

The manner in which this form of mental realisation contained in the injunction "One 'should surrender one's self to me" should be effected is as follows :--- The person should realise this within himself: vis., "I am absolutely dependent (upon the Lord); I am His sesha and exist only for Him. My sesharoa does not belong to anyhody else and has no dependence on any. body else. Therefore Iswars who is the seshi and who is independent should protect me for the fulfilment of His own purposes. As is said in the siloka : " Even my self!" or atmä does not belong to me: (if a man should consider what does not belong to him as his, he could consider the whole earth as his) "; my soul does not belong to me, it does not belong to anyone else (than Bhagavan), I do not belong to myself, nor can I say that any thing belongs to me unconditionally. As stated in the sloka :- " The embodied being who is like a lump of clay and is entirely dependent (on the Lord) is not able to protect himself ; how can he protect others ?". I am incapable of protecting myself and those who are said to be mine, independently (of the Lord) or for the sake of myself as the main person interested in it." Just as the wise Uparicharyasu ³always felt that his soul, his kingdom, his wealth, his wife, and his vehicles were all for Bhagavan, we should also say, "I and what is mine are His. The responsibility of protecting these is also that of the Lord who is the universal Protector" for it is said : " the surrender' of the responsibility for one's self and of what is one's is called *ittmanikshepa.*" " There' is no one other than Bhagavan who is capable of affording protection ". The fruit of this protection should also be considered primarily His, for it has been said, "Atma6 nikshepa consists (also) in giving up all relationship to the fruit resulting from His protection and surrendering it (vie the fruit) to Kesava "who is the chief beneficiary".

j. Satvata Tantra :

- 4. Lakshmi tantram: 17-79 5 Vishnu purana: 1-22-21
- Mababharata: Santiparva: 25-19
 Mahabharata: Santiparva: 294-19
- 6. Lakshmi Tantram: 17-73
- 3. Mahabharata: Santiparva: 343-24

SANSKRIT SLOKA :

To all seekers of moksha (whether bhaktas or prapannas), the surrender of one's svar $\ddot{u}pa$ or self and the rest (i.e) the fruit in common. To one who has no other $up\ddot{a}ya$ ((i.e.) prapannā) and is therefore akinchana, the surrender of the responsibility of protection (bharanyāsa) is an additional angī. To all prapannas, whatever may be the object desired by them, the surrender of the responsibility is common. To those prapannas who are desirous of (only) moksha, the surrender of one's self or svar $\ddot{u}pa$ and also of the fruit arising therefrom is an additional (requirement).

ISWARA IS THE PRINCIPAL BENEFICIARY:

If it is asked how, when the Jiva who performs prapatti as the $up\bar{n}ya$ for the sake of some gain or fruit is the person interested in the fruit. Iswara becomes the chief beneficiary, the answer is as follows:—Iswara becomes the chief beneficiary, because, just like the modifications of acit or matter, the benefits (*purushārthas*) granted by Him to *cit* (*jīva*) are pleasing to Him. Being the *seshī* of all. He is the chief beneficiary. To a man of cultivated tastes, who is not in need of anything, the delight in melting dolls made of metals and shaping them into ornaments and wearing them in admiration of their beanty is not different (in kind) from the delight enjoyed by him in keeping a sentient being like a parrot in a cage and feeding it with milk and seeing it fly according to His pleasure.

SANSKRIT SLOKA

Tuerefore, *ätmasamarpanam* (the surrending of the self to the Lord for protection) means here the realisation of the self as existing solely for the purpose of the Saviour and that to such an extent that the self feels no further responsibility, whatever, for its own protection and this should be accompanied by the knowledge that the fruits of such surrender are not one's own.

The purport of the sloka in Alavandar's Stotra (52) which says :-- " Whatever I may be in the body, the senses and the like, and whatever may be the qualities of my nature, I who know that I am Tby sesha hereby surrender myself at this very moment at thy two lotus-like feet " - the purport of this sloke is as follows :-If a man has (somehow) come into possession of a doth bag with the king's seal on it, he would return the bag (to tha king), even though he does not know for certain the nature and character of the gem contained within it, in the hope that the king would take it back. So also even those who have not the intelligence to know, with perfect clearness, the nature, character and state of the soul as distinguished from the body may surrender their self or soul with the little knowledge that they possess. If they do so, even for that much, Bhagavan will be prepared to remit the punishment for the theft consisting in making away with one's self (i. e. claiming it as one's own) from beginningless time. This idea contained in the stastras is what (Alavandar) has in mind. In the next *sloka (53) it might appear as if he revoked the surrender (made before); hut its meaning is only this :- Even when a person is bent on surren. dering his self without a knowledge of such things as the nature of his self, if he surrenders it with the notion that it is his own, like the presentation to a king of something belonging to oneself, it would not completely put an end to the crime of having stolen one's self. It does not mean that Alavandar considered his self. surrender made in sloka 52 in accordance with the injunctions contained in the sästras, as having been made in ignorance.

So from these two stokas, it is clear that the essence of the sāstras which primarily prescribe bharasamarpaņam as in the words of Alavandar (60) "The responsibility of protecting me is also Thine", consists in saying na mama "It is not mine" and getting rid of the feeling of one's connection (with everything), though one may not have a true and discriminatory knowledge about such things as the essential nature of the self.

NOTE :- *" However O lord, what can I surrender to Thee, O Madhava, when I know that I and whatever belongs to me are always thine. As I have nothing of my own, I have nothing to surrender, I am only giving Thee what belongs to Thee".

THE MEANING OF THE ANGI IN DVAYAM:

Thus in the first part of the Dvaya which deals with the $up\bar{a}ya$ or means, the surrender to the Lord of the responsibility (for one's protection) accompanied by the thought that one is seeka to the Lord and exists only for Him is to be understood in connection with the verb (*prapadye*) joined with the word scaranam which treats of the $up\bar{a}ya$ preceded by supreme faith (*mahāvisvūsa*) and which contains within it, in an implicit form, the supplication for protection.

Thus (from what has been said in this chapter and in the foregoing chapters), although these six (the five angas and the ang \bar{s}) may be understood separately, while considering, in detail, the meaning of each part of the sentence, yet they constitute only a single mental act while understanding the whole sentence, just like the understanding of other sentences having several parts. Therefore, in accordance with the $s\bar{a}stras$, this principal action with its angas is performed only once.

PRAPATTI IS A MOMENTARY ACTION.

An archer's action in discharging an arrow for hitting a target may be constituted of several separate acts (like observing the object, taking the aim and discharging the arrow) and yet it is all accomplished in a single moment. Similar is the act of surrendering (to the Lord) the responsibility of (one's) protection. This is evident from the struti itself. (The struti says, "* Pranava (aum) is the bow: the soul or self is the arrow; it must be discharged at Brahman, which is the target, with great care and with keen concentration ".

That this surrender of the responsibility of protection (*bhara*-samarpana) should be chiefly thought of while uttering the

mantras of prapatti is clearly stated as follows in Sātyaki tantra by the Saviour Himself, who undertakes the responsibility: "With this mantra one should surrender one's self to me. The one who has surrendered to me the responsibility of doing what should be done will become one who has done one's duty."

A BRIEF STATEMENT OF WHAT BHARASAMARPANA IS:

In (the performance of) this (angl), the conclusion regarding the adoption of this $up\bar{a}ya$ with its angas is as follows :- it consists in the act of surrendering the responsibility for the protection of one's self preceded by the following :- the giving up of the thought that one is the doer (for it is the Lord that does everything), the giving up of the thought of 'mine' (that there is anything belonging to oneself), the giving up of the fruit of the action, the giving up of the thought that he himself adopts the means for obtaining that fruit; these must be accompanied by $\bar{a}nuk\bar{u}lya$ sankalpa, $pr\bar{a}tik\bar{u}lyavarjana$ and the rest and, likewise, reverent bowing down to the succession of gurus and with the utterance of Dvaya. The surrender of the responsibility for the protection of one's self carries with it implicity the surrender of one's Svar $\bar{u}pa$ or self and the fruit or gain arising from it.

The giving up of the thought that one is the doer will result from the realisation of one's entire dependance on the Lord throughout the existence of the soul. This will make one know that this doership has been vouchsafed to one by the Lord Hinself.

The giving up of the thought of 'MINE' (mama) and of the fruit (of prapatti) will arise from the knowledge that one, as well as what belongs to one, exists, by one's very nature, solely for the fulfilment of the purposes of Bhagavan alone.

The giving up of the upaya for attaining the fruit (moksha) will result from a knowledge that the *prapatti* performed by a man for winning the grace of the Saviour cannot directly and immediately be the cause of the main fruit, namely, moksha, that propatti is non-sentient and, therefore, incapable of possessing the will to grant the fruit and that only Iswara is the upaya for this fruit (moksha). Since Bhagavan who, out of the love natural to Him, has been the primeval cause of everything from the time of giving the man the body and the senses to the time of his uttering the Dvaya—since Bhagavan is possessed of the will accompanied by the desire to show His grace and is the immediate and direct cause (of the final fruit, vis moksha) and since he also stands Himself in the place of the prescribed upaya in the case of the man who is incapable of (adopting) other upayas, He alone is the upaya for the fruit. The authority for this conclusion is the nature of the object, (namely, Bhagavan) as understood from the $s\bar{a}stra$ which reveals the Person who bas that nature and this authority cannot be ohallenged by reason.

NADĀTHUR AMMĀL'S SUMMARY OF THE MEANING OF PRAPATTI:

The following is a summary of the performance of prapatti with its angas as given by Sri Nadathur Ammal :---

"I have been wandering in samsāra by doing, from beginningless time, what is displeasing to Thee. From this day onwards, I will do what is pleasing (to Thee). I will not do what will displease (Thee). I have no capital with which to attain Thee. I have made up my mind to seek only Thee as the upaya. Be Thou my upaya! Hereafter, either in the removal of what is evil or in the attainment of what is good, I have no further responsibility from now onwards". In this the determination to do what is pleasing and abstinence from what is displeasing have to be stated only once as accessories or angas to the upäya. His acting later in accordance with this determination to do what is pleasing etc. will be the result or fruit of the upaya and will last as long as his self lasts. If, in accordance with the words of Sri Ammal, we take the avoidance of what is displeasing as meaning only the determination to avoid what is displeasing, it is evident that it has to be made only once (i.e at the time of prapatti). It is true that it has

134

感見しい

SBIMAD RAHASYATRAYASARA

OH APTER

been said :— "I have ' dissociated myself from sine which cast one into samsāra." (But it may be explained in this way :—) The avoidance of what is displeasing may mean either having no connection (at all) with it (i. e.) giving it up or the determination to avoid it. In either case, this is, at the first instant, an anga and the actual avoidance is the result or fruit of prapatti which follows afterwards. This holds good also in regard to vievāsa or faith.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Doing what is pleasing (to the Lord) and avoiding what is displeasing (in one's life after *prapatti*) are the result of meritorious deeds done in the past, and also the determination made at the time of performing *prapatti*.

Therefore wise are they who perform *prapatti* in order to render faultless service during their life-time and to attain moksha after casting off the body resulting from *prārabdha karma*.

TAMIL VERSE:

Our princely $\overline{A}ch\overline{a}ryas$, who wear the crown of proficiency in the Vedas, have placed us for protection under the feet of the Lord, who, from beginningless time, has resolved, in His great compassion, to save us; they have done so in order that there may be no further responsibility in ourselves (for our protection), by eaving to us, "Do not forget the truth that the Lord, who is the sont of the world, will protect you who are related to Him as sesha (existing solely for His purposes)."

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The horse, the chariot and the charioteer -- the end of the Vedas (the Upanishads) shows that the three tattvas, cit, acit, and

Iswara are related to one another respectively like the three mentioned above and have always had varied activities in accordance with their respective nature. In connection with these activities, all the three are of the nature of heing the (material) causes; two, *cit* and *Iswara*, are of the nature of doers, and one (i.e) *Iswara* is alone independent (of all others). Therefore the *jiva*, the responsibility for whose protection has been undertaken by Iswara who is the Master, is carefree and has no further responsibility of his own in the matter of his protection.

(13) THE CHAPTER ON THE MAN WHO HAS DONE WHAT OUGHT TO BE DONE (1. E.) PRAPATTI.

SANSKRIT SLOKA.

When Bhagavan, who is omnipotent, who knows all things and who is, by His nature, full of love for us, has accepted the responsibility (of protecting us), there is nothing more remaining to be done by us here for it; let us therefore fix our souls in that boundless sea of supreme bliss and feel the satisfaction (of having attained our object) by becoming rich in rendering service to Him, poor though we may have been before.

THE PRAPANNA HAS DONE WHAT HE OUGHT TO DO AND HAS ATTAINED HIS GOAL:

(1) The man who has adopted this $up\bar{a}ya$ (prapatti) has, from the time when he adopted it, nothing else to do for attaining the fruit thereof. (2) What he had to do has been done by performing prapatti once; (3) (Bhagavan) who is independent (of all others), whose will is irresistible and who is the one that rewards us with the fruit (of our actions) says, "Do not grievel," Owing to these (three) reasons, the prapauna would become care-free at the thought of having surrendered his bhara. Since the Lord of all who has been accepted as the $upaya^*$, which is ever present as stated in. " Seek refuge under me alone ", has said "I will release Thee from all sins", and since the Lord can (always) be trusted and since He is capable (of acting according to His word), he would have no doubts or fears in regard to the realisation of his object. So he (the propanna) would rejoice at having given up even the slightest trace of other sims or objects and also with having given up other $u\phi\bar{u}yas$ along with $u\phi\bar{a}yas$ which are subsidiary to them. Like a poor man who has received a great treasure with little effort, he would rejoice at the prospect of the

† Charama sloka.

*Siddhopaya - the upaya which is ever present is Bhagavan, for He is always eager to save the jiva.

supreme goal (of life) which he is to attain. It has been said :---"He¹ who has sought, in every possible manner, the refuge of Narayana who is the Saviour and the gury of the world is not the servant of the gods, of the rishis, of animals, of men and of the pitris. He is in no way their debtor ". In regard to such fivas (ksheirajnas) as have taken the names of Prajapati (the Lord of creatures) and Pasupati (the Lord of beasts, vis. bound souls), he is able, like one whose promissory note registered in the unwritten Vedas under certain conditions (upadhi relating to casta etc.) and requiring service as interest for the principal (borrowed by him from them) has been fully discharged (torn off). Therefore when he has to pronounce their names in the performance of *such compulsory and regularly recurrent and occasional rites as the five great yajnas (Panchamahā yajnas), he would realise that these names refer really to Iswara (Bhagavan) etymologically : as has been stated by the sages in passages like :-- " Those² who worship the pitris (the spirits of their fore-fathers), the gods, the Brahmins and Agni - they (in reality) worship only Viahnu, who is the Inner Self of all beings." When the king's servants place a garland and a jewel on the cost worn by the king, their object is not to please the cost but to gain the favour of the king.

The same idea has been expressed in such places as the chapter called Yajnāgrahara in the Mahābhārata* (Ch. 349 Santi Paroa) and in Hastigiri Māhātmya. In the Brahma Sūtras also (1-2-29), it is said : "Since words like Agni refer directly also to Brahman, Jaimini is of opinion that there is nothing unreasonable in it". Such words as gods and pitris have no connection with the coats called gods and pitris and by the full significance contained in their

NOTE:— The idea is that Prajapati, Pasupati. Agni and others are only like the coat of a king and that, within them, there is the Inner Ruler, Immortal, who alone is Parameswara or the Supreme Ruler.

1. Bhagavatam: 11-5-41 2. Mahabharata: Santiparva: 355-24

Mahabharata: Santiparva: Chapter 349; "The Great Padmanabha receives the quintessences of all the offerings made in sacrifices" and when the gods asked Brahma who was performing a sacrifice to Bhagavan he replied: "Neither by me nor by any one else who wants to cross the set of samsara are you adored with sacrifices. It is only Bhagavan who receives our offerings".

parts (i.e.) etymology or derivation, they stand for Iswara (Bhaga-VBN). in the same way as words like Narayana do. In pronouncing such words (Prejapati, Pasupati, Agni), the prapanna would realise that there is no violetion of his sole and exclusive devotion to Bhagavan, in the same way as, when the pure secrificers (suddhayaji) who live in Swetadvips pronounce the word Nereyana (Naranam ayanam), they do not go against their exclusive devotion to Bhagavan by using the word Nara. Bhagavan has willed to accept him as His servant to render service in accordance with his varna, asrama, occasions (like the birth of a child) and qualities (like being a Vaishnava). Therefore by service to Him who is the Ruler and the Seshi - service which consists in obedient performance of His commands and permissions as understood from the Sastras, the prapama would do his little bit of service like the freed souls who understand the mind of the Lord by direct perception and he like the muktas; he would be extolled, according to the Sastras and those who stand by them, as one who, by the completion of the updya (prapatti), has done his duty and who, by the completion of his aim in life, has attained satisfaction.

Sri Ramanuja has conclusively described the prapanna's reflection on his satisfactory fulfilment of his duty thus in his Saranāgati gadya :- " Therefore rest happy in the assurance free from all doubt that you will know me, see me, and attain me as I truly am". This is what it means :- " We were wandering in samsāra owing to Bhagavan's punishment inflicted on us for transgressing His commands from beginningless time. By the compassion of Bhagayan ever waiting for an opportunity (to save us), we came into the reach of the kind glances of our good āchāryas and by repeating after them their utterance of Dvaya, we have completed our prapatti. There is no further means needed for obtaining the Saviour. Therefore He will pardon (all the sins) which were the cause of the punishment. The Lord of Bri (Lakshmi) who is the seshi of all and who is capable of purifying His devotees and obtaining their service, will, for His own glory, protect us. With this faith, the propanna would be free

from all care or anxiety or responsibility." (This is the purport of Sri Ramanuja's words in the *Gadya*). This idea is the conclusive meaning which is surely contained also in the Saviour's words "Do not grieve" (in the *charama sloka*).

THE MARKS OF ONE WHO HAS PERFORMED PRAPATTI:

Since one of the qualifications for the performance of *prapatti*, is sorrow or grief before *prapatti*, if be had no grief before, he would not have the necessary qualification for performing *prapatti*. So by the principle "when there is no cause, there is no effect," his $up\bar{u}ya$ (*prapatti*) will not be effective. If, after the performance of *prapatti*, he grieves owing to want of faith in the words of the Saviour, it would follow by the principle "When the effect is absent, there must have been absence of all the required materials (or causes)" that his $up\bar{u}ya$ is incomplete (owing to want of full faith) and that the benefit or fruit will be delayed inasmuch as it will require the completion of the $up\bar{u}ya$. The man who was formerly full of grief and who is now free from sorrow in accordance with the words" Do not grieve" may be considered to have done what should be done (*i. e*) *prapatti* in the proper manner.

TAMIL VERSE:

Our spiritual leaders whom the Lord of Sri that dwells on Hastigiri bas taken into His protection after endeavouring for a long time to find out what been He should confer on these who loved Him. they are like kings and gods (to us), for they have, ever in their minds, the abode of the Lord of the eternal suris and they are like Paramahamsas, because they have completed all rites that have to be performed, (or like awans in their purity or in their capacity to separate the essential from the non-essential).

139

-

13

SANSKRIT SLOKA;

Bharanydsa (or the surrender of the responsibility of protection) has been made (at the feet of) Bbagavan (Hari) for reaching beyond samsāra. So also actions that can produce only limited pleasures have been given up just like forbidden actions. The sustenance of the body will continue in accordance with past karma (which has begun to operate). So nothing need be done for it. Thereafter (i.e) after propatti the bounds^{*} imposed by the Lord's command are scrupulously observed by wise men, (merely because it is the Lord's command.)

NOTE :- * This means that the nitya and naimittika karmas which are ordained by the Lord in the Sastras have still to be performed after prapatti, as, otherwise, there would be transgression of His command.

(14) THE CHAPTER ON THE MARKS OR SIGNS BY WHICH ONE CAN RECOGNISE THAT ONE IS IN THE PROPER STATE OR NISHTA.

SANSKRIT SLOKA.

To a man whose understanding is firmly and properly fixed on (a knowledge of) his essential nature, on the $up\bar{a}ya$ adopted hy him, viz. prapatti and on the ultimate aim of life (purushärtha), a recognition that he is steadily fixed in this stata gives more delight (even) than his approaching moksha. For hy the might of this knowledge, he is able, with a disciplined mind, to close up the chasms lying before him (as obstacles) which are deep and hard to fill and which are as immense as the sky.

I. Signs or marks regarding nishtā in Svarūpa :---

How can a man know that he has firm faith or wisht? (in regard to the knowledge of sourcips?) The answer is as follows:-(1) when he is treated with such things as contumely by others, he would be free from sorrow or depression owing to the knowledge that these faults pertaining to the body and the like (ugliness, low birth etc) have no connection (at all) with his essential nature. (2) It has been said :-- "When one man' reviles another, the sin of the reviled person passes on to the reviler". So towards these fools that are to receive his sin hy their derisive talk, he (the prapauna) should feel over-whelming campassion as stated in such slokas as the following :- "If other *created beings harbour enmity and show hatred, the wise man pities them saying, "How wrapped up they are in great delusion !" and "One 'should very much pity the fool who does evil to himself by violating the stastras and straying from the right path and who is, therefore, like firewood destined for the fismes of hell." (3) The propanna

1. Mahabharata: Aswamedhika 2. Vishnu purana: 1-17-82. parva; 110-64. 3. Ayurveda? would feel grateful to these revilers as being benefactors who remind him, by their censure, of faults which have been described in Alavandar's *sloks and in such verses as those of †Tirumangai Alvar as fit to be reflected on in connection with oneself. (4) The propanna (who has the nishta in svarvpa) would understand that all souls are dependent on Bhagavan owing to their essential nature, that all jivas (kshetrajnas) are under the sway of past harma and that these revilers of his (therefore) revile him by the prompting of lawara, who is independent and is the seshi of all and who enjoys the lita which follows from past karma in the relations between him and his revilers. He would therefore feel no aversion to them: (5) He would rejoice that his past sins which have begun to operate in this life are now being worked out in part. If he has these marks or characteristics as clarified in the explanation of the meaning of the first word (pranava) and the middle word na mama (namo) in the Moolamantra, the prapanna may consider that he has realised his difference from acit or matter, that he possesses knowledge which will enable him to act beneficially towards all heings, that he feels his being destitute of upayas of any kind, that he knows his existing solely for the fulfilment of the purposes of Bhagavan and is entirely dependent on him and should therefore do what is pleasing to the Lord, who, by His very nature, is impartial, who rewards (or punishes), each according to his karma, and who is the independent seshi for whom all else exist and that he onderstands that his good can arise only from the will of another (ie) the Lord, as has been pointed out in the sloka: "Where am 1' who am so full of evil thoughts? Where is the possibility of my seeking what is good to me?

NOTE :-- * Alawandar's sloka: 62 - "How can I ever cross the sea of sorrow and render service at Thy feet - I who have transgressed all the regulations of the sastras who am mean, fickle-minded, envious, ungrateful, arrogant, lustful, decritful, wicked and sinful?"

† The verses of Tirumangal Alwar: Peria Tirumozhi I - I. "Born into this life, I fell into deep anguish of mind. I mingled with young women thinking it was greatly to be desired, until at lest I realised that selvation consists only in the utterance of the name 'NARAYANA'.

4. Jitanta Stotram: 1-18

Therefore, O Thon, that controllest the gods! Direct me to do whatever is good for me, O Madhava!"

II THE NISHTA CONCERNING UPAYA:

If. in him. these features that follow are found, the man who bas performed prapatti may infer that he is in the proper state in relation to the knowledge concerning the $up\bar{a}ya$ (1) He understands that, for him, there is no protection from himself or from others and that Iswara is his only Protector : (2) Though he is confronted with causes of fear even to the extent of death, he does not bewail his state and feels satisfied as at the approach of what is desirable, for it has been said :- "People are 'generally afraid of Death because they have not done what they should have done : those who have done what they should do expect Death as if he were a welcome guest" (3) He has the perfect confidence of having found a Saviour. Sita Devi taught this by her example, "The beautiful "Sits, resting on the arm of Sri Rama, was not afraid at the sight of the elephant, the hon or the tiger (when she was with him in the forest)" She said to Ravana :- O Thou '(Rakehasa) with ten heads that deservest to be reduced to ashes! because I have not obtained Sri Rama's permission and because I have to oberish my tapas as a pativratā (a wife devoted to her husband). I do not burn thes to ashes by the flame (of my chastity) and likewise, "if Rama 'who can destroy the forces of his enemies fills Lanka with his arrows and take me away from here, it will be in keeping with his character." In respect of the object for which he has made bharanyāsu, the prapanna is without any (further) effort of his own (to save himself). In that matter, he realises that the removal of what is evil and the attainment of what is good are in the Lord's hands alone. If he has these features, it may be inferred that he is steadfastly fixed in the $up\bar{a}ya$ which he has sought and which is the means of obtaining all the benefits desired

- 6. Ramayana; Sundara Kanda; 60-20.
- Ramayana: Sundara Kanda: 22-20.
- 8. Ramayana; Sundara Kanda: 30-39,

^{5.} Itihasa Samucchaya : 7~38,

by him, which is prescribed as an updy in the first half of the Charama sloka, which is to be thought of in the first part of Dvaya and which is implicit also in the middle word of Tirumantra (namo).

III THE NISHTA CONCERNING PURUSHARTHA:

It has been said :--- "Of the "three, your birth, continuance and perishing, why should you be anxious only about your continuance? In the same way as hirth and death come of themselves (without any choice being left to you), your continuance in life also will not depend on anything done by you" and again: "The "goddess of Lakshmi comes, of her own accord, to one who does not exert himself in any way. Another man who makes efforts does not obtain even the food (be wants). This is in accordance with past karma". The prapan. na whose understanding is firmly fixed in the purushartha would not feel anxious in relation to such things as the maintenance of the body which are directed by Iswara in accordance with particular acts of past karma that have begun to operate in this life. He would reflect that, even if he grieved or felt anxious about these things, nothing would happen except according to the will of God, as stated in the following passages:- "Though he "flies in the sir, or enters the lower world, called Rasstals, or wanders about the whole earth. a man will not obtain what is not ordained for him " and again, "Whatever "work is done in the world is work done by me. So others propose but I dispose." When things not forbidden in the sastras come to him without any seeking of his and by the will of God, the prapanna realises that the debt which has resulted from past karma that has begun to operate is now being discharged. Therefore he enjoys them without trying to avoid them. For it has been said in Paräs aragitā aud elsewhere :- "House-holders should receive whatever comes to them without any exertion on their part. As regards their *dharmas*, on the other hand, it is my opinion that they should, in all possible ways, exert themselves

?

11-

7

10. Mahabharata: Santiperva: 339-15 12. Mahabharata: Santiparva: 359-56

and fulfil them ", and so also, "The "wise man should not be anxious about his food. He should think only of his *dharma*. Man's food comes to him along with his birth." Like-wise it has been stated in $Ajagarop\bar{a}khy\bar{a}na :--- "I do "not avoid enjoyments$ which come to me of themselves and which are also in keepingwith (my)*dharma*nor do I seek any enjoyment which can behad only with painful effort."

The propound who has attained nishtā in purushārtha has neither pleasure nor pain, when he meets with or fails to get objects usually desired other than moksha, as taught in the following stokas :- "When "one of these two, pleasure and pain, arrives. one should not feel delighted on seeing pleasure nor feel sorrow when one sees pain ", and " The man who" meditates on the feet of the Lord is not elated at the possession of wealth. nor does he feel dispirited when what he possesses is lost." He has, on the other hand, s great longing for service to the Lord which is suited to his essential nature and which is the supreme aim of his life. This urgent longing for the object to be gained will make him cry out as in Alevandar's Stotram and in Sri Ramanuja's gadya, "When, when shall I see, face to face, Bhagavan Narayana? When ahall I press his two lotus-like feet on my head?" When such conduct and feeling are seen in the prapanna, it may be inferred that his mind is firmly fixed in regard to the supreme and infinite goal of existence which is indicated, as (an infinitesimal part of) the sea is by the finger, in the detive Narayayaya in Tirumantra, in the dative Nārāyanāya and in the word namas in Dvaya and in the sentence "I shall release thee from all sins" in Charama sloka.

Thus by observing these signs in himself, the man may realise that he is well established in the state of a true propanna in regard to his essential nature (svar $\ddot{u}pa$), his $up\ddot{u}ya$ and his supreme aim (purush $\ddot{u}rtha$) as stated in the sloke :- I am a self, not a body; I am the sesha who exists only for Lord; I have

Mahabharata: Santiparva: 301-35
 Mahabharata: Santiparva: 175-5
 Mahabharata: Santiparva: 177-24
 The second Tiruvandadi: 45

no possessions of my own; I have sought His protection with the desire to render service to Him" and as understood also from *Tirumantra* and the like. To the *prapanna* who has seen in himself these signs, there will be no causes of fear confronting him other than offence to those who know Brahman, which might occar (as a possibility) on account of his living in the midst of enemies (the body and the senses), nor sources of delight other than the service which suits his essential nature. (This may be seen in the case of Sita devi) of whom it is said, "Sita" does not look at these Rakshasis; she does not look at these trees full of flowers and fruits. Her heart is fixed on one alone and she sees only Sri Rama". If hy chance, these (other causes of fear and sources of delight) come before him, he will have neither fear nor delight.

TAMIL VERSE:

146

Those who have become well-established in their knowledge of the three things shown in the *Tirumantra* (namely, *svarūpa*, $up\bar{a}ya$, and *purushārtha*) will never agree to do what is improper and will do only what is proper. They are really wise men who find, in themselves, these attitudes and actions and they may be called eternal *sūris* living on the earth.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

In the interval between this life of worldly enjoyment and life after moksha — (an interval) which may be compared to a mingling of the state of sleep and the waking state — some destiny has placed prapannas for a time and they pass the rest of their lives wearing, on their heads, the garland of their nishtä in regard to tatva, $up\bar{a}ya$, and $purush\bar{a}rtha$, which has been vouchsafed to them by the Lord.

(15) THE CHAPTER ON THE MANNER IN WHICH ONE SHOULD CONDUCT ONESELF AFTER PRAPATTI.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The propanna, with his eyes fixed on the absence of his own responsibility (bhara) in regard to both what is seen in this life and what is to come hereafter, follows, until the fall of his body, a course of action which is faultless and agreeable (observing the code of conduct prescribed for his varna and his $\bar{a}srama$) and for the sake of delight (in the enjoyment of the anspicious qualities of Bhagavan) frequently studies Vedenta with good men, teaches the sweet and noble utterances (of such as Alvars) always and also listens to them.

THE CODE OF CONDUCT SUITED FOR A PRAPANNA:

The man who has thus done what he should do and infers his proper attainment of nishtä (in svarupa, upaya and purushärtha) should follow the line of conduct described hereunder as long as he lives, like a farmer who, out of a hig field fit for use in the adoration of the Lord, gives up a large part and reserves only a small fraction of it as enough for his needs. This adhikāri, namely, the propanna, who has given up his connection with many things and is still connected with certain things (like the body) should follow a line of conduct which would be most delightful like the successive services rendered by released souls (in hasven). This line of conduct is an end in itself ; it has been prescribed for him in the sastras as required to be done (in certain specific divisions of time); it is a form of service connected by links to the service which is to follow immediately after and is the means of winning the favour of the Master and is also its effect. The following passages indicate this line of conduct; - "I read" the books which describe the attributes and qualities of Bhagavan ; I listened

^{1.} Nenmugan Tiruvandadi: 63

to other people reading them, I bowed to Him, I worshipped Him always and performed service to Him and thus saw to it that my time was not spent in vain". "Men should" get rid of their sorrow which is as deep as the sea on account of past karma surrounding the soul, by meditating on those passages which reveal the Lord's qualities. If they should not do so, by what other thought can they get rid of their sorrow while in samsara?" "We 'should, without intermission render service to the Lord in all places and in all circumstances and at all times". "If the 'Lord is so gracious as to enable me to spend my time always with the passages which describe His qualities in my mouth, with His form alturing the eye and other senses in my mind, and with flowers fit enough for His form in my hands - if I find this grace, what is there uppleasant for me while living here? "I was' horn to seek flowers that are bard to obtain in order that they may be placed at the Lord's feet" "May I, "the sinner that I am, press the tender feet of the Lord which are gently pressed by the incomparably beautiful Lakshmi and the other, viz, the goddess of the Earth ! " " This is 'the only object that I desire - that the Lord should take me into His service for His own satisfaction ". "We will "render service, O Lord, only to Thee". "If the Lord "will be so gracious as to let us gently press His feet to the place where He sleeps" "My "fingers will keep count when I utter the names of the Lord." "The "days of my starvation are not those on which I do not eat -- (they are) the days, if ever they occur, on which I do not constantly meditate saying "nāmo Nārāyaņa" and the days on which I do not approach Thy feet with the three Vedas which are (as it were) freshly hlossomed flowers". "My tongne" will not praise any one hut Thee; I wonder whether Thou wilt

- 2. Peria Tiruvandadi: 86
- 3. Tiruvoimozhi : 3-3-1
- 4. Tiruvoimozhi: 8-10-4
- 5, Tiruvoimozhl : 1-4-9
- 6. Tiruvoimozhi : 9-2-10
- 7. Tiruvoimozhi : 2-9-4

- 8. Tiruppavai : 29
- 9. Nachiar Tirumozhi:4-1
- 10. Perialvar Tirumözhi: 4-4-3
- 11. Perialvar Tirumozhi : 5-1-6
- 12, Perialyar Tirumozhi : 5-1-1

suffer any loss of glory hy my praise; but I cannot stop praising Thee, for my tongue is not under my control". "My month" does not praise any one but Him", "My "shoulders do not bow to any one but Him", "I "swill not look upon my soul which belongs to the Lord as my own, nor will I remain in the company of those mean persons who think so," "May "Thou be so gracious as to make me ever meditate on Thee", "When will "these two eyes of mine delight in looking at the forehead of the Lord where the two gems that shed light shine dispelling darkness?" "I do "not long for birth accompanied with wealth that (only) makes the flesh grow but pray to be born as a bird in the Venkata Hills". "The "eyes of those who have not seen that Golden Hill which rides on the hird and which, long ago, planted the hill wound with the serpent in the sea and churned it for the sake of nectar — their eyes are not eyes at all "

Likewise also these Sanskrit stokas :---

"I do ²⁰ not long for the wealth of Brahma or for that of Rudra. I long to be either a *kadamba* tree or a *kunda* tree on the backs of the Yamuna (which Sri Krishna purified with His holy feet)." "Take me as ²¹Thy servant. There is nothing improper in this. I will attain thereby the satisfaction of serving Thee for whom alone I exist. Thou wilt also obtain the satisfaction of having protected one who has sought Thee as his refuge." "Whether you¹⁰ are awake or asleep, I will render all the service that is required." "Live¹⁰ as you may for a hundred years, I will be under you. Order me yourself to do whatever you want in places which you consider beautiful". "I long¹⁰ only for being the

- 13. Mudal Tiruvandadi : 11
- 14. Mudal Tiruvandadi : 63
- 15. Mudal Tiruvandadi : 64
- 16. Tiruchanda viruttam: 101
- 17. Perumal Tirumozhi: 1-1
- 18. Perumal Tirumozhi: 4-1

- 19. Tirumangai Alvar: 11-7-1
- 20.
- 21. Ramayana: Ayodhyakanda: 31-22
- 22. Ramayana: Ayodhyakanda: 31-27
- 23. Ramayana: Ayodhyakanda: 15-7
- 24. Jitanta stotram: 1-13

servant of Bhagavan in all my births." "I will "spend my days thus, rendering the appointed service in each of the five parts of the day and in worshipping Bhagavan with good *chandana*. flowers and the like - such as I can obtain with my own effort."

These are the ways of service after prapatti which are in keeping with one's essential nature (scar $\overline{u}pa$) in spending the time.

THE PRAPANNA SHOULD SEEK SPIRITUAL KNOWLEDGE:

Further the prayanna should not remain complecently ignorant of what should be known to one in that state, in the presamption that he has siready done what should be done. In the state of perfect enjoyment of the Lord, knowledge and love are (both) essential. Therefore in accordance with the stoka, "One "should never beg of the Lord anything but knowledge and love for His holy feet. The man who hegs (for other things) will surely perish", there is nothing improper in desiring knowledge and love of the Lord. It has been said :- "The "Lord of the vellow raiment has incarnated as the guru to teach the Veda." 60 the prapanna should learn from such gurus possessed of exclusive devotion to the Lord and having a clear knowledge of (the truth). He should obtain clear knowledge from them by following the injunction contained in the sloka :-- "Bow to "your guru, place your doubts before him and render service to him, (then) learn from him the true nature of the self. (Your) āchāryas will teach you the truth concerning the self." He should have his knowledge rendered clear and pure, for (the poet) says, "Even a man "that is dull becomes intelligent by seeking the company of the wise. Muddy water becomes clear and pure by being mixed with the lather from scapnut." It has been said, "He whose" knowledge of the truth (concerning Bhagavan) extends up to the enjoyment

25. The verses of Vankipuram Nambi	28. Bhagavad Gita: 4-34
26.	29. Kalidasa: Malavikagnimitra.
27. Perialvar Tirumozhi: 5-2-8	30, Satvata Samhita.

of the Lord — all those who fall within the range of his eyes will be purified of all their sins." So the *prapanna* should live in close contact with such as are well established in this knowledge. As stated (in the $Gu\bar{a}$) :— "In a "tank which is intended for all and for various purposes, one should take only as much (of the water) as is required for one's purposes", the *prapanna* should detarmine what he should choose, from among their ways of life and conduct, what is in accordance with his varna, his *āsrama*, his *gotra*, his character and the like and conduct himself in keeping with that determination and should walk warily lest he should fall into the pitfall of thinking of his own superiority and the inferiority of others, which might arise from his conceit while observing this code of conduct.

Even if, as it occurred to such as Nammalvar and Nathamunigal, he is hiessed with the special grace of the Saviour so as to enjoy the rise of such benefits as the vision (of the Lord), he should feel sure (that it is not due to any merit of his own) as said in, "I do not" know what is good and what is bad ", and "Even if I know what is good and what is evil, I cannot obtain what is good and avoid what is evil " He should see to it that his being destitute of all $u \rho \bar{u} \gamma \sigma s$ (*Akinchanya*) which is due to his svar $\bar{u} \rho \sigma$. his maintenance (sthithi) and his activities (bravritti) being entirely dependent (on the Lord) does not suffer any change or loss. He should remember his utter helplessness (kārpaņya) as described in the sloka "I "am the abode of all transgressions, I have no means of protecting myself and I have nothing else to attain than Thee", and in such verses as "I have "not been observing the code of the disciplined life, nor have I keen intelligence", "What "can I do? "May my "misery be put an end to by Thee! If thou dost not do so, there is no one else to protect

- 32. Perialvar Thirumozhi: 5-1-3
- 33. Peris Tiruvandadi: 3
- 34, Ahirbudhaya Samhita : 3-30
- 35. Tiruvaimozhi : 5-7-1
- 36. Tiruvoimozhi : 5-8-3
- 37. Tiruvoimozhi : 5-8-8

^{31,} Bhagavad Gita: 2-45

BRIMAD BAHASYATRAYASARA CHAPTER

me", "I have no "other upaya and no other protector," "I do not" know of any one whom I can hold as the Saviour of my soul" "We are such" ignorant women belonging to the race of cowherds as eat in forests while grazing the cows there", "I have "given up the rites pertaining to Brahmins of worshipping the three fires after ablations", "I was "not born into any of the four castes wherein one is fit to follow dharma", "I am "ignorant and of low birth", "I "have no one to seek for support", "Even if "Thou dost not remove from me the sufferings due to karma, I have no other refuge than thee," "I have not "practised harma yoga; I have not understood the real nature of the disembodied self (i.e) I have not performed Juanayoga, nor have I bhakti towards Thy holy feet." (In these Tamil verses and Sanskrit slokas, the feeling of belpiessness is well depicted and the prapanna should realise bis own helplessness by remembering such verses).

Owing to the knowledge of his own unfitness from time immemorial and the loss of the Lord's service which resulted from it, which may cause excessive disgust, the *prapauna* should not fall into despair which makes one lament in the words of the Alvar saying, "The nature" of the man in *samsāra* is such that he identifies himself with the perishing body and calls it "I"; from it arises also evil conduct and from it arises again the body that is foul". (Without falling into despair), he should hearten himself with the succeeding words there :-- "O "Lord of the immortale, Thon wast born into all castes and even from the wombs of animals in Thy eagerness to protect the *Jivas*!" He should comfort bimself

- 38. Tiruvoimozhi : 6-10-10
- 39. Tiruvoimozhi: 10-10-3
- 40. Tiruppavai: 28
- 41. Tirumalai ; 25
- 42. Tiruchandavisuttam: 90

- 43. Peria Tirumozhi : 5-8-1
- 44, Peria Tirumozhi : 1-9-9
- 45. Perumai Tirumozhi: 5-1
- 46. Alavandar Stotram: 22
- 47. Tiraviruttam: 1

CONDUCT AFTER PRAPATTI

with the words of the Saviour who appears in front to save him --the words which are wholesome and sweet like mother's milk (such as "For the protection of the good and the destruction of the wicked and so also for the establishment of dharma, I am born again and again in every yuga." He should bathe, as it were, in the words (of Bhagavan) which reveal the secret of the avatārs and take courage (from passages like the following) :-- "Whatever" a map may have been in the past. if he lives a righteous life in his old age, it will do him good ; his evil deeds in the past will do him no harm " and "A man may" have been wicked in his past life; he may have fed on anything (however impure); he may have been ongrateful; he may have been a sceptic ; in spite of all that, if he earnestly seeks the protection of the Lord, who is the cause of the world, know that that man is faultless owing to the Lord's greatness ", " In one" balf of an instant, a jiva commits a sin which cannot be expisted even in the course of ten thousand kalpas of Brahma. But Thou pardonest him if only he gives up the thought of sinning (again), even though he has transgressed. How wonderful this is!" "The past" is past, what can we do concerning it? The wise man should think of erecting the dam, even when the water is flowing." " It is "good to die after performing prapatti to the Lord at least in the dying moments ".

In accordance with this principle, the *propanna* should not lament for what is past and without running away at the thought of his past unfitness, he should make himself fit for the future by reflecting on the present fitness which has resulted from the infinite greatness of the Saviour which brooks no questioning, like those who construct a dam for the water that will come up in the future; he should not stray from the path of performing the commands and permissions of the Lord which are in keeping with his present state as a *prapanna*. As described (by the Alvar), the "senses which have become tired with expectations of enjoy.

- 48. Bodhayana Sutra: 14-10
- 49- Satvata Samhita: 16-23-24
- 50. Vaikunta Stava: 61

- 51. Vankipuram Nambi: Karika: 31
- 52. Tiruvoimozhi: 1-3-8
- 53. Tlruvoimozhi: 3-8 (1-10)

ing the Lord should be rendered fit (to enjoy Him) by means of pure food and service and directed towards such (spiritual) experiences as are available and turned away from the desire for unwholesome objects, like cows that are turned away from stealthily eating the crops.

When people are waiting for the host in order (to cross the stream) to go to a place of their desire, some of them may avoid playing chess or other game with stakes, as it could not be stopped whenever desired but may be engaged in playing the game without any stakes so that they might be in a position to give up the game (when the heat has come). Though they play merely for the enjoyment (and not for money), they move the pawns (on the hoard) in strict accordance with the rules of the game. In the same way (though the prapanna does not expect any profit ont of it) he performs gladly the rites commanded and permitted by the Supreme Ruler, which are really services to the Lord, in accordance with the specific time and place at which they are ordained for performance. (In performing them), he should resemble not those who drink milk for relief from excess of bile. but like those who have got well easily and quickly with the help of a medicine and who drink milk with pleasure (not as a cure for disease).

SERVICE TO ARCHA:

While rendering this service, the *propanna* should do such acts of service as are in keeping with the respective relationships between him and the Lord and with the Lord's sopreme majesty and easy accesssibility; he should do these acts towards the images $(arck\bar{a})$ into which the Lord has incarnated for the sake of some devotees with exclusive devotion to Him; for it has been said :--"He who "believes in the eternal form and supreme majesty of the Lord -- him, O Poushkars, the Lord approaches," "In the" same way as the water of the ocean taken up by the cloude and let fall

54. Poushkara Samhita :

(as rain) becomes fit to be enjoyed by all, Bhagavan whose image has been installed by devotees becomes fit to be served and enjoyed by others". "The six "qualities like Indua are found in transcendent measure in the mantras and in the images (archZ). They are described in the mantras, and the gulities are found in Bhagavan, since He has, out of His compassion, chosen to abide in these images". This shows the fullness of perfection, "He who" looks upon the Lord residing in the image as Purushottams and beholds Him (again and again) from the pedestal of the foot to the crown on the head--bis five deadly sins (Mahābātaka) are destroy. ed quickly. Is it necessary to state that minor offences, too, perish? This shows how supremely purificatory the archā is. And again it is said ;- " If men" of clouded intellects happen to see Bhagavan abiding in the archā even by mere chance, all the evil tendencies in them, such as the following, perish :- the thought that the body is the self; the false notion arising from it that he sees the soul by perception ; false conclusions arrived at by fallacious reasoning, false inferences resulting from wroog premises, false interpretations of scriptural texts and want of faith in the Sästras." Thus the worship of the image (archā) is a panacea for all mental diseases arising from a false understanding of cause and effect.

The propanna should meditate on the Lord's placing Himself at the disposal of those who seek Hia protection in a manner which cannot be understood by the mind or described in words, for it has been said, "Whoever" wants to see me and in whatever form — to him I reveal myself in that very form." The same thing is stated also (in the Tamil verse) "He assumes" the form desired by His devotees." He should also meditate on the alluring beanty of the Lord of which (the Alvar) says "The eyes" that have seen the Lord will refuse to see other things." Having

56. Vishvaksena Samhita :	59, Bhagavad Gita: 4-11
---------------------------	-------------------------

- 57. Sandilya Smriti : 2-89
- 58. Poushkarasamhita

- 60. Mudal Tiruvandadi: 44
- 61. Amalanedipiran: 10

done so, the *prapanna* should render service suited to the respective relationships between him and the Lord (such as master and servant, father and son) and to His supreme majesty and easy accessibility, in accordance with the following verses :- "One" should render service to the Lord like a chaste matron to her beloved husband, like a mother to her suckling, like a disciple to his $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$ and like a friend to his friend. The Lord of Lakshmi should always be looked upon as a master, as a friend, as an $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$, as a father and as a mother". "One" should serve the Lord with as much fear as one would approach a king who is youthful, and an elephant in rut. In the same way as one would entertain a welcome guest who has come to one's honse, one should worship the Lord with devotion, remembering His easy accessibility." "One "should treat the Lord with as much affection as one would a beloved child".

Like Bharatalvan who conducted himself like a servant of a king before (Sri Rama's) sandals and of whom it is said, "While the sandals⁵⁶ were roling. Bhareta placed, before them first, whatever business had to be transacted and whatever valuable tributes were brought and then accomplished whatever had to be done in the manner in which it should be done", - like him the prapanna should render service as to a king, with materials earned righteously. He should look upon this service as a wedded wife would look upon the careful custody of her sacred wedding - thread and the like. He should have the conviction that he has attained this ultimate sim of life, which begins from service to Bhagavan and extends up to the service to His devotees, by his relationship with his good Acharya: for it has been said :- "Kshatrabandhu, the great sinner, and Pundarika the virtuous, both attained moksha hy having Acharyas" So also has it heen said (inTamil) :- "Our *āchāryas*[™] are like bees which place us at the (flower-like holy feet

62. Sandilya Smriti: 4-37-3865. Ramayana: Ayodhyakanda 115-2763. Sandilya Smriti: 4-3166. Tiruviruttam : 54

64.

of the Lord, who is onr Master and who renders help to the sternal suris" and "If "Vishouchittar (Perialvar) who was born in the city of Villiputtur and who was perfect in the qualities of the spirit, by some means in his power, make the Lord appear before us, we can see" (That is to say - without the grace of Vishnucitta, the acharya, they cannot do anything themselves). The prapana should feel grateful at the thought of the expansion of knowledge which the *āchāryas* have wrought in him and of such things as the service (of the foord and His devotees) which have resulted from He should behave towards them as towards the servants (of it the palace) who light the lamps and keep them burning on the night before the coronation of the prince (as a token of their rejoicing). He should feel grateful also to the Lord at the thought of His innate compassion which is the common and primary cause of all these and which, in the state of mukti, removes the dreaded possibility of a break in the enjoyment of Bhagavan whose independent will cannot he questioned. For it has been said :-- "The Lord" makes as climb up to Vaikanta through the centre of the sphere of the sun whose rays dispel darkness and He removes the ladder that helped to climb, so that there may be no coming back", and again, "If "one goes to Vaikunta after performing prapatti by uttering the words 'Namo närana', He will not let one come back to the world of samsāra, even if one should furnish security for going back to Vaikunta". (Nammalvar) says :- "The firm "feet of Naravans whose praise is unsurpassed" (the feet being firm, those who have caught hold of them can never slip down); and again "Bhagavan" is our Father who takes away from His devotees their future births, leads them to Vaikunts, belos the manifestation of their svarupa or essential nature and sees to their ever remaining under His feet even like the lines on the soles (of His feet)", and further, "The Lord" looks after (our) welfare by taking us to His feet and by preventing our fall therefrom". The prapanna

67. Nachiar Tirumozhi : 10-10	70. Tiruvoimozhi: 1-2-10
-------------------------------	--------------------------

- 68. Perialvar Tirumozhi : 4-5-2
- 71. Tiruvoimozhi : 3-7-7
- 69. Perialvar Tirumozhi : 4-5-2
- 72. Tiruvoimozhi : 7-5-10

should feel grateful to the Lord of Lakahmi, the seshi, whose will is ever absolute and who, even after moksha, shows the same compassion as during samsāra. (The Alvar describes this compassion in the following words);— "By Thy" grace and the grace of Thy Spouse who lives in the lotus, I served at Thy temple of old"

WHAT THE PRAPANNA SHOULD DO WITH HIS MIND, HIS SPEECH AND HIS BODY :

About this code of conduct (of the prapanna) we will now state what is considered as the essence in regard to what should be avoided by the three senses vis the mind, the speech and the body and also in regard to what should be observed or followed by them :- "Between poison (Visha) and the objecte of sense (Vishaya) there is a great gulf of difference, for poison kills only the man who eate it, whereas objects of sense kill even at their mere thought." It has been said also, "Is it "fair on Thy part to delude my soul by showing before sinfal me the various pleasures by which the senses allure me to my suffering?" Therefore in order that the desire for the ultimate object of life may not languish, the most important among those that should be forgotten is the enjoyment of sense pleasures. In order that one may not become angrateful, the chief thing that should be thought of is the assistance given to us by the acharya from the time his glance first fell on us. In order that we may not lose the sense of helplessness, the chief thing that should be avoided in speech is the expression of our excellence. In order that one may not forget one's conviction in the $u\phi \bar{a}ya$, the chief among the things that should be uttered is Dvaya; as (the Bhashyakara says) "⁷⁸one should ever utter the Dvaya with an understanding of its meaning". In order that Bhagavan who is entitled to receive our service with pleasure may not become displeased at heart, the most important among those that abould be

73. Tiruvoimozhi : 9-2-1

74.

- 75. Tiruvoimazhi : 6-9-9
- 76. Seranagati gadyam.

avoided by all the three senses is offence to those that know Brahman; as Andal says, "We" will never do what ought not to be done". In order that the sea of our supreme aim in life may not dry up or become shallow, the most important among those things that should be done is service to the $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$ and other devotees of *Bhagavān* as ordeined in the $S\bar{a}stras$ and as forming the outer limit of the service of the Lord.

This code of conduct which should be followed after proparts and which is an end in itself should be considered in those parts of the three mysteries (maniras) which indicate the benefit or fruit that results.

TAMIL VERSE :

Our holy men who know the songs of the Tamil Veda with their meaning and who have discharged all their debts (to the gods, the pitris etc.) by the performance of *prapatti* accepted hy Sri Krishna of the beautiful Dwaraka, enjoy, with delight, even in this world, that service which the *miktas* and the *sirris* perform without intermission and with eagerness in the world yonder.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Like a beloved wife who, in a big jewelled mirror, well-polished, sees her loving husband hy the side of her own image — her loving husband who has come behind her and stands beside her, and is at once transported into the ecstasy of love and enjoys his presence with the scattering of flowers that spread their fragrance all round — (like that beloved wife) good men, whose wealth consists in their *prapatti*, see the Supreme lord in the great mantra (Tirumantra) which has frequently been meditated upon and enjoy Him with loving service similar to fragrant flowers.

(16) THE CHAPTER ON THE FARTHEST EXTENT OF OUR ULTIMATE OBJECT IN LIFE.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

In the world of everyday life (a king sometimes uses his dependents and ornaments to serve the purposes of those who are dear to him like his sons, wives etc.) and in the Vedas, it is declared that all the gods make their offerings to the devotee. Even so, the Lord of Lakshmi uses sentient beings and non-sentient things to serve for ever the purposes of those who have won His regard, because He is independent and is their Master. So, for us whose minds have been disciplined by the teaching of such as the prince of samayāsins (vis. Bri Ramanuja), the service of the Lord extends as far as the service of those who are dear to Him (Bhagavatas).

REASONS FOR RENDERING SERVICE TO BHAGAVATAS:

If it is asked here how it can be stated that the service of Bhagavan extends up to the service of His devotees (the Bhagavatas), the answer is as follows:— It has been said in the Vedartha Sangraha: "He is the sesha whose svarupa or essential nature is such as is chosen on account of the desire to promote the glory of another and that another is the seshi." So in regard to the Supreme Ruler of all, the Jiva who is the sesha is bound to promote His glory. That glory will depend on the nature of the sesha, whether it be sentient or non-sentient. It may be asked, "What is the glory that the jiva is capable of conferring on the Supreme Being? With his body and the like, he can confer only such glory as non-sentient things do. So this service is common to him and acit. The special glory that he can be the cause of promoting must be by means of his intelligence or chaitanya. "When the

NOTE :— • In the sentences that follow the author points out the different ways in which different kinds of people (including those who violate his commands) promote His glory as His seshas. jive acts against the spirit of the seastres, Iswara, who is the Ruler and the Dispenser of pupishment, enjoys only the delight or delectation of *lilā* and thus attains atis aya glory. It has been said:-"Bhagavan, 'who has all beings as Bis body, rejoices when a jus does what is good and does not rejoice when the action is evil." When a man acts in accordance with the sūstras, he gives thereby delight to the Lord and thus does not let his generosity and other qualities run to waste, but promotes the glory of the Lord so that He extols him as in the words :-- "All these are", indeed, generous". In the same way as the muktas (released souls) and the nityas (the suris) promote the glory of the Lord by direct perception of the Lord's will and by acting in such a way as to give Him bhoga or enjoyment, the propannas, too, can give rise to a special kind of bhoga or enjoyment to the Lord and thus promote His glory as stated in the following scloke:-" When shall I always be Thy servant, look upon Thee as my Master and in this way give Thes delight?". The propauna learns the Lord's will from the sastras (though not directly) and renders service to the Lord.

THE LORD IS PLEASED AT THE SERVICE RENDERED TO HIS DEVOTEES:

When a man gives rise to Iswara's $lil\bar{a}$ rasa by actions opposed to the $s\bar{a}stras$, it will end in his ruin. If, in the state of bondage, he acts according to the Lord's will as revealed in the $s\bar{a}stras$ and, in the state of moksha, by direct perception of the Lord's will, he will not only promote the Lord's $l\bar{u}\bar{a}$ rasa and bhoga hut incidentally attain his own desired objects. When the Jiva acts on any occasion consciously, since his desired fruit, incidental though it be, has to come from the will of the lord, the latter has to be ascertained from the *sastras* which disclose that the service rendered to His devotees is most delightful to him.

2. Bhagavad Gita: 7-18

^{1.} Mahabharata: Santiparva: 199-25 3. Alavandar Stotram: 46

The following authoritative texts may be studied in this connection : "Of all 'forms of homage, the homage paid to Vishnu is the best, but superior even to this, is the excellent homage or adoration offered to Vishnu's devotees", "I have "great affection to those who are devoted to my devotees. Therefore should one render devout service to them." "Devotion" to me is of eight forms :---(1) love to my devotees without any thought of their faults: (2) rejoicing at the adoration offered to me by another ; (3) delight in listening to stories concerning me; (4) a change in the voice, in the eyes and in the body, while listening to such stories: (5) trying to offer adoration to me; (6) freedom from hypocrisy in one's relations with me; (7) meditation of me at all times; (8) and not considering me as one from whom worldly benefits can be had — if bhakti (which is of these eight forms) is found in a mieccha, he should be respected as the best of Brahmins endowed with jnana and bhakti; he is a real sannyasi, he is a wise man and he may be taught (the scriptures) and from him one may learn the truth. He is fit to be adored even like myself.", "They are 'my bhaktas or devotees who do not show devotion to any other deity, who love those that are devoted to me and that have southt me as their updya", "I "offer adoration also to those who offer adoration to that yajna varaha, who is of boundless splendour". 'By "seeking the protection of those who seek the Lord as their refuge and by rendering service to him who is devoted to the devotee, that is (in turn) devoted to the devotee of the Lord by doing so, men are released from all their sins."

When we study these passages, (it becomes clear) that, of all forms of service which a sesha of the Lord may render, that rendered to the likagavatas is the most important and is the most pleasing to the Lord, in the same way as the fondling of the prince is most pleasing to the king.

- Padmottarem: 29–81
- 5. Mahabharata: Aswamedika Parva: 116-23
- 6. Garuda purana : 219-6-9
- 7. Mahabharata : Aswamedhika
- parve : 104-91 8. Garuda puranam :

In this matter, if we examine the path of the pramanas, Iswars, who has the Bhagavata as His body, is the object of worship as is declared in the *sloka*: "Those who "worship the *pitris*, the gods, the Brehmins and Agni -- they worship only the Lord who is the inner self of all creatures". If, on the other hand, we consider the thought of the *Prakart* who says "He is dear to me also" and who is ever at the disposal of the man that is exclusively devoted to Him on account of His love for him, as has been said in the *sloka*: "Among them the *jmānī* "is my very self" the Lord would be pleased at the service rendered to the Bhāgavata, as if it were service rendered (directly) to His own inner self and not as that done to His body.

In addition to its causing plassure to the seski, the relationship of the *jiva* to Iswara as His seska is also mediately through the Bhagavata. Therefore his service to the Lord may also be mediate through the Bhagavata. This may be explained as follows :--

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

To the Supreme Being who is always with Lakshmi and who looks upon His devotees as His very self, the attribute of being a seshī may be both by heing a seshī along with Lakshmi and by being a seshī mediately through the devotees". (That is, those who look upon themselves as seshās to His devotees are, mediately through these devotees, seshās to the Lord Himself). A king who is independent and who takes a pleasure in acting as he pleases places the ornaments and garlands worn by himself round the necks of his servants and of his elephants and horses. (Tiruppanalvar says):-- "The Lord who "is spotless and who has made me the servant of His servants". (So does Narada say):--"I, Narada, "have come here with an eager longing to see you. To men like me, O Brahmin, those who are bhaktas of the Lord are mesters" and again (Tirumangai Alvar says);-- "I will not"

	•	•
9.	. Mahabharata: Santi parva Daksha Smriti; 0. Bhagavad Gita: 7-18	11. Amlanadipiran : 1
10.		12. Padmotharam: 81-52
		13. Peria Tirumozhi : 8-10-3

remain in the company of those who think there is any other deity deserving of adoration than Thee; also what I have accepted with delight is the duty of serving Thy devotees", According to these passages, since the fiva is absolutely subject to the will of the Lord and since the service of the sesha is due also to those who are favourites of the Lord, onr being a seshe to the Lord extends even to the Bhagavatas and should last as long as the self lasts. Our being seshas to Bhagavates arises from their being devotees to the Lord and pertains to our essential nature. It arises also from their qualities and knowledge, which cause a desire in us to serve them. (As Lakehmana says) "I "am his younger brother (by birth); I have become his servant by his qualities", (That this relationship of being a sesha is due both to our essential nature and to (our admiration for) their wisdom and character) is also indicated (respectively in the two pbrases(1) "bhakias of the lord and to "men like me" (in the speech of Narada quoted above). Perialvar, the (great) commentator of Kalpa sütras stated (in this connection);- "These who are" the servants of Bhagavatas and who utter the names of Bhagavan are entitled even to sell us". This is the purport of the middle world in Tirumantra, namely, name: na mama (not for me). If we reflect on this parport, our being sesha to the Lord is both mediate (through the Bhagavatas) and immediate (direct to Him). Accordingly, the service that should be rendered by the sesha is also (both) mediate and direct. Therefore it is the fartheat limit of the service of the propanna to perform, as much as it lies in bis power, service to the Bhagavata, since it is part of the duty of one who is sesha to Bhagavan. So this outermost region of service to Bhagavatas should be kept properly cultivated so that it may not become fallow land full of weeds.

As stated in the following silokas in Poushkara samhitä, "It is ¹⁸not wrong for a wedded wife to honour her husband and to honour his servants, whether in his presence or in his absence."

14. Ramayana : Kishkindhakanda :15. Perialvar Tirumozhi : 4-4-104-1216. Poushkara Samhita :

and "Among" the Lord's retinue are two classes: those that are dear to him and worthy of His trust and those who are not. To the former class belong the *nityas*, the *muktas* and the *Bhāgavatās*. To the latter class belong Brahma and the other gods who are subject to the sway of past *karma*. The seeker after *mukti* should not adore the latter;" the devotee who is exclusively attached to the Lord and whose *dharma* resembles that of a chaste wife would therefore do well to act according to the will of his Lord. Since the relationship of *sesha* to Bhagavatas arises from no other cause than the knowledge of our being *seska* only to the Lord and to no other, this service to Bhagavatas is not improper, (because it does not arise from other causes like the desire for wealth or power).

BHAGAVATAS ARE SESHAS TO ONE ANOTHER:

If it is asked whether this relationship of being sesha to Bhiigavatās is due to one's nature or whether it is adventitious (due to $up\bar{a}dhi$), the answer is, that it is both natural and adventitions. In as much as it lasts as long as the self lasts and will continue also in the state of moksha, it may be called natural. (Does not Koorattalvan say):— "When shall I join the eternal $s\bar{n}ris$ who are always eager to be seshas to one another ?" (This relationship of a sesha will continue even after release from bondage). It may he said to he adventitious also (due to $up\bar{a}dhi$ or conditions), as it arises from a knowledge of one's relationship to Bhagavan.

If so, it may be asked, "If both are *Bhäguvatas*, would it not be inconsistent that they should be both susha and sushi to each other?" The answer is as follows :-- There is nothing inconsistent in this, as it is possible for the same person to render help to another and also to receive help from him. (In the performance of a sacrifice), the sacrificer who performs it for the sake of some gain or benefit (*phala*) is the sushi but when he is considered as the doer of the sacrifice, he is susha in relation to the sacrifice.

17. Poushkara Samhita :

So also when each of the two $Bh\bar{a}gavatas$ is bent on promoting the glory (atisaya) of the other, without either of them expecting that glory, they become seshas by their being the cause of the promotion of the glory of the other; they are also seshis inasmuch as they are the recipients of the glory (atisaya), though they themselves may not desire it. Since they have been directed by Iswara's will to promote each other's glory, the relationship of being sesha to Bhāgavatas is, in the case of both, based on $s\bar{a}straic$ authority.

The relationship of being a servant $(d\bar{a}sa)$ to Bhagavan and Bhagavatas owing to an appreciation of their qualities or character adds to one's delight or enjoyment and promotes one's own exaltation. In this, Iswara, by His omnipotence and His will which accompanies it, enables all Bhagavatas to become seshis. Taking their desire also along with His own will, Iswere makes them seshes to Bhagavatas. Since both the Bhagavatas stand in the relation of seshis to each other as having been fit to be directed by the Master to do His will, both of them attain their true and essential nature (svarupa). They attain the ultimate limit of their purushartha or goal of life, since they attain the relationship of being seshas, which they are eager to have and also the privilege of rendering service to the Bhagavata which follows from it. Since Iswara delights in having directed them to become seshes and seshis to each other, Iswara attains the state of heing an Iswara or ruler and of being an enjoyer. Since they realise clearly that this relationship of being seshes and seshis to each other contribates to the enjoyment (bhoga) of Iswara, they attain the faultless and ultimate limit of *purushartha* By those who have done what should be done (prapannas), who understand this subtle truth and are convinced of it, the grace of devotees who are exclusively and supremely attached to the Lord will be desired as an end in itself - devotees who are free from the following stains :--- the desire for transgressing the Lord's commands, excess of doubt, connection with other deities, enjoyment depending on one's own effort and enjoyment for one's own self.

TAMIL VERSE:

We that accept the code of conduct prescribed by the Lord, whose auspicious qualities fill, with astonishment, *Bhā gavatas* who have understood the meaning of the Vedas — we conduct ourselves in such a way that we do not deviate from the righteous path ordained in the eternal Vedas in rendering service to His good devotees with extreme reverence to them.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :

With our words which emit a fragrance that would be eagerly desired by the lotus which arose in the pond of the Lord's navel, we do not beg of the Lord the pleasures of this world and of svarga which are like straw nor kaivalya nor even moksha. We only pray that we should have the grace of the pure and firm-minded Bhāgavatas, who are like the chaste wives in the royal harem, for their grace is like the dawn which heralds the day of the splendour of moksha.

16

(17) THE CHAPTER ON THE ORDINANCES IMPOSED BY THE SASTRAS.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Having laid, on Mukunda, the responsibility for his protection and become free from sin like those released from samsāra, the prapanna walks along the path laid down in the sästras until his release from bondage, since (unlike the mukta) he cannot read the mind of the lord directly and since the path has been ordained for us by the command of the Omnipotent Lord and since, by straying from that path, he will immediately bring, on himself and on others, ills of various kinds.

THE PRAPANNA SHOULD HAVE THE SÄSTRA FOR HIS GUIDE:

(It has been stated so far that) owing to his relationship as a sesha, the prapauna has to render service to Bhagavan and to Bhägavatās. Now it may be asked whether this service arises from his desire and in accordance with the sastras or from his mere desire without any reference at all to the $s\bar{a}stras$. The answer is as follows: To the man who is in this world full of the darkness of ignorance, the s \vec{a} stra is required as a lamp (to show the path that he should take). So the service that he is to render should be according to the sāstra; in matters where the sāstra gives an option or alternatives, he may follow his own discretion. "How is this'? it may be asked. When Sri Ramanuja was about to leave this world, he observed his foremost disciples grieving exceedingly. He called them to his side and commanded them on pain of offence to Alavandar not to think of taking their own lives owing to their sorrow at his death. "They will (if they do so) have no connection with me", he said. On hearing this, they were filled with sorrow and begged that they might be told what they should do thereafter. This was Sri Ramanuja's reply :---

SRI RAMANUJA'S ADVICE:

"If a man has become a propagna, the salvation of his soul or self is the responsibility of Bhagavan, and be himself has nothing to do with it. If he thinks that he has anything to do with it, his surrender of responsibility (prapatti or atma samarpanam) must have been false or insincere. The maintenance of the body depends upon his past karma, and he should not feel anxious about it. If he feels anxions about it, he is a sceptic or *mistika*. Therefore neither in regard to his spiritual welfare nor in regard to his hodily welfare, has he anything more to do. If so, it may be asked whether the man might go about doing whatever he liked with his three kinds of organs, mind, speech and body. The answer is that doing so is not in keeping with bis svarupa or essential nature. It is true that he has nothing (more) to do with regard to the $\mu p \bar{a} y a$ or means, but he should direct these vis., mind, speech and body to the rendering of service which is the goal of his existence. There are five kinds of service which he can render for the rest of his life;— (1) to study the Bhashya and to spread the knowledge obtained therefrom : (2) if the man is not qualified for it, to study the writings of the Alvars and spread their knowledge; (3) if the man is not competent to do it (i. a.) No. (2), to serve in holy temples sanctified (hy the Alvars), by cooking food, by making chandanam, by lighting lamps and by weaving garlaods (for use in the temples); (4) if not competent to do (3), to meditate on the meaning of Dvaya;; (5) if not competent to do (4), to seek the good will of some Sri Vaishnava who is well disposed to him and would look apon him as his follower and spend his life with him.

PITFALLS IN THE PATH OF THE PRAPANNA:

The man who is qualified to live in this way has to avoid pitfalls in front of him by behaving carefully in three matters. They concern -(1) those who are suitable or favourable (*anuküla*) (2) those who are unsnitable or unfavourable (*pratiküla*) and (3) those who are neither the one nor the other. The suitable are

•

Sri Vaishnayas, the unsuitable are those that are the enemies of Bhagavan; those who are neither the one nor the other are those in samsāra. The prapanna will rejoice at the sight of the suitable (anukula) as at chandana, flowers and the like and as at moonlight and the southern breeze and (other) beloved objects. At the sight of the unsuitable (pratikula), he will be full of dread as at the sight of the sergent and the fire. At the sight of those who are neither of these two, he will behave as at the sight of wood or stone and treat them (with indifference), as if they were straw. If they are agreeable, he should impart the knowledge of truth to them;, otherwise, he should pity their sad fate. It is the inclination for wealth and sensual pleasure that stands in the way of such behaviour. If, owing to the desire for wealth and sense pleasures, a man should treat a Sri Vaishnava with disregard or contempt, he would provoke the wrath of Bhagavan in the same way as a man who treats the prince with disrespect in the presence of the king would provoke the king's anger. If, owing to the desire for wealth and sense pleasures, a man should remain subservient to those who are unsuitable, he would provoke disgust in the mind of Bhagavan in the same way as a queen who, while her lord is an en peror, begs alms of the mean and unworthy would provoke disgust in the king's mind. If a man should treat with respect those who are neither the one nor the other, the Lord would have no regard for bim, as his knowledge serves no useful purpose like that of one who cannot distinguish between a gem and a piece of atone".

SRI RAMANUJA'S ADVICE EXPLAINED:

In connection with this code of conduct prescribed by Sri Ramanuja for the *prapanna*, we have to remember that the pursuit of wealth and sense pleasures which are against *dharma* have already been prohibited altogether (for al: including non*prapannas*) as in the following *slokas*:— "One should not¹, in any circumstances and even in critical times, accept any gifts, not

^{1.} Sandilya Smriti: 3-18

even a cowrie. from an unchaste woman, a eunach, an out-caste (patita) or an enemy." "They are dear to me - they who are born blind to the imperfections of others, who are ensuchs in regard to the wives of other men and who are dumb in the matter of censuring others." So the injunction contained in the Bhāshyakāra's words (really) means that even in regard to the acquisition of wealth and sense-pleasares which are not opposed to dharma, the prapanna should not deviate from his proper state in regard to these three classes of persons, the suitable, the unsuitable and those who are neither of these two. That those who are suitable should not be treated with disregard is declared in Sandilya smriti (4-86) "A bouseholder does not like one who treate his son with disregard; so also Bbagavan does not like those who do not treat his bhaktas with due respect." That those who are unsuitable should not be associated with, is declared in the Mahābharata: "Those who "hate Kesava, the Supreme Being, and cannot, meditate on Him - to them and to those who associate with them with respect, there will be no benefit at all from bathing in the holy waters". So also it it said ;- "One "should not associate with fools, with those who are addicted to sin, with those who are cruel by nature, and with those who criticise adversely the states of Bhagavān (Pancharatra). Association with them will put an end to bhakti". That those who are peither the one nor the other should be treated like straw is well known from slokas like the following :- "O men of" the world, from this day onwards, you are what you are and we are what we are. You are in quest of wealth and sense pleasures; we are in quest of Narayana- (So) there cannot be any companionship between you and us. We are the servants of the Lord; you are the slaves of the senses". The words that the prapanna should walk warily (lest he should fail into pitfalls) indicate that the (five) services ordained as essential by the Bhāshyakāra (in his words quoted above) stand in the same category as services like Sandhyāvandana which can be

2. Vishnu Dharma : 76-22 4. Sandilya Smriti : 1–120

2

3. Mahabharata: Santi parva : 336-36 5.

17

learnt only from the sāstras and that, for that reason, these five, too, should be done in accordance with the injunctions prescribed in the sāstras, in order that they may become real and proper services. It may be asked: ("How can his (Sri Ramanuja's) words be interpreted to include also those rites and duties that are prescribed in the stastras and that these five services which he has specifically mentioned should also be done according to the s'āstras?") The answer is that it is clear from the Bhāshyakāra's words :-- "If he should go about doing as he likes, it would be against his svarupa or essential nature." As Alavandar puts it, "Whatever "agrees not with the sastras is Asuric in nature; those actions that are opposed to the stastras are inconsistent with his nsture which is godly". Further it has been said by the Lord who is entitled to our service :- "The "sruti and the surritis are indeed my commands. He who transgresses them violates my commands. He does injury to me. Though he may be devoted to me he should not be called a Vaishnava". Sri Ramanoja's meaning is that, since the Master has also made this declaration, the prapanua should serve in accordance with the stastras as long as he lives in the body. In his Gitärtha Sangraha. Alavandar says :- "From the? karmas pertaining to one's varna and asrama to bhaktiyoga, one should perform everything, directed by love alone (of the Lord). One should give up the notion that these are $\mu p \bar{a} \gamma a s$ or means (for attaining one's enda). One should place the upaya without fear on God; (i. e) one should realise that Bhagavan is alone the $up\bar{a}ya$)". It is obvious that 'by love alone' excludes the notion that it is an $\mu \rho \bar{\alpha} y \sigma$ owing to the word 'alone' and this idea is elaborated in "having given up the notion that these are $up\bar{a}yas$ or means". It is true that, that the love (of the Lord) is indicated as having a wonderful power of inspiring one to service prescribed in the stastra. But in the words "from the karmas pertainingto one's varna and āsrama", it is shown that the nature of these karmas has to be ascertained only from the sāstras.

6. Vishnu Dharma : 6-31

^{5.} Gitartha Sangraha : 21

This ordinance imposed by the sāstras is well known from the following slokas in the obapter on prapatti :- " In order" that there may be no violation of *dharma*, for the protection of the family. for keyping ignorant men in the right path by setting them an example, for the preservation of the moral law as defined in the sastras, for my (Lakshmi's) satisfaction and for the satisfaction of the Lord armed with Sarnga who is the God of all gods, the prapanna who is wise should not even think of transgressiog the ordinances of the Vede ". The evil that would result from the transgression is described immediately afterwards as follows :---"Even a king's' favourite, who, because it serves no purpose of his own. destroys the bund of a river dug by the king's orders, which is of great use to the world, is beautiful in itself and causes ahundance of crops, - even he will be impaled for having done so }; So also though he may be dear, the man who violates the ordinances of the Vedas is no favourite of mine inasmuch as he violates my commands," If, having offended the Lord, the prapanna does not seek pardon, it will produce, before he attains mukti, some evil or other in accordance with his specific qualification.

That spart, there is no greater hell (for the prapanna) than the displeasure caused to Bhagavan. This displeasure of Bhagavan will disappear if he obtains pardon. (As the Gita says) Iswara, by His very nature, is full of love to all. So till His displeasure disappears, it will give the prapanna excruciating pain. If the man has no such pain, his devotion to the Master and his desire for rendering service after moksha have to be doubted.

Since this violation of the Lord's command is opposed to the Lord's pleasure which is our final goal as stated in the sloka :-"When shall I. Thy servant, look upon Thee alone as my Master and

- 8. The words of Lakshmi in Lakshmi tantram: 17 93, 94
- 9. Lakshmi tantram: 17 95, 96, 97
- 10. Alevander Stotram: 46

give the delight by rendering service?" the observance of the ordinances of the sāstras has to be understood in those places in the three mysteries (mantras) where the removal of obstacles is referred to. In the case of those who have not completed their adoption of (prapatti) the $up\bar{a}ya$, the observance of these ordinances will remove the hindrances or obstacles to the completion of prapatti and win for them the grace of the Lord. In the case of those whose adoption of the $up\bar{a}ya$ is complete, it will win the favour of the Lord hy removing the causes that might bring about His displeasure (in future).

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The paths indicated in the *s\u00e5stras* deal with acts or rites of two kinds: obligatory and permissive. The former bave to be done for avoiding the Lord's punishment and the latter for obtaining the respective benefits.

Even when a permissible act is performed, if there is violation of the prescribed method, it becomes an offence or violation of the command. Therefore transgression of the rule prescribed in the $s^{i}\bar{a}stra$ is nowhere countenanced.

When the same rite is prescribed both for avoiding a transgression and for obtaining a benefit — in that rite, there is a mingling of competency $(adhik\bar{a}r\sigma)$ according to scholars. When the same karma or rite is prescribed as nitya (obligatory) and also kāmya (for the sake of a benefit), the act or rite performed for the specific benefit will serve (also) as the performance of the nitya (by that single performance).

In rites (*karmas* or services) prescribed for the attainment of certain benefits, the benefit will not be obtained, when the service is not performed, but there is no transgression. Such is the opinion of competent scholars.

TAMIL VERSE:

By following the four Vedas (and the Smritis and other seastras) in which the Supreme Being who measured the three worlds with His feet has ordained what is right and what is wrong, we have attained the state of service similar to that of the eternal suris who are full of the love (of God). To us who live in the dark night (of samsāra), there is no other light than that of this moon (viz. the sāstras) to dispel the darkness that grows denser and denser at every step.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The wise man learns what is in accordance with the will of the Ruler* who is the originator of the Universe; from the Srutis; from the Smritis; and from the traditional practice of elders; from the promptings of his own reason in the case of the man with a pure heart; from good resolutions not departing from *dharma*; and also the traditional practice of the family, of the *tcharana* and of the country. Sometimes he tries to understand the Lord's will from the commands of those who are competent to direct him and also from omens, signs, dreams and the like.

^{*} Myamayitur adeh may mean also ' the Primeval Ruler '

⁺ Charana: the acharas which pertain to the respective reds to which the man belongs.

(18) THE CHAPTER ON THE ATONEMENT FOR OFFENCES.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :

This embodied being (the Jiva) obtains the grace of Madhava by the result of past karma (luck), for the removal of his sins — the grace of Madhava which, by its purity, delightfulness, holiness and efficacy is like the (holy) Maudäkini whose waters are clear, sweet, always pure (white) and efficacious. (That is, he becomes a propanna). If, in spite of this, he still makes his body unclean by wallowing in the mire of the stream of wretched sense pleasnres, his company is avoided hy the wise (but this would not stand in the way of his attainment of moksha after death).

THE PRAPANNA IS NOT LIKELY TO OFFEND; IF HE OFFENDS, HE SHOULD PERFORM PRAYASCHITTA.

Since the prapanna has, by nature, the sole character of a sesha to Bhagavan and since he delights only in rendering service to Him in accordance with the ordinances of the scastras, it is not at all likely that, in other situations than those that are dangerous, he will offend against the Lord, as it would be opposed to his adopted state of being the exclusive servant of the Lord. If as a result of past karma which has begun to operate (prārabdha) and nnder adverse conditions of place, time and circumstance, slight offences (against the Lord) take place through inattention in the waking state or (without his knowledge) in dreamless sleep (sushupti) and other such states, these offences will disappear without causing any stain. If, at the time of prapatti, he did not beg. with dread of them, for the removal of such sins as lead to further sins, since he is still in close association with prakriti like one who lives under the same roof with a serpent, it is just possible that, owing to his deficiency in spiritual qualities, certain lapses

which are inconsistent with his state as a propound might, as in the case of weak-hearted Rishia, even occur with his knowledge. If such lapses do occur, the Lord of Lakshmi, who, by His very nature, is his well-wisher, stands over ready to save him. Therefore these lapses in his actions will only be momentary like lightning ; he will quickly reflect on these lapses from his state as a prapauna, feel ashamed at them, repent of them, and perform the proper atonement (prāyaschitta) that is suited to his competence. The following slokas explain the point :- "If there is 'offence, the atonement that is to be done is only the performance of prapatti again. If, after performing prapatti for the sake of moksha, a man should perform karma yoga and the like with the thought that these are upayas (to maksha), it would also constitute In order that this offence might disappear, an offence. prapatti alone abould be performed again". "Whether a "man commits an offence knowing that it is an offence or does it without knowing it to be one, the only atonement (präyaschitta) that has to be performed is to beg in these words :- "Pardon the offence". So also Site says :---

""It is well known that Sri Rama knows what *Dharma* is and is fond of those who seek refuge under him. Seek his friendahip if you desire to live. Propitiate Sri Rama who loves those that surrender themselves to him and, with a pure heart, see that I am returned to him."

THE PRAPANNA WILL NEVER GO TO THE WORLD OF YAMA EVEN IF HE OFFENDS:

When, owing to hard-heartedness due to past karma operating now (*prärabdha*), the *prapanna* does not obtain pardon by atonement, he will not go to the world of Yama (but receive punishment in this very life). For it has been said :— "Seeing"

4. Vamana Purana: 94-31.

^{1.} Lakuhmi Tantram (17-91, 92 3. The words of Site to Ravana: Ramayana: Sundarakanda: 21, 20-21

bis attendants with the noose (of death) in their hands, Yama whispers in their ears :-- " Do not approach those who have performed prapatti at (the feet of) Madhusudana. I have sway only over others and not over the devotees of Vishnu ". And again : - "O Thou" with lotus-like eyes, O Vasudeva, O Vishnu, O Thou that bearest the earth. O Thou that art armed with the conch and the discus, be Thou my refuge " - those that utter these words --- leave them at a distance for they are not sinners", and further, "Those that perform* prapatti to Vishna, the God who is armed with saringa, never go to the world of Yama, for naraka is not their dwelling place." As stated above in such treatises as Vishnupuräna and Vāmanapurāna and the passages in the scrutis on which they are based, they do not go to the land of Yama, but they are punished with the blindness of an eye, or the lameness of a leg, or some such thing and thus relieved of their hurden (of sin). (They will be punished in this life itself here) on the analogy of one (who is dear) being punished with the prick of a thorn iostead of being stabbed with a spear. The Supreme Lord, being possessed of forgiveness, kindness, compassion and over-flowing love, relents in His anger and protects the prapauna after a light punishment in this very life for his offence. An emperor, who is to be duly served, punishes his attendants (like those who carry the umbrelias), the servants of the harem like the hunchbacks and the dwarfs and so also the princes, in proportion to their offences and (also) to such things as their closeness to Him. (He punishes them) for having committed offences, in spite of his affection to them due to their relationship and His goodness. (He ranishes them) so that they may obtain pardon for their offences and know that, in future, they may not offend (in the same manner). The punishments are such as the following :--- averting his face from them, whipping, getting them driven out, keeping them outside the gates and suspension for a short time. Similarly Iswara punishes the prapanua, as Sri Rama

^{5.} Yama's words: Vishmopurena: 3-7-33

^{6.} Vamanapurana: 94-43

did in the case of Kakasura by making him lose an eye and thus protects him.

Directing those that are gentle to obtain pardon (by atonement) and punishing those that are tough by nature for the sake of correction are of the nature of the Lord's forgiveness, which is a consequence of their *prapatti* that preceded it. One should therefore feel grateful to the Lord for this correction. To emphasize this point, some said that the Lord would pardon even those sins that are committed deliberately. (They did not mean to say that deliberate offences would be pardoned without any punishment).

PRAPATTI WILL NEVER BE IN VAIN:

It should not be thought that, because sins committed deliberately after prapatti and not atoned for would be followed by their consequences like that part of prarabdha karma which is of the nature of sin, the prapatti performed before would not yield moksha. When asafoetida is applied to the root of a tree for making it wither, the tree is sure to wither sooner or later, according to the nature of the soil and other conditions; (but there is no doubt that it will wither; it will do so, perhaps after a little more time). So also in the case of these propannas who have committed sins deliberately, there will be difference only with regard to the delay in the complete release from samsara. If they desire to have moksha at the end of this life and cannot brook delay and if the period of their life-time is not previously fixed, there will be delay in moksha by the life-time being extended. To those whose period of life-time is already fixed, prapatti will certainly bear fruit within that time.

DISCUSSION OF THE VIEW THAT EVEN DELIBERATE OFFENCES COMMITTED BY A PRAPANNA WILL NOT AFFECT HIM ADVERSELY.

It may be asked "Even after Sita Devi promised protection to the Rakshasis by saying "I will protect you," when these

Rakshaeis offended her again deliberately, were their sins not forgiven when Sita Devi said, "I forgive" their offences as I cannot bear their sufferings" and "Whether* they deserve punishment for their sins or whether they have not committed any sins (deserving of punishment), you should pardon them because of your generous nature - There is no one that is above fault "? The answer is as follows :- " Even here, the Rakshasis were afraid that Hanuman was about to punish them severely and were afterwards saved from it. So there was, as in the raising of the sword (to strike a person), a slight punishment (fear) and (then) forgive-Therefore, when it is sometimes stated that even sins De86. committed deliberately by a prapanna, would not cling to him, the statement has no authority in support of it. It is also sometimes stated that the prapanna need not stone for his sins even when he has committed them deliberately, and that, even if he has not atoned for them, there will be no punishment by way of teaching him a lesson. It is sometimes maintained also that when such offences have been committed, there will be no delay in the attainment of the supreme goal even for those who are impatient of delay and that there will be no intermission or diminution in the services which have come to a man as the result of meritorious karma in the past bearing fruit in this life. These propositions maintained by some (should not he taken as literally true); they are made just to glorify the attributes and qualities of the Savionr and the power of prapatti. Otherwise there would be no meaning in the continued tradition of the observance, by previous prapannas, of the code of conduct prescribed for the prapanna, in the sastras which prescribe methods of atonement for sins in the case of prapannas, and in the texts which say that there will be delay in the attainment of moksha for those who did not perform prapatti indicating the time when they wanted to have it and are also impatient of delay while sinning deliberately. Though it is said as a general

8. Rameyana: Yuddha Kanda: 116-44

9. Ramayana: Yuddha Kanda: 116-44

statement, "I will ** release you from all sins", since it is qualified by a passage standing as a special case : "This atonement consists in the performance of prapatti once again", it is evident that the atonement for sins committed deliberately after propatti is the performance of another prapatti. It cannot be argued that this passage prescribing another prapatti is only for those who did not perform prapatti to cover also those subsequent sing that might be committed deliberately. This argument would carry weight, only if there were an explicit statement that prapatti might be performed to cover also future sins of a deliberate nature. (There is none such). If a man knows that the original prapatti may be made in such a way as to cover also future sins of a deliberate nature, there will be no one who will care to give up this essier method and thus the text prescribing a further prapatti will find none to adopt it. A general statement cannot contradict a statement made for a special or specific case (for the general statement covers only all cases except those specified in the special statement). If we hold that a general statement can sublate or contradict a special statement for specific cases, it would follow that, even in the case of one who adopts the upaya of bhakti or ubiisana, subsequent sins committed deliberately would cause no stain.

It is evident from the authority of the following *sloka* that those who are exclusively devoted to Bhagavan (whether they be *bhaktas* or *prapannas*) will not fall under the sway of Yama :-"Whatever¹⁰ may be the family into which they are born, wherever they may live, those who love Bhagavan will never go to the world of Yama." There is general agreement also that the same ain will meet with either heavy or light punishment as its consequence, according to the differences of caste, character and the like. This is also consistent with what obtains in the world in cases like the offences committed by princes:-

⁹⁻A Bhagavad Gita XVIII -- 66. 10. ?

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

In cases of deliberate offence, the punishment is not as severe as before (*prapatti*) nor is there a high degree of grace. To the *prapanna* the punishment is light as in the case of the sons of kings.

Therefore to avoid even this light punishment which would follow as the consequence, a further *propatti* is ordained. In the case of those who are looked upon as learned and righteous, and who are also capable of atonement, atonement in public, in accordance with their ability, is proper when the offences have been committed in public. This is for the sake of setting an example to the rest of the world. If it were not done, it would be a transgression of (the Lord's) command stated before. The violation of the command is injurious in two ways. When the waiting woman is suspended from service, she loses what she obtains (from the service) such as scents and has to experience also dread (of punishment).

It may (now) be asked :— "What if the original or first prapatti is made on one's own initiative (without the sanction of the stastras) so as to cover also deliberate sins of the future?" This is (easily) answered by a counter-check :— "What if bhakti or upasana is performed so as to cover also future acts of deliberate offence? What if prapatti as an anga is performed so as to cover such acts?" If it is stated that in these two cases (of counter-check) also, it may be held that future sins will not cling, it will be heresy opposed to what is stated in Srī Bhashya and elsewhere. It is also opposed to the code of conduct observed by such (holy men) as Ushasti^{*} who were steadfast in the contemplation of Brahman (Brahmanishta). There is no special ordinance to contradict the general statement that when a ¹¹sin is

^{*} NOTE :- Ushasti ate a morsel of cooked gram given by a mahout but refused to drink the water which was afterwards offered by him. He justified his action by saying that he ate the gram to avoid death by starvation, but refused the water because there was then no fear of death.

^{11.} Vishnu Purana : 2-6-40

committed and the man feels remarse, the atonement or the prZyaschitta is to meditate on Bhagavan. Therefore the rule that, unless the occusion has arisen, there can be no application of a remedy (to cover a future possibility) holds good and stands uncontradicted in the case of offences committed deliberately after prapatti. Since the präyaschitta or atonement is prescribed only for a sin that has already been committed, if a man dreads the possiblity of future sins committed deliberately, he should perform atonement in the form of a prapatti for the sins of the past life which have now begun to operate and which are the cause of these (possible) future sins. For has it not been said, "Bhakti" adopted as an updya can destroy all sins except those sins of the past that have begun to operate in this life. On the other hand, prapatti (or südhya bhakti) is superior to bhakti and can destroy even those sins of the past that have begun to produce their consequences in this life ?" It has also been said :-- "The "sins committed in previous births afflict a man (in the present life) as diseases. They can be got rid of by medicines, charitable gifts, japa, offerings to the fire (homa) and adoration of Bhagavan". (It is indeed stated here) that, even by japa and homa, the sins of the past which have begun to bear fruit in the present life can be destroyed. (Such being the case, there is no reason to doubt that propatti can destory such sins). Therefore if a man performs prapatti in dread of the possibilities of future sins which might be caused by the sins of the past, these can be got rid of and no such future sin will be deliberately committed.

From such episodes as that of Sandili in which even Garuda who has been described in Alavandar's Stotrant" as Bhagavan's servant, friend, vehicle, seat and device on the banner, committed an offence against the righteous Sandili and had to undergo the evil consequences of it until he obtained pardon from her — from such episodes, it is evident that even for those who are in immediate contact with Bhagavan, even slight offences against the righteous are productive of evil consequences. (It may be asked whether *nitya sūris* like Garuda could commit offences at all). The answer is that, if the eternal *sūris* incarnate like Bhagavan, they have to act the part of those who are subject to the sway of *karma* and perform atonement for offences in order to promote the good of the world (by setting a proper example).

So, in order that one may not lose the grace of God and thereby incur the need for further *prapatti* or light punishment, a way must be found to root out the possibility of future offences. In general, the root cause of all offences is want of discrimination (*aviveka*). Of this want of discrimination, the most important is that which causes the delusion that the self is identical with matter (the body) which is non-sentient and ever subject to modification and has such other qualities and, likewise, the delusion that the individual self has the attributes and qualities which are peculiar to Iswara, such as existing in itself, independence, and not existing for any one but itself. The sharp-edged saw that cuts off this want of discrimination is a clear knowledge of the nature of one's self which is neither redundant nor inadequate.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

When the cause, namely, the delusion that the self is the body and that the self is independent (of the Lord) and other such delusions disappear, the offences which arise from the desire for wealth and for sense pleasures are (completely) rooted out without any special effort.

The prime cause of all these, namely, the possibility of future offences and the delusions which cause them is sin committed in past hirths which has now begun to yield its consequences. Therefore it will be atoned, for by the performance of *prapatti* at the heginning so as to cover that sin also or the performance of a further *prapatti* for the purpose. Of these sins which have to be atoned for, the *Bhāshyakāra* (Sri Ramanuja) has pointed out that offences done to Bhagavatas stand in the front rank like those done to the wives of a king — vide his commentary on the Sütra -"The "fruits of mukti too, cannot be said to be attained at any fixed time; for they can be attained only if there are no obstacles".

(Sri Remanuje's commentary is as follows: Though the fruit of upitsana or bhakti is makti, still the time of its attainment is uncertain, hecause of the presence or absence of obstacles. It may be asked whether there could be any such obstacle in the case of one whose karma or upitsana which gets him makti is far stronger than all other karmas. The answer is:— Even in his case there may be obstacles of the nature of offences done in the past to those who meditate on Brahman).

Such being the case, although there may be resemblance between oneself and such persons as Parasara, Vyasa, Suka, Sounaka, Nathamani and others, who were distinguished by their caste, character, conduct and the like in such matters as devotion to Bhagavan and the attainment of the supreme goal of life, they should not be treated with disregard. There are differences due to the will of Bhagayan as seen in the condition of each object among things which are the property of Bhagavan such as cows and cowherds, tulasi and champaka, cowdung and musk. Such points of excellence and inferiority, which are likely to cause envy, should not make one think of treating superior persons with disregard, as stated in Rahasyännaiya in the section treating of the origin of envy. It is true that particular actions and abstention from such actions have been prescribed in the case of Bhāgavatās as due to conditions of caste and the like as stated in the Brahma Sütra (2 - 3 - 47) " That there is permission and prohibition (in respect of castes) is due to their relationship with their bodies as in such things as fire " (Fire from the house of a Vedic scholar is fit for use, that from the cremation ground is unfit for use. So also, though the soul is pure, a man becomes fit or unfit for certain specific matters owing to his soul's contact with the body). In spite of these ordinances, we should also consider such passages as the following :---

"Even¹⁶ a man of bad conduct abould be considered a good man if he worships me without expecting any other benefit. He should be treated with respect." "The chandala" who has devotion to Bhagavan - to think even by mere chance, of this chandüla, to talk to him and to treat him with respect - these purify the man who does so, O, Brahmin ". "Whether the" devotee of Bhagavan be a sūdra, a huntsman, or a man who eats dog's fleah, the man who looks upon him as identical with other men of those respective castes will go to hell." "Therefore one should do "what one can to please the devotees of Bbagavan. By this, Bhagavan becomes favourably disposed to bless one. There is no doubt about this." So also has it been said (by the Alwar) that those "who are the devoted servants of the Lord are his masters in all his future births and that the moment he made up bis mind to render service to the Lord's devotees, his past karma was destroyed and that he would never think of giving up this service. In the same way it is our duty not to fail in reverence towards the Lord's servants. Even if there is only this mental reverence, it would amount to the sesha's service to the Lord who is perfect and self-sufficient. The thought that "This man is of the Lord" is itself capable of doing good. If there is any deviation from this path, one should tremble in fear as if one has entered into the jaws of Death, as it has been said ;----"Whatever^{al} is crooked (in conduct) leads to death (samsāra): whatever is straight leads to the attainment of Brahman". (When there has been such deviation from right conduct) one should at once beg pardon of these devoted servants of the Lord as

- 15. Bhagavad Gita: 9-30
- 16. Itihasa samucchaya: 31-55
- _17. Itibesa samucchaya: 27-26
- 18, Itihasa samucchaya: 27-27
- 19. Tiruvoymozhi: 3-7
- 20: Tiruvoymozhi: 8-10
- 21. Mahabharata: Santiparva: 79-21

exemplified in the following :— "Since" you have offended. I see no other atonement for you than to be Lakshmana's pardon, with folded hands", and again "If I have" offended you in any way, either on account of over-confidence or love, this fault of your servant should be pardoned by you: There is no one who is above committing an offence", and further, "The "harsh words that I nttered to you after hearing the speech of Rama who is overwhelmed with grief — these words should be forgiven by you". We should reflect on the manner in which Sugriva, the great kiog, and Lakshmana apologise to each other in these silokas and we should get reconciled to the devotees of the Lord (whom we have offended), as if the split that occurred has been glued up without any trace of its being seen.

Even if one who knows the *tattva* has deliberately entered on a course of conduct unfavourable (to the Lord), the Supreme Ruler will pardon him, if he performs *prapatti* subsequently. This may be seen from the following episode:— When Brahma saw Rudra in Badarikasrama aiming his arrow at Bhagavan who had incarnated as the son of Dharma, he explained matters to Rudra and stopped him from the fight. "Rudra" then apologised to Narayana who was his Lord to win His favour. He also performed *prapatti* to Hari, the Creator of the World. The Supreme Ruler, the giver of boons, became gracious, controlled His anger, became pleased and associated there with Rudra".

Whether a man has offended Bhagavatas (in this life) or whether some Bhagavatas hate him owing to his wicked deeds in past lives though he has committed no offence in this life, he should obtain the pardon of Bhagavan by obtaining the pardon of such Bhagavatas by some means or other : This is evident in the

^{22.} Ramayana: Kishkindhakanda: 32-17

^{23.} Ibid : 36-11

^{24.} Ibid : 36–28

^{25.} Mababharata : Santi Parva : 352-64

passage which describes the characteristics of a Sri Vaishnava: "He is a devotes of Vishnu who, on hearing harsh words uttered by a Bhagavata, prostrates before him and begs his pardon." If a man does not do so, he will pass beyond the reach of the grace of the Lord and will lose also what is essential to his nature as a Vishnubhakta, namely, his being a sesha to Bhagavatas and, likewise, his qualities of self-restraint and patience; for it has been said :-- " Those "who are Brahmins (Bhagavatas absorbed in the contemplations of Brahman) they are myself. There is no doubt abont this O, King; when they are adored, I am adored; when they are pleased, I am also pleased. He who hates them hates me also." "Though the Brahmin²⁸ strikes him, curses him and utters cruel words to him, he who does not bow to him as I did (to Bhrign) - he is a sinner. He will be consumed by the wild fire of the Brahmin's anger. He deserves to be killed and punished. He is not mine." To lose these (his being a sesha to Bhagavatas and his self-restraint and patience) is itself, in his case, being killed and punished. Tondaradippodi Alwar*, who realised and enjoyed his relationship of being a sesha to Bhagavates, which he considered as his distinctive feature, has described how miserable it is to be outside the pale of the Lord's grace as follows :- " My Lord has not said: "This is a lad" deserving of my affection". How wicked are my sins !"

26. Lainga purana28. Itihasa Samucchaya: 30-10027. Vishou dharma: 52-2029. Tirumalai: 37

30, Mahabharata: Anusanika parva: 16-2

* NOTE:- That is why he calls himself Tondaradippodi, 'The Dust at the Feet of the Devotees'.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS;

All sins are destroyed, in four equal parts, by repentance, by abstention, by the endeavour to perform atonement and by the completion of the process of atonement. To those who feel remorse and repentance, whether the *prapatti* is performed in a previous *kalpa* or in a later *kalpa*, there is no difference in the *prapatti* to be performed although (there is a difference in the nature of sins committed). Similarly whether the sins be serious or venial, the performance of a single *prapatti* done at a time will completely destroy at once (all sins).

TAMIL VERSE:

Those who dread, at beart, the formidable sins that bear fruit at present and seek refuge at the two lotus-like feet of the Lord who measured the worlds will be freed from all karma which flourishes like weeds. In order to prevent the occurrence of future offences, the honey-like grace of our Lord prevails over the want of discrimination (aviveka) which gives rise to them.

SANSKRIT SLOKA.

The Lord pardons, on account of *prapatti*, all sins committed before *prapatti* except (some among) those which have begun to bear fruit in this life and also those sins which are committed after *prapatti* unintentionally. Likewise He pardons (also) all *prārabdha karma* except the portion of *prārabdha* whose consequences he has agreed to bear. In the case of the *prapanna*, it is not at all likely that sins will be committed subsequently with deliberation. Even if such (subsequent) sins occur, they will be destroyed by atonement; if, on account of wickedness, no atonement is performed, the sins will be explated hy punishment and the Lord will (then) take the *prapanna* to Himself.

*

(19) THE CHAPTER ON THE PLACES SUITABLE FOR THE RESIDENCE OF THE PRAPANNA.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The place where it is possible to concentrate all one's attention on such things as service at the feet of Bhagavan and His adoration, or the place where one can find some one or other whose mind is exclusively and staunchly fixed on Bhagavan — that is the place of abode for $prapann\bar{a}s$ as it is almost equal to Vaikunta. Many places that have been prescribed (for abode) by the sages generally have this fitness (in these two respects).

THE PLACE SUITED TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PRAPANNA:

As an end in itself, the *prapanna* is desirous and eager to live, the rest of bis life in a manner that would be inoffensive and **agreeable** to the Lord. He is exclusively and supremely attached to the Lord as described in the *sloka* :-- The 'devotee who is exclusively attached to Bhagavan is not to be described or designated as belonging to a certain village or a certain family, but as belonging to Bhagavan, for Bhagavan alone is everything to him.

THE PLACES INHABITED BY BHAGAVATAS ARE THE MOST SUITABLE.

If it be asked what is the proper place for his residence, the answer is as follows: — Holy regions like Aryavarta have now, owing to the nature of the Yuga (Kali) become unfit for abode, owing to the rites of varna and āsrama getting mingled. Therefore the proper place for residence at present is any place where the practice of the dharma of the four varnas is well established. Even among these places, those regions which are inhabited by Bhagavatas are to be chosen by (the prapanna) desirous of moksha. It has been said :— "What "austerities must they have practised (in their past lives) - they who live in places where there are devotees that adore, with folded hands. Sri Krishna, who has a complexion similar to that of a black cloud, and that look upon Him as their protector?" Though it is stated "In Kali, O, ²^Maitreys, men deluded by heretics will not adore Vishnu, the Lord of the World, the Ruler who created all things ", we are also told about places where Bhagavatas live in Kali Yuga: "In Kali 'Yuga, there will be born, here and there, noble souls who consider that Narayana is the supreme object of attainment. They will be born in numbers in Tamil Nad on the banks of the Tamraparni, of the Kritamala, of the Palar, of the renowned Cauvery and of the river which flows westwards and again :---"Those who 'drink the waters of these rivers, O, king, become pure in mind and greatly devoted to Bhagavan who is called Vasudeva". As stated in these passages, it is these places inhabited by Bhagavatas, that are suitable for the residence of the prapanna in this Kali Yuga. In Sri Nārāyaniya the devas and the rishis said, "When 'dharma stands on a single leg ready to go elsewhere, how are we to live, O Lord? Please tell us this", Bhagavan replied as follows :-- "Yon *should live in places where gurus of good character and possessed of self-control are held in reverence and where *dharma* has not undergone any deterioration. The places which should be chosen by you, O best of devotees, are those where flourish the Vedes, yajnas, ansterities (tapas), truth, control of the senses and the slaughter of animals only for the sake of dharma (sacrifices). Adharma will not touch you with its foot".

THE HOLY PLACES WHERE BHAGAVAN IS WORSHIPPED IN TEMPLES ARE SPECIALLY SUITABLE:

Among them, the holy places where Bhagavan has chosen to be present as archa would be proper for the propannas'

- 2A. Vishnupurana: 6-1-50 3. Bhegavatam: 11-5-38-39 5. Mahabharata : Santi Parva : 349-85
- Mahabharata: Santi Parva: 349-86-87 4. Bhagavetam: 11-5-40

residence. He should live permanently in one among them which is suitable for his service (to the Lord). As an example of holy places where satva is predominant, Srivangam is indicated in the following passage --- "Until the 'fall of the hody, live in peace here in Srirangam itself." That only the holy places of Bhagayan are suitable for the residence of the wise man has been stated by the great sage (Vyasa) in the context of the places of pilgrimage in Aranya Parva, thus :--- "The place where the Supreme Being, the God Narayana, who is eternal, dwells — that is sacred; that is most holy; that is the place of the sacred water that purifies; that is the place fit for ansterities; that is the place where the divine seers and the siddluis and all others perform penance", and (in another context) elsewhere, we find -- " There is' a mountain called Gomanta, O, king, which is full of all the minerals. On that mountain dwells the lotus-eyed Lord Nareyana, the Lord of Lakshmi, who is sung in hymns (there) by those who desire moksha." Bhagavan Valmiki also has stated :- "This "mountain, Chitrakuta, which resembles Himavan, the king of mountains, is possessed of glory, for Sri Rama born of the race of Kakustha dwells on it like Kubera in Nandana." The word subhaga in this sloka refers to the glory of that mountain as one to be visited for having been the residence of Bhagavan. In the Satvata Sastras also, the holy places where there are the spontaneous manifestations of Bhagavan, those that were established by siddhas and those founded by devotees and the degrees of sanctity attached to them are described separately and it is also stated there that those who dwell within the bounds. ries of these holy places will attain a special boon at the time of their laying down the body :--" The mind" of man which is under the away of the foul senses is always surrounded by impure influences. It is, however, purified in the last moments by residence in the abode of Narayana."

- 7. Saranagati Gadya:
- 8. Mahabharata: Aranya Prava : 88-27-28

9. Mahabharata: Bhishma Parva:

- 12-8-9
- 10. Ramayana: Ayodhyakanda; 98-12
- 11. Satvata Sembita: 7-120

192

Therefore as stated in the *sloka* :-- "A "man should live in a place where there is a temple of Vishnu and do some kind of service (there). If he is anable to perform any service, he should at least abstain from what is forbidden"; the *prapanna* would do well to live in a place sanctified by the presence of Bhagavan and Bhagavatas and capable of promoting *satva guna*.

It is true that it is said:— "The place" where a man in full control of his senses lives — that place has in it Kurukshetra, Naimisa and, likewise, Pushkaram." But this should be taken to mean that, when a righteous man lives in some insignificant place, because of his inability to live elsewhere, that place becomes sanctified by bis residence. This is illustrated in the episode of Sandili.*

Therefore the statement in the following stoka :— "The man "who performs *prapatti* by uttering this *mautra* at the very time when he attains this knowledge — that man attains *moksha* wherever he may die losing bis consciousness — whether it be in a holy place of pilgrimage or the house of one who eats dog's flesh" — this statement that there is no special place prescribed for death, should also be understood in the light of what has been said above, as pointing out that this will be no hindrance to the attainment of *moksha*.

TAMIL VERSES :

(1) The temple or Vimāna which is renowned as Srirangam, the temple or vimāna which was granted to the rulers of Ayodhya by the lotus-born Brahma, the temple or vimāna where the

NOTE :- • Sandili, a great devotee of the Lord, was living on an island in the sea. Garuda, who saw it, wondered why she should dwell in such a mean place. Owing to this offensive thought, his wings were burnt away and he recovered their use only after obtaining her pardon.

12. t 14. Varaha Purana ;

13. Itihasa Samucchaya: 27-18

invincible and incomparable Sri Rama worshipped, the temple or vimāna which is the refuge of Vibbishana, the ally of Sri Rama, the temple or vimāna, which seenres all sorts of benefits unattainable elsewhere, the temple or vimāna which has the shape of praņava, the temple or vimāna which destroys all sins, however insurmountable they may be—that temple of Srirangam is indeed full of the nectar of grace which never satiates.

(2) The mountain which reveals to us the two feet of Sri Krishna, the mountain where wicked sinners get rid of both their punya and $p\bar{a}pa$, the mountain which is renowned as being indeed moksha itself, the mountain on which flow holy streams with pellucid waters, the mountain that is extolled as the abode of all rightsous actions, the mountain that secures all the enjoyments of *Paramapada* (the region of dazzling gold), the mountain that is longed for by the eternal $s\bar{u}ris$ and the people of this earth — that mountain is only the mountain described in the Vedas and famous as the Venkata Hills.

(3) Hastigiri (Kancheepuram) where dwells Sri Rama who, with his might and with his arrow discharged from his heautiful how in the great battle-field, shook down the hunch of ten heads of the Rakahasa who was powerful in the use of missiles and where dwells also Sri Krishna, the great friend of man, who ate the rising butter kept by Yasoda, after churning the abundant curd into which the churn was pressed — this Hastigiri cuts off the sins of devotees leaving no trace of them behind. It does, of itself, the work of the Lord's *chakra* and lets the latter remain as a mere ornament to His hand:

(4) That place on the earth where dwell (the devotees of the Lord), who long for the world above (*Paramapada*) which is the famous residence of Narayana, the Lord of Lakehmi, horn of the lotus full of honey (pollen) — that place is as sacred as the Himalays surrounded by forests, the Ganga, the Cauvery, the sea, the holy cities (like Ayodhya, Mathura, Kasi and Kanchi) and Sri Vsikonta all in one.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The place which is not to the liking of the minds of those who taste the nectar of the stories of Bhagavan (Vaikunta) — that place does not shine in the world, merely because it is called Kasi, (Kasi: that which shines); it does not become a (snitable) place of residence merely because it is called Ayodhya; it does not eave us from our sins merely because it is called Avanti (Av = to protect); Kanchi is not excellent because of its name; nor is Madhura considered a seat of excellence merely because it is called Madhura. So also other cities do not become worthy merely because of their names, (if they are not to the liking of those who delight in the ambrosia of stories concerning Bhagavan (Vaikunta).

(20) THE CHAPTER ON THE DEPARTURE OF THE SELF (FROM THE BODY)

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The Lord merges the aggregate of the senses in the mind, the mind with the senses in the vital breath (prana), the vital breath with these in the self or soul, the self in the subtle elements (Sakshma bhatas) and the self with these elements in Himself (the Supreme Self) very soon. So far, the process of the soul's departure is common to both the person who has realised Him and the person who has not realised Him. Thereafter, the Lord leads the self through different veins (nadi) which lead either to the (dark) path of smoke or to the shining path of light (archiradi)or elsewhere in accordance with the qualifications (of each individual).

NOTE:— The soul of the man who has realised Brahman is said to be led through a vein issuing out of the head into the shining path of *archis* along which it passes into immortality in Vaikunta. The soul of the man who has not realised Brahman is led through other veins into the dark path called *dhuma* or smoke. He will be born again in *samsara*.

"Having 'given up all $up\bar{a}yas$, and having given up also all desires including the desire to enjoy one's self. I seek as my refuge, O, Lord. Thy feet which measured the world." When with these words, the *prapanna* takes the feet of the Lord, the Lord takes him by the hand, which, it has heen said, is the only support of the *prapanna*: "The hand² of the Lord who is called Janardana and who has been bought with the price of *bhakti* is the only support for the *prapanna*." The Lord who has been called "the king^{2A} of kings of all (beings)" and who is the possessor of the two glories, Lilā Vibhūti (in this world) and Nitya Vibhūti or eternal glory in Paramapada, (having taken him by the hand)

J. Jitanta Stotram:

2A Mababbarata : Asvamedbikaparva : 43-13

2. Vishnudharmam: 3-24

fixes him in a place of His own liking and the *propanna* leads his life there, being held in as high regard as an anointed queen and following such occupations as are consistent with his exclusive and supreme attachment to the Lord.

DELAY IN THE ATTAINMENT OF THE GOAL:

Among prapannas, there may be (some) who, on account of certain specific evil deeds done in past lives which have begun to operate now, may become subject to such lapses as the following (-1) They may display the feelings of 'I' and 'mine' (ahankāra and mamakāra) (2) as a result of these (feelings) they may commit offences; (3) they may display a taste or desire for other objects than the Lord ; (4) owing to this desire they may contact other deities; (5) they may be weak-minded; owing to this (weak-mindedness), they may desire to adopt other upäyas. There may be others who, owing to good deeds done in past lives which have begun to yield their fruits in this life and owing to the specific nature of the request for benefits made at the time of prapatti, have never swerved from the service of the Lord. It may be asked what is the nature of the delay in the release from samsāra in the case of these two classes of prapannas. The answer is as follows :—

(1) In this matter, propanness will never have the feelings of 'I' and 'mine' in any deep-seated form such as the Charvakas (materialists) have. Even people who walk warily sometimes stamhle; so also propanness may, on rare occasions, show these feelings; hut these improper feelings or notions will disappear by later wisdom originating in reason. (2) In the chapter on the atonement for offences, we explained how, if offences are committed, they will last only until the time of winning pardon or the undergoing of panishment and how the offences will be explained within the required time.

In the case of those who performed *prapatti* without indicating any definite time (for the attainment of moksha), it is eaid as follows :— "The man" who has performed prapatti to me and who has not abstained from sins committed frequently, attains me late after wearing out all his sins."

(3) "Since the proponent is bent on attaining moksha, he is not likely to have any long-standing desire for other benefits. Like those who have both Brahma bhāvana and Karma bhāvana. (Brahma, Rudra and the like), they might entertain a desire for other benefits along with the desire for moksha; if so, Iswara, who is their well-wisher, will not grant them those (so called) benefits (as they are not for his good); for it is said :- " Even when' the bhakta prays for it, Iswara does not permit him to do what is not good to himself. Does not the mother prevent her child that longs to fall into the fire?" It is said also :-- "He whom' I want to hless - his wealth I take away from him. His relations will then desert him. He will become miserable. If, in his misery, he still clings to me, I confer on him such blessings as are unattainable even for the devas." The same idea is found in the story of Kundadhāra. Sometimes Iswara creates in the prapanna a distaste for these other things by making him realise that they are trivial, transient and mingled with pain. Sometimes, as in the case of Soubhari and Kuchela, he gives them the benefits desired hy them, hut makes them feel an aversion to them afterwards.

(NOTE: -- Kundadhara: A certain man who was devoutly attached to Kundadhara begged him for wealth. Kundadhara, instead of granting wealth, saw to it that his follower should become attached to *dharma*).

Thus the man who performed *prapatti* indicating the time when he should attain *moksha*, will have acquired an aversion to other benefits than the Lord within the prescribed time. In the case also of the man who did not indicate any such time while performing *prapatti*, there will be delay, as has been stated in the *sloka*: — "The man "who is desirous of performing such karma as is a

4. Viahou Dharmam.

- 5. Bhagavatam.
- 6. Lakahmi Tantram: 17-103

^{3.} Lakshmi Tantram: 17-102

means of obtaining other benefits (than God) enjoys pleasures unmingled with pain, and at last acquires a distaste for them (and the spirit of renunciation), after which he reaches the abcde of Bhagavan. In accordance with this, the delay in his attainment (of the supreme goal) will be only until the birth of aversion to worldly objects.

(4) In regard to contact with other deities, the Ruler of All will bring him back some day or other into the company of those who are staunchly and exclusively devoted to Bhagavan, correct his lapse until he gets ashamed and thus remove his deviation from the right path.

If, in some, this contact with other deities becomes permanent, it may be inferred that the adoption of *prapatti* as an *upäya* was incomplete in their case. It may also be understood that they may have such things as Naraka in future. In a man of this description, the fragmentary *prapatti* performed to Bhagavan may, at some time in the future, attain completion and become effective.

(5) In those who performed prapatti after the rise of full and great faith, there will not be any weak-mindedness nor the desire to adopt other upity as. If these - viz., weak-mindedness and the desire to adopt other means — are present, it is to be inferred that their former faith was not strong enough. The Lord of All will correct these men also until they acquire great faith and make them perfect propannas.

In the case of those in whom no such lapses occur, there is no possibility at all of delay in the attainment (of the supreme goal). There will be delay for them only as long as they desire it. They will attain moksha at the time when they desire to have it. The $Adhik\bar{a}r\bar{s}$ who has attained the true state of a *propanna* will always be the favourite of the Supreme Ruler, who is the Lord of Lakshmi, as pointed out in the passage. "O, "Thou, Lord of Srirangam, that delightest in those idle^{*} men (propannas) who stand outer-most (as being incepable of karma yoga, jnäna yoga or bhakti yoga)".

In the case of this prapanna, the Lord who is the unconditional seshi of all, who is independent of all others and without limitations of any kind and whose will is irresistible, withholds his desire to punish, which is the cause of all fears, at the very first moment in which he atters the sentence expressing prapatti, in the same way as at the beginning of the meditation in the case of the upasaka or bhakti yoga nishta, who has adopted prapatti as a means to bhakti, of whom it is said .- "When the vidya commences, the destruction of former sins and the absence of contact with subsequent sins (occnr), for so the scrutis say ". The Lord makes up His mind (at that very instant) to bring him and those who are his followers into the company of the eternal suris and, not satisfied with having done only this, He devours, as it were, all the heaps of sins committed by those who have sought Him and does not reveal any trace of them outside and feels also that He should stomach even more (of these sins). He removes the sins of his followers too, even when they are committed deliberately, by His will to grant the prapanna His blessing. In virtue of this, they will explate their sins by repentance and the like. It is said : "He ("the Lord) hastens to give Paramapada to the man who is fit for mukti". The Lord pities the prapanna for the delay which he has chosen and hastens to create in him an impatience of delay (in attainment). He produces in him an eagerness to attain the goal as illustrated in the following (verses): - " Hereafter I do not want to be born in this world of ignorance" and "Do "not deceive me as Thou didst before. I swear by Thee and by Tby

NOTE: -- * They are called 'idle', because, after propattl they do not seek any other upaya. They are said to stand "outer-most", because, being unable to adopt karma yoga, jnana yoga and bhakil yoga, they have adopted the upaya propatti j which is prescribed in the Charama slake of the Gita.

8. Brahma Sutras: 4-1-13 10. Tiruvoymozhi: 10-6-1

9. Tiruvoymozhi: 10-6-3 11. Tiruvoymozhi: 10-10-2

queen whose beautiful locks of hair shed their fragrance like a garand on Thy chest - I swear that I must be taken to Paramapada." Having made him so eager and so impatient to obtain the goal, the Lord decides on giving him Paramapada as desired by him at the end of this life, which has come to him as a result of past karma: So has it been said :- "Our Lord" is the greatest benefactor that confers Vaikunta on those who have performed prapatti, when they happen to die". The Lord" has already seen to it that the fetters of gold and iron which bind him to samsūra namely, his papa and punya, past and future, as also the karma which has begun to operate, except that portion of it which he has agreed to explate (during this life) are cut off without any trace being left at all. It is said :-- "The sins" of the past and the sins committed after prapatti vanish like cotton thrown in the fire." So when the time for leaving the body has come, the Lord causes his meritorious deeds (punya) and his sins ($p\bar{a}pa$) to be divided and distributed among others, namely, those who were his well-wishers and those who did evil to him, respectively, as the consequence of the good and the evil wrought to him by them, For it has been said :-- "The man "who is about to attain mukii leaves his punya and $p\overline{a}pa$ to his friends and foes respectively and attains Brahman as the fruit of bhakti yoga", and again "The sins "which are notorious in this world and which, oppressive like Yama, make a man sink into samsūra left the man about to attain mukti without making the least noise and entered into plants (vis, his foes)". The authors of the Smritis have expressed the trath contained in the Upanishads in the manner stated above :

THE PRAPANNA MAY DIE AT ANY TIME, DAY OR NIGHT, IN THE BRIGHT OR IN THE DARK HALF OF THE MONTH : ETC.

In regard to those who are desirous of other benefits than moksh α and who have not fulfilled completely the means of attain-

• NOTE: -- Even punya karma which would lead to swarga is a fetter (though of gold), because it stands in the way of moksha.

- 12. Tiruvoymozhi: 9-10-5
- Tiruppavai : 5

- 14. Manu Smriti : 6-79
- 15. Perialyar Tirumozhi: 5-4-3

ing moksha, it has been said in the Smriti, and in the science of the celestial bodies, in the context of the departure from the body that ""Day-time, the bright half of the month (Sukla paksha) and the Uttaräyana are favourable times for those that die". But (as the propanna has adopted successfully the proper upaya) there is no such rule for him in regard to the time of death. For it has been said about him :- "It is not right" to say that the man who dies at night will not attain moksha, for the association with karma lasts only as long as the body lasts", and again "For the same reason, the view¹⁶ is not right that the man who dies in dakshinayana will not attain moksha." Whether in the day of man or in the night of man, whether in the day of pitris (suklapaksha) or in the night of the pitris (krishnapaksha), whether in the day of the gods (uttariiyana) or in the night of the gods (dakshing yana) — at the time appointed by the Lord, He makes bim remember all his previous thoughts and desires (for moksha) and like a king who is gracious and who cuts off the fetters of the prince lying in the prison-bouse, the Lord takes him along with He causes some occasion or other suited to the time, for Him. the soul's parting from the body without regard to auspicious or inauspicious time.

THE PROCESS OF THE SELF LEAVING THE BODY:

Then the Lord makes the ten external senses like speech merge in the mind and the mind with the senses of action and of knowledge, which are thus eleven in number, merge in the vital breath $(pr\bar{a}na)$ and the vital breath in the self. Then at the moment of the shaking of the hone in the back called vams a, the Lord churns out the subtle elements from the gross body $(sth\bar{u}la$ deha) and contacts the self with the subtle elements $(s\bar{u}kshma$ $bh\bar{n}ita$) and then comforts the self so mingled with the senses, the vital breath and the subtle elements by keeping it by the side of Himself in the form that He has assumed in the heart; for, by nature, He is its friend and well-wisher. After giving the self rest and relaxation, the Lord sees that the self passes out of the body. So far, the process is the same both for the man who has realised Brahman and for the man who has not.

THE PATH OF THE JOURNEY AFTER DEPARTURE:

(In regard to this passage of the self from the body), it has been said as follows :-- "The Jiva who" abides in the beart like a lamp has innumerable veins ($n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$). They are of many colours: white, black, violet, blue, golden yellow, yellow and red. Among these veins $(n\overline{a}d\overline{i}s)$, which are of varied colours, there is one which proceeds upwards. The individual self (Jiva) who passes through this vein breaks through the sphere of the sun and, having passed beyond the world of Brahma, reaches the highest state. Оле hundred other nādīs also go upwards. The Jīva who goes out through them attains the bodies of the devas and reaches their worlds. Some *midis* of various colours go downwards and they are dim. The Jiva who goes out through them is born in sams $\bar{a}ra$ in this world, without any choice on his part, in order to experience the fruits of his karma. (Since the nadis are like rays proceeding from the heart which is like a lamp, they are called rays (rasmis).

The Lord sees to it that the self of the *prapanua* does not go out through any of these $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$ which lead those that are thieves of themselves, ((i, e.) who do not realise that they belong to the lord) to svarga and naraka (bell) and are therefore like the routes along which thieves take their victims. He makes the *prapanua*'s self enter the *Brahma Nādī*, which is beyond the hundredth among those $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}s$ that go upwards and which leads to the shining path called *archirādi*, and helps it to start with the support of the rays of the sun.

When Koorathalvan (Sri Vatsankamisra) was in his last moments, his tongue was parched with the fatigue (of the moment) and (unable to speak or utter any words) he caught

hold of Sri Ramanuja's feet. At that time Sri Ramanuja whispered the *Dvaya Mantra* in his ear. The other disciples, who were there, said to themselves with mental depression :— "How can we hope to get this blessing (*Dvaya* being whispered in the ear by Sri Ramanuja)? What shall we do?" Sri Ramanuja understood their fears and said, "Do you not know the true character of Alvan? When he is in this condition (unable to say anything owing to the parohed throat), what I did was only like placing a little camphor and a piece of sugar-candy (in his mouth, to make it wet). I did not whisper the *Dvaya* in order to make the $up \ddot{a}ya$ complete with its accessories". The disciples were relieved on hearing this.

NOTE: -- The idea is that the propanna will attain moksha, even if he is unconscious and unable to utter the Dvaya or other mantras.

Therefore as has been said in the following two slokas: --"The man who has performed prapatti, whether he dies in a holy place or in the house of one who eats dog's flesh, will attain moksha even if he dies unconscious." and, "The "man who, when his mind is in its normal condition, when the hody is not shattered. and when the elementary constituents of the body ($dh\bar{a}tu$) are in perfect equipoise, meditates on me who have the world as my body and who am not subject to birthe due to karma - when that man lies like a log of wood or a piece of stone in his dying moments. I think of (him) my devotee and lead him to attain the highest state", and as has been stated also in the Tamil verses .- "O. Lord "that reposest on Adi Sesha in Srirangam, a man seeks refuge under the strong in order that he may obtain their support when he is in distress: though I am not like Thy devotees whe know how to seek Thy help, yet I approach Thee, since Thou wert gracious even to the elephant; when the langour of the dying moment has come, I may not be able to think of Thee. Therefore I entreat Thee, even now, that, at that time, Thou shouldst come to my rescue," --- as has been said in these passages, the propagna

20. Varaha Charama Sloka :

21. Perialyar Tirumozhi : 4-10-1

need not seek the remembrance (of God) in his last moments (by any effort of his own).

THE LAST THOUGHT :

The statement :- "At "the time of casting the body away, you will be in full possession of your intelligence owing to my grace; you will have a vision of me and will have all your previous memories and desires (for moksha)" - this statement, too, has to be interpreted in a manner that would not be in conflict with the verses cited above. This is how it should be interpreted. Some āchāryas interpret as follows :- "The statement made in (Saranägati Gadya) applies to those prapannas who, while performing prapatti, begged also for the remembrance (of the Lord) in their last moments." This interpretation cannot be accepted for the following reason :- There must be some last thought or other at the time of casting off the hody and this thought must have some object or other. If the object that is thought of were other than the Lord, it would become dangerous, for it bas been said :- "Whatever" object one thinks of at the time when one leaves one's body - that alone will one attain (after death)". So (we have to conclude that) if the man has performed prapatti as an independent means (whether or not he begged for the remembrance (of the Lord) in his last moments while performing prapatti.) he will get that remembrance as stated in the Gadya. This is the interpretation favoured by some *āchāryas*. In the verses from Sanskrit and Tamil quoted above (where the last remembrance is not declared to be necessary), what is meant is that the last remembrance is not prescribed for the prapama as something to be effected by his own effort as an $up\bar{u}ya$. In the eighth chapter of the Gitä, and in the sloka which says :- " That²⁴ Bhagayan whom the yogis keep in their minds hy an effort at the time of leaving the body-keeping that Bhagavan in mind, Bhishma gave op his body. Was he not one who attained the fruit of his bhakti or upusana ?" (The last remembrance comes as

22. Saranagati Gadya :

an $up\bar{a}ya$ for those who adopt *bhakti* as the means and it comes by their own effort); but in the case of the *prapanna*, the remembrance comes out of the Lord's grace slone. "*Kevalam madiya dayayā*" are Sri Ramanuja's words. What does this 'last remembrance' mean? When speech and other activities have hecome impossible, the remembrance or thought which arises in the mind before the mind, too, ceases to function-this is the last remembrance. Those who stand by cannot know it. Sri Ramanuja's commentary on the *Brahma* $S\bar{u}tra$: "It is seen ³⁵ that the mind functions even after the senses have ceased to do so", means only this, that the mind's functioning may be inferred by some sign or other. It may slee describe his own experience in some states of ill-health and the like. Therefore this last remembrance is a thing that could be known only to the man who becomes a *mukta* and to (the Lord) who gives bim *moksha*.

After this, the self, whether it he of the yogi or of one who is not a yogi, takes repose by the side of the Supreme Being who is in his heart (Harda) and is in a state similar to that of dreamless sleep (sushupti).

It may be asked whether the description contained in the solokās and Tamil verses quoted above (Nos. 20 and 21)—such as being like a log of wood or like a piece of stone, and loss of all remembrance or consciousness may not be a reference to this state of sushupti. The answer is that if so, there would be no special consideration for the propanna (which certainly there is).

Thereafter (*i.e.* after repose by the side of the Supreme Being), the self or soul attains perfect knowledge being awakened by the Lord (who is wise) and who says that "the Jiva gets knowledge,^{se} memory and forgetfolness only from Him." Lighted by that knowledge, it enters into the *Brahma Nādī*. Thence - forward Time is one eternal day for the self.

> 25. Commentary on Brahma Sutra: 4-2-1 26. Bhagavad Gita 15-15

TAMIL VERSE:

To the prapauna (who is a devotee of Sri Ranganatha) who departs from the body which is as transient as lightning and who is to journey from the central Brahma nadi, along the beautiful and shining path (of *archirädi*), which is free from (ali) impediments, the place of his departure is itself an auspicious place, the day of his departure is itself an auspicious day, the omens and signs that are then seen are all auspicious, whatever they may be.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Like a father who descends into a well full of slushy mire to take out his son that has fallen into it, the Lord, (in His $ill\bar{a}$), abides in the cave of the heart (dahara) and at the time of our leaving the body. He helps us to enter the $n\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ which is beyond the hundredth, so that we may start on our journey to the city that was not built by any one.

(21) THE CHAPTER ON THE PRESCRIBED PATH OR ROUTE.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Fire (archis), day-time, the bright half of the month suklapaksha), Uttar $\bar{u}yana$ and the year, thence Vayu, Surya, Chandra and then Lightning, Varuna, Indra, Prajapati or Brahma having traversed this path in the order given above, the self crosses the river Viraja; then follows the wonder that defies (all description in words.

THE ROUTE CALLED ARCHIRADI :

Thus the Lord who lives in the heart and who is full of love (for the Jiva) starts with the self who desires *mukti* and who has entered into the $n\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ in the head from out of Brahmapuri, namely, the gross body, by the *Brahma Nadi*, which is, as it were, the main gate (of that city). In this He resembles a king who walks to and fro with his charming child in his arms.

As has been said in the following verses :— "The self' passes through a beautiful opening in the centre of the sphere of the sun," "The 'self makes an opening in the sphere of the sun, whose rays fill his chariot". "He goes 'through the middle of the sphere of the fiery sun", "The Lord' helps him to climb up by a ladder to Vaikunta through the sphere of the sun whose hot rays dispel the darkness and then removes the ladder," — (as described in these verses), the Lord sets the self on the path called *devayina* and leads him Himself with the following to guide him, namely, *archis* or fire, day, the bright half of the month, Uttariiyana and the year, Vayo, Surya and Chandra and Lightning: with Lightning who is called also amānava and his companions, Varuna, Indre and Prajapati, the self is helped on its way. As the Alvar

1. Peria Tirumadal : 16

 Tirumazhisai Alvar: Tiruchandaviruttam: 67

2. Siria Tirumadal: 7

4. Perialvar Tirumozhi: 4-9-3.

says :--- "With these guides who are immortal, he reaches Vaikunta and casts off this beautiful prison house of samsāra."

NOTE :-- Fire, Day, Suklapaksha, Uttarayana and the year are, of course, inanimato; but the deities presiding over them (abhimani devotos) are the guides here referred to.)

ENTERTAINMENT ON THE WAY:

The Lord has said. "I 'remember my devotee and lead him to the supreme goal." In every one of these regions on the way (which belong respectively to Surya, Chandra etc.), the self enjoys all those delights which are described at great length in the sāstrās of Bhagavan ($\bar{A}gam\bar{a}s$). Thereafter it arrives at a region which it has long been eager to see and which has been described as follows :--- "When 'shall I see with my own eyes the world called Vaikunta? It shines brilliantly, it is always with Bhagayan who is possessed of the six attributes, like jnana; it can never be attained by those who are not devoted to Vishnu; it is free from the three qualities of prakriti or matter (sattvam, rajas and tamas). It is full of the eternal suris who divide the day into five parts and render the service to the Lord appropriate to each ; it is also full of released souls who are like the eternal suris. It has presence chambers, halls, and mansions. It is beautiful with forests and gardens; it has broad and deep wells, lakes and groves which adorn it. It is free from the sufferings due to prakriti; it is fit to be praised by the $dev\bar{a}s$. It shines like ten thousand suns sbioing at once; it is constituted of pure sattuam unmingled with rajas and tamas."

As soon as the self arrives at this region, it casts off the subtle body ($s\bar{u}kshma \ scar\bar{v}ra$) which was kept on merely for the sake of the journey and not for experiencing the fruits of past karma: it casts off this subtle body like a boat which is set adrift sfter the crossing of the river and when the self has crossed the

5. Tiruvoymozhi : 1-3-11.

7, Jitanta Stotram: 2-18-20

6. Varaha Charama Sloka 🚽

river Viraja, the Lord endows the self with a body that is super sensuous and not constituted of matter or prakriti; it is helped to reach the lake called Airammadiyam and then to approach the asvattha tree called Somasavana and is then welcomed by five hundred oelestial damsels (apsaras) with garlands, ointments, fragrant powders, raiments and ornaments. The self is then decked by them with adornments suited to Brahman and the fragrances, flavours and splendours of Brahman are made to enter into it. It is then received by the sternal suris. As the Alwar says: "The eternal " suris with crowns on their heads come in groups to receive these self's or sonis who are esteemed as the servants of Govinda in successive generations. They take the self to the tower with high walls which is adorned with banners. The self is then led to the entrance where the gates are kept by gnards called Indre and Prajapati and receives super-sensuous (apräkrita) honours bafitting a king. This is described in the three verses beginning with 10-9-9:-"As soon as they (the muktas) arrive at the gate, the guards who are sternal suris say :-" The devotees of Bhagavan are our masters. So please enter our abode". "The suris, who were there, wondered at the blessing vouchsafed to these released souls arriving from the earth. They considered it their own good fortune that these souls entered Vaikunta and washed their fast and beautiful women received them with treasures, fragrant powder, the puryakumbha and the lamp. Then these souls lived (for ever with the eternal suris enjoying ondless delights. "

Thereafter the self is 'ed into the enchanting assembly in the hall (mantapa) set with genus. As has been said in these slokas: "I do¹⁰ not know how long I have spent my time in vain with this prakriti being subject to her sway. How can I remain associated with this prakriti, which takes the excellent forms (or bodies of the devās), the middling forms (or bodies of men) and the mean

8. Tiruvoymozhi: 10-9-8.

9. Tiravoymazhi: 10-9- (9-10-11)

^{10.} Mehabharatha : Santi Parva : 312-(30-38-39)

forms (or bodies which experience the pains of hell)? I will depart from her and give up all contact with her and attain Bhagavan who is free from all blemish. I will become one with Him and I will not be one with this non - sentient prakriti. It is in the fitness of things that I should be one with Him and not with this prakriti", and "When shall "I see, with my eyes, Kesava who is black as a cloud, whose eyes are broad and who is playing with Lakshmi in the gardens? and "When shall "we all see Sri Rama with his complexion black as a cloud and with his long arms, --Rama who is firmly set in sattoa, who has taken a staunch vow to protect those that seek his help and who is hent on relieving the sorrows of the world? Just as the rising sun dispels the darkness of the whole world, Sri Rama will dispel all our sorrow the moment we see him" - as stated in these slokas, the Lord reveals to the mukta His blissful form so that he may get rid of all his sorrows, enables him to acquire his essential nature (with the *eight great qualities), takes him to His feet and by saying with Himself which means equal enjoyment -- places him in the midst of His eternal servante (nitya silris) who enjoy eternal bliss and who (henceforth) are of the same class as he.

Then the Lord blesses him with the grant of all such services as were desired by him and as are agreeable to Himself, having previously removed all hindrances and enabled him to attain, his essential nature, in a manifest form, and without any limitations of place, time and circumstance, so that these services may last as long as his soul lasts. The Lord embraces the *mukta* who has arrived just now and treats him, without the slightest difference, in the same manner as He treats the *sūris*, who are eternally free from all imperfections and who are like friends of the same age among themselves and with the Lord The Lord is immensaly delighted at the blies enjoyed by this eternal servant of His who is

^{*}NOTE:--- The eight qualities:--- freedom from sin, being without old age, without death, without sorrow, without hunger, without thirst, with all desired objects and with a will that meets with no hindrance. 11. Jitanta Stotram : 2-21 12. Ramayana : Ayodhya Kanda :

staunchly and exclusively devoted to Him, and who has attained the exalted position desired by Alavandar in his "Stotram and by Sri Ramanuja in his Vaikuntagadyam.

• NOTE :--- In the Stotram and the Gadyam Sri Alavandar and Sri Ramanuja cry out, as it were, saying :--- When shall I go to Vaikunta and see the Lord face to face and be His devoted and eternal servant?

ARCHIRĂDI IS NOT THE ONLY ROUTE TO MOKSHA OR VAIKUNTA. THERE ARE OTHER ROUTES AS WELL.

As in the case of such meditations as Madhu Vidya which are the means of attaining moksha after obtaining positions like those of the Vasus, "The pure" quality of sattua makes the self attain Narayana who is of the form of Aniruddha. The Lord who is of the pure nature of Aniruddha leads him himself to the Supreme Vasudeva (Para Vāsudeva)." And again :- "Know, "O best of Brahmins, that this is the trath. Those who have become free from punya and papa, who are devoid of karma which is like fuel kindling the fire of samsāra and who walk along the route to the world of Vishnu - to them the sun who dispels the darkness of all the world is said to be the doorway." "This world is sustained by the sun. With their material body burnt up by the sun, they become invisible as they have no bodies and are of the nature of the soul which is atomic in size. They then enter the god Aniruddha. Having remained in the body of Aniruddha (for some time) and leaving it, they reach Pradyumos remaining now as only mind. Leaving Pradynmus, they enter into Sankarshana who is the deity presiding over Jivas (abhimäni devatā). Along with them proceed those who have performed Inana Yoga and Karma yoga. Having become free from the three qualities (sattvam, rajas and tamas), they enter into the Supreme Being who is the abode of all, who resides within the Self and who is free from all imperfactions. Know that Vasudeva is the abode of all (vasa) and that He is, in truth, impianent as antarväini in all. Those who have

13. Srigunaratnakola: 27

15. Mahabharata: Santiparva: 354 - (13-20)

14. Mahabharata: Santiparva: 307-77

subjected themselves to spiritual disciplines, who have perfect control over their senses and who have attained peace of mind enter into Vasudeva, considering Him as the only object of attainment."

(As in the case of Madhu Vidyā) and in these passages cited from the Mahabharata, it has also been stated that there is another route for makins :-- " They go "from here to Svetadvipa, and reach Hari who has the Universe as His form. Then they reach Hari in the form of Aniruddha, who is in the ocean of milk. From there they go to Pradyumna, who is the Lord of Brahma and of all others. Thence they proceed to Sankarshana, the sternal Bhagayan. To those who want to have, for ever, the bliss of Brahman, who have attained success in being staunchly and exclusively devoted to Bhagavan and who perform the worship of the Lord in the five different periods of the day — to them this is noother path (leading to moksha). In the section (devoted to Pancharatra in Sri Bhashya, it is said also that " those " who attain mukti give up the worship of the incarastional form (Vibhava), proceed thence to the worship of the $V_{y\overline{v}ha}$ and finally after the worship of the Vyüha, attain the Supreme Being called Vasudeva." These refer to particular classes of those who attain mukti. Similarly certain specified routes are laid down for those who attain mukti after remaining for a while in the Satyaloka, the world of Brahma. These also apply to special cases such as krama mukti (release by gradation). It is not our object to describe these differences ju the routes to mukti, which are diverse for diverse souls, as their knowledge is prescribed only to the respective adhikaris.

THE MEDITATION ON THE ROUTE - HOW USEFUL TO THE PRAPANNA

To the man who has adopted bhakti or $up\bar{a}sana$ as his $up\bar{a}ya$, the meditation on the path to mukti has been prescribed as an anga to be performed daily. But to the man who has adopted

16. Jayat Samhita:

propatti as the means, there is no such need. Still, at the time of adopting this $up\bar{u}ya$, since he should know what his goal is in order that he may be competent to adopt the $up\bar{u}ya$, the meditation may help to remember the supreme goal. It becomes an end in itself, as it produces a keen delight in knowing that, very soon, the supreme goal begged for at the time of *propatti* will be attained. In this respect it is like counting the days before the ensuing wedding. The *propanua* will attain the desired object even by a cursory knowledge (of the path) (though the details are not known to him.)

Of this meditation on the path to *mukti*, the state of Site is an example (of whom it is said):—"It looked "as if Site was driving in the chariot of her mind drawn by her desires which were like fleet horses, towards Sri Rama, the greatest of kings, who was aware of his nature that always insisted on protecting those that sought his help".

TAMIL VERSE:

Agoi, Daytime, Suklapaksha, Uttaräyana, the year, the intervening Vayu, Surya, Chandra, Lightning, Varuna, the king of the devas, (Indra) with his umbrella (sigoifying rulership) and Prajapati — by these the soul of the mukta is entertained on the way with delights and thereafter it enters the abode of bliss.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

We become exhausted by frequent a s c e n t (to suarga) and descent back to the earth along the path of the *Pitris* (the path of smoke) like the water-pot going up and down the well by the rotation of the water-wheel. (We become exhausted likewise) by incessant journey, to and fro, along the route to bell. (So) Hari makes the various deities (on the shining path of *archis*) entertain us who are so exhausted and at length confers bliss on us by keeping us, as it were, under His shade which is (like the shade of a sandal tree).

18. Remayana: Sundara Kanda: 19-7.

(22) THE CHAPTER ON THE FULL AND PERFECT ENJOYMENT OF THE BLISS OF BRAHMAN.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Led by his guides (archis and others) to the Lord of Lakshmi who is possessed of varied glories in the region beyond tamas (i e) prakriti and having attained Him, the mukta has his essential nature in full manifestation and realises his inseparable connection with the Lord and as a consequence, acquires the *eight attributes (of freedom from sin and the like) and without any question of his returning again (to samsāra), he attains, in regard to enjoyment, perfect resemblance to the Lord.

THE NATURE OF THE BLISS OF BRAHMAN:

If it is asked what is this full and perfect enjoyment of Brahman by the *mukta* who has traversed the path (described before), the answer is as follows:— He will in all places, at all times, and in all situations, have, for his unsurpassed joys, the supreme Ruler with His countless forms, attributes, glories and activities without missing any of them. This is thus described (in the following verse):— "She 'will never, in the least, miss (the sight of) Bhagavan whose eyes are as beautiful as the lotus and who is the Lord of the world." (Since the Lord enjoye His own forms, attributes, glories and activities Himself), there is perfect similarity between the *mukta*'s enjoyment and the Lord's. Therefore (the scrutis) speak of perfect similarity.

The Lord's svar $\bar{u}pa$ is delightful as may be seen from the following passages:— "He is," all knowledge and all bliss". "The "attainment of Bhagavan is a remedy for the disease of $(sams\bar{a}ra)$. It is of the nature of joy which is unsurpassed and confers joy on others. It is an end in itself and lasts for ever". Other things are

2. Tiruvoymozhi : 1-1-2

^{*} NOTE :-- Eight attributes :--- (See page 211).

^{1.} Tiruvoymozhi: 6 - 7 - 10 3. Viahnupuranam: 6-5-59

enjoyable in this way :- If the king is the queen's joy, then all objects and instruments of his enjoyment as well as the places where he finds delight are alike objects of joy to her. Similarly the mukta finds joy in all that pertains to the Lord. That the Supreme Ruler who has all forms, attributes and the like is an object of enjoyment is evident from the srutis and the smritis. This idea has been expressed in the section on Bhuma in the Brahma Sütras. The warupa of Bhagavan is called Paramapada, because it is the ultimate and supreme object of attainment being of unsurpassed agreeshleness. Since the perfect enjoyment of the svarupa of Bhagavan happens only after reaching a most delightful region far superior to all others, that region is also called Paramapada. The essential nature or svarupa of the Jiva. which is the recipient of this joy in the attainment of Bhagavan which is also among the glorious possessions (Vibhutis) of the Lord and which has, for its attributes, jnana and ananda, is also (sometimes) called Paramapada. Along with the others, these three have, in common, only the feature of heing the object of attainment.

It has been said above that such things as Bhagavan's svarüpa, attributes and forms, the region of eternal glory (nitya vibhūti) which is constituted of pure sattvam and his own svarūpa are objects of delight to the mukta. It may be asked, "This may be true. But by sense perception (pratyaksha) and other sources of knowledge, the objects in this world of *Illä vibhūti* are found to be disagreeable and are declared by the sūstras also to be things to be given up. How then could they viz, the objects in *Illā vibhūti* he objects of delight to the mukta?" The answer is as follows:— "There is nothing inconceivable in this. What appears disagreeable to the man suffering from (excess of) bile is felt as agreeable when the bile has decreased. Again when the prince is in the prison house it is disagreeable. But when the emperor is pleased to set him free and to place him by his own side to enjoy like pleasures, the prison house may appear agreeable as a symbol of

his father's glory, although it has not undergone any change. It is said in the Ramayana :- " To be with' you is maksha for me; to be without you is hell to me;" again, "To me living without Sri Rams, the great warrior, in the midst of these Rakshasis, of what use is life, or wealth, or ornaments?" In Tirnvovmozki 4-8 it is said :-- " As the Lord does not care for me, it would not at all matter if I lost all that I would otherwise prize highly, vis., my beauty, my mind, my modesty, the sheen of my complexion, my intelligence, my charm, my bracelets, my girdle, my body and my very life." As illustrated in the passages cited above, the objects of this world (Litä Vibhätti), which appear disagreeable or only slightly agreeable, when the *jiva* is not blessed with union with the Lord, may appear supremely agreeable, when he has become a mukta enjoying without intermission (the bliss of) Bhagavan. There is nothing inconsistent in this. The same object may be disagreeable or only slightly agreeable to a man bound to sumsara, while to one released from the bondage of karma, it is agreeable. This is the nature ordsined for them by the will of God.

That Bhagavan, the Lord of Sri, who has, for his praktica or mode, all things whose agreeableness is dependent on His will, is the goal of attainment is implicit in the words in the dative or fourth case (*Näräyaŋitya*) in *Tirumantra* and in *Draya*. The service referred to before is the overflow of love born of the perfect enjoyment of Brahman and the Bhashyakara has explained it in several places in the (*Saranāgati Gadya*).

FULL AND PERFECT ENJOYMENT OF BHAGAVAN OVERFLOWS INTO KAINKARYA OR SERVICE.

(It is said in the *srutis* that the *mukta* ents whatever he wants and can create whichever relations he wants). The enjoyment of food in the state of *mukti* and the contact with relations and the like are not due to *karma*, whether *puyya* or *päpa*, but

^{4.} Ramayana: Ayodhyakanda: 30-18 6. Tiruvoymozhi: 4-8- (1-10)

^{5.} Ramayana ; Suudarakanda : 26-5

Some *jivas* attain, in such worlds as those of Vishnu, the privilege of living in the same world as Vishnu (sälokya), some jivas attain proximity to the presence of Vishnu (sāmīpya); tome sttain forms similar to that of Vishnu $(s\overline{\sigma}r\overline{\nu}\sigma\gamma\sigma)$: these, too, are sometimes called mukias by courtesy (upachara), since they are very near the ultimate goal (but they are not really muktas in the true sense of the word). This idea is set forth in the following sloka :-- "Some live" in the worlds of Vishnu; others approach very near to Vishnn ; others, again, acquire forms resembling Vishnn's; yet others attain sāyujya with Vishnu. This, alone, is called moksha." This sloka declares that only sāyujya in Paramapada is moksha. In the same way as a hundred and the like are included within a thousand, salokya and the like are included within sāyuj ya. The truth of this statement may be seen in the following stoka : " I do not at all" beg for moksha which goes by the names of sālokya and sarūpya. I long, O Lord that hast taken a vow (to protect those that seek Thy help) --- I long, O Thou with long arms, for savujva with Thee." Savujva means the relationship between two who are united in communion (sayuk). One might he sayuk with another, although only in the common enjoyment of a certain pleasure. Here in regard to the mukta, the object of enjoyment is Brahman with His prakaras or modes. Since Brahman and the mukta both commune with each other in the enjoyment of that bliss, the mukta is called saynk (with Brahman).

In this connection, it may be asked why, in one of the passages of the Veda, the two words, $s\bar{a}yujya$ and $s\bar{a}rshtith\bar{a}$ are employed (in the same sentence), when they mean the same thing, namely, communion in the enjoyment (would it not be reduntant to use two words when one would be enough?). The answer is (that there is a difference in meaning between the two words), and it is as follows:—

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Sāyujyam between the two (Brahman end the mukta) means that there is no difference as far as the objects of enjoyment are concerned: $s\bar{a}rshtit\bar{a}$ (between the two) means that there is no difference in the degree or intensity of their enjoyment. (Two men may have before them the same object of enjoyment and yet one of them may enjoy it more intensely than the other).

It is true that the mukta has nothing to do with the work of creating, maintaioing, destroying and such other things in regard to the world (Jagad Vyāpāra). But just as the father who cultivates the field and the sons and others who do not cultivate it enjoy alike the fruit from the cultivated field, Iswara who is engaged in the work of the world (Jagad Vyāpāra) and the mukta who only witnesses it have the same degree of enjoyment in the joy arising from that work. The author of the Brahma Sutras has stated at the beginning of the section : " (The "mukta's enjoyment is) exclusive of the work of the world " and concludes it by saying :--- " The "resemblance or equality (sāmyam) between the mukta and Brahman is only so far as the enjoyment is concerned. as stated in the scutis, and also by inference linga". Sakatavana has also stated as follows :--- " Those who meditate on Brahman say that sayujyam consists in the sameness or equality of enjoy. ment".

REFUTATION OF THE ADVAITIC DOCTRINE OF IDENTITY:

To those (viz. Advaiting) who maintain that the word Sāyujyam, means oneness or identity with Brahman (aikyam), the derivative or etymological meaning of the word, sāyujyam, is at variance, as also the texts^{*} in the sruti which declare that

13. Brahma sutras: 4-4-17. 14. Brahma sutras; 4-4-21.

* NOTE :-- Such stutis as the following :--Brahman is the eternal among eternals, the sentient among sentients. (1) He is one and satisfies the desires of the many: Svetasvatara Upasishad.

.2) Without any blamiah or stain he attains perfect researblance (paramam sampam). Brahman is different from the *jivas*. Besides, their view is opposed also to the *srutis* which declare that the *mukta* will attain perfect *resemblance* (*sāmyam*) to Brahman and that the *mukta* will be *like* Brahman. The author of the *Gitā* has conveyed the same idea in :-- "They" will attain my *likeness* (*sādharmyam*)".

The Maharshi (Vyäsa) has also expressed this idea at great length in the discourse between Vasishta and Karala and concludes by saying that this is the supreme truth :- "The jiva released" from bondage (i.e.) the mukta, attains the Supreme Being and acquires attributes similar to those of the Supreme Being. He too becomes free from all imperfections when he reaches Him. Hav. ing attained the Omniscient Brahman, he, too, becomes omniscient. Having reached Him who is free from the bondage of karma, he, too, becomes free from karma, Having attained Bhagavan who is free from suffering and sorrow, he, too, becomes free from them. Having reached Him who is bliasful, he, too, becomes blissful. O best of Bharatas, having attained Bhagavan who acts by His will alone (without being influenced by karma), be, too, acts merely by his will. He becomes resplendent and having attained Bhagavan who is without the qualities (of prakriti) he, too, is without those qualities. Having reached Brahman who is without the body and the senses constituted of matter (prakriti), he, too, becomes free from them. Having attained the Supreme Being who is independent of karma, he too, becomes independent. I have thus described the trnth to you, O great king, just as it is in reality. Having accepted this teaching with a mind free from discontent (asiiya), meditate on Brahman who is eternal and free from all imperfections and who is the (ultimate) cause of the world".

In the passage cited above, the *mukta* is called 'independent' (*svatantra*), because he is free from the influence of past karma. The Bhashyakara (Sri Ramanuja) has explained the meaning of

15. Bhagavad Gita : 14-2

the word Svarat (literally the independent king) as 'being not subject to karma'.

Thus since in the srutis, the smritis and the sitras, resemblance between the mukta and Brahman (sāmyam) is explicitly stated, those places in the texts which seem to speak of identity (aikyam) between the mukta and Brahman in the state of release from bondage have to be explained in a manner that would not be inconsistent with the srutis which declare difference between the jiva and Brahman. The word aikyam or (identity) in such passages should be construed in the same manner as in the sloka :— "The aikyam between^{16A} Rama and Sugriva arose O, Lady, in this way." (Here aikyam means friendship, identity not of self's but of interests).

If it were not construed in this way, it would be in conflict with thousands of authoritative passages like the following :---

(1) "When the" person who is twice-born (dvija) sees that he is different from the Supreme Being and that the Supreme Being is different from himself, he becomes free from bondage and will see for ever (Bhagavan who is) the 26th real. The Supreme Being is one and the jiva — who is the 25th real — is another. Since the Supreme Being is within him (the jiva), good men call them one The Supreme Being, O, king, is different from the *jiva*, and the jiva, who is the 25th real, is different from the 24 reals. Therefore good men do not consider the jiva, who is the 25th real, as being identical with the Supreme Being. So in dread of hirth and death, they perform, O Kasyapa, karma yoga, acquire thereby purity of mind, and then perform jnZna yoga, and then meditate on the Supreme Being as the supreme object of attainment.

(2) Purushottama¹⁰ is different from both the baddha and mukta. He is called the Supreme Being.

 16A. Ramayana: Sundarakanda: ⁵5-51
 17. Mahabharata: Santi Parva: 18. Bhagaved Gita: 15-17 323-(77-80) (3) He who is "within the jiva as his Inner Ruler — He is eternal: He is free from the qualities of *prakriti*: He should be known as $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}ya\eta a$: He is the soul of all and is called *Purusha* by the Vedas. The consequences of past karma do not eling to Him, in the same way as water does not eling to the leaf of the lotus. The jiva who is inferior to the Supreme Being becomes subject to bondage owing to past karma and attains moksha by meditating on Brahman".

(4) "Just²⁰ as fire in a ball of (red-hot) iron seems to be one with the iron, though it is really different from it, so the Supreme Being pervades the world and is inseparable from it ".

Therefore the perfect resemblance of the jiva (parama sāmyam) (to Brahman) is only that arising from juāna and bhoga (enjoyment) and the like. Iswara has, for His definition, the following attributes which are like the umbrella and the chamara (insignia of royalty);- being the cause of the world, the conferring of moksha on jīvas, being the support of the world, being the controller of the world, the one for whom all things and all sentient beings exist, the one who has everything for His body, the one who is denoted by all words, the one who can be understood from all the Vedas, the one who is the refuge of all the world, the one who should be adored by all those who want moksha, the one who grants the fruits (of all actions), the one whose essential nature is omnipresence, Jnana and ananda (bliss), the one who is the spouse of Lakshmi. These are peculiar to Him and are His distinctive The makta's distinctive attributes are the following :features. being sustained (by Iswara); being controlled by Him, existing only for the Lord (seshtava), being atomic (ann) and such others.

THE MUKTA WILL NEVER BE IN SAMSARA AGAIN :...

If it he so, it may be asked whether the mukta who is not independent (of God) may not, for some reason or other, incur the

possibility of a return to samsāra. The jiva's dependence on Iswara has been stated in the sloka :-- "For "enabling a man to get the good things of life, and for taking away from him the ills of life, there is no one other than Purushottams who is competent". The answer to that question is, "There will be no such possibility, for has He not Rimself declared :-- "Those who "perform bhakti yoga with great devotion and those who have performed prapatti which is considered as a superior form of penance (tapas) will obtain sāyujya and will for ever render service to They will always be free from the ills of samsdra". Even me. in the state of desire for mukti, the mukta had an aversion to the enjoyment of non-sentiment things (matter) and also to the mere enjoyment of his own celf (kaivalya). This aversion is now well established in him; for as he sees all things having Brahman as their inner self, there is no possibility of his enjoying his own self as separate (from everything else), and as he sees by constant perception (pratyaksha) the imperfections of all other objects (than God), there is no possibility of his returning to samsdra of his own free will. As his knowledge of the three tattvas, cit. acit and Iswara and of what is good and what is evil, which he acquired before, has now attained expansion without any break or contraction, there is no possibility of his ever going back to samsāra owing to ajnāna or ignorance. The knowledge which formerly in samsura deepened into the form of love called bhakti and which he acquired by his distinctive knowledge of the specific nature of Bhagavan bas, now, in the state of mukti, become ripened into the form of supreme love, because he has now a vision of all aspects of Bhagayan's distinctive nature of which even the sastras are incapable. Therefore Iswara's great love for him as stated in the sioka : "The judni is" dear to me (How dear he is to me cannot be described even by me. Ominacient though I am)" ---Iswars's love for him is so overwhelming that its flow is irresistible and that its current reaches even those uplande which are connect-

22. Parama Samhita :

^{21.} Parama Samhita;

ed with him only in distant relationship. Therefore it cannot be stated that, though he is free from karma, there is the possibility of his retarning to samsära by the will of Iswara who is independent (and all-powerful). Since the mukta is no longer subject to the injunctions of sāstra, there is no possibility of his violating the Lord's command in the state of mukti. He cannot do anything opposed to the will of Iswara as the Lord's pleasure is now his pleasure. Therefore the ultimate goal or attainment called moksha, which is of the nature of perfect and full enjoymeot of Brahman and which extends into service, has now become eternal for him (*i.e.*) it will last as long as his soul lasts. Considering all this, the Sūtra-kāra said :— "There³⁰ is no return (to samsāra) - no return, for the Scripture says so."

TAMIL VERSE:

Having reached the dazzling region of *Paramapada* and approached the Lord crowned with fragrant *tulasi*, who is ever delighted in doing what is good to all beings, and having obtained our share in service (to them), we shall ever live under the sounding anklets of the assembly of our $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$ and enjoy delights which will never change and which will spring (from within us) to our great joy.

SANSKRIT SLOKA;

May varied forms of service which are the overflow of the blissful enjoyment of Brahman arising from the greatness of His qualities and glorious possessions which are blended together like honey and milk - may these forms of service in which there is a conflict of bundreds of unwearied desires (to render service) and which are easy of attainment for such as seers and suris — may these manifest themselves in my mind !

SANSKRIT SLOKA: (Summary of Part I)

Having received * the gracions look (of the Lord and of the $\bar{A}ch\bar{a}rya$) and understood what is most essential and most

important in the scatteras (vis. the three mantras or rahasyas), having obtained a clear and accurate knowledge of his own self and of the Supreme Being and conquered his attachment to other interests in life, having acquired a knowledge of what is appropriate for the different kinds of adhikāris, and realised the nature of the two $up\bar{a}yas$ (bhakti and prapatti), having become troubled (in mind) (at his inability to adopt other $up\bar{a}yas$) and performed bharanyāsa with all its angas and thus done what he ought to do, performing here in this world the adoration of the Lord (and His devotees) without faults and in accordance with his state or nishtā and in the manner prescribed in the sāstras and having (thereafter) cast off (both) the gross (sthūta) and subtle prakriti (body), some one there may be who enjoys Bhagavan eternally.

(NOTE :-- This sloka refers concisely to the gist of each of the twentytwo chapters in the first part of the treatise.)

End of the Part which forms the Exposition of Doctrine.

APPENDIX

(Chapter V Page 65)

THE VISÄKHASTAMBHA AS DESCRIBED IN THE SÄTVATA SAMHITÄ AND THE LAKSHMI TANTRA.

In the region of eternal glory (nitya vibhūti), there is a huge column constituted of suddhasattvam. It is a special form in which Bhagavan appears there. This column or pillar is called The column consists of four parts called, Visakha yoopa respectively, jāgrat, (the waking state), svapna (the dream), sushupti (dreamiess sleep) and turlya (the fourth stage), counting from below upwards. In the part called *jagratsthana*, which is somewhere above the base of the column, there are four divine forms on the four sides called, respectively, Vasudeva, Sankarshaps. Pradyumns and Aniruddha facing the east, the south the west and the north and shining like branches around that part of the colume. This collection of four forms is called Jagratuvaha. The activity of this vynka is the creation, maintenance and destruction of the world. The four forms are bright in colours, white, red and the like. They have also arms or weapons. Above this part of the column is the part called svapnasthana. Here, too, there are fonr divine forms Vasudeva, Sankarshna, Pradyumna and Apiruddha shining like branches, as before, to the east, the south. the west and the north. This collection of four vyuhas in the second part of the column from below is called svapnavyuha. These four forms have no activities like creation and maintenance. but have only the will to do so. Their colours, too, are not so bright as those of the lower vyüha. They have weapons but no banners and other accessories. Above the svapnasthana, which is the second part from below, is the third part or sthana called sushuptisthana. Here too there are four divine forms with the same nan es as in the two other parts slready described and in the same order. This collection of four forms is called sushuptivyuha. These forms have neither activities nor even the will or the desire to act.

They have just the experience of their own blies and nothing else. In the form of Vasudeva which is like a branch of the column shooting on the eastern side, there is no such thing as a body with limbs and the like and it shines with all the six qualities. The other three forms, Sankarshans and the like on the other three sides have a hody with hands, feet and the like. But they have no weapons; on the palms they bear lines resembling the conch, and the weapons. These forms have no colours. The uppermost or fourth part of the column (Turiya sthuna) has also similar four forms with the same names as in the former parts. This collection of four is the Turlya vyuha. They have no bodies with limbs and the like but resemble the Vāsudeva form of the sushupti vviika. They have no activities, no will, no weapons and no lines on the palm recembling arms. All the six qualities shine in the form called Vasudeva and the other three have, each, only two of these qualities. The names jägrat, svapna, sushupti and turiya are given to them on the analogy of these states in man in the ordinary world, according to the greater or the lesser activity found in each. The turiva state is like that in a swoon when even the breath is suspended, whereas in the sushupti state, the man just hreathes in or breathes out; in the svapna, his external senses cease to act, only the mind is active, in the *jagrat* state, both the mind and the external senses act.

These four parts with four $vy\overline{u}has$ in each correspond to the four stages of development that may be found in the worshipper who meditates on them. On the lowest stage of meditation, there is no full control of the external senses and the mind; in the second stage of development, control of the external senses has been attained but not of the mind; in the next stage, the mind, too, has been controlled and the man who meditates is seen just breathing in and breathing out with no other activity or sign of life; in the last or perfect development of meditation, he is like one in a swoon, not even breathing in or out:

PART II

The Discussion and clarification of Doubts and Disputed Points

(23) THE CHAPTER ON THE CLARIFICATION OF WHAT IS MEANT BY THE UPAYA THAT IS ALREADY EXISTENT. (SIDDHOPAYA)

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The Supreme Person who knows all things, at the same time, by direct perception (*pratyaksha*) and without any aid or instrument (in the form of sense organs), who is the boundless and divine ocean of mercy, protects the world, with the daughter of the ocean (Lakshmi), being omnipotent. Having accepted the responsibility of saving those who follow the right path, He is the $up\bar{a}ya$ that is already existent.

(NOTE: - By Siddhopaya, the author means Bhagavan who is the upaya already existent for those who perform *prapatti*. By Sadhyopaya he means such upayas or means as bhakti and *prapatti* which secure the Lord's favour so that He may act as the Siddhopaya).

RECAPITULATION OF THE CONTENTS OF THE FIRST PART:

We have already described below how the jiva (Kshetrajna), who has been wandering in samsāra from beginningless time, becomes averse to it by the mercy of God which is awaiting an opportunity (to help him), how he understands from the right sāstras (the truth about) the tattvas, the means or $up\bar{a}ya$ and the ultimate goal or sim of life, and how he becomes eager to attain moksha. (We have already described how he adopts (owing to this desire for moksha) an $up\bar{a}ya$ or means (bhahti or prapatti) which is in accord with his fitness or competency, how he thereby does what should be done, how, having realised his state as a propanna or bhakta, he renders faultless service in accordance with that state and in accordance with the *sastras*, for the remaining term of his life here. (We have already described), bow, after the fall of the hody, he reaches a region free from the touch of matter (*prakriti*) hy journeying along the shining path beginning with *archis* and how he attains there the supreme end, namely perfect service which is the overflow of the unlimited enjoyment of Bhagavan.

THREE CAUSES BY WHICH THE LORD'S GRACE IS WON:

There are three main causes for the removal of the will of the Lord imposed on men as a punishment for past sins, owing to which the *jīvas* who are subject to the sway of past karma have no clear knowledge concerning the $up\bar{a}ya$ that is already existent (namely Bhagavan), the $up\bar{a}ya$ by which His favour is secured (Sādhyopāya) and also the potency of these $up\bar{a}yas$. They are as follows:— One is the speech that comes from the lips of Lakshmi: If one asks, ""May this Saraņāgati by mine!" Her reply is ""Let it be yours; everything will be obtained by it aloue." Next is the grace of the good $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$ and the third is the observance of the tradition of the righteous. The means ($vp\bar{a}ja$) by which the grace of the good $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$ can be secured and the heuefits arising therefrom may be understood from the episodes of Parasara, Maitreya, Sanjaya, Asvalayana and others.

NOTE :- By the advice of Vasishta, Parasara put a stop to the performance of a satra (sacrifice) which was aimed at the destruction of Rakabasas. Pleased with this, Vasishta and Pulastya blessed him for his forbearance with a knowledge of the sastras and with the gifts necessary for the composition of a purama.

Maitreya studied the Vedas, the diarma sastras and the Vedangas from his guru and, by his grace, obtained a knowledge of Vedanta by which he realised how the origin, maintenance and destruction of the world occur and how there is nothing other than Vishnu. Sanjaya says :--- "By the grace of Vyasa. I listened to this supreme Yoga, the most secret of all, from Krishna Himself the Lord of all Yogas". Aswalayana learnt the Rig Veda by his devotion to Sounaka.

The observance of the tradition of the righteous consists in this :--

Full of the quality of sattva, and entering his career of discipleship in the right manner and with perfect attention and concentration of mind, one should learn from the good acharya who is without any expectation of renown, wealth or honours and who is under the sway of compassion, the truths concerning the tattvas and the means or hita, which have come down in regular succession to the present day from the Lord of All, who is the first acharya of all. While imparting instruction, there should be no unnecessary elaboration and no skipping over points that require clear exposition. The instruction received is such as follows :--- Madhava¹ (the Lord of Lakshmi) is both the father and the mother of all the worlds. O, best of men, seek refuge under that Saviour by saranagati". To those who are faithful and pious but who are not competent to enter on the difficult study of the sastras, which might, owing to their difficulty, unsettle the mind, this instruction by the guru is slope important. To others, the study of the state as with the proper exercise of reason is legitimate for their own clear understanding and for convincing those who are deluded by the specious arguments (of rival thinkers).

FORMS OF INFIDELITY:

It has been said, "He who "understands dharma prescribed in the Vedas and the smritis with the help of arguments not opposed (to the spirit of) the Vedas and sastras — he alone knows dharma, and not others." Those who have not understood dharma by the proper exercise of reason will become rationalistic

1. Mahabharata : Aranya Perva : 2. Manu Smriti : 12-106 192-56 (and sceptical) and will misinterpret the true meaning with specious arguments inconsistent with the *Vedas*; it has been stated that "by the followers" of Kanada (Vaiseshika logicians), the followers of Sakya (Buddhists) and the heretics, the *dharma* ordained in the Vedas has disappeared."

This misinterpretation or denial is of two kinds — that concerning the glorious possessions (vibhilti) and that concerning the One who possesses these glories. That concerning the One who possesses the glories consists in denying the existence of the Supreme Ruler, the Lord of Lakshmi, or declaring some other deity as the Supreme Ruler and relegating the real Supreme Ruler to the category of His possessions (vibhilti). The misconception or misinterpretation concerning the glories consists in this : denying the existence of these glories (or objects created by God) or considering these vibhiltis as existing but as independent of the Lord by denying their relationship to Him, or declaring that they are dependent on oneself or others.

ASSOCIATION WITH WICKED MEN SHOULD BE AVOIDED:

Any one of these forms of denial might occur in the case of a weak-minded man who has performed *prapatti*, on account of association with those who are not fit to be associated with If such a misconception should occur, it is worse⁴ "than the great sin of taking back a thing that has been given away (in charity)". That sin will deprive a man of the merit acquired by all previous gifts made from the time of birth onwards. But this is worse, for the object of the gift was itself acquired by stealth from the person to whom it was given later, and then the person is robbed of what was given to him. Therefore, of the many causes of delusion, association with rationalists (and sceptics) is most to be avoided. This has been declared in passages like the following:

3. Atri Smriti :

"These who are heretics opposed to the Vedas, those who do things forbidden in the sastras, those who are like cats (deceiving others), sceptics, rationalists who depend only on reason, those who are like cranes (ever injuring others who are near them) --these should never be honoured even with words "; so it is said also :-- " A man "suffering from starvation may beg money of a king, of his own pupil, and of the man whom he has helped to perform a sacrifice (yajna): But one should never beg of those who are dissemblers, rationalists, heretics and men with the nature of cranes." Again, "One 'should associate with good men (i.e. men who know God.) Whether in dispute or friendship, one should associate only with them; one should never do any of these things with the wicked (those who do not believe in God), "Give up "the company of the wicked; associate ever with the good ; do whatever is righteous, both in the day and in the night, remember always that you yourself and those who are related to you are not immortal." And again, "Far better" is it to dwell in a cage of flaming fire than to commit the crime of dwelling with sceptice, who do not countenance any thought of Souri (Bhagavan),"

Conversation with rationalists makes men foolish in spite of their seeing, 'as their ''knowledge is lost by specious reasoning.' (this association with rationalists) removes men from every one of the four classes which Iswara calls His own, in the *sloka*: "My "people" are of four classes and they are all known to be my *bhaktas.*" It would take them away from the group of men whom Sri Ranganatha calls "lads" who are worthy of His love." It would throw them into the midst of those who are ontside the range of Bhagavan's love as declared in: "There are^{12A} wicked

5. Manu Smriti: 4-30

2

- 6. Yagnavalkya Smriti 1-130
- 7. ?
- 8. Mahabharata: Aranya Parva
- 10. Bhagavad Gita: 7-18
- 11. Mahabharata: Santi Parve: 350-34
- 12. Tirumalai: 37
- 12A, Bhagavad Gita VII 15

9,

men who do not seek my protection by performing *prapatti*; they are of four classes: fools, men who are vile, men who have lost their knowledge by specious reasoning and men who are of the nature of the Asuras."

Even men with supreme faith that have sought the protection of the Supreme Ruler who is the Saviour of those that have no one to protect them \rightarrow even they, we *know. become deluded by association with others; though they are not cast away, they have afterwards to be corrected by good $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$.

Have not the great sages declared :- "If there" were only one $s\bar{a}stra$, it would be possible to acquire knowledge free from doubts. Because there are many $s\bar{a}stras$ in this world, it is exceedingly difficult to obtain knowledge that is true" and again, "The Lord" dwells in all who are free from doubt. The Lord of Lakshmi never dwells with those who are full of doubt owing to the exercise of free tbinking."

It is true that it has been stated: "In those "A who have bhakti to Purushottama, there is no anger, no hatred, no covetousness nor impure minds", but this is applicable only to those individuals who are perfect in the possession of spiritual qualities. In the case of those who have yet to ascend to that high spiritual level, it is well-known that their minds may become deluded. Thus it has been said "We hear "that the gods (devas), in dread of the diminution of samsāra among those who are devoted to Govinda, become their enemies, (*i.e.* try to hinder devotion)" and again, "To "truthfulness in speech, there are a hundred hindrances; to tapas or the performance of susterities, the hindrances are a thousand; and bhakti to Govinda meets with ten

NOTE:-- Embar became subject to misconceptions owing to his association with Yadavaprakasa and had to be corrected by Peria Tirumalai Nambl.
 13. Itihasa Samuchyam: 33-105
 15. Vishnu dharma: 2-25
 14. Mahabharata - Santi Parva: 359-71
 16. Vishnu dharma: 74-94
 14. Mahabharata: Anusasanika parva: 254-135

thousand hindrances". Here we will first speak of the doubts (or misconceptions) that are likely to arise in regard to Siddhopdya, the updya, namely Bhagavan, that is already existent and consider the way in which these doubts may be cleared.

(HEREAFTER THE AUTHOR STATES POSSIBLE DOUBTS AND MISCONCEPTIONS AND TRIES TO REMOVE THEM):

Doubt (1):- No upaya is necessary on the part of the jiva :

(1) Iswara who ignored a man from beginningless time has now concerned Himself with him (for his protection). This is not due to any action or work on the man's part, but only to the Lord's omnipotence. If it is not so, how is it that when the Alvar asked :-- " The Lord has" now made me realise Him and placed Himself within me. Why is it that He allowed me formerly to stray from Him ?," no reply was given except that "the cloud" which adorned the measureless sky thundered in music". The implication is that there is no answer to this question except that it was the Lord's will to do so. Therefore why should we perform or adopt any uphya (for securing His protection)? Some say, therefore, that, of His own¹⁰ accord, and at the time when He chooses, the Lord saves us and that the Lord heatows His grace on His servants and protects them when He is pleased to do so and that no endeavour of any kind is incumbent on us.

This doubt may be cleared as follows :— Although Lawara is omnipotent, He makes the man adopt some gesture, some means (vyiija) or pretext on his part and, in consideration of it, protects him in order that the faults of partiality and cruelty (*vaishamya*, *nāirghrņya*) may not stain Him. The Alwar himself has declared this troth in :—"I said ¹⁰"Tirumslirunjolai" and immediately, the Lord of Lakshmi filled my mind with His presence." (The Vyāja,

- 17. Tiruvoymozhi: 10-8-9. 19. Tiruvoymozhi: 1-7-5.
- 18. Tiruvoymozhi: 10-9-1,
- 20. Tiruvoymozhi: 10-8-1.

4

287

endeavour, or gesture, here, is the utterance of the word Tirumalirunjolai by the Alwar). It may be asked "This vyāja, too, is adopted by the Lord's grace. Why did He not make the person adopt it before?" The answer is as follows :--" The souls of men have streams of harma flowing from beginningless time. These atreams of past harma produce their respective consequences at different times and Iswara has to bestow, on each individual, the rewards or punishments that are in accordance with such karma. If He were to do otherwise, He would be taiuted with partiality (and injustice). Iswara did not make the person adopt the vydja before, as the time for the ripening of the fruit of the karma had not yet come. Therefore, from the effect we have to infer the cause, as stated above. If this view be not accepted, no follower of any system will be able to answer the question why a person acquires (at a certain time) such things as esgerness for moksha which did not exist before. That these are due to the varied nature of the streams of beginningless karma is the common explanation for both those who believe in Iswara and those who do not. Iswara's independence and omnipotence consist in His determination to protect the $j\bar{i}va$ when He chooses to do so, on the adoption of a vyāja or some form of upāya (endeavour) (or even an apology for $up \bar{u} p a$) and in there being no power to prevent Him from doing so.

If this is so, it may be asked how we are to explain the verse of the Alvar cited before, when he put the question why the Lord did not choose to save him before and received no answer and also the texts according to which the Lord is the unconditional Saviour: Our answer is as follows :---The Alvar attaches great importance to Iswara's omnipotence and compassion and ignores the vydja or vydjas adopted by himself, by which special means he secured the Lord's compassion, when he says. "For Thy neglect of me in former times and for taking me into Thy favour at present, I see no prominent canses other than Thy omnipotance and compassion. But, if owing to Thy omniscience, Thou hast seen any such cause, favour me with a knowledge of it". The Lord gave no reply and thereby the Aivar revealed to the world that the primary or prominent causes were only His omnipotence and compassion. This does not mean that there was no subsidiary cause or vydja on the part of the Alvar. From this it follows that the primary cause for making the flva an instrument of His Lita is His omnipotence (soutantryam) and the subsidiary cause thereof is violation of the command of the omnipotent Lord which has been flowing like a stream from beginningless time. Now the primary cause for making the jiva an instrument of His bhoga (in Paramapada) is the Lord's natural compassion. The subsidiary cause (sahakāri kārana) is the vyaja (bhakti or prapatti) which extinguishes the Lord's punishment (hitherto) acting as a hindrance or obstacle. Bhakti and propatti which are adopted as vyoja to secure Iswara's favour, are, themselves, the result of His compassion due to special acts of merit. These reveal Iswara's omnipotent and compassionate nature. The prapanna should bear in mind this attribute of the Lord, His being free from partiality and cruelty (vaishamya and nairghtnya) and bondage and moksha being dependent on the violation of His command and the adoption of the vyāja, respectively, as they are all based on pramāņas. Thus it becomes his duty to lead the life of staunch and exclusive devotion with firm faith in the compassion of the omnipotent. This is the (real) meaning,

The omnipotence of one who has no compassion is dangerons to others; the compassion of one who is not omnipotent is not helpful to others and may also cause pain to the one who feels compassion. But the compassion of a person who is also omnipotent can accomplish whatever is desired and will bring delight to him and be the cause of immediately relieving the distress of those who seek his help and of giving them what they long for.

In regard to incarnations, it has been said, "In" the distresses of men, Sri Rama is greatly distressed ". (It may be asked how this distress can be reconciled with the delight spoken of above).

It means only this; that this distress is only in order to give delight to those who are worthy of His grace. In the case of wicked men with the nature of Asuras, the distress assumed by the avatar is to make the evil-door become deluded into thinking that he is not Iswara's incarnation. The great sages have declared the truth of what has been said so far in the following slokas: "It "is Bhagavan alone that rules over time and death — over those that move and those that do not move. I am stating the truth-to you: the Lord of all the worlds, though possessed of omnipotence and omniscience, begins to act (during incarnations), as if He were only a weak tiller of the soil. In this way, by His association with wonderful powers. He delades the world, but those who see k refuge under Him are never deluded", and "Sri "Krishna, who had large eyes, removed the hurden off the earth, deluded the whole world and has now gone back to His own abode," and again "He imitates"*A the actions of those who have the hodies of men, but the Inta of the Lord of the world is dependent only on His will". Therefore in the stories of the avatārs or incarnations, the distress is only of the nature of play-acting and that, too, has compassion as its cause. It is of the nature of filä or sport to the Omnipotent. Have we not seen buuchbacks and dwarfs often taken into protection by kings who do not expect any return from them? It is a matter of filā or play to them and, at the same time, the consequence of compassion. That is why it is said, "The Upanishada" state that the Lord of Sri creates, maintains, controls and does such other things in regard to sentient and non-sentient things, only for His own sake. It is therefore, O, Lord of Sriranga, Thy nature to be the means of salvation $(\mu \rho \bar{a} \gamma a)$ for the jiva and also the object of attainment. Therefore I seek Thee as my upays without any thought of my own interests."

Since Bhagavan is the seat of the activity for the good of others, He is the Saviour of those who seek refuge under Him and

22. Mahabharata: Udyoga Parva:23. Vishnupurana: V 22-1867 (13-15)24. Rangarajastavam: Uttara.23. Mahabharata: Mousala Parva: 9-34aatakam: 87

240

since He places Himself in the place of other updyas (like para bhake) (in order to protect them). He is also the updya. Since He is the seat of the fruit of the activity of protecting (namely, His delight). He is the seshi and consequently the object of attainment. That His being all this is due to His essential nature (svarüpa) is understood from the pramanas or authorities which help us to know Him. Of the principal qualities of Iswara, such as juana (knowledge) sakti or power and compassion, knowledge and power are gualities which come into play for both punishment and favour, whereas compassion comes into play (only) in conferring favours. Compassion, here, means, the inclination to do good to others. Bhagavan's compassion comes into play in all the following :--- in the creation and sustenance of the three kinds of sentient beings (baddha, mukta and nitya), in keeping them in activities pleasing to the Lord, in the eternal enjoyment of the eternal süris, in seeing that those who have obtained release from bondage do not return to samsāra, in the oreation of all things (like mahat, ahankāra tanmātras, etc) in lilā vibhīdi (i.e.) in this world, in the pure creation called His avatar untainted with matter, in propagating a knowledge of the sūstras and in causing through them a knowledge of the tattuas and of the means of attaining mukti, in creating an eagerness for moksha, in enabling a person to do meritorious actions which would become the vydja or updya for causing the eagerness for moksha, in prescribing upayas suited to the nature of each individual for wiping out the punishment for violation of His commands, in being accessory to the mind being prompted to adopt the $u \rho \bar{u} \gamma a$, in removing any obstacles that might stand in the way of the man who has adopted bhakti as the upäya (upäsaka) in standing, in the case of those who are destitute of other upāyas (i.e.) prapannas, in the place of these updyas and protecting them from all danger, in ignoring countless offences of a serious nature committed by the jiva from beginningless time, hy being gracious to him on account of the vyāja or upāya performed by him and releasing him from samsara so that he may enjoy endless bliss, in bestowing moksha

immediately on those prapannas who are impatient of delay, and, in the case of those prapannas who are not impatient of delay and who have forgotten to beg for a life free from sin (after prapatti), in creating repentance for any offences committed by them owing to past karma which has begun to operate (prārabdha) and inducing the person to perform the necessary prāyas chitta or expiation suited to his competency; in the case of those who are too hard-hearted to perform prāyaschitta, in preventing their entrance into the world of Yama and loss of the benefit begged for by them by seeing that they are punished for these offences (committed after prapatti) in this life itself with sufferings (like blindness and lameness) — in all these the Lord's compassion is the principal and common cause.

Iswara, who is endowed with compassion as described above, is called Siddhopäya or the $up\bar{a}ya$ that is already existent; thus it is said:— "Those ³⁵Brahmins who know the first part of the Veda and those who know the latter part of it, which treats of the Snpreme Being — they state that Sri Krishna is the eternal dharma (i.e.) the $up\bar{a}ya$ that always exists." Bhakti and prapatti, which are adopted as the means for making Him gracious are called $s\bar{a}dhyop\bar{a}yas$ (i.e.) $up\bar{a}yas$ to be adopted for securing His grace.

THE CONTENTION THAT THERE IS NO INJUNCTION TO PERFORM PRAPATTI AS AN UPAYA:

(2) The injunction to perform *prapatti* is contained in the words, "Seek refage under me "slone". Some say that it is not an injunction to perform *prapatti* and that this *prapatti* should be considered as an attribute of the person specified. We answer as follows:— This attribute cannot be held as that of the person so concerned or qualified, as it does not satisfy the definition of an

adjectival attribute." This statement is therefore contrary to pramäna or authority. If this were not so, while Iswara is the *updys* for *bhakti*, the *sāstras* which prescribe certein forms of meditation and the like might also be considered as speaking of the attributes of the person concerned and not actions to be performed.

THE ROLE OF SIDDHOPAYA AND SADHYOPÄYA

(3) Therefore the exaggerated statement of some that prapatti is not an upaya is only to be taken as stressing the importance of Siddhop $\bar{a}ya$ (i.e.) Iswara who is the existent $up\bar{a}ya$ (and not to state that prapatti is not an up a ya). Siddhop $\bar{a} ya$ is of prime importance, because if, by proper propitiation, the punishment of the Lord is cancelled, it becomes helpful for the enjoyment or experience (of Bhagavan) which is natural to the Self (in its purity). If it is asked how this happens, the answer is as follows :-When the gem is cleansed of the dust (enveloping it), it shipes with a natural radiance, but this natural radiance is due only to the will of Iswara that ordains its having radiance. So also the expansion of knowledge and other attributes which the mukta acquires are due to the will of Iswara, which ordains them. Likewise, in the case of the eternal suris (their eternal possession of certain attributes is due to the eternal will of the Lord). Learned men expressed this idea briefly in the sloka :-"All "things have their being in accordance with Thy will; among them some are ever pleasing to Thee; they are sternal; Thy noble attributes which are, by nature, subject to Thee illustrate this truth to us". Therefore, though $Siddhop \bar{a} \gamma a$ or Iswara who is the existent $u \rho \bar{a} y a$ is all important, the means of obtaining His grace, otherwise called sadhyopāya, has also to be adopted. This is not

[•] NOTE :- "He who desires svarga should perform the Jyotishtoma sacrifice". Here, 'who desires svarga is an attribute or adjective of the person and the injunction is that he should perform the sacrifice. In Mam Ekans Saranam Vraja, the prapatit has to be performed after hearing the vidit or injunction; it did not exist before, like the desire for svarga in the example cited. Therefore, it is not an attribute; besides vraja is a verb that prescribes an action.

at variance with the statements of former *āchāryas* as seen in *Tiravoymoshi* (10-8-9), cited before.

The sloka which says: "The Lord "who took the necessary means or $up\bar{n}ya$ for making me live, while yet in my mother's womb — is He now asleep or is He now dead in regard to the means to be adopted for making me live after being born?" — this is sometimes quoted in support of the statement that the Lord is alone the $up\bar{n}ya$ and that the $j\bar{v}va$ has to do nothing at all by way of $up\bar{n}ya$ or $vy\bar{n}ja$. It should be taken only as meaning that we should not seek any other protector (and not to forbid man from any form of endeavour). Otherwise one will have to give up even such endeavours as have to be made for obtaining food.

Likewise the following stokas:-" The embodied being is like a lurop of clay subject to the will of another and noable to help himself. How, then, can be protect another?," and " The jiva "is ignorant and absolutely helpless in matters concerning his pleasures and pains. He goes to svarga or naraka being directed by Iswara, who rules over all," and also, "2" Inscrutable is Bhagavan He cannot be ordered by any one. He can go wherever He chooses. He has all beings under His control. Like a child playing with its toys, He plays with beings (and enjoys His Illa)" --- in these slokas, what is intended to be stated is only the jua's entire dependence (on the Lord) and Iswara treating him in accordance with his harma. (They do not prescribe the giving up of all endeavour on the part of the jiva). If this interpretation is not accepted for the verse in Tiruvoymozhi (quoted above) and for these slokas, there will be conflict with all sastras and also inconsistencies with what has been said before.

So far we have dispelled certain misconceptions that may arise from a consideration of Iswara's omnipotence and the compassion which is natural to Him. We will now proceed to clear doubts 29. Vishnudherma: 31. Mahabharata: Santi perva 12-36 30. Mahabharata: Santi perva 294-19 32. Mahabharata: Sabha perva 40-78

944

which might arise from a consideration of the peculiar relationship (that exists between the *jivs* and Iswara).

(4) THE CONTENTION THAT BEGGING FOR PROTECTION IS INCONSISTENT WITH OUR RELATIONSHIP TO THE SESHĪ.

There are some who deny the need for $s\bar{a}dhyop\bar{a}ya$ or the means or endeavour for winning the Lord's grace, by stressing the point that He is the *seshī* for whom we exist and to whom we belong. (They argue as follows): "Iswara is the *seshī* and we are like His sucklings as expressed by the sages to Sri Rama: "We "are like babies in the embryo", who ever heard of a suckling doing anything other than crying for mother's milk, such as paying wages for it? Would it be consistent with the essential nature (*svarūpa*) of the *jīva* to perform the surrender of the self (*ātma samarpaņam*), to ask for protection, to have full faith in His protection and such other things?".

We meet this objection as follows :--- The great sages and the author of Sri Bhāshya observed, in their own lives, the injunction about self-surrender by begging for the Lord's protection with faith preceding it. They have cited sāstras in support of this (traditional) practice and have handed it down as instructions for successive (generations). Therefore though Iswara's relationship as the seshi is eternal. He will not protect the *jiva* who is subject to the influence of past karma, unless the *jiva* adopts some form of sādhyopāya or endeavour (for winning His grace). This truth has to be accepted by every one who holds hy the sāstras. If the sāstras are given np (as authorities), there will be no Isware at all to stand in the relationship of the seshi (to the *jiva*).

It was stated previously that, owing to His being the sesAt, Iswara is bound to protect the *fiva* and that the *fiva* being the seska is neither called npon nor able to protect himself. What was said there is (not inconsistent with what is said here). There, with an illustration from ordinary life, the relationship between the protector and the protected was shown to consist in the protector being bound to protect and being capable of doing so. It was not meant to show that the Protector would protect in every case, whether or not there is endeavour on the part of the *fiva* to win His grace by propitiation. If that view were taken, it would mean that all souls should be eternally free from bondage. If it be maintained that, in the matter of protection, the Lord, being omnipotent, chooses to save some and not others, then it would mean that the Lord is partial to some and cruel to others.

Those who maintain these propositions merely on the basis of reason cannot say that the knowledge of the relationship (of the seshin and the sesha) is alone required for securing protection and that no request for protection need be made. For have we not seen that non-sentient things, cattle, deer, birds and the like and suckling children are protected, though they have no knowledge of this relationship, just as they do not ask for protection? If it be held that, though we have seen (such instances), yet the knowledge of that relationship is required on the authority of the statras, we answer that, if so, by the very authority of the statras, the special kind of knowledge consisting in bhakts and propatts will also have to be accepted (as necessary).

If it be held that what is required for protection is that, while being protected, there should be, in addition to the relationship, no attempt to prevent protection, we answer that, in that case, the Lord should grant moksha to all in such states as dreamless sleep (*sushupti*), (where there is the relationship and no attempt on the part of the *jiva* to prevent protection). If, on the other hand, it be maintained that there should be no attempt to prevent protection in those mental states in which one is capable of doing so, we answer that we have seen protection of even non-sentient things which are incapable of preventing it and of even such sentient beings as cattle and sons which attempt to prevent it.

246

23 UPAYA NECESSARY EVEN FOR THE SESHA 247

From the *sloka*: "Those that "have *nāthās* (lords or protectors) in the world do not perform their duty of protecting themselves. It is their Natha or lord who puts forth endeavours on their behalf. just as Sibi and others did the duties which should have been performed by Yayāti " - from this sloka, it should not be thought that endeavour on the part of those that are to be protected is not required at all. For the word Natha is from the root nath and means, here, the begging for protection and näthavantah in that siloka means, by the force of the termination (vat or mathup) those who have a protector. So the word nāthavantah in the seloka suggests, by the etymological meaning of natha and by the termination (mathup) which shows the relationship (of seshin and sesha) that is necessary for the state of being carefree, the prayer for protection and the act of selfsurrender. Otherwise there should be (as said before) moksha for all and other such objections. If it is said that the word nätkavantah in that sloka should not be interpreted as having a suggestion of all the meanings (referred to), we have to state that analogies taken from ordinary life should not be pressed too far in the stastra on moksha, for they would be at variance with actual and explicit statements made in the sästra).

We shall now explain the real purport of the words of trustworthy authors where the apparent meaning would seem to be that, while Iswara is the Protector or Saviour of all, we are not required to put forth any endeavour for our protection. Their real meaning is this.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

When self-surrender has been performed for the sake of a certain object, there should be no repetition of that performance for the same object, (The need for the adoption of the $up \overline{a} y a$ or endeavour in the form of prapatti at first is not denied). Even before prapatti, the jiva could not sot independently of the Lord.

There is no injunction for an endeavour at a later time also for protection, when once the surrender of the responsibility for protection has been made in accordance with pramanas. For even in that act of the original prapatti, the surrender of the responsibility for one's protection was made possible by Iswara, out of consideration for some meritorious act performed by the jiva as a result of the ripening of past karma flowing like a stream without any beginning. Since even this prapatti was the Lord's doing, we should not be under the impression that we are protecting We should feel that the Lord who, we are taught ourselves. in the Moolamantram, is the Protector of all - that He made us adopt a certain means and became propitiated thereby so as to The (following) sloka conveys the same idea :--protect us. "My Mester Himself makes me surrender myself to Him, as I am His seesha and am ever subject to Him. (I surrender myself to Him) with the help of the intelligence given to me by Him and am now free from all responsibility in regard to my protection as He has taken that responsibility on Himself".

This is the form prescribed for enabling us to meditate on Bhagavan without the faults of the desire for renown, gain, honour or benefit, and of attachment to the fruit, the notion of one's being the (independent) doer and having an $up\bar{a}ya$. Therefore such things as the prayer for protection are like the child's act of sucking the mother's milk and the grace of the Lord flows like mother's milk (after the propitiation). From this it follows that (in stressing the need for the $j\bar{v}a$'s endeavour), we do not minimise the primary importance of Siddhop $\bar{a}ya$, namely, $\bar{I}swara$, who is the existent $up\bar{a}ya$.

So far we have dispelled the misconceptions that might arise from a consideration of the peculiar attributes and the peculiar relationship (of sesha and seshi) which are revealed in the word $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}yana$.

248

SIDDHOPAYA INOLUDES LAKSHMI

(5) LAKSHMI IS AS MUCH THE UPAYA AS THE LORD HIMSELF:

Hereafter we shall proceed briefly to remove certain misconceptions which arise from the relationship of spouse that is expressed in the word Srimat (having Lakehmi) as existing between Sri and the Supreme Ruler who has consecrated Himself for the sacrifice or yajna of saving all. As stated in the following *vloka*, "It is said "in all religions systems and in the Vedanta that Bhagavan acts as the Saviour only in the company of Liskshmi, who is the very embodiment of compassion". (The phrase, 'religious systems' here refers to the four Pancharatra systems).

There are some who hold that, in the former part of the Doaya, the word Srimat is an upalakshana^{*} (an accidental sign by which the thing is distinguished and not a permanent attribute (or visceshana), whereas in the latter part, the same word means a permanent attribute of Narayana. (If this were true, it would follow that the words 'I seek as my refuge' scaranam prapadys would apply only to Narayana and not to Sri or Lakshmi who, in that part, according to them, is not meant to be taken as an inseparable attribute but as only an accidental mark to distinguish Narayana. Since in the latter part, Srimat is, according to them, en inseparable attribute (visceshana), it would follow that the dative and the namah would apply to the attribute and the substantive, vis, Sri and Bhagavan. (It would then mean that Lakshmi is not to be sought as the $up\bar{a}ya$ but that adoration and service are due to her as well as to her spouse, Narayana).

In this (wrong) view, when the same word Srimat is found in both the parts (of Dvaya) and when there is no objection to interpreting it in the same way, in both the places, the adoption of an interpretation which is at variance with the well-known view

28

^{*} NOTE: -- Upalakshana: In "That is Devadatta's field where the crane was sitting before", the crane is an upalakshana or accidental feature to identify the field with. It is not a permanent attribute or viseshana of the field, 35. Lakshmi Tantram: 28-14

of the ancient $\overline{a}ch\overline{a}ryas$ and with the tradition connected with Nammalvar. the chief of all *prapannas* and which would give two different interpretations to the same word in the same sentence has no justification. For in the following *sloka* it is said :--- "O Lakshmi, with a face delightful like the moon! When (we) try to "study These as distinct from Bhagavan, (we feel) that His *svarūpa* or essential nature and His being the Ruler over all are the consequence of the greatness, which is His, by His being ever with Thes. Thou art, therefore, the part (or attribute) by which Bhagavan is understood as being of such and such a character. Since Thou and Bhagavan are thus (inseparably) united, the Veda does not speak of Thee separately".

It may be asked whether, if we take Srimat as an inseparable attribute of Narayana in the first part of the *Dvaya* also, it would not follow that there are two $up\bar{a}yas$ viz., Narayana and Lakshmi, and whether this would not be at variance with the texts which say that there is no other $up\bar{a}ya$ than Bhagavan. We counter this objection by asking whether, if we take Lakshmi as the inseparable attribute, as you hold, in the second part of *Dvaya*, it would not follow that there are two objects of attainment, *namely*, Bhagavan and Lakshmi and whether this would not be at variance with the texts which say "There is no other interest or object of attainment than Bhagavan".

If it is now asked: "In that case what is the objection to taking the word Srimat as only an accidentally associated mark (upatakshana) by which Bhagavan is distinguished in both the parts of the Dvaya, the answer is as follows :—Certain qualities, certain forms (vigrahas) and certain activities are necessary for Iswara being the $up\bar{a}ya$. Likewise certain other qualities, certain other forms and certain activities are ever associated with Iswara as the object of attainment. These necessary (things), also, would be at variance with Iswara being the only $up\bar{a}ya$ and the only object of attainment respectively. So they, too, would have to be considered as accidental features and not inseparable attributes, but this would be against the view of these critics who hold that Iswara is the Protector or Saviour only in virtue of (such attributes as) His omnipotence and His compassion. So they cannot concede that these qualities are only accidental features (*upalakshana*)

They might argue that these qualities, forms and activities are inseparable attributes (viseshana) and not accidental features (upalakshanas), because they are useful for the purpose of protection. We reply that the same may be said (with justice) of Lakshmi's relationship as spouse, both in the first part of the Dvaya and in the second part of the Dvaya. (i.e.) (She, too, is useful for the respective purposes). The respective uses of particular things or beings are in accordance with their nature and may be understood from the pramāņas and from tradition. The use of a thing or of a being is determined, of course, by its nature.

The usefulness of this attribute Sri in Srimat, both in the part dealing with upaya and in that which treate of the object to be attained by that $\mu \rho \bar{a} \gamma a$ has been described by Nammalvar in the following verses :-- " Lakshmi who" abides in the ever-fragrant lotus will destroy the karma of jivas (i.e.) those karmas which act as hindrances to Bhagavan becoming the updya" and " I will "sweep Thy temple with the help of Thy grace and that of Lakshmi who abides in the lotus." (So also) Bhagavan Valmiki declared in The Rāmāyaņa, the earliest of all kavyas, which is the very essence of the doctrine of saranagati :- " This Sita "is capable of protecting us from the great danger (confronting us), O, Rakshasis" and "She "is capable of saving the host of Bakshasis from (the anger of Raghava)". Such authors as Hiranyagarbha and Kasyapa declared : "We should" meditate on Lakshmi, who can grant us all the beuefite that we desire, who can take us safe out of the sea of samsāra, who becomes easily gracious and who

- 40. Ramayana: Sundarakanda: 58-91 41. Kasyapa amriti
- 39. Ramayana: Sundarakanda: 27-44

^{37.} Tiruvoymozhi: 4 - 5 - 11

^{38.} Tinuvoymozhi : 9-2-1

can make us happy." Sri Bhagavan Sonnaka has given this precept :-- " The man" that prays for the attainment of Bhagavan, who is the purport of all words, should perform prapatti to Lakshmi also." The great sage Parasara who received the know. ledge concerning the truth about the Supreme Deity from boons granted to him hy Vasishta and Pulastya has stated "Thou art, O Goddess", * the knowledge concerning the soul, the giver of moksha, the object of attainment." Even if, in this sloka, the conferring of moksha be looked upon as an adjective qualifying the knowledge of the soul, it has to be considered as her glory or vibhuti on account of the appositional use (sämänädhi karanya). The Lord of Sri has himself proclaimed in connection with the meditation on Bhagavan as the Lord of Sri in Sri Sātvata Samhitd --- " Those "A who meditate on Bhagavan seek the protection of Lakshmi and with that they easily cross the sea of sams $\bar{a}ra$ which is constituted of these three qualities". Apart from the sästras treating specially of Srī, these sāstras which do not pertain to any special sect or cult are of great authority.

Alavandar, too, has stated:— "The whole" world depends upon the glance of Sri, for its support." and again "Without" the grace of Lakshmi, the beloved sponse of the lotus-eyed Lord, we cannot have those glorious experiences which one might desire in this life (samsära), nor the experience of our disembodied self, nor the enjoyment of Bhagavan in moksha". The author of Sri Bhāshya, in his Vaikuntu Gadya, says that she commands" Adisesha, Vishvaksena and other attendante to render such service to Bhagavan as is suited to the time and the circumstance, and that the service to Bhagavan, which is the supreme goal, should be rendered in accordance with her commands. Koorathalvan,

42. Sounaka Samhita

- 43. Viahnu purana : 1-9-20
- 43A Sri Satvatam 12-84

- 44. Alayandar Stotram : 37
- 45. Alavandar Chatusaloki : 3
- 46. Valkuota gadya :

^{*} NOTE :--- The sloka says: Thou art the knowledge concerning the self or atma vidya: Lakshmi is in apposition with atma vidya. The meaning is that atma vidya is one of her vibhutis or glorious possessions. Since atma vidya can confer moksha, it follows that Lakshmi can confer moksha.

too, sings his praise of Lakshmi in slokas beginning with these words :- "The "bounds of Thy greatness. O, Goddess, are not known to Bhagayan nor even to Thyself". These words are an elaboration of what is contained in the sloka :- "May Lakshmi "confer happiness (on us) - Lakshmi, looking at whose face. and with Her will as His aid, the Lord creates, destroys and sustains all the worlds, and grants also such things as swarga. naraka (hell) and moksha. Since the two are engaged in the same work and experience the same kind of delight, the Lord cannot feel happy if he performs the tita of the work of the world without her participation in it." Bhattar, also, has commented on the word Udara (generous) used in the Sruti (viz., Sri Suktam) as an adjective for Sri in this manner:-"How generous Thou" art! O. Mother, to the man who merely raises his hands folded in worship to Thee. Thou grantest wealth, the enjoyment of his disembodied self and even parmapada and yet Thon feelest ashamed that Thou hast not done anything adequate for him." Peria Jeer, too, has brought out all these ideas in his commentary Thus, from what we find in on Srī Sūkta. the Sruti. Smriti and tradition, (the reader) gifted with insight can understand that the attribute expressed in the word Srimat in Dvava has its uses, just like such things as forms (vigraha), in accordance with its own nature.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:

You (critics who do not consider Lakshmi as an (inseparable) attribute or visceshana of Narayana in the first part of Dvaya) maintain that such things as the qualities of the Saviour (compassion etc.) are attributes and not mere upalakshana, even though they cannot undertake the responsibility (bhara) of protection and cannot have the will to protect (being only qualities and therefore non-sentient). Why do you object to the idea of Lakshmi being the attribute (visceshana) and Narayana being the substantive

^{48.} Koorattalwar : Sristavam : 1

(visceshya), when this divine couple have the same pleasure or relish in all actions and when they are both sceshis, when there is the authority of the sāstras for it? In such vidyās or forms of meditation as that of Pratardana, although the Supreme Being is the object of knowledge, we admit that sentient beings like Indra can be attributes of Bhagavan just like non-sentient qualities. Similarly in this vidyā called prapatti, the Omnipresent Lord is the object of approach with His Spouse and with such things as His qualities in accordance with what is stated in the respective mantras.

We have already stated that what is understood from the *pramāņas* should not be set aside by mere logic or reason. Therefore, just as we accept the Lord with His Spouse, as the object of attainment in accordance with the wish of the Baviour, there is no objection to the Lord with His Spouse being the upäya as well.

Just as Bhagavan with particular qualities (like omnipotence and compassion) and particular forms (vigraha) indicated, respectively, by the words $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}yana$ and charanau, (the two feet) which are attributes of Narayana forms a single $up\bar{a}ya$, so also Bhagavan with Lakshmi, who appears in the word $Sr\bar{i}mat$ as an attribute in the eternal relationship of being His Spouse, may without any impropriety, be considered as a single $up\bar{a}ya$. If it is said that we should give up all the attributes of the $up\bar{a}ya$ in order to make the $up\bar{a}ya$ single, the dharma of being an $up\bar{a}ya$ (i.e. $up\bar{a}$ yatva) will have to be given up also.

It should not be thought that the substantive (here Bhagavan), when it gains some excellence from the attribute (here Lakshmi), should be considered as previously wanting in some excellence. Well has it been said in the *sloka* :--- "Thou art, O "Lakshmi, the property of Bhagavan by Thine own will; hence, though His supreme excellence is due to Thee, His greatness is not dependent on any one else. A gem becomes valuable on account of its radiance. It does not thereby become in itself worthless; its

There is nothing improper in stating that the substantive and the attribute give excellence to each other as indicated in the following:—"That "splendour which is Sri Rama is beyond all measure, for the daughter of Janaka is His". "Though "she is always with Thee, Thy Lakshmi astonishes Thee every day as if she is new; she is in every way fit for Thee by her attributes, her forms, her sportful activity and her interest in protecting others". "Thy Sri""^{4A}" Thou art⁵⁴⁸ the Sri of Sri" and "The Sri of even"Sri" — these expressions show how Sri and the Lord of Sri are excellent in themselves and add also to each other's greatness.

By the authority of texts in the Sruti (such as "He is the Lord of the Universe", "The Spouse of Vishnu who is the empress of the world", and "The syllable ma indicates that the *jiva* is the servant of both"), and by the authority of the following sloka, "The "world of sentient and non-sentient beings is sesha to Lakahmi, (*i.e.*) it exists for Lakahmi: She and the world are sesha

51.	Ramayana : Sundara Kanda : 21-15	54A Tiruvoimozhi : 10-10-2
52.	Lakshmi Kalyanam.	54a Srigunarateakosa: 9
53.	Ramayana Aranya Kanda : 37-18	54c Peria Tirumozhi 7-7-1
		** *

54. Alavandar Stotram: 38

^{55.} Sattvatam,

to Bhagavan, who is Her seshi Notwithstanding this, the control of the world is common to hoth: the two together are seski, in relation to the world". By these authorities it was determined by Somasi Andan in Shadarthasamkshepa, that both form always a single seshi. In the case of certain special offerings (havis) enjoined in the Karmakānda, the offering is made to two deities like Agni and Vishnu as if they were single. So also in this offering of the soul called prapatti, the two may, without any impropriety, be the single recipient. As stated in the sloka, "Yonth "and certain other qualities are common to Thee and Bhagavan; however you have divided other qualities between you for your enjoyment and (that in this way) :- Bhagavan has manly qualities like independence of others, control over enomies, firmness and the like and Thou hast such feminine qualities as gentleness, existing only for the husband, compassion and forbearance"; the wife is ever dependent on her Lord and in the performance of yajnas or sacrifices, only the yajamana is spoken of (as his wife who participates in the performance is implicit, as it were, in the word yajamāna, even when one yajamana is referred to). So also in regard to the teaching of the $s\bar{a}stras$, the mention of a single person does not mean the absence of the wife who is, as it were, inseparable from her spouse. In the verse :- "Bhakti " and prapatti are forms of knowledge which have, for their object, only Bhagavan, the Lord of Lakshmi, who abides in the lotus and so also the knowledge called the perfect enjoyment of Brahman has only Him for its object" — in this verse this is real y the meaning, vis., that Lakshmi, being included in Bhagavan. no separate mention is made of her. This may be seen also in the passage: "Since Thous" and Bhagavan are thus inseparably united, the scuti does not speak of Thee separately".

Nanjeeyar heard, from Kadambi Achan, what the author of Sri Bhashyam had declared and summarised it thus :--- " In places

56. Srigunaratnakosa: 34

58. Srigunaratoakosa: 28

57. Mudal Tiruvandadi: 67

where Bhagavan is referred to, it should be understood that Lakshmi is also referred to".

Those whose object is only maksha and who have no other aim or interest, worship Lakshmi in her situation as laya (on the chest of Bhagavan) and as bhoga in the image of the sponse standing by the image of Bhagavan. But just as we bow before certain images of Bhagavan (like Santanakrishna), which bestow primarily the benefits desired in this world, there is, for even mumukshus, no impropriety in such actions as bowing before the image of Lakshmi installed in a separate shrine and worshipped for certain worldly benefits (adhikārārchā), just as we should bow before our mothers, since in all images of Lakshmi (wherever they may be) her being the Spouse of Vishnu is an established fact.

When such authoritative doctrines are seen in the words of those who are disinterested, those who are still so obstinate as not to give up their wrong notions, are in a state described in the following s lokas: - "The fool" who clings firmly to what he has learnt before, the man who is ignorant of *dharma*, the man who will not have his doubts cleared by those grown old in wisdom this man will come to grief like a blind man falling into a ditch ", and "To "those who are weak in intelligence, who are wicked, who have been bitten by the serpent of specious reasoning and whose senses have become deluded — to these men, truths appear different from what they (really) are ".

In the investigation of the real meaning of the word Siddho $p\bar{a}ya$, the following passages should be remembered:— "There is "nothing other than these two. The males are Bhagavan and the females are Lakehmi". "Just as Vishnu[®] is in all things, so also is she, O, best of Brahmins,". "The beart of this lady is fixed on Bri Rama and his beart is ever fixed on her. Therefore it is that

Vishnu purana 1-8-35.

63. Rameyana: Sundara Kanda

15-52

^{59.} Mahabharata Karna Parva 72-54. 62. Vishnupurana 1-8-17.

^{60.} Hihasa Samuchaya 33-108.

she and Sri Rama who is the embodiment of *dharma* continue alive for even the fraction of an hour." "Both Bhagavan "and Lakshmi are omnipresent, since they are inseparably united, they are spoken of as one *tattva* or real." Therefore, since they form a single *soshi*, since they are ever of the same mind, since they are intimately attached to each other, and since they are inseparably connected with each other in their *svarūpa* or essential nature, and in their $r\bar{u}pa$ or forms, those who are established in *sattva* should understand the meaning of the word $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}ysna$ with its adjective $Sr\bar{i}mat$ in such a manner as will not be at variance with the inseparable unity of the *tattvam* (Bhagavan) with its attribute (Sri) so well expressed in the *sloka* :-- "Thy "essential nature *svarūpa* and the forms that Thon takest and the *svarūpa* and the forms assumed by Bhagavan are in close embrace with each other."

(The suthor summarises the gist of the chapter in a number of slokas as follows: -)

The Lord of Sri, who is the embodiment of compassion and who secures, for them, all the benefits desired by men is called Siddhopäya, because He is already an existent upäya. The ways of securing His favour are such as bhakti and prapatti and these are called sadhyopäyas, because they can be adopted and accomplished by those who desire the respective benefits. When the Sādhyopāya flows with high monnting waves and increases the flood of compassion of the seshi, who is the siddhopäya, the latter breaks through the flood of *litā* which is also His. By (that very flood of compassion) all hindrances to His service, such as even the former will of the Omnipotent, disappear like dams built of fine sand. Though the acts of propitiation (like bhakti and prapatti) are prescribed in the stastras for securing the fruit, yet Siddhopdya is considered as of prime importance, because the Lord alone is capable of granting moksha, since bhakti or prapatti being non-sentient, cannot give the fruit directly and

64. Ahirbudhoya Sambita: 4-78. 65. Chatmesloki: 4

since Bhagavan alone can act directly. To those who have adopted prapatti as the primary and independent means, it is specially ordained that they should rest on the Omnipresent in order that they may know that their own endeavour perishes instantly after prapatti. Therefore with what sim, the surrender of responsibility has been made to Siddkop $\overline{a}ya$ — for that aim, the man should make no further endeavour and depend only on the Siddhopāya. In the definition of propatti in the mantra for propatti, in the injunction concerning it and in other passages and so also in SrI Bhāshya and the like and by tradition. Brahman is declared as the Just as we admit that certain (holy) places and the like upāya. which exist already are called *dharma* productive of spiritual excellence, so also it is said by those who understand the truth that Sri Krishne is the eternel dharma (or upaya). This Siddhopaya is to be thought of in the three mysteries or mantras in such as the syllable a in aum etc.

TAMIL VERSE:

Narayana, with His Spouse Lakshmi, who is staunch in being every kind of relation (to us), who is the sea of compassion that dispels ignorance (and delusion), who shines sustaining all (things and all beings) with His mere will, being the Supreme Lord without a second and who takes compassion on us on account of (Sri), the nectar horn of sweet nectar — Narayana with Sri is the firm refuge of all who seek His protection having no other support.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The treasure (of gold - vis. Bhagavan), which is not seen even now by those who perceive only what is outside and not what is within them, because they are guided by heretical systems which have not the benefit of the traditional knowledge of our spiritual teachers — (the treasure which they fail to perceive) even though resting on it night and day (as in sushupti) — the treasure which is proclaimed in the scutis to be itself the means or sädhana for its attainment — that boundless treasure will make its presence (felt) among those who are pure of mind.

(24) THE CHAPTER ON THE CLARIFICATION OF WHAT IS MEANT BY SADHYOPAYA OR THE UPAYA THAT HAS TO BE ADOPTED.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

It appears to us, from the srutis, smritis and the words of gurus fortified by reason, that the Ornnipotent Lord being propitiated by yajnas (sacrifices), gifts made in charity, offerings made in the fire (homa), adoration, surrender of reponsibility (bharanyāsa), constant meditation (bhakti yogu) and the like in accordance with one's competence coofers benefits on men. This is also what religious tradition prescribes.

THE NEED FOR THE CLARIFICATION OF WHAT IS MEANT BY SADHYOPAYA:

A man may have a clear knowledge of Siddhopāya (viz. Bhagavan) who is omniscient, omnipotent and supremely compassionate, who, along with His Spouse, is the seshi of all and the Saviour of the whole world; he may even have become perfect in bis averseness to samsara, by seeing that what is considered agreeable by unintelligent persons is really disagreeable as stated in the stoka: "Health' gives (only) potency to the senses; wealth (only) creates enemies; long life makes one suffer bereavement of relations. What is there in these things that can delight a man?", He may have acquired an eager longing for the attainment of the Supreme Being as stated in the stoka :- "He who" loves Bhegevan, the Supreme Being, has no love for anything else"; he may have the feeling of urgancy in regard to moksha as stated in the sloka :- "By paying" a heavy price in the from of virtuous deeds, this boat of a body has been bought. Before this boat is broken (or becomes leaky), hasten to cross the sea of sorrow". In spite

2. Barhaspatyasmriti:

of all these, if he does not clearly understand (all) about the eadhyopäya, prescribed in the injunction, "Seek refuge under me alone" and in the sentence which expresses the action, "I seek refuge" (in Dvaya), which is the means of extinguishing the punishment inflicted by Bhagavan which binds a man to samsāra and which resulted from beginningless and continued violation of His commands — if he does not understand it, there is no way of propitiating and winning the grace of the Supreme Ruler, who is the Siddhopāya. Therefore we will remove misconceptions that might arise concerning (1) competence therefor (2) the nature of sadhyopāya and (3) the accessories pertaining to it.

COMPETENCE: PRAPATTI IS OPEN TO ALL (CASTES):

1. There are some who will ask :- " This prapatti is a dharma prescribed in the Vedas. If so, how can it be said that every one (of all castes) is qualified to perform it?" The answer to this question is given on the authority of the Svetāsvatara Sruti, which says that the Supreme Ruler is the Saviour of all. This truth is confirmed by expository passages like the following:~ "Take 'me to Raghava who is the Protector of all the worlds", and in stokas like :- "You should seek the protection of Vishnu who is fit to be approached by every one, who is easy of approach, who can never go wrong and has no one to compare with Him and who removes the soffering of those who perform prapatti ". It is confirmed also by passages in the $S\overline{a}tvatasamhit\overline{a}$ (2.9), which says that all the three castes beginning with the Kshatriyas and ending with the Sudras who have performed prapatti, are competent to adore the four vynhas, without mantras. So also is it confirmed by the sloka in Sanatkumāra Samhitā which says:-"The man born even into low castes who has performed prapatti once to Bhagavan - that man is protected by Bhagavan from the ills of samsāra even though he is a patricide or a matricide."

^{4.} Ramayana: Yuddha Kanda : 17-15

It is true that upgsang or meditation (in accordance with the teaching of the Upanishads) has been determined as fit (only) for the three higher castes in the section 'Apasidra; but speaking the trath which is given as an injunction in the Vedas is enjoined for all castes: so also prapatti is a dharma common to all and may be performed either with Vedic mantras or with mantras found in the Tantras in accordance with the person's respective competence, without any violation of propriety. We have heard, in the sastras, of even such beings as crows performing prapatti. The Baviour Himself has declared: "Women," Vaisyas and Sudras that are born of sinners --- whoever they might be -- they attain, O Partha, the highest state if they seek my protection". Here seeking of the Lord for protection by bhakti or prapatti is stated to be common to all. Even in the matter of upasana or meditation (according to the vidvas in the Upsoishads) though the beginning can be made only hy men in the three higher castes, the end of the course can be attained by men in the bodies of any caste. This is stated in Vishnudharma in the following stoka: -" Though Dharmavyadha" and others belonged to low and graesome castes, yet since, in their previous births, they had practised bkaktiyoga, they continued it in their latest life and, having completed it therein, attained their goal like *Sramani."

• NOTE: (Sabari) or Sramani was of the caste of hunters and attained mukti by bhakti to Bhagavatas and Bhagavan 4

Thus updsana or bhakti yoga should be commenced only by the three higher castes. But there is no such restriction in the general statement about the competence for prapatti. Further in the special statement about the qualifications for prapatti, it is definitely declared that every one is fit to adopt prapatti. The special qualifications requisite for prapatti have already been described by as.

Therefore birth in the three higher castes or the absence of it is no competence for *prapatti*, when unaccompanied by the

6. Sri Bhashya: 1-3-9

^{7.} Bhagavad Oita : 9-32.

inability to adopt other updyas. (The only qualification that is essential is *ākinchanya*, inability to adopt other updyas). This may be seen from the Tamil verse: "I was not born into any of the four castes to enable me to perform *dharma*", and from the *sloka*: "O Thou "Saviour! I was born into a family renowned in the world for their fame, their purity, their practice of yoga, their knowledge of the truth concerning matter (*prakriti*) with its three qualities and the *jīvas* and for their steadfast attachment to Thy lotuslike feet, and yet with a mind inclined to sin, I am sunk in *samsdra* and am going down." Therefore it is beyond doubt that competence for *prapatti* is open to persons of all castes who have a knowledge of the Saviour and of *sarandgati* and possess also *äkinchanya* and the like.

MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT THE NATURE OR SVARUPA OF PRAPATTI:

2. The statement of learned men that the saranāgati referred to in Dyaya means only faith in the two feet of Narayana being the only upaya for the attainment of Nerayana with His Sponse - this statement should be taken to mean only that faith in staranagati in the mind of the person and faith as an accessory to prapatti are very important. (It does not mean that faith alone is enough). So also the words of the author of Sri Bhāshya --- "Prapatti is faith; it is prayer accompanied by a faith that Bhagavan will grant whatever is desired on account of a single act of prayer" - these words of the Bhāshyakāra emphasise the importance of faith at the beginning and then declare in the words of Bharatamuni which define propatti that propatti is a proyer (for help) with faith (in the person whose help is sought). (It has been shown already that) surrender of self is the ang? and that the others (like karpanya) are angas (i.e.) accessories. "NyZsa has^{10A} been declared as having five accessories or angas." Therefore these words of the Bhashyakarn that prapatti consists in prayer (for help) which might mean

^{9.} Thrucchenda Viruttam: 90.

¹⁰A Lakshmi Tantram: 17-74,

^{10.} Alevandar: Stotram: 61.

that the Lord expects only the prayer for help should be understood only as emphasising the importance of one of the angas (namely, the seeking of the Lord's protection), for it is said "The "" Lord expects the expression of the desire for protection". This is only one of the angas (and not the $ang\bar{i}$). (The Bhashyakara should not be taken as stating that the prayer alone will do). In the shorter gadya also (Srirangagadya), Sri Ramanuja makes the prayer with faith preceding it and concludes with the words namostu te (i.e.) "I bow to Thee". Further he uses the word saranam which means seeking (the Lord) as upaya. From these two, it is evident that self-surrender is present. That the word namas in namostute means surrender of self is well-known from such passages as the following :- "I bow" to Bhagavan to whom, having surrendered his self which is what is meant by namaskāra, a man gets rid of his suffering and enjoys whatever he desires." In Sri Vaikunta Gadya the surrender of self preceded by prayer is explicit. Therefore (since Sri Ramanuja states in Vaikunta - gadya: "Praying (for protection), the person should surrender his (or her) self to Bhagavan after bowing to Him,") it is clear that surrender of self is different from the prayer for protection and is the angi with the prayer as an anga. It has therefore to be concluded that in the words: "One should" surrender one's self by uttering this mantra", and "the surrender" of one's self and of what belongs to one's self", the angi which is surrender of self, is declared as a vidhi or injunction,

If this were not accepted (as true), it would lead to construing the sentence "Saranagati is of "Asix kinds" in its apparent meaning and thinking that the five accessories or angas of prapatti are, every one of them, a form of prapatti or angi, in the same way as we conclude that there are seven different kinds of ablution (snana) from the sentence :- "Ablution" is said to be of seven kinds".

10a Lakshmi Tantram : (17-78)	13. Lekshmi Tentram : 17-79
11. Mentrarajapada etotram:	13A Ahirbudhnya Samhita: 37-2-28
12. Setveta Tentram :	13s Bodhayanagrihya Sutra : 2-7

If it is argued that the angas are not considered to be angles on account of the statement: "One ^{13C} should perform propatti with its five angas or accessories," then by the force of the same argument and in accordance with the statement "Nyāsa is ⁶⁰ accompanied by five angas", we should, in reason, correct such statements as "Prapatti is prayer" and "Prapatti is faith", and hold that "Nikshepa or surrender is the angī", and that the rest are (only) angas.

Even if we judge by the principle that, (when there is a difference in the statements), that statement which is made in a larger number of contexts should be accepted as true, it would be evident, from a study of the chapters on Prapatti in Sātvika Tantra, Lakshmi Tantra, Ahirbudhnya Samhita, and the like, that Nikshepa or self-surrender is the angl. Even in the stoka :- " Thou^{me} "alone, shouldst be my upaya. It is this idea of prayer that is called saranagati", it is indicated by suggestion in the word updya coming along with the word 'alone' that what is referred to is bharanyāsa or surrender of responsibility which is the main theme in that context. Even in ordinary life, an accessory is often spoken of as if it were the main thing in order to emphasise some one aspect or other. The word *iilambha*, which means 'killing' is employed to mean also ' $y\bar{a}ga$ or sacrifice' in a wider sense; so also in "seek refuge" in the Charama sloka and the like, the verbs signify the sacrifice of the self or *ātma yāga*, which is usually expressed by such words as nyāsa, nikshepa and tyāga (surrender). This is in keeping with Vedic usage.

What is called Yaga is a special form of thought indicating that a particular offering (*havis*) is made to a particular deity. $\overline{A}timay\overline{a}ga$ or the sacrifice (or surrender of self) is (likewise) a special form of thought indicating this: "My soul, which is the most delicious of offerings (*havis*), is for Sriman Narayana. We

13C. L	ekahmi Tentra		13E. Ahirbudhoya Samhita 37-31
:13D,	do .	17-74	

have already stated (on page 137) that in this surrender of self, the nature of the action to be performed which is common to all who desire benefits of whatever kind is the surrender of the responsibility for protection.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:

Here in the surrender of self for the sake of moksha, since there is to be made an offering (havis), owing to the injunction regarding the manner in which the offering should be made, there is an additional feature for the man who is destitute of other $u \rho \bar{a} y a s$, namely, the surrender of the responsibility for the protection of the self. Therefore hy such authors as Rama Misra (Uyyakkondar) and others, when they want to speak of the surrender of responsibility (bharanyāsa), a single aspect of it, namely, the abstention from his own activity on the part of the prapanna has been used to indicate prapatti. As in the world of ordinary life, when the surrender of responsibility has not been made, abstention from one's own activity will not result thereafter merely from the request for protection. He who sees the subtle feature that is common to these three, namely, being destitute of all upgyas for protection, surrender of responsibility and the prayer for being the $\mu\rho\bar{a}\gamma a$ — he alone sees. (That subtle feature which is common to all the three of them is refraining from all activity of one's own for one's protection. Since there is this common feature in all the three, the other two are sometimes used to denote bharanyasa).

Thus the surrender of one's responsibility for the protection of one's self is the main injunction that is made in all s \bar{a} stras treating of *propatti* and it has five accessories. (Such eminent authorities) as Sri Vishnuchitta, Vedihamsambuvaha, and Varadeobarya have succinctly stated (this truth).

THE KNOWLEDGE THAT ONE IS SESHA TO THE LORD IS NOT IN ITSELF PRAPATTI:

3. There are some who say:-"When a man has understood the truth regarding the *tativas* from the *sastras*, has he not realised his relationship as *sesha* to Bhagavan? Further, in the sloks, "If you desire to" attain the highest state and to adopt the updps for it, remember that Hari is (your) master and that you are His servant and that this relationship exists for ever by the very nature (of thinge), (in this rloks) — is not the meaning as follows :— "If you desire the highest goal of life and the means of attaining it, you should understand clearly that you are the servant and that Iswara is the master and that this relationship exists by nature"? They ask "When this is so, is there an action (besides the thought of the relationship) enjoined called $\overline{atmasamarps.}$ yam". This question does not deserve any consideration, just like the dictum (of the Advaiting) that, by the mere knowledge of the text of the "sruti, moksha can be attained.

* NOTE :- Texts like "That Thou art" and "I am Brahman".

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:

To the man who prides himself on having stolen from Bhagavan the gem Kaustubha (which is the symbol of his soul) and who is the prince of all sinners (*i.e.* the greatest of all sinners) the surrender of responsibility (*bharanyāsa*) (to the Lord) has been enjoined as an expiation. The thought that he is the *resha* of the Supreme Being, which qualifies the *propanna*, serves also to prevent the theft of the soul (*dimāpakāra*) in the future (*i.e.*) after *propatiti*.

The man first understands from the $s\bar{a}stras$ that his self which is a Real (*Tativa*) has the attribute of being a sesha. Then with this competency and with the accessories pertaining (to *propatti*) and also with the specific idea of the particular benefit desired, he surrenders the responsibility for the protection of his soul (to Bhagavan) bearing in mind his relationship as a sesha. This surrender of responsibility which is enjoined as an $up\bar{a}ya$ for the remission of the punishment resulting from endless sins is indeed what is called $\bar{a}tmasamarpanga$ (the surrender of the self). When it is said that moksha is attained by a knowledge of the truth, it should be understood as meaning that it is only by way of leading to the adoption of an $\overline{v}paya$. This is evident from the authority of the injunction (*vidhi*) contained in such passages as "worship" me" and "seek "refuge under me".

SANSKRIT SLOKA :

The instruction which states: "From knowledge arises moksha", should be understood in one of two ways: "Bhakti preceeded by jnāna or knowledge leads to moksha or from jnāna or knowledge, which is of the nature of bhakti, results moksha (for bhakti is itself a form of knowledge).

Similarly the knowledge of the relationship of being a seshe to the Lord which arises from a study of the $s \cdot \overline{a} \operatorname{str} a$ is common to all forms of activity for moksha, by refraining from the worldy life. like bharanyāsa and upāsana or bhakti. Since the activity of the sesha. namely, service, is the extreme limit of the goal of attainment, it is certainly not possible to adopt any of the $\mu p \bar{a} y as$ enjoined for moksha, without understanding the relationship of sesha to the Lord. Even if a man adopts the *dharmas* enjoined for the attainment of moksha without knowing this relationship of being a sesha, these dharmas or upayas themselves will (in course of time) produce that knowledge of the relationship and will become the cause of moksha by making the adoption of the upaya perfect and So the atmasamarpanam that is enjoined for perforcomplete. mance is not the mere knowledge of the relationship of being a yesha; if it is asked what, then, it is, the answer is that it is the surrender of the responsibility for one's protection accompanied by such things as the knowledge of the relationship of being a seesha.

SANSKRIT SLOKA.

The contention that the particular kind of knowledge called prapatti results merely from the sentences or passages of the

268 .

sastra, that it is, on that account, called siddhopdya and that it is not an updya to be accomplished by a certain activity — this contention also is refuted by the injunction or vidhi (saranam vraja).

If it is argued that saranam vraja "seek protection" merely, means "Know this truth (that the Lord is the Saviour)", the answer is that it is opposed to the natural and obvious meaning of the words. It would also be against the plain and explicit rules or vidhis for the performance of prapatti as in the sentence :— "Perform this prapatti accompanied by its five accessories or angas." If it is held that what is intended in the Charama sloka is merely this, that Iswara is the $up\bar{a}ya$ for moksha and that no activity is enjoined in this sloka for the man who wants moksha, it would mean that it is merely the teaching of a truth that is needed for bhakti yoga, which is the subject treated in that context. (The Charama sloka would then cease to have anything to do with prapatti).

REFRAINING FROM ONE'S OWN ACTIVITY IS NOT IN ITSELF PRAPATTI :

There are some who hold that prapatti is merely refraining from one's own activity for protecting one self and that what is enjoined for its accomplishment is only the abandonment of all *dharmas* or $up\bar{a}yas$. The answer to this view is as follows :—Even though it is abstention, this abandonment of all *dharmas* is itself an activity or *dharma* of the parson for his own protection (and this would be inconsistent with their own words). Further this view is in conflict also with the passage (quoted above) enjoining the performance of *prapatti* with its five *angūs*.

The view held by some that the regarding of *prapani* as an $up\bar{a}ya$ or as an auxiliary to *Siddhopāya* (for moksha) is opposed to the doctrine that the *Siddhopāya*, namely, Iswara, is the only $up\bar{a}ya$, that He is already an existent $up\bar{a}ya$, that He is the Supreme Sentient, that He has supreme compassion, and omnipotence and that He is independent of all others — this view is at variance

with the *scattis* and the *smritis*. It is not based on any general proposition (such as :-- "Wherever an auxiliary condition is required, there cannot be a single $up \overline{a}ya$ " and "Where an accessory is required, there is no independent doership"). Since there are these objections and others, this view is based on fallacious reasoning. If it were considered sound reasoning, it would mean that, even in $up\overline{a}sana$, whatever is accepted as auxiliary should be rejected as unnecessary.

If it were further argued that even updsana is not a means or $\mu p \beta y a$, it would be inconsistent with what has been stated else---where by those who hold this view, for while commenting on the passage, "Having given up all dharmas, seek refuge under me", they interpret the word dharma as upasana or upaya for the attainment of moksha. If they contend that in order to remove Arjuna's hanghtiness, Sri Krishna prescribes unnecessary burdens and uses the word dharma to mean what Arjuna, in his delusion or inability to understand the real purport of the teaching, considers as necessary upäyas in the phrase "all dharmas", (the answer is as follows):--It is stated (in Yāgnyavalkya Smriti 1-8);-- "The adoration of the Lord, personal purity, control of the senses, non-violence, giving in charity, repeating the Vedas, other Vedic rites - among all these, having a vision of the Supreme Being by karma yoga jnāna yoga and bhakti yoga is the highest dharma". Again it is said — "Among all dharmas, the dharma" which consists in the adoration of Vishnu is the highest, for He protects His devotee as if he were His own body"; and further, "There is no other karma¹⁷ enjoined in the Vedas for the expisiton of sins than the adoration of Vishan". If these well-known dharmas which are described as supreme and which are activities for moksha of the nature of abstention from worldly action, are stated to be no dharmas, much more so would the dharmas prescribed for worldly ends cease to be dharmas, and none of the sastras would carry

16. Mahabharata: Anusasanikaparwa: 17. Vyasa Smriti: 36-24 any authority or weight. Those who hold these views would then line up with atheists and heretics.

It may be asked: (The words in the GHā are): "Give up ell dharmas". In order that the meaning of the word all may not be contracted or reduced in application, since the seeking of the Lord's protection is also denoted by the word dharma just like uprisonos, should it not also be given up, in as much as it is also au ubāva or sādhana? Similarly to consider prapatti 68 6 sādhana or $up\bar{a}ya$ or an auxiliary condition is at variance with the words in the Guā: "Give up all dharmas or upāyas." We ask in reply :-- " (What do you really mean?) If you mean that we should adopt the $\mu\rho\bar{a}\gamma a$ giving up the thought that it will directly and by itself lead to the end in view, it applies also equally to bhakti yoga. If it is meant that we should give up the thought of prapatti being a dharma even for winning the grace of the Lord, we answer, "In that case, you will have to give up even the thought that Siddhopāya, namely, "Sri Krishna, is the eternal dharma" or upaya", in order that the meaning of all in all dharmas may not suffer reduction of application.

If it is argued that what are to be abandoned are all dharmas other than the one described in this passage — (namely, the *Charama sloka*), the same answer might he given in support of the performance of saranggati which is prescribed in this very passage: (sarangam vraja).

A further doubt might arise as follows :— " $Up\bar{a}sanas$, as $up\bar{a}yas$, require that they should be originated or started; $up\bar{a}$ sanas have to be promoted to higher and higher perfection; $up\bar{a}$ sanas require the fulfilment of auxiliary conditions — Not so, this $up\bar{a}ya$, namely Bhagavan. He does not require to be originated or increased in intensity nor does He require any auxiliary help or means of approach. He is eternal, has neither growth nor decay and has always such qualities as full and perfect compassion which

are of His very nature. Without any means of approach, He is ever existent as an updya and is of irresistible might : Of what use, then, are bhakti and prapatti in His case ?" The answer is that they help to obtain remission of the punishment which is the cause of samsara and which has been inflicted for beginningless and successive acts of offence. When these upavas are adopted for other benefits (than moksha) (for instance, svarga), they generate favourable dispositions (in the Lord) suited to the attainment of the respective benefits, just like Jyotishtoma and the like and thus serve as aids. In the case of the man who desires moksha, they, as vyāja or sādhyopāya, cause appeasement in Siddhopdya so as to cancel the punishment and then to remove the contraction of knowledge and the like due to that punishment, so that Siddhopāya or the Lord confers (on the person) benefits extending up to perfect service. It is in this way these $up\bar{a}yas$ help as long as the self lasts.

Therefore those pramänas or authoritative passages which state that $Siddhop\bar{a}ya$ (the Lord) confers the ultimate goal of perfect service (kainkarya) along with preliminary benefits after removing all undesirable hindrances and that $s\bar{a}dhyop\bar{a}ya$ (bhakti and prapatti) leads to these benefits hy propitisting $Siddhop\bar{a}ya$ — these pramānas are both apposite and agree with one another very well.

IS THERE ANY NEED FOR THE SASTRA ENJOINING PRAPATTI?

4. Notwithstanding all this, some may ask: "Why should the sāstra enjoin this upāya called prapatti? (The sāstra has to help only when man cannot find out things for himself with his common sense or reason). In ordinary life, when a man is about to sink (into rain) because he cannot protect himself, he seeks the protection of some one who happens to be by his side and who has the ability to protect him. In the same way, if a man has understood himself and Isware from the sāstra which treats of the existent upāya, will he not seek Isware for protection, of his own

272

accord, (without the sastra enjoining it)? So there is no propriety in the sastra prescribing propatti in the place of bhakti.

This question is based on appropriate reasoning but proceeds. too far. This is how it goes too far. In ordinary life (in the world) when a man seeks the help of another, he tries to learn before-hand in what way he should approach the other, so that the latter may take pity on him and then he seeks his protection. Similarly we should know who is competent to seek Iswara's protection and in what manner he should do so, so that the Lord may protect him; there is no pramana or authority other than the stastra which deals with what should be done and which can tell us how we should proceed to seek His help. It cannot be maintained that, by means of inference (or anumana), we can understand that the Lord will protect if we seek refuge under Him and then seek His protection. For in that case, every one who has a knowledge of the truth concerning Iswara may adopt the means in the manner suggested by his own inference and the texts in the sastra which prescribe upasana (bhakti) would then become useless. The distinction that he who is capable should adopt upasana and the like and that he who is not capable of them should resort to prapatti -- this distinction cannot be established by means of inference (anumana). If the sastra does not enjoin the performance of prapatti in the place of other upayas to those who are incapable of them, they will have to lose the fruit of these other upuyas as they are not competent enough for their proper performance. This is how it stands. Though in ordinary life (in the world), we see service to kings and the like conferring certain benefits, yet we hold that in regard to the weaving of garlands, the lighting of lamps, the singing of hymns, the act of prostrating, and so on (in temples), if these things are done without any reference to the stastras (as to how they should be done), they will become offences. The worship of Bhagavan and His service have to be done with the right accessories as laid down in the sastras and the fruits arising therefrom have also to be understood only from the sastras. In the same way, although we see instances of prapatti

in worldly life and the benefits arising therefrom, yet we can understand only from the *sūstras* the relationship of cause and effect that exists between prapatti performed with perticular mantras and in accordance with the instructions of the good acharva and certain special benefits which would result therefrom. Just as certain special forms of worship and service are prescribed for certain special benefits, prapatti, too, has been enjoined. If it were otherwise, no rules would have to be prescribed for the service of Bhagavan, in the same way as there are none for the service of the king. It would lead to the wrong view that, for prapatti also, the initiation into mantras with the instructions of good acharyas is unnecessary. That even those who are not competent for the uttarance of maniras may perform prapath to the Saviour who has certain specific attributes and that certain specific benefits will be derived therefrom, provided it is performed in the proper way without anything wanting and without any undue excess — this cannot be determined by any sound inference. Therefore just as particular forms of worship and service to Bhagavan are enjoined (in the stastras) with certain accessories, there is nothing inappropriate in the stastra prescribing the performance of prapatti with certain accessories (angas).

THE VIEW THAT UPASANA OR BHAKTI IS INCONSISTENT WITH ONE'S ESSEN-TIAL NATURE IS WRONG :

5. There are some who maintain that even among these $up\bar{a}yas$ which are enjoined by the *sastras*, *upusanas* are opposed to the essential nature (of the *jiva*). This should be taken to mean only a desire to praise *propatti* with emphasis on it and not to condemn *upāsanas* (as being against one's nature). The reasons are as follows:---

(a) It cannot be said that they are against the essential nature of the *jiva* on the ground that they would destroy the self, for the self is sternal (and cannot be destroyed).

974

34 BHAKTI NOT INCONSISTENT WITH SVARUPA 175

<u>,</u> (b) It cannot be stated that the self or soul is, in its essential nature (svarupa), incapable, as the Sankhya says, of being a doer, and is therefore incapable, by its very nature, of performing updsanas. For the self is a door in bhakti (or updsanas) and the like as in the performance of prapatti, and in rendering service (to Bhagavan and to Bhagavatas). As stated in the Brahma Sutras: "The "Self is a doer (capable of endeavour or effort); otherwise the sastras which enjoin doing certain things and refraining from certain other things would have no purpose or aim ", and again, " This "doership of the self is dependent on Brahman "- As stated in these passages, the self is a doer capable of endeavour, though his being a doer is dependent on Bhagavan. If it is maintained that the self is absolutely incapable of action, it would follow that, since purposeful action is the sign or mark of the existence of a thing, the self is void or non-existent. If it is held that the self merely exists and is without jnana (or knowledge), without the desire to act, and without the endeavour or effort needed for action, it would follow that it is incapable also of enjoyment (boktritvam). This would mean that sams $\overline{a}ra$ is illusory and that there is no need for any upava for the attainment of moksha. The sloka "Lakshmi" comes of her own accord to a man who makes no endeavour. Another man does not obtain even food, hard though he works. This is also due to past karma "- this stoka chould not be interpreted as station that the upayas prescribed in the sastras are all of no nse. It only emphasizes that certain benefits come to a man as a result of certain actions performed in previons (births).

(c) Nor can it be maintained that updsanas are, according to sidstras, against the essential nature of the jive, because they would bring evil consequences. For, nulike actions performed out of the desire for worldly gains (kamys) and actions prohibited

19. Brahma Sutras: 2-3-33 20. Brahma Sutras: 2-3-40 in the sästras (mishiddha), which are obstacles to moksha and which arise from desire ($r\ddot{a}ga$) and the like, $up\ddot{a}sanas$ cannot bind the soul to sams $\bar{a}ra$, because they are not performed out of a desire for worldly gains and because they are enjoined in the s $\bar{a}stras$ only for those who want moksha.

Thus $up\bar{a}sanas$ cannot destroy the essential nature of the self, they are not impossible of performance nor do they bring evil consequences; there is, therefore, no justification for their being considered to be at variance with the essential nature (of the self).

Again it may be argued that since the self is different (d) from such things as the body, there is no propriety in the man who knows that, in his essential nature as the self, there is no such thing as varna or āsrama, performing the duties and rites (dharmas) and other $\mu \rho \bar{u} \gamma \sigma s$ which are connected with them, because they all arise from the (erroneous) notion. "I am a Brahmin, I am a Kshatriya." (It may be argued) on this ground that these rites and duties (dharmas) which are dependent on one's caste (varna) and asrama may justly be considered as being against the essential nature of the self. This argument, too, is not sound for the following reason :- Even if he understands that, in its essential nature, the self is not Brahmin and the like, yet since he is, owing to certain forms of past karma, in close association with the body which has the attributes of Brahmin and the like, he should not give up the *dharmas* enjoined by the stastras in accordance with his varna and *āsrama* and also in accordance with his capacity for getting rid of the ills of life and for attaining good things, as long as he is with that body, in the same way as he makes endeavours to ward off such things as hunger and thirst which arise from that association with the body. Therefore it cannot be maintained that these dharmas should be given up on the ground that they are against the essential nature of the soul because the body is different from the soul to (the man endowed with discrimination.) In regard to the performance of these *dharmas*, it is not the delusion that the essential nature of the self has Brahminness and the like which gives competence, but the association with the body which has such attributes as Brahminness. Therefore we do not see any conflict between $up\bar{a}sanas$ and the essential nature of the self on account of the intelligent discrimination between the body and the soul which is necessary for the performance of all *dharma*. That $up\bar{a}sanas$ have within them the notion of "I" (*ahamkāra*) finds no authority or sanction in the sästras, but was merely assumed by these critics to exist. (In the performance of *karma yoga*, we are enjoined to give up the notion that we are free sgents and that we want certain benefits).

(e) Some say that since karma yoga and the like have to be performed with the help of the body and the senses which are adventitious $(up\bar{u}dhi)$ and which were acquired as the result of past karma, they are against the essential nature of the self. To them we reply that, even for a knowledge of one's essential nature and for learning Dvaya and the like, there is need for such things as the mind. (So they would have to say that knowledge of the essential nature and learning Dvaya are against the essential nature.) Thus their objection would extend to the latter also.

THE OBJECTION THAT THE JĪVA WHO IS ENTIRELY DEPENDENT ON THE LORD CANNOT AND SHOULD NOT ADOPT AN UPĀYA.

(f) It might be asked, "Whatever that might be, since the jiva exists solely for Iswara (seeha), he is not expected to protect himself and since he is absolutely subject to the will and control of Iswara, he cannot protect himself; is it proper than to prescribe a means or $up \ddot{u} ya$ as necessary to be adopted by him, for his protection and to call this an $up \ddot{u} ya$ that is capable of heing adopted by him? When this is so, bhakti yoga and the like are productive of evil to the essential nature of the self (svar $\ddot{u} pa$); as they are inconsistent with his being a sesha who exists only for Iswara and are not possible of adoption, as they are inconsistent

with his being absolutely under the will and control of Iswara". This objection has already been answered as leading to the logical conclusion of the possibility of salvation for all. If the Lord were to grant a *jiva mukti* or salvation without any consideration (vväja) whatsoever, the jiva should be a mukti from beginningless time. If it is held that Iswara, being independent and omnipotent, makes a man a mukta whenever He is pleased, it would mean that he is partial to some and oruel to others. Similar beresies would follow: Further those stastras which presoribe the means for attaining mukti would become meaningless. Therefore in adopting this means enjoined as the result of the jiva's being a sesha there is appropriateness and also a like appropriateness in the owner protecting what is his own. It would become possible to adopt such means as are agreeable to Him with the help of the measure of independence granted (to us) by Him as the Supreme Controller and to expect His favour in regard to the fruit or object of attainment. This seshatua or existing solely for the Lord and being absolutely subject to His will and control - these two will help, in the stage of realisation, to perform the kind of service that is agreeable to Him as that ordained by Him.

If these objectors bold that, on account of the knowledge of being the seska and of entire dependence on Iswara, the $j\bar{v}va$ should make no effort for his own protection, it would follow that the service (kainkarya) rendered in accordance with the s $\bar{v}stras$ for the attainment of the Lord's favour and so also prapatti which is prescribed as possible, would be beyond his competence, because they are understood as being opposed to his essential nature. We have already stated that prapatti is capable of being adopted as a means in as much as it has been prescribed in the words "saranam vraja" (seek refuge), as something that has to be performed over and above the mere knowledge of the relationship (between the jva and Iswara) arising from the texts. Therefore there is no inappropriateness in the $j\bar{v}va$, who exists only for the Lord and who is entirely subject to His control, adopting such means of his own for his protection as he is competent to adopt.

THE OBJECTION THAT THE RITES OF VARNA AND ASRAMA AND BHAKTI YOGA ARE INCON-SISTENT WITH EXCLUSIVE DEVO-TION TO THE LORD.

(g) However, it may be stated, since the *jiva* has understood clearly that He exists solely for Iswara and for no other, it is opposed to his nature as a supreme and exclusive devotee of the Lord to practise the rites (dharma) ordained for his varna and $\bar{a}srama$, because they imply the mediatorship of other deities (like Agni, Surva and Varuna) and also to practise such things as bhakti yoga which have these rites as their anga or accessory. It is only suddha yūjis who worship the Lord alone (without any reference to other deities) that deserve to be called mea with supreme and exclusive devotion to the Lord (*baramaikanti*)". This objection can arise only from a lack of clear understanding of the conclusion arrived at in such treatises as Sri Bhashya. It may be asked how. The answer is that in such vidväs as Pratardana and Madhu vidy \bar{a} , it is distinctly stated that the Supreme Being is to be worshipped or meditated upon by the aspirant for mukti as having Indra and other deities as His body. The author of Sri Bhashya has declared that in regard to the rites and duties of the varna and asrama also, which are angas or accessories to the vidyas, the object of worship or meditation is only the Supreme Self who has the respective deities as His body. Therefore what is opposed to exclusive devotion to the Lord is the worship of other deities as if they were independent, and also connection with other deities owing to a desire for certain specific fruits, when these deities have nothing to do with the rites and duties which are compulsory and recurrent $(\pi i t \gamma a)$ as well as those which are incidental and occasional (naimittika). There is no opposition to supreme and exclusive devotion to the Lord in performing one's ordained rites and duties without any attachment to or desire for any other fruit and with the thought that these deities are attributes of the Lord and that such words as Agni denote the Lord

who has Agni and the like as His body or attributes. There is no opposition to exclusive devotion, likewise, in following the principle: "These "words (Agni, Indra etc.) directly denote, by their etymological significance, the Supreme Self. It is not improper to think so. So says Jaimini", for these are rites and daties enjoined (in the sūstras).

(h) "But", it may be argued again, "the stastras have enjoined also rites for such things as betwitchment (** abhichāra) to produce conviction. In the same manner, the forms of meditation (or upiisana) have been enjoined only in order to produce conviction or faith in the efficacy of what is prescribed (by the stastras) viz., prapatti. It does not mean that they are to be performed. To the aspirant for mukti, the end or object of attainment and the means of attaining it are both one and the same. Therefore the means favourable for the attainment of that end is only Iswara. It is on account of this that the god presiding over dharma condemned upāsanas as opposed to the end in view. while saying to his son :— "O, "Bharata, If you are afraid of sins, do not begin any activity and remain with the thought that Narayana is the only end to be attained with all your heart." This argument, too, is not tenable for the following reasons :—

(1) The rites of bewitchment ($abhich\bar{a}ra$) and the like are sources of evil, because they are performed for purposes or fruits that are evil. Since bhakti or $up\bar{a}sana$ is enjoined for attaining moksha, it cannot be a source of evil:

Suddha Yojis ---- Those whose adoration is solely to Bhagavan without any intermediaray like Agni. Varuna and the like.

•• NOTE:— The Vedas prescribe the rites called *abhichara* for causing the death of one's enemy. They do so in order that by seeing the effect, men may have faith in the *sastra*.

22. Brahma Sutras: 1-2-29

23. Vishnu dharma : 66-72

(2) It would be inconsistent with the view of these critics to hold that bhakti or upasana is enjoined only to produce an eager desire for the adoption of an easier means, viz., prapatti, by describing a more difficult means, vis, bhakti, for they hold that bhakti is no means at all (to attain moksha). Besides bhakti does not yield its fruit in this life but only after it. So it cannot create faith or conviction in the other means prescribed, viz., prapatti. Men generally adopt, of their own accord, the easier means in preference to the more difficult means. The success of the easier means in producing the desired result will create faith or conviction in regard to the more difficult means and not vice versa. So the more difficult $u \rho \bar{a} y a$ cannot cause faith in the efficacy of the easier means. It will, therefore, have to be argued in support of their view that, when certain fruits or good results which will arise from a main rite or angi are stated to arise from its anga or accessory rite, it should be considered only as arthavada or mere praise having no literal truth according to a principle (or $ny\overline{a}ya$) of the Mimamsakes. Here moksha is really the fruit of prapatti, which is the angi and this fruit is, in the view of these critics, for the sake of mere praise (which is not true), assigned to its anga bhakti also. So, bhakti is, according to them, really not capable of vielding moksha. This argument, too, is no good as it would be inconsistent with the contention of these critics, for they would then have to admit that bhakti is an anga or accessory of prapatti (which they deny).

The means or $up\bar{a}ya$, that is favoured by Iswara, who is the object of attainment, can be understood only from the $s\bar{a}stra$ (and it is no use asserting that bhakti is not favoured by Him). Reason is not competent to say that the means, viz., bhakti is opposed to the end in view (Bhagavan). If we are to object to any one thing that is prescribed in the $s\bar{a}stras$ by reasoning which is against the $s\bar{a}stra$, there would, by parity of reasoning, be no validity in any of the things or *dharmas* prescribed in the $s\bar{a}stras$ and, likewise, in the $s\bar{a}stras$ prescribing prapatti as well.

Further there is no authority for the statement that in the sloka quoted above (from Vishnu dharma: note 23), the word $p\bar{a}taka$ (sin) refers to up $\bar{a}sanas$ and the like. Therefore since bhakti and prapatti are both enjoined for the aspirant to mukti, they are, either of them, to be adopted in accordance with the competence (of the individual concerned).

(i) Nor would it be proper to hold that, though these two bhakti and prapatti are eojoined (in the stastras), bhakti or upāsana and the like are not to be practised in as much as they have not been favoured for adoption by the $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$; (for instance) such things as the slaying of a bull for the entertainment of a guest at dinner, though enjoined, are opposed to the traditional practice of the learned (sislua). This objection, too, is not that of a thinking mind. It is only when all the *acharyas* avoid the performance of a rite or observance, that it should be abandoned in accordance with the saying :-- "Though a rite²⁴ has been prescribed in the sustra, yet if it will retard the attainment of svarga and is also repugnant to the general public, it should not be performed ". In regard to the rites in question, since they were adopted by such ancient acharyas as Parasara who is described by Alavandar as one of the best sages, it cannot be maintained that they are against the traditional observance of all learned men.

(j) In the same way, it cannot be argued that, "though these rites were suitable as *dharmas* to be practised in other *yugas*, since in Kali Yuga, it is not possible to find men competent to perform such things as the *upasana* or meditation of the Supreme Self, they are not suited for observance in this age. That is why it has been said:—" Whatever²⁶ fruit a man obtained in Krita Yuga by renunciation ($ty\bar{u}ga$), whatever fruit a man obtained in the Dvapara Yuga by the performance of *yajnas*, whatever a man obtained in Tretayuga by worshipping the Lord, that a man attaina in Kali Yuga by the mere mention of His names", and so also in the *Mahübhūrata* :— "Kali ¹⁶ Yuga has this one great

^{24.} Yagnyavalkya Smriti: 1-156 26. Mahabharata: 11-3-51

^{25.} Vishou purana: 6-2-17

advantage, O, king ; in Kali, a man gets rid of his past karma by the mere mention of Sri Krishna's names and attains the Lord": Against this objection we may state that even at the present time, there may be present men who are competent to perform such things as upasanas and who, like the ancient acharvas. are established in yoga for its own sake, for it is said :-- " To the "man who has Govinda at heart, there is Kritayuga in Kali yuga and to him who does not entertain Achyuta at heart, there is Kali Yngs even in Krita Yuga." If these were not admitted, it would follow that even prapatti, which is different from the mention of the Lord's names (samkirtana) is not to be performed, because it is difficult to find men who are competent to perform it and who have the intense faith (maha visvoisa) necessary for it, for it has been said :-- " There is "no man (O Brahma) who is competent to be initiated into this mantra. who is competent to perform the rite uttering this mantra or who will listen to it." Therefore since there is no other objection to such things as $up\bar{a}sanas$, they can be said to be unsuitable only for those adhikārīs who are "destitute^{28 A} of all $\mu \rho \bar{a} \gamma a s$ and who have no other way of salvation", because they are inappropriate for their competence in the same way as ablutions by complete immersion in water are unsuitable for those that are ill. It is in regard to such men as do not understand their being incompetent (for bhakti or upasana) and who adopt it as a means that it is said : " It is owing " to the weakness of mind which fails to understand its competence or incompetence that a man adopts bhakti as an $up\bar{a}ya$. Therefore even these upasanas and the like are, for other adhikaris, suitable to the extent of their competence. Incompetence for them arises from inability to adopt them or the inability to endure delay (in attaining salvation). The man who has this incompetence adopts prapatti. The man who has adopted prapatti as an independent and direct upuya is proclaimed as "one who has 29A performed a sacri-

27. Vishnu Dharma: 109-57 28. Poushkara Samhita: 29. Lakshmitantram: 28-17

- 28A. Alavandar: Stotram: 22
- 29A. Tiruvoymozhi: 5-7-5

284

fice,' 'one who has 29 B done what he ought to do' and 'one who^{29C} has performed a hundred sacrifices ' by the Alvars, the great sages and the Lord's Sāstras (Bhagavat Sāstra) and the Vedas. Further these authorities also praise all his activities up to the time of death, as if they were the varied duties connected with gajnas and ending with the final hath (avabhrita). They hold also that, in the same way as the man who has performed a yajna performs the compulsory and occasional rites (nitya and naimittika) after it, the man who has adopted prapatti should perform the duties of his varna and \bar{a} strama as the commands of the Lord who is omnipotent and that the man who has performed the sacrifice or yajna of the self does not require the performance of any other activity for the fruit desired by him. Therefore as stated in such places as the s loka :—"The $Yog\bar{\iota}$ is²⁹ superior to all those who practise austerities (tapas); be is superior also to the $ju\bar{a}n\bar{t}s$ and to those who perform the rites and duties (ordained for them). Therefore, O Arjana, become a yogin"; the dharmas or activities of renunciation are superior to the activities or dharmas of action so also the yoga connected with the self is superior (pravritti): to all other yogas; of all yogas the highest is the yoga connected with (Sri Krishna), the son of Vasudeva, for it has been said, "The ^{so}mau who fixes his mind on me and worships me with earnest faith should be considered as the highest among yogis". Similarly of all the vidyits or forms of meditation on the Supreme Self, only the particular vidyä which is called nyäsa, nikshepa and so on is the highest, for, among other reasons, it is easy, it has to he performed only once, and it leads to the attainment of the end This truth regarding the Nyāsa vidyā is stated explicitly in BOOD. the Bhagavat Sāstra in the following stokas: "Of all "the austerities (tapas) prescribed for the attainment of moksha, the austerity called nyāsa (prapatti) is the highest ", and "Those "who, with their thoughts fixed only on moksha, are absorbed in the per-

29B. Satvata Tantra: 29C. Ahirbudhnya Samhita: 37 29D. Bhagayad Gita: 6-46

- 30. Bhagavad Gita: 6-47
- 31. Abirbudhnya Sambita: 37-36
- 32. Lakshmi Teatra: 17-62

formance of karmayoga and those, again, who follow jnänayoga and bhaktiyoga do not deserve to be placed on a par with even one-ten millionth of the man who has performed prapatti ".

To the man who is unable (owing to illness) to perform such things as ablution by immersion, "the meditation on "A Vishnu, which is called mental ablution, is ordained; thereby he obtains not only the purity of those who perform the other kinds of ablution, but acquires freedom from sins and the pleasure of the immediate enjoyment of Bhagavan. In the same way, though *prapatti* is ordained for those who are not competent to perform such things as *upitsanas*, it confers the fruits attainable from them; it secures them (the $up\bar{u}yu$, namely, upasana also); it redeems the man who is destitute of all other $up\bar{u}yas$ (*akinchana*); and further it secures the fruit (desired by him) at the time when he wants it. Therefore *prapatti* has superior potency.

DOES PRAPATTI REQUIRE ANGAS OR ACCESSORIES?

So far we have removed the misconceptions that may arise about the competence for the upilya and its essential nature (svaripa). Henceforward we will proceed to remove those misconceptions that might be entertained in regard to accessories of that upilya. (1) Is it necessary that this upilya should be accompained by such things as the determination to do what is egreeable (*änukūiyu sankalpa*)? Will the Saviour who said, "If a "man seeks protection with the desire for the immediate attainment of an object (irta) or with endurance of delay in the attainment (*dripta*), he should be protected by those who know the *scistras*, even though he might be an enemy," "I will," in no circumstances, abandon one who has come to me in the guise of a friend, even though there are faults in him. The great will appreciate this," and "Bring him³⁰ here, O Sugriva, whether he be Vibhishana or

32A. Gargya Smriti34. Ramayana: Yuddhakanda : 18-333. Ramayana : Yuddhakanda : 18-2835. Ramayana : Yuddhakanda : 18-35

even Ravana himself. I promise protection to him" — (will the Saviour who said these words) and who was gracious even to his enemies care for the faults of those who have sought refuge under him? The ancients describe Him as the Protector of the whole world without any consideration of merit. Therefore these accessories (such as *anukūlya* sankalpa) are not requisites but attributes that are most likely to be met with in those who have performed prapatti," Such is the contention of some critics. In reply to this misconception, it may be said that the passages on which it is hased may really have, for their purport, the idea that though such attributes as *unukillya* do not continue after the performance of prapatti, some explation will occur and there will be no diminution in the fruit of prapatti. (They do not mean that qualifications like *anukālyasankalpa* are not required at the time of the performance of prapatti). If this explanation is not accepted, many authoritative passages which distinguish between the main rite (angi) and its accessories (anga) such as "Saranāgati is of six kinds," "Nyāsa has five angas" and "Let him perform that prapatti which has five accessories " - many such authoritative passages would offer opposition. We have already stated that (the absence of these accessories) would be against what is the subject of experience in the world in regard to the conventions regarding the surrender of objects that have to be protected and that these accessories are required only once and so on. Even the divine missile, Brahmastra, requires its own accessories (angas). Hence the sloka that 'there is no other requisite" for prapatti anywhere and at any time" only states that it does not require any other dharma or rite. (It does not mean that (even) the angas of prapatti are not required). Otherwise even intense faith (mahāvisvūsa) which these critics commend as an anga might be considered as an attribute which may be found (in a prapanna) and not as an anga.

MAHAVISVASA

WHY SHOULD INTENSE FAITH BE CALLED AN ANGA INSTEAD OF MERE FAITH?

In this connextion, some others may contend as follows:— "When the believer has faith in what is stated in the s \bar{a} stras, what is the meaning of saying that there is something else called 'intense faith' or mahāvisvāsa? Therefore there is no point in holding that this mahāvisvāsa is anything other than the faith in the s \bar{a} stra which is general and that it is a special anga or accessory of prapatti. This contention (or objection) is refuted by the well-known fact that there are degrees of faith as stated in the sloka:— "One should" never place confidence in a man whom one does not trust. Even in a man whom one trusts, too much confidence should not be reposed." It is also refuted by the text which prescribes extraordinary faith as an anga or accessory (in regard to prapatti).

Bhagavan Narada has declared in Srimad Ashtäkshara Brahma Vidyā that there are, in different men, varying degrees of faith in the Moolamantra. (He says):--- "In ^{be} proportion to the degree of faith that a man has in Ashtākshara, will be attein the fruit thereof. It is impossible to measure its greatness." The Supreme Ruler of all will ultimately protect even those prapannas who are far from perfect and whose faith is notestrong enough. If authority is asked for this statement, here it is :- "By the" man who has once uttered the two syllables 'Harih' - by him steps have been taken for entering moksha". In accordance with this, Alavandar has conveyed the same idea in the stoka :- "Whoever" folds his hands in worship to Thee in any manner and at any time — his sins vanish at once. It generates all good things. It never fails to bear fruit," and so also, "A single" drop of the ocean of nectar called bhakti towards the two lotuses of Thy feet will extinguish instantly the spreading wildfire called samsāra and confer superior happiness". By uttering the three syllables

37. Mahabharata: Udyoga parva:	39. Vishnudharma: 70-84
38-39	40. Stotram : 28
28. Naradiya kalpa: 1–14	41. Stotram: 29

"Govinda", Kshatrabandu, who stood surrounded by his past karmas swarming (like ants), attained the highest state." As implied in these passages, Iswara will promote the perfection of the $up\bar{a}ya$ and protect the man. Has not this idea been conveyed by Sri Sandilya Bhagavan to Devala in the following stoka :— "Many of your "previous births ended in vain. Consider how this life, too, may end in vain and perform prapatti? From the stoka :— "The divine ^{43A}seers say that Thou art to be sought as the Saviour and that Thou protectest those who have sought Thy protection." — (from this stoka) it should be understood that intense faith can be found (only) in the divine seers and not in ordinary men) and that, by the authority of what is said by the divine seers, those whose faith is not strong should strengthen it. Therefore since there are varying degrees of faith, what is stated in the sāstra about intense faith being an anga of prapatti cannot be objected to.

CAUSES OF THE DECAY OF FAITH AND THE REMEDY THEREFOR

We will now show how some people suffer from diminution of faith and state how this tendency can be corrected. Some may ask: - "How could bhakti and prapatti lead to such opposite results as worldly prosperity and moksha merely because of the difference in the mental attitude (of those who adopt them)? Have we ever seen the same seed yielding two different fruits owing to the diversity of intentions in the (mind of the) sower? The answer to this question is as follows: - "The stastra which is the (sole) authority in regard to matters pertaining to it in the same way as sense - perception (pratyuksha) is in regard to what pertains to it - the stastra can certainly declare it to be so and reason has no right to attempt to disprove it. In worldly life, if we give an object to a charitable and generous king as an article for sale, we shall obtain a liberal return; but if it is given (without any

42. Tirumalai: 4
 43. Sandilya Smriti:
 43A. Ramayana: Yuddhakanda: 120-18.

thought of such return) merely as an offering or tribute, we see it produce whatever is desired (by the person).

These two bhakti and prapatti, are of the nature of a rite prompted by pravritti (activity prompted by worldly interests), when they are adopted by a person who expects some benefit other than (moksha) and in the case of those who desire only the feet of Bhagavan, they are of the nature of a rite of renunciation (nioritti). The same holds good of such things as the rites and duties which are compulsory and regularly recurrent and those which are compulsory and occasional (nitya and naimittika).

If it be asked whether $\bar{u}pas\bar{a}na$ (bhakti) and prapatti, which are different in their nature since the former has to be repeated continuously (till death) and the latter to be performed only once — whether these two could yield the same fruit; the answer is as follows:— "It is certainly possible, since it depends upon the competency of the person (adopting the $up\bar{a}ya$), as in worldly life and in the Vedic tradition.

To those aspirants to multi who have adopted prapatti as an independent and direct means, the $up\bar{u}ya$ (means) and the end (which is) moksha, namely, the attainment of Bhagavan are one and the same (*i.e.*) Bhagavan. However, owing to the degree of urgency ($tvar\bar{a}$) in the desire for moksha which arises from the suffering of $sams\bar{a}ra$, there may be delay or absence of delay in the attainment of the fruit in accordance with the time when it is respectively desired by the persons concerned (vis.) $\bar{a}rta$ and dripta).

The reason why the Alvars. Nathamuni and the like obtained such things as direct vision $(s\bar{a}ksh\bar{a}tk\bar{a}ra)$ of Bhagavan, while others who adopted *prapatti* had to go without it is (two fold):—the difference in the desire for the fruit expressed at the time of *prapatti* and also the difference in the past good karma which had begun to operate in this life. Though that part of the fruit formerly desired is certain owing to the performance of *prapatti* on a single occasion, yet, if a person eagerly desires some other part of the fruit not desired before, a further *prapatti* is also appropriate.

2. Some critics may entertain doubts in regard to the effectiveness of prapatti which is the means of attaining all benefits without exception, when it is found that some forms of prapatti intended to secure tangible benefits in this life itself fail to do so. These critics should be made to understand that if there is no effect, it is due to some defect in the activity (karma), in the doer (karta) or in the means or accessories (sadhana), just as in the case of other rites prescribed in the stastras. (For instance, the stastra says, "He who desires rain should perform a yajna with karīri (bamboo sprouts)". If the rain does not follow the yajna, it must be due to some defect in the performance of the karma, in the doer, or in the sacrificer or in the accessories or sadhanas employed in the sacrifice). Defect in the performance (of prapatti) consists in the lack of intense faith, (mahavisvasa), and the like, Defect in the doer consists in the absence of competency prescribed for prapatti. Defect in the sädhanas or accessories is the absence of such things as the teaching of the wise which is essential for the performance of prapatti in accordance with the stastras. When propatti is done without any of these defects, we see even the attainment of visible and (tangible) fruits that have been desired as the result.

3. Yet others might contend as follows :-- "Such sages as Vyasa who are alike the expounders of all suistras including the sastra on prapatti state :-- "Atter" studying all the sastras and after frequent investigation of their meaning with the help of reason, this one truth alone becomes evident: Narayana should always be meditated on." "Hari "alone is fit to be meditated on always hy people like you who are sattvikas." "Vishnu" is cons-

44. Mahabhrata : Anusasanika	45. Harivamsa : 132-8
parva 178–11	46. Hariyamsa : 132-14

24

tantly to be meditated on. He should never be forgotten." When they have arrived at this conclusion, how could it be said that, by the performance of prapatti on a single occasion, moksha could be stained? The passage in the Gitā which says :-- " Those 46A who perform prapatti to me alone, get over māyā which cannot be easily got over - this passage and others like it refer to prapatti which is an anga or accessory to bhakti (upasana) or meditation. Similarly such texts as the Charama sloka should be considered as prescribing prapatti with its accessories only as an anga of bhakti". This contention of theirs is inappropriate. (For it has been said): "Those "who are incapable of meditation (upasana or bhakti) and seek Thee as their $up\bar{a}ya$ — even they cross over sams $\bar{a}ra$ and enter Thy abode, Vaikunta," "Whatever" object is desired, by whatsoever person and whatever cannot be obtained by other means. and that moksha from which abode there is no return and which cannot be attained by karma yoga, jnānayoga, or bhaktiyoga, all these fruits are obtained. O great sage, by those who respectively strive for them by the performance of prapatti. The Supreme Self is attained only thereby." As stated in these passages, if prapatti adopted as a direct and independent $up\bar{a}ya$ is well established, the prapanna acquires, as its reward, the capacity to meditate constantly on Narayana as stated in Sri Vaikunta gadya. This is just like milk being a suitable drink for both the healthy man and the man who is in ill-bealth. Though meditation $(u \rho \bar{a} sana \text{ or } b hakti)$ with its (Vedic) angas is not within the competency of every one, yet it is not impossible for everyone to meditate on the Lord in such measure as is possible for one with his competency, as may be seen in the passage :- "May I, "as the result of Thy will, he endowed with the power of constantly meditating on Thee!" The author of Srī Bhāshya, too, after teaching this upāya (prapatti) in his smaller gadya (Sri Ranga gadya) and in the longer gadya (Saranāgati gadya) and referred to it briefly in the Vaikuntagadya, is pleased to teach this form of

46A. Bhagavad Gita: 7-14 47. Brahma purana: 48. Ahirbudhnya Samhita: 37-25

49. Tirucchanda Viruttam: 101

meditation so that the desire for the end in view may gain in strength without suffering any diminution. He does so in the passage which begins as follows:— "In order⁵⁰ that one may continue to live the life of the spirit, one should meditate in this manner,"

THE RITES NITYA AND NAIMITTIKA ARE, ON NO ACCOUNT TO BE GIVEN UP BY THE PRAPANNA:

The author of Sri Bhāshya has also described in his Nitya the special form of worship $(pooj\bar{a})$ which is referred to in "I spent⁵¹ my time in studying the books which mention the attributes of the Lord, in listening to others reading them and in worshipping Him," (The description) begins (with the sentence):— "I will "now explain the manner in which the man with exclusive devotion to Bhagavan ebould perform the adoration ($\bar{a}r\bar{a}dhana$) of the Lord, Having become a worshipper with supreme and exclusive devotion to Him and with a desire only to serve Him", he goes on to say, "Remain meditating" (on the Lord) with a vision as clear as visual perception and with extreme love (to Him)".

This service to the Lord which is the overflow of oninterrupted meditation and seeks no other interest or profit and which consists in five forms of service in the course of the day, is in accord with what is enjoined in the scripture of Bhagavan (*Bhagavat* $S\bar{a}stra$) and with what has been prescribed by Vyasa, Duksha and other great sages. It is also implicit in the following Tamil verses:-"Giving up anger and deceit and controlling the senses, ^{53A}one should perform adoration five times in the day", as commented on by the $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$. "Approaching" the Lord in the morning (*abhigamana*), then proceeding to collect the materials required for his worship

50. Vaikunta gadya

53. Ramanuja: Nitya

51. Naomugan Tiruvandadi 63. 54[°] Vangipuram Nambi: Karika 34-36 52. Ramanuja: Nitya

53A. இருமுப்பொழுதும் is a phrase occurring in the verse மறக்டுகழும் Perumai Titumozbi (1.7) ($up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$); next performing the adoration ($\bar{a}r\bar{a}dhana$), alterwards uttering the sacred mantras (japa); lastly meditating on the Supreme Lord ($dhy\bar{a}na$); thus in the aforesaid five parts of the day, I will spend my days adoring Him with the good incense and flowers gathered by me with my own effort." Having beard, from the author of Sri Bhashya, this five-fold division of the day and what should be done in each, Vangipuram Nambi briefly summarised it in his Nitya in the words quoted above. This may be seen also in the treatise on daily life written by Periajeer and others. Any differences that might appear in these descriptions are easily explained as due to differences found in different Samhitäs.

Hence the prapanna should spend his time in accordance with such stattatic scheme of life as has been taught by his acharva in rendering service to Bhagavan with no other purpose than to please Him. (In this context the following passages may be borne in mind):- "I do" not desire to go to the divine world leaving Thee; nor do I desire to enjoy immortality so also without Thee, I have no desire for the glory which comes of ruling the world ". "You will "enjoy yourself with Site on the slopes of the moun-I will render all service to you whether you are awake or tains. asleep". " I may 57 be born to any one in whatever family; (wherever I may be born), may I have the eager longing to enjoy the pleasure of rendering service to Thee ! I will never do anything on behalf of any other deity with my body, mind, speech or head ". "The "only object that I have in view is that the Lord should take me into His service for His own pleasure,"

This daily worship of Bbagavan consisting of *abhigamana* (approach) (and the four other duties) should not be done for the sake of such things as vanity, gain or honours as dooe by unbelievers; nor should it be done for the sake of any other object in

55. Ramayanz: Ayodhya kanda:	57. Jitanta: JI
31-5	58. Tiruvoymozhi: 2-9-4
56. Ramayana: Ayodhya kanda: 31-27	

view (than pleasing Bhagavan, as may be done by those who have no knowledge of the truth and have not yet acquired freedom from the desire for worldly pleasures (vair $\bar{a}gya$) and other such virtues. Without any desire even for the attainment of moksha as by those who adopt bhaktiyoga but have not completed it, if this mode of worship is performed with the sole desire of doing what is agreeable to the Lord for its own sake, as it is done by those who have already attained mukti, this abhigamana (approach) and other things will shield the prapanna from the approach to other deities (abhigamana), from activities for the sake of other interests (upadana), from yajnas to other deities, from words about other (deities) and from meditation (dhy $\ddot{a}na$) of the other deities.

Perialwar bas pointed out that there will be no approach to other deities (abhigamana) in the following verse :- "Now" that I have the austerity (tapas) of rendering service to Thee, it would be a detraction from Thy glory, if I were hereafter to stand with bowed head in front of the house of any other. Kindly note". He has also pointed out that there will be no activity for securing other things ($up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$) in the verse :- "I will not " beg of Thee food for eating or cloth for wear." That there will be no yajna or sacrifice to others is brought out in passages like these :- "She" will never adore any deity other than Bhagavan even in her thoughts", "Who else" merits our flowers and our adoration than our Lord ?" "(The "worship of other deities than Bhagavan) is like washing a wooden doll when ones' mother is at hand to be served", and "O men" of the world who neglect Bhagavan and worship *fivas* as if they were deities ! Are you washing a wooden doll with warm water when similar service can and should be rendered to the mothers that gave you birth? Are you without the grace of the Lord that you cannot render service to Him ?." In the discourse of the seven sages also, it has been declared thus :---

- 59. Perialvar Tirumozhi 5-3-3.
- 60. Perialvar Tirumozhi 5-1-4.
- 61. Tiruvoymozhi: 4-6-10.
- 62. Tiruvoymozhi: 2-2-4
- 63. Tiruvasiriyam 6
- 64. Periatirumozhi 11-6-6

"He who "has stolen the *bulb of the lotus - let him worship other deities than Vishnu, who does good to Brahmins, who exercises control over the gods, who is worshipped by all those who live in the three worlds, who is the cause of the creation, the maintenance, and the destruction of the three worlds, who is under the control of no one else, who is the support of the world and who both creates and destroys the world, and who is the guru of the world," and again, "He who has stolen the bulh of the lotus -he is one who never performs those dharmas which please the Lord, who never favours them, and who is of such a nature that be practises evil dharmas and evil rites." That the prapauna will never utter the praise of others is declared in verses like the following :- Tiruvoymozhi 8-9 and also in: "The mouth will never sing the praise of any one other than the Lord." So also that the prapanna will never think of others is declared in the following passages :- " My thoughts" are never with any deity other than the Lord. Bhagavan who helps the gods is aware of this." and " I will^{ee} never think that there are other deities that I want to attain and that will come to me."

(Our ancient seers) have declared that even those who are not competent to perform this five-fold scheme of adoration may not fail to render this service or *kainkarya*, if they will perform such compulsory and occasional rites as they are competent for, if they sing the praise of the Lord and the like and if they render service to such persons as have the competency to perform that adoration and as have exclusive devotion to the Lord in accordance, with their competence and in perfect dependence on them. And this may be seen in the following passages :-- "The adoration" of Vishan is

NOTE* During a famine, seven sages obtained the bulb of a lotus for food and went out for their bath. Indra stole it and hid it. When the sages returned and found it missing, each of them had to swear in these words to prove that he had not stolen it.

65. Mababharata: Anusanika parva: (142-(59-61)

67. Mudal Tiruyandadi. 11:

- 68. Tiruvoimozhi. 7~10-10;
- 69. Peria Tirumozhi 8-10-2;
- 70. Padmottaram / 29-81

superior to all other adorations. The adoration of Vishun's devotee, which is different from it, is said to be superior even to that "; "Satagopan⁷¹ is ever in the company of the servants of Bhagavan who saved Gokula with the hill (as an umbrella)" and "The tabas of ⁷⁹those who are attached to the devotees of the Lord will surpass that of (even) those who sing the praise of the Lord." The iov of those who render this service and of those who look with delight on this service rendered by them is of the same nature as that of Iswara, who alone is competent to rule over the affairs of the world (jagad vyāpāra) and of those who have already attained mukti and merely look on. There is nothing inappropriate in this joy being the same in the case of those who perform the service and of those who look on it with delight, for it is just like the purifying influence described in the following scloka :- "To hear" of the performance of dharma (done by others), to see it, to think of it, to speak of it and to find delight in it — all these, O hest of kings, purify always the person doing so."

So also it is said in the Mahäbhärata: - "Therefore^{73A} a Sudra should not utter my names with the pranava (the syllable Om), and in the Näradiyakalpa "The japa of the ashtäkshara" has been prescribed, for women and for Sudras to be performed without the dative suffix thus: - (namonäräyana). Similarly there are no rules for them about the svara, about pranava and about tooching the limbs (anganyāsa). It is declared in the sästras that for women and for Sūdras, japa consists in the mere ntterance of the mantra (without them)". In the Varähapuranam, it is said, "The man¹⁵ horn of the casts of those who sat dog's flesh woke up as soon as the day dawned, finished his observance which consisted in singing the praise of Bhagavan and returned after uttering the mantra "Namo Nāräyana". So also

- 71. Tiruyoimozhi: 7-4-11
- 72. Nanmugan Tiruvandadi: 18
- 73. Mahabharata: Aswamedhika
 - parva: 96--31
- 73A. Mahabharata: Aswamedhika parva: 118-14
- 74. Naradiya Kalpa
- 75. Varahapuranam: 139-53

we find the following :- " If a "man goes to Vaikunta uttering the moolamantra, 'Namo Nārana' with his hands folded in reverence over his head, he will never return to the world of samsära etc. and "If one is "to utter the name of Bhagavan, one should say "namo Narana". The middle of the sugar-cane (i.e.) Ashtākshara with eight joints becomes suitable to everyone, if the root and the top (i.e.) the first and the last syllable are cut off. So also there is nothing inappropriate in all prapaunas enjoying the delight (rasa) of thinking of Bhagavan at any time whatever. It is only for bhakti yoga, which has its angas or accessories and which is different from prapatti, that some are not competent. Even though prabatti becomes perfect by the rite which lasts only for a moment (we are taught) thus hy the Saviour: "Always" utter the dvava with the thought of its meaning (in your mind)". Therefore the command "Narayana is to be meditated on always" should be taken as an $u \rho \overline{u} v \sigma$ for some (viz. those who adopt bhakti) and as the fruit for others, (namely prapannas). Thus the command is in no way opposed to prapatti adopted as a direct and independent means.

Sri Ramanuja has explained in such treatises as Sri Bhāshya how prapatti is an anga to bhakti or $np\bar{a}sana$. In the gadya he explains how prapatti can also be an independent and direct means. It is true we find the following :— "There is nothing "other than yoga which can destroy such evil traits as ignorance (avidyā) (and conceit)" and, "Past karma⁶⁰ cannot be destroyed even in ten thousand births without yoga. Only the fire of yoga can consume away the dry grass of karma." But since prapatti has been enjoined as a direct and independent means, on the strength of that ordinance, the passages cited above (on yoga) should be considered as applicable only to those others who are competent for them.

Similarly answers to other misconceptions that might arise in regard to sādhyopāya (bhakti and prapatti) may be found in

- 76. Perialvar Tirumozhi: 4-5-?
- 77. Perialvar Tirumozhi: 6-10-1
- 79. Vishnupuranam: 6-7-25
- 80. Vishnudharma:102-4
- 78. Ramanuja : Saranagati Gadya.

Nikshepa rakshā. Sadhyopāya as clarified in this chapter should be borne in mind in regard to the three mysteries (mantras) in the appropriate place.

TAMIL VERSE:

The Sruti states at length that the Supreme Being chooses those who should win His grace; but this choice (of the jiva bound by karma for the bestowal of His grace) is based on some consideration. Therefore we obtain redemption by the mercy of the Lord who, as antaryāmī, supports the world and, as Saviour, helps us cross the sea of samsara and by understanding clearly the good paths for winning His grace (bhakti and prapatti) as described in the sāstras.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Those (great men) who were well-versed in the dialectical methods of rationalists which resemble the art of conjuring, who stand first like the little finger while counting men renowned in argument and whose thoughts have been rendered clear by the sosp-nut of the *Upanishads* and the tradition that has followed therefrom — (those great men) have taught us, in the manner described in this chapter, that $S\ddot{a}dhyop\ddot{a}ya$ (bhakti and prapatti) are the means of securing the favour of $Siddhop\ddot{a}ya$ (namely Bhagavan).

(25) THE CHAPTER ON THE LIMITS WITHIN WHICH THE UPAYAS BHAKTI AND PRAPATTI HAVE POTENCY:

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The essential nature $(svar \bar{u}pa)$ of the Omnipresent Lord (vibhu), what and of what description are His qualities, His vibhavas $(avat\bar{u}rs)$. His sportful activities and the like and the limits set by His command — all these can be determined from the srutis. So also the greatness of His devotees and the potency of the ways in which we should worship Him (bhakti) and the like (prapatti) have been determined by great men like Sri Ramanuja in accordance with what is stated (in the $s\bar{u}stras$).

We have so far shown the manner in which the misconceptions that arise in regard to the Siddhopāya which is revealed by the words in the second or accusative case in Dvaya (Srīman Nārāyaņa charaņau) and Saraņam and the specific sādhyopāya (prapatti) mentioned in the predicate (prapadye) can be removed. It now remains to clear those misconceptions that may arise about the potency of the upaya - misconceptions assigning to it a greater or less potency than that warranted by the pramānas (sources of true knowledge).

THE GREATNESS OF THE DEVOTEES OF BHAGAVAN:

Those who have adopted these $up\bar{u}yas$ are, indeed, described as having the greatness of being capable of securing redemption even to those who are connected with them. It is said: "Their 'fathers and their grand-fathers dance in joy clapping (their hands) saying: "A devotee of Vishnu is born into our family and he will help to save us from samsāra", and again, "Those seven" generations of men who were born before into my family and those seven generations who will be born after me have become the servants

1. Varahapuranam

2. Tiruvoymozhi: 2-7-1.

of the Lord. We have obtained this glorious and great existence ". Similarly it has indeed been etated that the greatness of the man who has a knowledge of Bhagavan cannot be (adequately) estimated by the gods even ": "Even the" gods cannot measure the greatness of the man who knows Sri Krishna as He is ". However we will now state the limits (of this greatness) so far as caste is concerned, by the force of pramaŋas which are not opposed to these texts (quoted above).

DOES PRAPATTI ENTITLE A SUDRA TO BE CONSIDERED A BRAHMIN?

It is said: "Those Sudras' who have devotion' (bhakti) to Bhagavan are not studras; they who are devotees of Bhagavan are Brahmins. Those who have no bhakti to Bhagavan (Janardana), whatever might be their casts — they alone are $s\bar{u}dras$ ". Thus by the presence or absence in them of the specific attribute of bhakti to Bhagavan, men of lower castes are called by the names of the higher and men of higher castes are called by the names of the lower respectively. From this, men of poor understanding might ask, "Are not the devotees of Bhagavan of one and the same caste ?" If this view were accepted, it would be in conflict with all the stastras that prescribe the respective course of right conduct stating, "Thus will the devotee of the Lord who is a Brahmin conduct himself and thus will the devotee who is a Sudra conduct bimself." The distance that exists between the mere Brahmin and the mere Stidra should be considered to exist (also) between the Lord's devotee who is a Brahmin and the Lord's devotee who is a $S\overline{u}dra$. The scatter which state that they are equal refer only to such things as the attainment of the final goal (moksha). Kadambi Appullar has made this point clear. Further learned scholars (like Peria Achan Pillai) while commenting on the verse, "The fname, Narayana confere a (higher) kulam", express the

4. Mahabharata: Aswamedhika-

parva : 118-32.

^{3.} Mahabharata: Aranya Parva 191-29. 5. Tirumangai Alvar 1-1-9.

following conclusion :--- "If a man who is low by birth and by conduct attains contact with Bhagavan, one who has them both should shrink from calling him low, because of the potency of his devotion to Bhagavan". In answer to the question - "But this does not mean intermarriage among them", they declared that this (probibition of inter-marriage) is due to caste and that the praise of the man is due to his possession of virtues. The words, " It confers a higher kulam", and likewise, the passage - "We will give up our former kulam, and sing the preise of Bhagavan" mean only exaltation in the Vaishnava kulam. His bulan is indeed such as will not entertain the worship of other deities as well as the Lord. The saying current in the families of those who are exclusively devoted to Bhagavan (ekanti) is indeed as follows :-"He to "whom Vasadeva is not the (sole) deity from hirth to deathlet him not be born into our family and even if he is born, let him die soon." Though the kulam is changed, since the words caste and kulam have been used in different senses, we may say that the caste will remain the same, but that the kulam will be different. "Though the cow may be of the temple, it does not cease to be a cow". In such passages as this ;--- "He should be considered⁶ as a $S\bar{u}dra$ ", the great sages called men of higher castes by the words applicable to the lower castes and vice versa merely to indicate the degree of consideration or respect to be shown. Therefore the purport of the stastra is only this much: "Brahmins who are not devotees of Bhagavan are not to be held in esteem, whereas Sudras who are devotees of Bhagavan should be as much esteemed as Brahmins."

If this were not accepted (as the right interpretation), all rules and regulations (regarding caste) would be set at naught on the strength of the censure and praise (which) alone are intended to be conveyed) in such passages as the following :- *"Auspicious qualities which carry esteem do not arise from caste. The gods

9. Mahabharata : Aswamedhika parva: 116-8

8.º Parameshiya samhita:

Varahapuranam.

^{6.} Perialvar Tiruppallandu 5.

consider as a Brahmin even a *chandāla* who conducts himself in accordance with his caste," "Sannyasins¹⁰ and those who perform *tapas* (austerities) become *chandālas* when they lapse from the code of conduct prescribed for them", and "Because" a man's hair has turned grey, he is not esteemed as old. He who has *jnāna*, even if he be a child, is esteemed hy the gods as an old man". This is the right explanation of all such passages :---

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

When a member of one caste is praised as being of another caste, it is to state that he should be esteemed as such at heart. But social conduct is regulated by special rules governing it.

Sri Vidura, too, performed marriages and the like in accordance with the rules of his caste. "After the best of the Brahmins had eaten, Sri Krishna" sat on a high seat and ate the pure and delicious food offered by Vidura." On hearing of this, Duryodhana said to Sri Krishna:—"Without "coming to Bhishma, Drona or to me, O Thon of lotus eyes, why didst thon eat, O Madhusudana, in the house of a $S\bar{n}dra$?" Sri Krishna replied, "The food" offered by an enemy should not be eaten. (So also) an enemy should not be given food to eat. You hate the Pandavas, O king. Are they not my very life?" In answering thus, it is evident that Sri Krishna admitted the truth of the particular caste ascribed to Vidura by Duryodhana.

It may be asked: "Is not the eating of food cooked by a $S\overline{u}dra$ forbidden to Brahmins and Kshatriyas?" The answer is as follows: "Apastamba and others state that $S\overline{u}dras$ who" are nuder the supervision of Aryas are competent to cook their food". In other *yugas* (than Kali) there was no prohibition, because good Sndras under the control of Aryas were permitted to cook the food of the Aryas. (We read) further :-- "The "pure Vidura,

14. Mahabharata : Udyogaparva : 91-27

13. Bharata Savitri

^{11.} Manu Smriti: 2-156

^{12.} Mehabharata: Udyoga parva: 91 (42-45)

^{15.} Apastamba: Dharma Sutra: 2-2-4

Mahabharata : Udyogaparva: 91-43

baving the qualities necessary for it, brought the food." This testifies to it, as Vidura's exceptional qualities are referred to.

When this Brahma Jnani (Vidura) gave up his life by the power of yoga, Dharmaputra (it is true) felt it his duty (as his nephew) to perform his funeral obsequies in the special form (of *Brahmamedha*). But it may have been performed in silence (without mantras;) or it was justifiable in the case of that exceptional individual. This should not be considered as a precedent in the case of other individuals (as a principle applicable to all). If (this answer) were not accepted, it would follow that, because the virtuous Pandavas had a common wife, owing to the force of certain exceptional circumstances, others, too, might do likewise. (This would lead to promiscuity in social relations). Therefore each individual should stand firm in his caste and render service (*kainkarya*) to Bhagavan in accordance with what is prescribed as competent for that caste.

Those who abide by the sästras should not believe in the elevation from (lower) castes stated in the deceptive sästras (of heretics). The case of such as Visvamitra (who became a Brahmin after being a Kshatriya, was due to certain special causes as the charu (oblation of grain cooked in milk and other things) eaten by his mother without knowing that it was intended for producing a Brahmin child — it should not be considered that it is applicable to others as well, for it is against the texts (in the sastras). The incidents and conduct of the Alvars who were possessed of powers even superior to those of Vidura and others should not be taken as precedents for our conduct. If we examine even their conduct carefully, (we shall find) that they did not transgress the rales of their respective castes.

The *siloka* :—" Vishnu" is always to be presed (in song) with true knowledge $(jn\bar{a}na)$. Therefore the pure knowledge concerning

the eternal Lord should be obtained in clearness either from Brahmins or from Kshatriyas or from Vaisyas or from Sudras or from even lower castes - this sloka means merely that since knowledge of Bhagavan is essential as an acquisition, mere knowledge in its clearness should be obtained, when men of the previous castes are not available, even from those that are below them. Notwithstanding this, the initiation into vidyas (upasanas), mantras and the like should be only from such as Brahmins. This is clearly established from Vidura's words:—"As I was "horn a Sudra, I cannot teach any further."

Tuladhara and Dharmavyadha (who were of lower castes) only did this much: they treated with respect the Brahmins who came to them to have their doubts cleared regarding *dharma* and made them understand the points at issue, just like people who put pilgrims on the right path when they happen to have lost their way. They did not become the main $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$ (of the Brahmins). This is how the incidents in the Itihaaas should be understood.

"Bhakti or" devotion is of eight kinds. If this bhakti is found in a misccha, he should be considered as a devout and knowing Brahmin. To him giving is proper and from him taking is proper. He should be treated with the same reverence as I myself " - This sloka has been commented upon (by Peria Achan Pillai) as meaning not that girls might be given in marriage to him and the like, but that he may be given the gift of knowledge and such like. "Treatment with reverence" (boojyah) is a general term. Therefore it means that if a man has devotion to Bhagavan, he should be treated with as much respect as the sastras permit. Its purport is merely this much. that if a nian treats such a devotee in the same way as he would treat others of the same casts, he would go to The great sage summarised this in the stoka "He who hell. ¹⁰treats a devotee of Bhagavan, be he a Sudra, a huntaman, or even a man who eats dog's flesh, as he would treat other men of these

Mahabharata: udyoga parva: 41-5. 20. Itihasa samucchayam 27-26.
 19. Garuda Puranam: 219-6-5.

castes - he would go to hell." Therefore the potency of devotion to Bhagavan should be so estimated as not to infringe the regulations of caste.

SANSKRIT SLOKA.

Therefore the contention that all devotees of Vishnu are of the same caste either because of the lower caste perishing or of all castes perishing—this contention is foolish. Reverence for the sake of devotion to Bhagavan is common, according to the sages, to all. Equality by the destruction of castes and the like will occur (only) at the time of *mukti*.

In the Bhagavad Gtīā and elsewhere, in such passages as the following, the regulations and limits of the respective castes and regulations of conduct in accordance with castes have been declared in unequivocal terms, even in the case of men who have devotion to Bhagavan : -- " Women,³¹ Vaisyas and Sudras, even among these, whoever seek me as refuge will attain the highest state". " ТЪе man whoⁱⁿ is absorbed in the performance of the duties and rites pertaining to his caste will attain the supreme end of life. Learn from me how this happens. The man attains his end by worshipping Bhagavan with the performance of his ordained karma"; "Therefore the³⁰ Sildra should not utter my names with the pranava (aum)", "Bhagavan who²⁴ is the Supreme Person is adored by the man who performs the duties and rites of his varna and his *asrama*. There is nothing which delights Bhagavan other than this". "The man²⁴ who does not deviate in the least from the karmas ordained for his caste, who considers alike those who wish him well and those who wish him ill, and who never deprives another of what belongs to him and never does any action of violence (himsil) - know that this man who has a mind free from

- 21. Bhagavad Gita 9-32.
- 22. Bhagavad Gita 18(45-46)
- 23. Mahabharata : Aswamedhikaparya 18-14.
- 24. Vishnupurana 3-8-9
- 25. Vishnu purana: 3-7-20

faults like desire is a devotee of Vishnu". Thus the virtue of being a devotee of Bhagavan and the specific fruit which will result from it (*mukti*) are common, whereas diversity of castes and diversity of codes of conduct persist (until *mukti*). This is evident from such passages as this --- "The Sudra is (really) "fortunate and Kaliyuga is (really) fortunate".

SATTVAM OF THE BODY AND SATTVAM OF THE MIND.

It has been said, "Tamas" (ignorance, sloth etc.,) is found in great measure in the Stidra, rajas in the kshatriya and sattuam in the Brahmin". Owing to the preponderance of such qualities as sattvam, in the body, a man is entitled to be called a Brahmin, a kshatriya and the like. But this is different from the praise of being a Brahmin that is often given in certain passages, owing to the quality of sattuam and the like in the mind. The qualities of caste pertaining to the body which are due to the special qualities of the body arise even at the time of birth and remain uptil death. The Brahmin-like qualities which arise out of the preponderance of sattuam in the mind may be present in all castes. In such as Prahlads they are present even at the time of birth. In others, owing to such specific causes as contect with āchāryas, these (mental) qualities are acquired (later). Some (texts in the) statras are applicable to a person as a consequence of the particular caste pertaining to the body. Owing to the Brahmin-like qualities (of the mind) and the like, the attainment of the supreme end of life and the $up\bar{u}yas$ therefor and also such things as sulogy may be found in all castes. If in a Brahmin are found such festures as are incongruous (with his caste), it wil meet with censure that, born in a caste which is declared by the stastras as being capable of such virtues as self-restraint and mental serenity, he lapsed from the condition suitable to him. If a man born in other castes acquires the higher virtues, he will be extolled highly as stated in the sloka :-- " Men are to" be hononred in the

26, Vishnu purana : 6-2-6

28. Yajnyavalkya Smriti 1-116

^{27.} Mababharata: Aswamedhika parva: 40-11

following order of preference—for the possession of vidya (jnana), of right karma, of age, of relatives and of wealth. Even a Südra possessing these is fit to be bonoured in old age ".

If the excellence arising from the body and from the qualities of the mind were to cause pride or arrogance, it would lead to evil If the inferiority in the one (caste due to the body) oonsequences. and in the other (mental virtues) as described respectively in the following passages, "I was" not born in any of the four castes where *dharma* could be practised.'; and "I was" born in a family renowned in the world wherein every one was pure and performed yoga, where every one knew the trath about prakriti with its three qualities, and the *jivas*, where every one had his mind firmly fixed at Thy lotus-like feet and yet I inclined to sin and am now sunk in samsāra, O Saviour" --- if these two kinds of inferiority are realised by a person and if it should generate in him $k\bar{a}rpanya$ (humility) so that he adopts the particular upwya suitable for his wkinchanya (helplessness), it will be extolled, because it will lead to the attainment of the ultimate end. Thus though there are regulations regarding castes and the code of conduct pertaining to each caste, there is nothing to prevent a person from being bonoured in the mind as established above.

EKANTINS AND PARAMAIKANTINS:

Among those who are devoted to Bhagavan, those who do not how to any other deity are $Ek\bar{a}ntins$ (*i.e.*) men with exclusive devotion to Bhagavan, as stated in the sloka:— "Exclusive devotion" ($Ek\bar{a}ntitvam$) to Bhagavan is common to all these three. Exclusive devotion consists in indifference to all other deities and in depending on Him alone."

Among them the man with *jnāna* is called *Paramaikāntin* or man with supreme and exclusive devotion to Bhagavan, because he does not want anything else and among these latter, the supreme

```
29. Tirucchanda Viruttam - 90 31. Gitartha Sangraba : 28-32
```

30, Alavandar Stotram: 61

and exclusive devotion of those who, impatient of delay, have performed bharanyāsa to the Almighty Seshī, and who, understanding the truth of the scruti that those asking for other things are like men putting their money to interest, do not ask even for moksha as a reward and consider service (kainkarya) as an end in itself — the supreme and exclusive devotion of these men is like gold which is sixteen points pure*. The great sages who can estimate this degree of purity have described, at considerable length, the greatness of these men with supreme and exclusive devotion to Bhagavan, in such treatises as the Mahäbhärata.

It is said in the "Vihagendra Samhitā" as follows :-- "The man who is always thinking of Narayana - whatever be the calling (vritti) he § follows for his living, that is adoration; whatever be says (jalpah) is japam; whatever he sees is meditation or dhyana ; the water that has received contact with his feet is incomparably boly water, whatever is left after he bas eaten will purify; his mere words are a sacred mantra ; whatever he touches becomes pure." These slokas, it is true, are authoritative, but "whatever be the calling, that is adoration " does not refer to any calling that is condemned in the sastras. It means that even if the man is abliged, owing to the unfavourable conditions brought about by place, time and the like, to follow a calling which is not ordained for him, it will be a proper means of obtaining the materials required for the adoration of the Lord, for it has been said : "In "times of adversity, a man may follow a calling slightly lower than that prescribed for him." The passage which says :-- " Evens the sin committed for my sake will be counted as dharma" has also the same purport. If this (interpretation) were not accepted, it would extend even to those callings that are prohibited. Even if the reading (in the sloka cited above) be cheshia (movement) and not vritti (calling), just like the acts intended for keeping the body alive and those that are enjoined by the statistica, which are

33.

[•] NOTE :- This means cent per cent purity.

[§] another reading is cheshta (i.e.) movement (instead of vritti),

(therefore) accepted, the word cheshta (movements) would refer to such things as the closing and the opening of the eyes which are natural and which are reckoned in the secret sastra (i.e.) Bhagavat Sāstra as among* the offerings to the Lord. This will not extend to those movements that are forbidden (in the sāstras). Only those which are not forbidden and which are consistent with one's nature and reason and with sastra are ordeined as fit to be offered. This applies also to the sloka in the $Git\bar{a}$ which elaborates this idea, namely, "Whatever" you do for the protection of the body, whatever you eat, whatever offerings you make in the fire and whatever tapas you perform, do it, O son of Kunti, as if it were an offering to be placed before me." If, in such cases, any offence is committed against the sästras, one should perform, on the occasion, expisiony rites which are of the nature of service obligatory for the occasion (naimittka), in accordance with what is competent for one. "Whatever he says (jalpak) is japa" does not refer to vain chattering such as is forhidden in the siloka : "Harsh "speech, exaggeration of marits, tale-bearing, inappropriate talk- these are the four sins of speech." It refers to the series of speeches and counter-speeches that are held by the man with his disciples, preceptors, fellow students, scholars and those who are in pursuit of spiritual excellence in order to teach what is not known, to confirm what is already known or to remove doubts. Or the word jalpah in that sloka might mean the succession of speeches that are made in debate to refute infidels and heretics, for, though it is stated as a general rule, "One should not"A debate merely for the sake of a quarrel without the desire to know the truth", yet the dharma - sästras declare: "One should" condemn, according to one's ability, those who speak ill of Bhagavan or of one's acharya". "Whatever he sees is meditation or divana" does not refer to such things as witnessing forbidden

• NOTE:-The sloka which says:- "Whatever I do by the nature of prakriti and with my body, my speech, my senses, my intelligence or my soul, I offer all that to the Supreme Narayana."

34. Bhagavad Gita: 9-27

Manuamriti 12-6

35A. Manu Smriti: 4-72

dances. It describes how when this man proceeds to render service (kainkarva), whatever object meets his eye appears to him as having Brahman as its inner self, for it has been said, 'I am Hari": all this is Janardana; the causal substances like mahat and the effects which are produced therefrom are not different from Him. The man who thinks in this manner - he will never fall a victim to the diseases of pleasure and pain which arise in somsāra". So also it is said, "Whatever" appears (before his eyes) is the Supreme Self". The sentence "The water that has received contact with his feet is incomparably holy water " only assigns a superior merit when it is due. When the water from the feet is considered as holy, if there is exclusive devotion to Narayana in the person, it is said to be holier than other sacred waters. It does not refer to such thiogs as impure water which may, by chaoce. come into contact with his feet. The statement "Whatever is left after he has eaten purifies" refers only to certain specific remnants (of food) (ucchishta) which are prescribed as purificatory in contexts like a repeated Upanayana. Owing to the excellence of this quality, (the remnant of the food) is said to have superior purifying power. The words, certainly, do not mean that when disciples, sons and the like are devoted to Narayana, whatever is left as remnant after they have eaten is purificatory for acharyus and parents. It is said in the Sanathumara Samhita that the map who has eaten the food that has been offered to other deities or what is left as a remnant after those who are other than gurus have eaten should, for purification, live on milk alone for a month (payoorata), should always utter ashtākshara and eat also Brahmakurcha - panchagavya. This passage prescribes the code of conduct for one who follows the dharma of Bhagavan and has been taken for illustration by Alavandar in his Agama Prāmāņya. Therefore the verse - "If the "remnant of the food that has been already eaten by the devotees of the Lord is given to me, it will immediately make me pure" - is only applicable to

37. Vishnupurana 1-22-87

39. Titumali: 42

38. Nanmugan Tiruvandadi: 54.

gurus. Even if it is of general application, it means only this much -- "If those who are devotees of the Lord should give me what is left over after their meal, it would purify me, even though they have been obliged, by force of unfavourable circumstances like place and time, to follow occupations lower than those ordained for them and to make others also follow them." In this (Tamil) passage, if the word sesha should mean only what is left in the vessels in which the food was cooked as in the question and answer (after a straddha) -- "what is to be done with the food left over ?." and "let it be eaten in the company of friends" - if it should mean only this, there is nothing wrong. The word ucchishta, too, has been employed in the scrutis to mean what is left in the cooking vessel after (people) have dined. Many and others have determined to this effect :- "One 39^should give up wealth and pleasure (artha and käma) when they are against dharma. Even dharma which is likely to bring suffering or which is likely to rouse disgust among people in the world should be given up".

"His very speech should be considered as a sacred mantra ":-This means that even the words in the vernecular language employed by him as necessary for spiritnal elevation should be cherished like Tirumantra and reflected upon. It does not mean that his talk on worldly affairs is fit to be uttered as japa. The saying : "His" words in jest should be cherished as the texts in the srutis" should also be interpreted in this sense. "All that he touches becomes pure ": This should be interpreted as follows:- When a man is ill (and cannot himself bathe), another is allowed to touch him and hathe for his sake; when the āchārya initiates the sishya into the sacred mantras, he touches the sishya with his hand; this touch is called "touch with the hand of Vishnu". When an idol is newly installed, it is touched with the hand by great devotees of the Lord. In certain other oircumstances, too, the touch with the band is prescribed as purificatory. The passage means, therefore, that his touch of all things is even more purificatory in such contexts as are ordained in the sāstras.

If this (interpretation) were not accepted, it would follow that the things which are condemned in the following slokas would ahould not be eaten ". "One" should not eat kommatti or (mullangi). athikkāi, suraikkāi and kovaippasham. Bhagavan ever standa far away from the man who always eats them." He who eats white brinjals, coop-nut (cosseries.), kommatti (or mullangi), kuvalai, athikkāi, kāndal in ignorance—to him Bhagavan is always at a distance. He who eats, kommatti, sittavarai, kāndal, nilaippanai and suraikkāi — to bim Bhagavan is ever at a distance." He who eats white brinjals, kommatti, cheese (or curds) made from the milk of a cow within ten days of its calving, the bulb of the lotus - he will never think in his last moments of Bhagavan, the Lord of the world, "He who has eaten "velangäi (Gaavissi), peerku, irulli, vellulli, näikkudai (mushroom) ürpanrikkishangu — he should perform the expisiony ceremony called 'Chandrayana'. "To eat the sprout of the cocosnut, sanaippukkirai, mushroom, kusumbai, suraikkäi, fruits or vegetables that have been produced with nightsoil as manure, milk mixed with salt, ghee that has been poured into the remnauts of food on the leaf or plate, water in the place where the dhohy washes clothes — to eat these is the same as to drink toddy." "The man who thinks of "Bhagavan should never drink vinegar (arg). It is reckoned as liquor. Therefore one should earnestly avoid it." "The "Vaishnava who touches blood even without knowing what it is - I never condone his offence. O goddess of the earth, even after a thousand apologies." "He who is born a "Brahmin and eats anna (cooked food) on the Ekadasi day — he eats sin in every morsel and the morsel is comparable to the dung of the dog ". "He who is not ill and yet eats anna (cooked food) on the Ekadasi day-he sins as though he has drunk liquor. There

41. Mahabharata: Anusanikaparya 167-97	44. Sandilya Smriti 2-51
42.	45. Varahapuranam
43. Mahabharata: Aswamedhikaparva 112-44	46. Satvataannhita

is no doubt of this. The man who drinks liquor goes to hell by himself, whereas the man who eats *anna* on *Ekādasi* day drags his ancestors (also) into hell". "The mind becomes⁴⁷ impure by eating forhidden food, by coveting what one has not got, by seeing various objects of sense-pleasure and by want of cleanliness in the body". "Yama plans" to kill the Brahmin with (the weapons of) failure to study the *Vedas*, the absence of right observances, laziness and forbidden food."

If no such discrimination were accepted, it would conflict with many $s\bar{a}stras$ which have been recognised as great authorities and also with the traditional observances of the learned (*s'ishta*). Therefore to state such views is merely of the nature of exaggeration (of some one aspect). Even by the man who, has supreme and exclusive devotion (*paramaikāntin*) and whose greatness is boundless, these restrictions imposed by the *s'āstras* are not violated. This may be understood from the observances until the last day of their lives, of great $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$ like Nathamuni, Alavandar and Sri Ramanuja.

THE PERFORMANCE OF THE RITES OF THE VARNAS AND ASRAMAS IS OBLIGATORY;

Those who are outside the pale of the Vedas and those who hold heretical views give up, owing to delusion, these restrictions and regulations which are ordained in the Vedas and the $s\bar{a}stras$ haved on the Vedas. That this kind of renunciation is due to tamas is declared by Bhagavan in the sloka: — "One "should not give up the karma ordained for the castes and the $\bar{a}sramas$. Their omission arising from ignorance is renunciation $(ty\bar{a}ga)$ due to tamas". He has (also) declared that the giving up of activities and abstentions which are of the nature of pain is renunciation due to rajas, in the following:— "He who gives up karma," because it is painful and fatiguing to the body — he

47.	Sriranga Mahatmiya 47-10	49. Bhagavad Gita 18	.7
48.	Manusmriti 5-3	50. Bhagavad Gita 18	-8

renounces owing to *rajas* and does not obtain the fruit of renunciation." His *tyāga* or renunciation is like that of the man who becomes *sannyāsin* because of the difficulties of maintaining a family.

While giving up, in accordance with our competence, what should be given up and doing what should be done, we should give up the thought, "I am doing all this as an independent agent, this activity is dependent on me; this will be the means of my attaining such and such an object". We should, on the other hand, think that the Supreme Ruler is the (real) doer and that we render service to Him, which is His due, with the sole object of pleasing Him. If we follow this line of thought (in what we do and in what we refrain from), it is renunciation due to sattvam. and this bas been declared by Bhagavan in :- "To perform the" karma ordained for a man with the thought that it is an end in itself and that it ought to be done without the thought that the karma is his (independent) action and without the desire for gain of any kind-this is, O Arjuna, renunciation due to sativam" The man should observe (these rites and regulations) solely for the purpose of pleasing Bhagavan. He should not even ask for moksha as a reward, for he should realise that, by the grace of the Allmerciful Lord which has been won by the propatti performed before, moksha is certain. (He should) render service (kainkarya) like those who have already attained mukti. This would resemble a healthy man eating food with milk (without any thought of the cure from illness to be obtained from milk). Such a code of conduct is the highest limit of renuncistion due to sattvam. This is like eating food when men are hungry. Otherwise their conduct would resemble that of men who est cakes for winning a wager, (They cannot derive any true pleasure therefrom). [The man that eats food when hungry enjoys it, because he does so with no other object than satisfying his hunger. The man who eats cakes for winning a wager, cannot find any relish in doing so.]

THE REAL MEANING OF "HAVING GIVEN UP ALL DHARMAS" IN THE CHARAMA SLOKA:

While commenting on the words (in the Charama Sloka) "Having given up all dharmas", the author of Sri Bhäshya interpreted them at first to mean that the giving up of dharmas means the giving up of the thought that, in his action, the man himself is the doer seeking a certain gain for himself by that means. This is renunciation of action due to sattuam (and not the giving up of the dharma itself vis, nitya and naimittika). This form of thought should be borne in mind by the man who has adopted prapatti as a direct and independent $\mu \rho \bar{a} \gamma a$, at the time of the performance of prapatti and elso in his further actions (after prapatti), which are ends in themselves and have no relation of any kind to an upaya. In the second interpretation given of those words (by the anthor of of the Bhüshya), they mean that when the man of poor ability who cannot brook any delay in the attainment of mukti falls into grief or despair at the thought of all the *dharmas* or rites prescribed in the stästras and his inability to perform them, the Lord, in order to dispel his despair, ordained another means which is easy of performance and has to be adopted only once and said : "You need not go about seeking other upāyas " The prapanna should note that in order that one may be competent for prapatti, the absence of adoption of any other updya before its performance is a requisite which has been present of its own accord by the grace of God. In regard to actions after the performance of prapatti, this giving up of the adoption of other upayas is insisted on in the sastra itself and this shows how prapatti does not require any aid (after it is once done).

If the word *parityajya* (having given up) merely states what has, on account of inability, already occurred (and not what is enjoined), the meaning (of the first part of the *charama sloka*) would be that this having given up is a qualification for *prapatti*. If, on the other hand, the words (having given up) state what is ordained or enjoined to be done, then the meaning would be that prapatti does not require anything else to be performed. Thus, if parityajya (baving given up) merely states what has already taken place or what has already occurred, the words $m\bar{a}m$ ekam will have to be thought of as meaning that nothing else (than Bhagevan) is required for the attainment of the desired end. If, on the other hand, parityajya means that prapatti requires nothing else for yielding its fruit, the words, $m\bar{a}$ suchah (do not grieve), show, by the force of logic or meaning, that the grief or despair which is a mark of being destitute of other means is what is required for competency for praputti (adhikāra;)

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

If prapatti stands in the place of bhakti or upāsana as one of the Brahma Vidyās, then the question arises whether the angas of bhakti might be considered as the angas of prapatti as well. But, in prapatti, they are not required as angas. This is indicated by the words mām and ekam.

(If the word, parityajya, makes an injunction that other things should not be considered as angas for prapatti, and if the words ($m\bar{a}s'uchah$) (do not grieve or despair) show that the previous grief or despair is qualification for prapatti ($\bar{a}kinchanya$), then, where is the appropriateness of the word ekam (it may be asked). The answer is :— the word ekam is intended to show that in the mental or $s\bar{a}ttvika$ renunciation of action by sattvam, it should be borne in mind that the $j\bar{v}a's$ doership is all dependent on the Lord and other such things, that in prapatti, the means ($up\bar{a}ya$) and the end are one and the same (viz, the Lord) and that prapattiatands in the place of all (other) $up\bar{a}yas$.

*NOTE:-To the man or adhikari who grieves at his inability to adopt the dharmas or angas enjoined for bhakti yoga, the Charama Sloka says, "Perform prapatil to me and you need not adopt any other dharma".

Our acharyas like (Appullar) have argued thus:---

Since nitya and maimittika karma are enjoined on a man (irrespective of all fruit or gain that might arise from it) simply by virtue of his being a servant of the Lord, they should not be given up, lest he should be violating the command of the Master, (although they may not be required as angas for prapatti).

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:

Those who have violated the commands (of the Lord) and have not performed the expiatory ceremonies ($pr\bar{a}yaschitta$) enjoined for these violations according to their competence — they must suffer the consequences of the violation. By acting in accordance with the commands, one avoids the evil consequences (due to violation) and enjoys (also) the pleasure arising from the delight of the Lord. Thus two gains are certain.

Such things as svarga that are declared to be the fruit of certain (good) karmas will not arise (as the prapanna performs them without desiring any fruit.) But if the man performs forbidden actions, the evil consequences will necessarily follow (though not desired by him), owing to another cause (viz. doing the forbidden thing).

When prapatti was treated (by the Bhāshyakāra) as an anga of bhakti yoga, it was only to illustrate how it wins bhakti yoga as a fruit, since it is capable of securing all fruits. In this context, we should bear in mind that, on the lines of the Bhashyakara's second interpretation (of the charama siloka). prapatti stands in the place of all $up\bar{a}yas$ including bhakti yoga, which are difficult of performance. In accordance with his first interpretation, (that every dharma should be done with the thought that it is performed not by oneself hut by the Lord and for His own ends — sāttvika parityāga) and not out of any desire for one's own gain, the meaning should be considered as enjoining the performance of prapatti with that thought and the service rendered by the prapanna as an end in itself.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS;

"When a man falls into despair or grief because he is not able to adopt certain $up\bar{a}yas$ which are prescribed as productive of the desired results — to that man I stand as those $up\bar{a}yas$. This is the gist of the charama sloka."

The performance or the non-performance of certain dharmas (like nitys and usimittiks) are not to be considered as angas of prapatti. The man desires to perform these actions of which he is capable, because they have been ordained for him (without any reference to prapatti). The non-performance of those actions of which he is incapable constitutes $\bar{a}kinchanys$ (helplessness) which is necessary for prapatti.

Rites like dars'a and $p\overline{u}rnam\overline{a}sa$ have not been prescribed as requisites for yajnas (secrifices). So at the time of the performance of yajnas, they are not performed. But on occasions like the new-moon day, they have to be done because they are enjoined on their own account. So also here; (*i.e.*) nitya and naimittika are not prescribed as angas for prapatti; they are not to be performed at the time of prapatti as its angas, but because they have been enjoined on their own account, without any relation or reference to prapatti.

The teaching "Give up" dharma and adharma" relates to the giving up of those dharmas which are easid to be productive of certain gains ($k\ddot{a}mya$) and of those karmas which are forhidden." So also "give up" satyam and also anritam" means "Do not desire satyam" the enjoyment or experience of your self as an end in itself; also do not desire the enjoyment of "anrita the pleasures of the world, wealth and the like". It may also mean: "Give up speaking even that truth (satyam) which will cause pain to

52. Mahabharata: Santi parva: 339-44

* NOTE :-- One interpretation of the word satys is the changeless sternal atms or self; anrits refers to non-sentient things which contribute to the plessures of wealth.

others." In order to reveal the excellence of his teaching, it is repeated again :-- "Having given up both satyam and anritam", it is said further, "Give up the thought which enables you to give up satyam and anritam, namely, the thought that you are the doer ", in order to enable us to realise that even our doership is dependent on another (the Lord) Thus there is no authority here for violating the commands of the Lord.

Now let us consider what was taught to Uddhava ;- In spite of what has been said so far, some quote the words addressed to Uddhava .- "Give up, O Uddhava, all injunctions (vidhis) (like sandhy \overline{a}_1 , which are prescribed as a means to an end); give up all prohibitions such as " don't injure others "; give up the dharmas to be actively performed and also the *dharmas* which prescribe the avoidance of or abstention from certain acts and also the requisites (angas) which you have heard so far and which you will learn hereafter as being necessary for both of them --- (Give up all these) and seek refuge in Me alone by all means, for I am the Inner Self of all heings. By doing so, you will attain moksha, where there is freedom from all fear ". They quote these words and argue as follows :-- " Since, here we are enjoinedt to give up the abstention from certain actions, and since in the charama sloka, it is not proper to restrict or limit the meaning of sarva (all) in sarva karman, it follows that we should give up even the abstention (from evil acts)." This would mean that we should perform forbidden thiogs as much as lies in our power. Since the doing of these forbidden things is something that, according to them, is enjoined, these people argue in their delusion that even if the prapanua does forbidden things deliberately after prapatti, there would be no stein left. This is extremely ridiculous, for the (notorious) charvakas (alepakas) say that even if a man sine, it leaves no stain behind, whereas the argument stated above goes further and is tantamount to saying that (the prapanna) should necessarily commit sin: This is "like the fish swallowing greedily

 ^{53.} Bhagavatam: 11-12-14-15
 54. Mahabharata: Udyoga Parva: 34-13
 † NOTE: -- "Giving up absentation from forbidden things" would mean doing forbidden things.

the bait made of iron covered with something which is delicious to eat, without any thought of the consequence. It is in reflecting on such (perversities) that the great sage became sad: "Whatever" the good man shrinks from, that the wicked man is delighted with. How painful is the perversity of this world !"

If the interpretation (given by these men) were the real meaning (of the teaching to Uddhava), it would follow that all the forhidden things done by a man throughout his life would be the requisites (angas) of prapatti. The injunction that prapatti with its angas should be done only once would then have no meaning. It is not possible to do all the forbidden things at the moment of performing prapatti. Nor have we seen any one performing prapatti, doing as much of the forbidden as lies in his power. According to this contention of theirs, even sins cannot he called sins (since they are enjoined), in the same way as injury (to animals) in the Agniabomiya sacrifice is not called a sin (beonuse it is enjoined). All this would be in conflict with their own commentary on the words - " I will release thee from all sins", including the sins that might be committed deliberately after prapatti. It would imply that we should commit the three kinds of offences (of the mind, speech and body) as much as lies in our power. It is also opposed to the code of conduct followed by previous āchāryas, to the tradition of our ancients, to their own actual conduct and, further, to the observances of present day aspirants to mukti. Those who contend in this manner should, when they are attacked by a prapanna owing to the desire for wealth or for pleasure, have to say; "Is this not, indeed, the dharma expected of a prapanna?", and feel delighted. This would be opposed to countless pramänas and is also not the real purport of the words in question. Some dcharyas would explain this contention that abstention from forhidden things is also included in the words sarva dharman (as something to be given up) as due to ignorance of the meaning of the word dharma. It is in this way : Among abstentions only that which is done in accordance with prescribed rules as a means to secure a particular object is called *dharma*. More non-violence $(ahims\vec{a})$ is only the absense of sin and is not *dharma* in the primary sense of the word (for no rules or restraints are observed). Therefore to give up non-violence under-taken for the sake of an object and in accordance with rules and restraints (*niyama*) means to give up the object aimed at and the rules and restraints in practisiog it. Beyond this, there is no authority for giving up the abstention from forbidden things that is prescribed in the *nivritti sāstras* (i.e.) sāstras for securing *mukti*.

Even if it be held that mere *ahimsā* which is not accompanied by *niyama* (the observance of rules and restraints) is also meant by the word *dharma*, we submit the following :—

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:

"If the word *dharma* includes in its import also the giving up of *adharma*, the giving up of *adharma* will have to be done always, as it is an independent *vidhi* or injunction (which has nothing to do with *prapatti*),

"It is impossible to contend in an assembly of the wise that the giving up of what is pleasing (to the Lord) $\bar{a}nuk\bar{v}lya$ and the performance of what is disagreeable (to Him) $pr\bar{a}tikulya$ are angas to prapatti.

"Let us bear in mind the fact that the injunction not to violate the code of conduct of the good (such as giving up sandhy \vec{a} or nitya and naimittika) which we find in the chapter on propatti is intended to apply only to the propanna".

When it is thus clear that these should not be given up as they are injunctions which have no relation to *prapatti* and which are independent rules, it may be asked what is the meaning of the teaching to Uddhava which says "Give up what is enjoined for action and also what is forbidden, give up action and abstention from action". The answer is that they mean only this much : that they should not be observed as *angas* or requisites for *prapatti* :

In the case of the aspirant for mukti, it may mean also those activities and abstentions which are enjoined as means for securing certain other ends (than moksha) in those sästras that enjoin them, as in the passage :- "Give up" those dharmas that are the means of securing dharma, artha and kāma," As equinet this, if it were held that nitya and naimittika karmas and the like are themselves (svar $\bar{u}\rho aty \bar{a}ga$) to be given up, it would be opposed to everything that has been pointed out before. Those who are intelligent and who are sattvikas will appreciate this conclusion and approve of it. (The Alvara) have stated this in such passages as the following :- "We will" never do what onght not to be done. We* will never go (to any acharya), and study beretical sāstras", and "Vouchsafe" Thy grace so that I may not do wicked deeds". If we consider the import of the verse "O Lord^{58A} of the world! Consider my offences as virtuous acts". it also means the prayer that the offences should be pardoned. Therefore if the prapanna happens to commit an offence, he is bound to obtain pardon as declared in the passage :- "Whether "offences are committed deliberately or in ignorance, the only expisition that is required is to say " Pardon me ".

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

"He who is incapable of other forms of expisition or who is impatient of delay performs *prapatti*, once egain, to the Lord, without transgressing the rule of *lokasangraha*".

The contention that when there is aspiration for *mukti*, no forbidden things will be done deliberately means only that it would be unlikely, being inappropriate. It is like the saying that "in the Brahmin[®] there is control of the senses ". (It does not mean that the control is always found). Even in the case of great sages

_					
56.	Vishnupuranam :	58A	. Tirucchan	ida viruttam: 111	
57.	Tiruppavai: 2	59.	Vangipurar	n Nambi: Karika:	:
	Tiruvovmozhi: 2-9-3	60	Ramayana:	Yuddhakanda: 1	6-9
	* NOTE : It may also mean "we w	ill ne	wer do what	t is evil".	

and of men who were sage-like, there have occurred forhidden actions and expisitions therefor. If it were otherwise ((i.e) that the aspirant for mukti would never commit an offence deliberately) then, since those who are upāsakas following bhakti yoga are also aspirants for mukti, it would follow that they, too, would not commit offences deliberately and the conclusion that is arrived at in Sri Bhāshya that freedom from stain caused by later sins is applicable only to sins committed in ignorance and that there is no pardon for sins committed deliberately — this conclusion would then become meaningless.

There is no difference between the $up\bar{a}saka$ (the follower of Bhakti yoga) and the propanna in regard to what is forbidden on account of caste (varya), $\bar{a}srama$, sex ($j\bar{a}ti$), gotra (family), pravara (ancestry), right conduct, race kula, place, time, bodily or mental condition (avastk \bar{a}), character (guna) or convention (samaya). If what is forbidden has been done, there is difference in the manner of expisition, because that depende on the competency (adhik $\bar{a}ra$).

The verse, "My servants⁶¹ will never commit offences. Even if they commit them, I will consider them as if they were virtuous actions" — even this verse indicates that offences are not unlikely. The sentence "If they commit offences, I will consider them as virtuous actions" means "If done in ignorance, I will pardon them. If offences are committed deliberately and if they do not obtain pardon, I will condone them after inflicting punishment, but will never forsake them. That, as a matter of fact, these offences are not virtuous acts is indicated therein when it is said :— "It will be considered as if they were good deeds".

It is (distinctly) stated: "If there is an "offence, expision should be done at once. The expision that is to be done is to perform prapatti again". Therefore it cannot be contended that, if the prapama commits an offence deliberately after prapatti,

61. Periatvar Tirumozhi 4-9-2 62. Lakshmitantram 17 (91-92).

Iswara will not have the desire to punish (the prapanna), nor can it be said that if the Omnipotent Lord desires to punish and if pardon be not obtained, there will be no evil result following (for when He has once willed, the result must take place, for He is Almighty). If it be held that the offence would only cause the absence of pleasure to the Lord, then if that displeasure would not cause any evil (to the man), there would be no need to perform a later $pr\bar{a}yaschitta$ (which is distinctly ordained in the passage ofted above.) If it be held that the utmost that would happen (on account of the offence) is only absence of pleasure to oneself in addition to that of the Lord, the evil consequences, namely, absence of pleasure will have to be admitted. It may be said :-- (Let there be absence of pleasure ; absence of pleasure being only a particular form of knowledge, it would last only for an instant). There is no reason for performing a präyaschitta in connection with the absence of one's own pleasure which has passed away. If it is said that the explation or $pr\overline{a}yaschitta$ is intended only to avoid the absence of pleasure in oneself that might continue, then, there is every reason to perform expiation owing to the fear that the sufferings stated in the scrutis and the smritis (for non-performance of ordained rites and observances - nitya and naimittika) would follow when the time comes for its fruition, for these sufferings are on the same footing as this displeasure).

Therefore in order to avoid (1) these sufferings and (2) the interruption to the enjoyment of the Lord (Bhagavad anubhava) here and the diminution of it caused by the weakness of the senses, the fading of the intelligence, sorrow and the like and (3) in the case of those whose term of life has not already been unalterably fixed, delay in the ultimate gain, vis., moksha by the extension of the age limit — in order that this may not happen, prapatti has to be performed again. Even those who hold the opinion that this is not required will have to concede that the performance of this latter *prapatti* will be pardoned by the Lord (even if it be considered as an offence), since they hold the opinion that the Lord will forgive (even) the doing of forbidden things. We have already explained that it is not possible to perform *prapatti* in anticipation for offences that might be committed deliberately in foture and that there is nothing improper in performing a later *prapatti* in order to obtain a fruit or object which was not prayed for in the previous *prapatti* (in the chapter on Expitation for Offences). Thus it may be understood that there is nothing improper in observing the code of conduct followed by the good and arising from the commands of the Lord, (*viz., nitya* and *naimittika*).

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:

He who maintains the view that the *prapanna* will not be tainted even when he does forbidden things, — why does he view with anger those who, in accordance with the Lord's commands, observe the code of conduct ordained as proper?

When it is held (by these disputants) that even when the (Lord's) commands are violated. He would not fail to protect, how could it be said that He would withhold His protection when His commands are performed?

No form of service (to the Lord) is proper without right conduct. When a man has not made himself clean, he is not fit to worship the Lord.

Dharma is born of right conduct: and the Lord of Dharma is Achyuta." The meaning of all the sāstras is explained in these words in the fifth Veda (Mahābhārata).

The remembrance of the *prapatti* (performed before) which is taught by the wise is for the purpose of giving up such *präyas*chittas as one is not competent to perform and the performance of such others as one is competent for.

When *prapatti* is remembered, the thought will arise that sins committed in ignorance will cause no taint. It will also be understood that when they are committed deliberately, the performance of a further *prapatti* is necessary. "Therefore the wise man should remain without committing any offence. But when offences have occurred, the proper thing to do would be another *prapatti*".

The activities of the man who performed *prapatti* (at first) praying that he should be sinless and of the man who performed a further *prapatti* in order that his later life may be free from sin will invariably be free from sin.

PRÄYASCHITTA FOR DELIBERATE OFFENCES IS ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY:

Some may say, in (ntter) disregard of texts to the contrary, that (even) the offences committed deliberately by the prapanna would be pardoned, their opinion being based on certain incidents in the Itihāsas and the Purānas. This contention, too, arises from an ignorance of the real opinions in the respective contexte. (For instance) when Sugrive transgressed the time fixed by him for carrying out the terms of the alliance, the offence caused the appearance of great grief in Sri Rama's mind and he said, "The way in⁶⁰ which Valin was slain is not yet closed. Abide by the terms of the agreement, O Sugriva. Do not go the way of Valin." On hearing these words, Lakshmana became so angry that the Lord had to bring down his wrath. He went to Sugriva (to denounce him). On that occasion, did not (Hanuman), the scholar versed in the science of Indra's grammer, counsel Sugriva as follows:- "I do not⁵" see any other means for you, the offender, to escape from this situation except by begging the pardon of Lakshmana with folded bands". Sugrive, too, accepted this advice and said to Lakshman .--- "If out "of over-confidence or out of affection, I have transgreased in any way, pray, let the offence of your servent be perdoned". And Lakshmana too, had to seek Sugriva's pardon for over-stepping the proper bounds of speech by

- 63. Ramayana: Kishkindhe kanda : 30-81
- 64. Remayane: Kishkindha kanda : 32-11
- 65. Remayana: Kishkindha kanda: 36-11

saying :- "Pardon me" for any harsh words that I may have uttered after listening to the words of Sri Rama in his grief."

Thus even in the life of a prapanna, offences may occur deliberately, owing to past karma which has begun to operate (prarabdha). When they have occurred, the prapanna should repeat and obtain pardon. When Alavandar says "I have not "observed dharma (i.e.) karmayoga etc." and when it is said, "I have "given up the rites that are to be performed by a Brahmin after ablution in the form of adoration to the three sacrifical fires.", it means only this much that he was not capable of such things as karma yoga and not that we should give up the observance of the Lord's commands (nitya and nuimittika), in so far as it lies in our power.

Therefore even to a *prapanna*, action in obedience to the commands of the Lord and in accordance with the respective caste is an obligation that should be carried out until the bodies characterised by such things as Brahmin-ness are cast off

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :--

"The service to the Lord of Sri (Lakshmi) should be performed according to one's ability and for its own sake, with the observance of all the restraints and regulations (*niyama*) prescribed (in the $s\bar{a}stras$), in regard to the food to be eaten, the obtaining of knowledge (or the receiving of gifts), the traditional *mantra* to be employed in the performance of rites and so also in regard to the acquisition of wealth and in regard to the respective caste and such like.

"There is superior excellence in a person (adhikart) if, among the ordinary ways of right conduct, there is to be found in him the purity of conduct arising from the many purificatory ceremonies prescribed in the *sāstras*. So also there is superior excellence in

66. Ramayana : Kishkindha kanda : 36–28 68. Tirumalai 25 67. Alayandar : Stotram : 22 the man who, in addition to the qualities of the spirit, such as self control, has also compassion to living beings. Superior also is the excellence of the man who, among all means of attaining his ends, adopts bhakti on prapatti There is also a supreme excellence in the man who, among all things desired as ends, chooses only moksha. (The sloka may also mean):---

"Superior is the excellence of the man who has the qualities of the spirit such as self-control and compassion to that of the man who merely follows the right code of conduct; superior is the man who has chosen the right $up\bar{a}ya$ (bhakti or prapatti) to him who has only the qualities of the spirit; superior still is the man who has chosen the right end (camely) moksha to the man who has only chosen the right $up\bar{a}ya$ ".

This determination of the potency (of *prapatti*) should be borne in mind as being connected with the quality of Iswara as the controller indicated io the three mysteries (mantras).

TAMIL VERSE :--

Our acharyas have declared that those who have understood the real import of the infallible words (in the *charama sloka*) uttered by Sri Krishna, whose attributes and actions are wonderful, who is full of compassion and who has greater regard than for Himself to His servants that endure their existence only by His grace (or who shows His great regard to His servants by giving them His own likeness) — our acharyas have declared that those who have understood the real import of Sri Krishna's words will never approve the non-observence of the way of life ordained in the Veda or Vedanta.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :-

Since the differences arising from such things as the four castes and the four $\bar{a}sramas$ have been ordered (in the sästras), those who follow the line of conduct prescribed therein, whose conduct is also consistent with such attributes as devotion to the Lord and who are proficient in the knowledge of the injunctions concerning *prapatti*, which are diametrically opposed to the nonobservance of the rites and duties pertaining to each caste and each $\bar{a}srama$ —these men of exclusive devotion to the Lord may be found even in this last yuga, and they will approve of the enquiry made so far (in this chapter).

.....

(26) THE CHAPTER ON THE VINDICATION OF (THE) POTENCY (OF PRAPATTI).

SANSKRIT SLOKA:-

It is, of course, wonderful that stones and the like should become women and the like (Ahalya); (but) more wonderful is it that fire should become as cold as snow (as in the case of Hanuman) and that a blade of grass should become a missile (Kakasura); even more wonderful is the act of slaying when it becomes the means of redemption (as for Sisupala and others). So also it is most wonderful that the sandal (of Sri Rama) should protect the three worlds.

(Since the glory of Bhagavan is so wonderful, there is nothing surprising in *prapatti* to Him accomplishing wonderful things.)

We have already spoken about the limits within which pra-patti has potency. We will hereafter remove the misconceptions of those who would minimise its potency.

It has been said:— "The man 'who has done good or evil deeds of a very serious nature will experience their fruits even here (in this life) within three days, three fortnights, three months or three years". In the same way, it has been said, "Bhakti as an $upäya^2$ destroys all sins other than those which have begun to yield their fruits (prärabhdha), whereas prapatti (sädhyabhakti) is superior to bhakti and destroys even those sins which have begun to operate (prärabhdha)". Therefore prapatti gots rid of even sins that have begun to bear their fruit (prärabdha) and yields the fruit of its own performance. As has been stated in regard to other vidyās (forms of meditation: upäsana), that the sins committed before and those committed after upäsana) will both be destroyed, prapatti, which is superior to them as a vidyä dest-

1. Vihagendrasamhita.

roys (even) the suffering due to karma which has begun to operate so that it may not continue the next instant, the next day or the next life in accordance with the degree or intensity of the man's impatience. This is the real purport of the words, "Do not despair or grieve", mā suchah (in the charma sloka).

THE PURPOSE OF ISWARA IN MAKING THE PRAPANNA SUFFER:

(In this connection) the following question may be asked by some who minimise the power of prapatti:—" If this is the real meaning, should not the sufferings which are seen in the actual life of a *prapanna* as the result of *prārabdha karma* and the performance of actions which would result in further suffering—should not these sufferings cease (at once)? If it is said that the Lord will grant the cessation of these (sufferings) only if He is prayed to, for it, by the sufferer, the question arises, "Why does not Iswara, who is the well-wisher of all (living beings), grant, of His own accord, the cessation of these connected sufferings also, in the same way as *prapatti* grants incidentally such things as the ability to purify those who are in one's society. We do not see Iswara doing this. Therefore what is said (in the *charama sloka*) about all grief disappearing should be taken as not literally true, but as (exaggerated) praise of the potency of *prapatti*".

The answer to this question is as follows:— "Iswara is always inclined to bestow His grace. He is enger to confer moksha on those who seek His protection even at (the time of) the beginning of uttering the sentence which states *prapatti*. But owing to the man's desire, the Lord agrees to keep him here (in this world), for some time longer. If he enjoys the inferior pleasures of life without any pain (or sorrow or suffering), his desire will never disappear. Nor will the assurance that the realisation of the Lord is truly enjoyable grow in him. Therefore as has been said in the following slokas:—

"He to whom' I want to show favour - his wealth I take away from birn. Then his relatives forsake him. So he is ever full of sorrow or pain. If the man who suffers in this way continues to cling to me. I show him that grace which even the gods cannot obtain"; (as has been said in these slokas), Iswara avails Himself of those karmas which have begun to yield their fruit (prarabdha) and which are the cause of these sufferings and punishes these men of hard hearts in order to correct them as a father and the like would do (in the case of such as their sons) with a whip at hand. It is therefore proper to consider such punishments as special favours.

When the king asked whether a disease could be (helpful), Bhattar replied that even a disease could be a teacher for us. Sufferings are teachers to the prapanna, because, if his term of life is already unalterably fixed, they would create repentance in the mind and if his term of life could be extended, they would teach him not to desire extension of life. This is well-known in such instances as Kurathalyan (*)

The sufferings are intended only to reveal, to some, their offences with their consequences and then conceal them in order to create utter disgust, disgust like that of Perialvar who says:---"My mind cannot bear the thought of living in samsāra, which is like living in a bouse in the roof of which there is a serpent," so that thereby they, too, might long for the release from samsāra which Iswara has made up His mind to confer on them. In such contexts, the aspect of the experience of sorrow or pain is the fruit of evil deeds (in the past). The correction that ensures and that generates (the desire for) performing prayaschitta is the fruit of the updya, vis. prapatti.

Among these, some punishments are the fruit of certain good deeds in the past which have begun to operate (prarabdka). When

^{3.} Bhagayatam? Varahapuranam? 3-A. Perlalvar Tirumozhi: 11-8-3 *NOTE: Azhvan on losing his eye-sight did not long for his eyes again, as they would make him look at the objects of sense-pleasures.

the crow (Kakasura in the Ramayana) fell (at Sri Rama's feet) praying for life, the Lord gave him his life; the fruit of his *prapatti* was full and complete. Even the punishment inflicted on this wicked crow whereby he lost the sight of one eye was not really of the nature of a punishment. It was a special form of favour (because it would prevent him from doing evil again).

Therefore just as we learn that the Lord's punishment, which is brought about by sins committed in an instant (but) which make the sinner wallow in Hell till the great dissolution (Brama Pralaya), has great potency, so also we should learn that the special form of pranama to Sri Krishna which is called *sukrita pranāma has great potency, for it has been said :- "A single "pranāma to Sri Krisha enables a man who wanders about in the wild and trackless forest of samsūra to reach the houndary called moksha" and also :- "Would it be 'right to say that a single sukrita pranama to Sri Krishna is equal to ten horse sacrifices? (No). The man who has performed ten horse sacrifices returns again to (samsūra), but the man who has performed pranama to Sri Krishns never returns ". In accordance with the truths revealed in these stokas, we should interpret the following texts without circumscribing the potency of prapatti, in so far as it does not conflict with the meaning of the stastra :-- "He swho performs prabatti only once and savs, "I am Thine "-to him I grant freedom from fear of all beings. This is my vow ", " If 'you are afraid of sin, O Bharata, desist from all action and remain with the thought that Narayana is alone the object to he attained by all possible means", and "Even "if a man born of a low caste performs prapatti to the Lord and that only once, the Lord protects him even if he has murdered his father and mother ".

Vishnu dharma 1 --- 18.

- 7. Vishou dharma 66-72 8. Sanatkumara sambita
- 5. Mahabharatha: Santi Parva 46 163,
- 6. Ramayana : Yuddha Kanda 18-33

(*) NOTE: Sukrita pranama consists in folding the two hands in anjali thrice and in performing ashtanga namaskaram twelve times touching the ground with the brow, the nose, the eyes and the cheeks). "The Lord protects him even if he has murdered his father and his mother" — Only when this has not been committed after *prapatti*, will this *sloka* not be opposed to the rule concering $pr\bar{a}yaschitta$. If it be done after *prapatti*, we have already shown how redemption could be obtained. In this way also could be easily explained such passages as this :— "Good men^{*} protect those who have sought their protection and who pray to them saying, "I am yours", even though they have murdered their fathers".

THE POTENCY OF PRAPATTI.

There may be some who are followers of our Visishtüdvaita darstana, who are aspirants to mukti and bave sought the protection of Bhagavan through any one of the following paths prescribed in the stastra, viz., karmayoga, jnänayoga, bhakti yoga or prapatti, but who are still in the earlier stages of yoga like *Yatamina sünjna, when they have just begun to control the senses. On finding in such men certain diseases like desire for money not completely cured, some to whom freedom from all desires is all important (even more than devotion to Bhagavan) would call them ignorant men unfit to be talked to. But this is improper as pointed out in the Bhagavat Sastra in the sections dealing with the professions followed by those who suffer from poverty. What has been said about those who follow the wrong path should not be applied to those who follow the right path but stumble in it (occasionally). About the former it has been said :-"No gifts¹⁰ should be received from those who observe dharma hypocritically for the sake of praise, from rationalists, from heretics and from those who are like cranes (ever bent on catching fish while appearing like one in contemplation); "They are to "be considered heretics who consider Bhagavan to be like others. They are unfit for the performance of all (sastraic) rites", and "Those

- 9. Vishnu dharma 106-53
- 10. Yajnyavalkya Smriti I 130-

Described in Bhagavad gita II-58.

11. Brihannaradiya

334

who wear matted¹⁹ locks as an ornament and who have shaved their heads but who eat without performing *yajna* to the gods and the like, who are without any purity and who omit the performance of *tarpanas* and *sraddhas* to their fore-fathers (*pitris*)—even by talking to such persons men go to Hell". (What has been said in these *stokas* does not apply to those who have only slipped or stumbled while following the right path).

NO OFFENCE SHOULD EVER BE COMMITTED AGAINST BHAGAVATAS:

Among Bhagavatas, it is true that degrees of regard have been prescribed for those who have not received the purification by fire* (or who have not done the rites or karma ordained for them), who have not the purification due to the wearing of the caste mark (pundra) (or who have given up their $s\overline{a}tra$), who have not had the purification due to $n\bar{u}ma$ (or who have renounced their gurus, gods and the like), and those who have not been initiated into the sacred mantras (or who have no association with good men). But even these will obtain redemption by some means or other (provided they have not deviated from their exclusive devotion (to Bhagavan). The scastras have, indeed, laid down the rule that offences should not be committed even against these (men). What has been said of the potency of karma yoga in the following slokas applies even more to that of bhakti and propatti :-- "The "endeavour that has been commenced in this karma-yoga never ends in vain; there is no offence if it is not completed ; even a small fraction of this dharma redeems a man from measureless samsūra", and "The "man who has begun yoga but has not completed it never perishes either here or in the higher world, (Is it not clear) that the man who has done a good thing will not meet with an evil end?" Further the Supreme Ruler Himself said to Arjuna and Dharma-

12. Vishnupuranam 3 - 8 - 105 13. Bhagavad Gita 6 - 40

(*) NOTE: the marks of the conch and the discus (chakra) made with red-hot iron on the two upper arms).

putra respectively:---" Even "if a man is of impure ways, if he worships me without the desire for any other fruit, be is certainly a good man $(s\bar{a}dhu)$. He is worthy of esteem, for his intentions are good", and "Even" if my devotees are inclined to sin, no sin will cling to them, in the same way as water does not stain the leaf of the lotus".

It has (also) been said in the discourse between Pundarika and Narada:--- "He who "has sought the protection of the Lord, O hest of Brahmins, whether he be clad in a garment of bark, whether he wears matted locks (iata), whether he holds a triad of sticks (like a sannyāsin), whether he has shaved his head clean or whether he bears the marks of other $\bar{a}s$ ramas or whether he has not any of them - (it does not matter) - for these are not the causes that win moksha. Even those who have no pity, who have wicked minds and are always inclined to evil ways - even they attain the highest state, provided they have sought the protection of Narayana": "If the devotees" of Vishnu commit eins, the sins will not taint them, because they will perform prayaschitta at once. They purify the whole world like the rising sun." "The man "in whom, at the end of thousands of virtuous lives, arises the thought that he is the servant of Vasudeva, who is the Supreme Self in all the worlds --- that man will go to the world of Bhagavan. There is no doubt of this. (Such being the case), is it necessary to speak of those who, with the senses under control, have fixed their souls in Bhagavan". In these slokas, it is stated that, even if devotees of Bhagavan continue to sin, they will doubtless attain mukti by performing prayaschitta and that (this being so) much more easily will sinless men attain muhli. Further the great sage declared also this, among other truths, that those who have no devotion to Bhagavan will never attain the desired goal even hy

15. Bhagavad Gita 9-30

- 17. Itihasa Samucchaya: 33 (123-124)
- 16. Mahabharata: Asvameóhika parva: 18. Itihasa Samucchaya: 27-25.
 - 96-46. 19. Itihasa Samucchaya 33 (125-127)

26

superior deeds of virtue: "Those "who do not turn their faces to Narayana will never reach the desired goal, even though they have performed a hundred horse-sacrifices and many hundreds of $V\bar{a}japeya$ sacrifices".

Since it has been said :-- "He who "understands Bri Krishna as He is' - even the gods cannot know the extent of his greatness". even the eternal seris cannot, indeed, measure his greatness. Therefore. even if sufferings afflict the devotees of Bhagavan who have continued to commit offences deliberately, they should not be treated with disregard. Has it not been stated as follows :-- "By bowing "before those who are not devotees of Vishnu, by speaking of Bhagavan with irreverence, and by the vilification of the devotee of Vishnu, (hy all these), a man will surely have his fall. There is no doubt of this"? "The man who" has stolen the hulb of the lotus - let him vilify sannyāsins always; let him treat with disregard the devotees of Vishnu, let him be averse to (the study of) Vedanta, let the man who has stolen the bulh of the lotus be outwardly good, but inwardly wicked; let him hate those who know Brahman, and censure the rites and observances prescribed in the stastras. Let the man who has stolen the hulb of the lotus be ever perverse in his intelligence and delude those who follow the right code of conduct and who have no firm faith in the sastra which treats of the jiva and the Paramatma".

The author of Sri Bhashya has, in his Saranagati Gadyamspoken of offence to Bhagavan and the like ((*i.e*) offence to His devotees) along with the sins of omission and commission (while they too are sins of omission and commission). This is to indicate that offence to Bhagavan (and to Bhagavatas) is extremely serious, as when we speak of bovine cattle and hulls, the hull is mentioned separately, though it is included in "bovine cattle", simply to emphasise the bull. All sins are, of course, offences sgainst

20. Itihasa samucchayam: 33-18022. Naradiyam:21. Mahabharata: Aranyaparva: 191-2023. Itihasa Samucchayam12 - (71 - 72)

Bhagavan. So the separate mention of some kinds of sin is to refer to offences against Bhagavan and His devotees. Sri Ramanuja's aim in this separate mention, is to state that whatever offences may be committed against others, if similar offences are committed against Bhagavan or His devotees, they would, by their very nature, lead to more severe punishments just, like treason against kings. The sphere of samsāra is, as it were, the nursery to Hell. Such being the case, it is very difficult to find in it people who walk in the right path. So to think ill of those who follow, in regard to Bhagavan, any one of the paths like karma yoga, which are like the first steps leading to mukti, in accordance with their competence (adhikāra), is to commit an offence against the devotees of Bhagavan.

When it is said :- " There "is no mukti for one who is extremely devoted to the science of grammar (Sabda Sastra), for one who sets much store by food and clothing, for one who delights in living in a beautiful house and (so also), for one who is able to allure the minds of the people in the world by one's speech", it means (that there is no mukti for them) when there is no devotion to Bhagavan. The same is the meaning of the stoka :- "Those who "sare addicted to the pleasures of sex and to good food, and those who commit (the offences of) theft, uttering falsehoods and speaking harsh words, are men that have given up dharma and the gods avoid them and keep at a distance from them on that account." The same is also the purport of such slokas as the following :---'Those "whose minds are firmly fixed on the pleasures of the senses while being averse to Govinda - from them, that Supreme Brahman is at a great distance". So also it is said:--" To thosest who always think of Govinda and whose minds are firmly fixed on Him — to them Bhagavan may be considered to be always at hand ". Such passages declare that there will be no delay (in attaining mukti) for those who are devoted to Bhagavan and who have, at the same time, given up the pleasures of the senses.

Even those who wander about in the disguise of the devotees of Bhagavan without any devotion at heart to Him and who are therefore like tigers wrapped in the skin of the cow for the purpose of springing on cows - even these are to be treated with respect for their appearance and for their names (Bkāgavatas), in the same way as Uparichara and others treated such persons as the Asuras, until their inner nature was revealed. It is in regard to these actors by profession that (we find) the saying :---"The "outward mark is not the cause of dharma" and "Though the fruit of the soap-nut²⁹ tree has the property of rendering (muddy) water clear, yet the water is not rendered clear by the more utterance of its name ".

THE UTTERANCE OF BHAGAVAN'S NAME PURIFIES ONLY THOSE WHO DO NOT HATE HIM.

From the stokas and Tamil verses that follow, it should not be understood that the mere mention of Bhagavan's name, though in contempt or vilification, will destroy all sins :-- "Those" (who suffer from troubles), who are miserable, who have no steady foothold anywhere, who are afraid of samsāra and who are afflicted with terrible diseases --- (all these) obtain freedom (from their ills) by the mere mention of the word 'Narayana' and become happy". "The man" who, even without his being aware of it, pronounces the name of Bhagavan, is freed from all sins, in the same way as a forest is freed from deer owing to the fear of a lion", "The "mention of Bhagavan's name - though it be to call a person who (merely) hears that name, though it be in irony, though it be pronounced wrongly, though it be in derision - (the mere mention of His name) will destroy all sins". "Hari destroys" all sios when he is remembered even by men with evil hearts. Even if fire is touched unawares, it certainly burns." "The mention" of many of the names of Bhagavan which describe his attributes and actions is

28.	Itibasa Samucchayam: 33-123-124	31. Vishnu Puranam 6-8-19.
	Manuscript 6-67	32. Harvashtakam :

- 29. Manusmriti 6-67 30. The discourse between Vyasa and
 - Sanjaya
- 32-A, Bhagavatam: 6-2-14 33, Bhagavatam: 6-3-24

much more (than is necessary). The mention of a single name is enough; for Ajamila, sinful though he was, attained mukti by calling his son 'Nerayana' at the time of his death". "Kshatrabandhu" attained the highest state by the mention of the name 'Govinda' with its three syllables, though he was in the midst of past evil deeds which surrounded him like ants. Why then, should we suffer from samsāra, while we have Sri Ranganatha who is so inordinately fond as to be easily accessible towards His servants." (A)) these passages should not be taken as literally true). They only mean that, if the man has no batred for Bhagavan, the mention of Bhagavan's name is extremely purificatory, though his faith in Bhagavan is weak, though he utters the name like children and the like without a knowledge of its meaning, though he blabbers the name without any thought, though, his intentions being different. he utters the name as a conventional name or in irony and the like, though he is associated with other sims and though he has faults. Certainly it does not mean that derisive speech concerning Bhagavan and the like would destroy sins. Derisive speech and the like concerning Bhagavan have indeed been counted among the deadly sins (pātaka). Has it not been said :- "They who "hate the Supreme Self and do not remember Kesava - they will not find any good in bathing in the boly waters - nor those who associate with them (out of regard)" and also :- "The "son that hates his father should be looked upon as born to somebody else. He who always hates Bhagavan should be looked upon as being born to a man of the lowest caste"?

It is said in the Bhagavatam :-" The Gopis" attained mukti by love (to Sri Krishna); Kamsa out of fear (of Sri Krishna), Sisnpals and other kings by hatred (to Sri Krishna), the Vrishnis owing to their relationship; yon (the Pandavas) out of friendship to him and we (Narada and others) by devotion". It states that, in some way or other (fear, hatred, love, friendship etc.), those who are associated with Sri Krishna will obtain redemption, provided thay have done good deeds in the past. The same idea is found also in the scloka :— "Association" with Bhagavan, who is ever pure, whatever be the form it takes, will destroy sins". These two sclokas refer to individuals who had a special competency owing to good deeds done in past lives. This is well-known from the *purämas* which relate the earlier and the later incidents in their lives. (It should not be considered applicable to others.)

RESIDENCE IN HOLY PLACES.

The statement (in certain passages) that the parting from life in certain holy places of Bhagavan will help the attainment of *mukti* should be understood as applicable only to those who are not ill-disposed to Bhagavan, though, in their indifference, they may be like animals. If not understood in this manner, it would follow that even those who are bent on doing what is displeasing to the Lord would attain *mukti* (which is absurd).

The passage in the Sätvata Samhitä, which says: "The mind that is impure owing to subjection to the wild senses — that mind becomes pure in the last days by living in the boly places of Narayana" — this passage only means to say that though such men could not realise Bhagavan formerly owing to their addiction to such pleasures as those of the senses which are not opposed to the sāstras, they will attain purity of mind in their last days". This is evident from the context in Sātvata Samhitā (where the passage is found. "He whom the yogis "*endeavour by their efforts to fix in their minds at the time of separation from the body". He enters into their minds without any effort on their part in their last moments. This much superiority they have. This is shown also in the case of propannas of whom it is said: "" They attain to a clear vision merely by My Grace".

Therefore to those who are inimical to Bhagavan, there is no redemption by these marks which serve their enmity — namely,

appearance, bearing the name of Bhagavan, residence in a holy place, and the utterance of His names ".

Things which are displeasing to the Lord, when done in holy places, contribute very greatly to ruin and this is well-known from many silstras. This is also due to the might of Bhagavan.

To those who are devoted to Bhagavan, whatever acts they do in order to please Him, will, as in the case of Vritra and Kshatrabandhu, themselves destroy all sins and will be the cause of their redemption by some $v_y \bar{u} j a$ or gesture.

Such being the case, Iswara, who has already made up His mind to grant, to these devotees (who may have some faults also), the blessings of mukti to which they are entitled by their nature, --Iswara will (by some punishment or other means) enable them to explate their offences. So we should clearly understand that Iswara is the only controller of whom it is said :-- "He slone" is the Buler who controls: there is no second controller." - "Know that" He is the controller over all : He is more subtle than the subtleat ;" and "He who "dwells within the heart - He controls the whole world: by whom else is any man controlled?" (With this knowledge in our minds) we should refrain from treating with disregard these devotees on the strength of what is said in such passages as this :-The slayer" of Madhu (Madhusudana) does not remain in the mind of the man which is impure owing to such things as (evil) desires; the swan never delights in water that is muddy." On the other hand, we should feel delighted at any good features that might be found in such devotees, even as in finding water in a wilderness.

It has been said :-- "Whatever a man" often does with the activities of his body, mind and speech - it makes a man its captive. Therefore one should (ever) perform what is good. So we should never deviate from the right path with the body, mind or speech.

- 41. Mahabharata: Aswamedhika
- Darva: 27-1 42. Manusmriti 12-122
- 43. Vishnupuranam: 1-17-20. 44. Vishnu dharma: 9-11
- 45. Mahabharata: Udyogaparva: 30-56

There is a saying that "The poison tree called samsāra bears two (kinds of) fruits which are like nectar - one is bhakti to Bhagavan and the other association with those who are His bhaktas". Therefore we should feel it a blessing that we have obtained the unattainable privilege of association with those really great men who may appear small (in the eyes of the ignorant) and who have the great good furture of having sought the protection of the Supreme Being, for this should be the great object of desire during our life. time here. We should rest assured "that evil" deeds can never be ours, as we have been accepted by the Lord (as His servants)", and "That the "Lord who wields the chakra (the discus) protects us and that (therefore) no one can do anything harmful to us." We should therefore crown ourselves, even here, in anticipation of the empireship of perfect service to the Lord which will be ours in Heaven (Paramapada). This potency which has been determined so far should be remembered in connection with such places as indicate the fruit in the three mysteries (mantras), as established by necessary consequence.

TAMIL VERSE :---

The Supreme Self whose greatness is described in the *Vedas*, which reveal the truth, is beyond all limitations and beyond all measure in regard to His glory. Therefore, about those who approach Him and seek His feet, though such things as low birth and certain weaknesses may be found in them, yet it cannot be said that their greatness is, in any way, limited. This truth has been taught to us by those who are highest among men and who are free from all partiality.

47. Tiruvoymozhi : 10-4-3

 $\mathbf{26}$

^{46.} Tiruviruttam: 88

344 SRIMAD RAHASYATRAYASARA

SANSKRIT SLOKA.

When the eternal Saviour, who cannot, in the least, be affected by such great demons as desire, hatred, pride and the like is present (to save us), that tapas or $up\bar{a}ya$ called the surrender of the responsibility of our protection (*bharanyāsa*), which is accepted as transcending all other *dharmas* ($up\bar{a}yas$) in putting down all *adharmas* — that tapas is so great that the description of even a fragment of the ocean of its potency is beyond the range of even the ancient Vedas.

Here ends the second part entitled the Discussion and Clarification of Doubts and Disputed Points.

The Interpretation of the Meaning of the Three rahasyas or mantras by a study of the grammatical construction of the words and sentences.

(27) THE CHAPTER ON THE MOOLAMANTRA (ASHTAKSHARA.)

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

(1) THE GOD OF THE MANTRA:

Of us who, with a knowledge of its meaning, are meditating on the mantra taught to us by our $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$ which consists of the pranava (aum) at the beginning with the word namas (namo) immediately following it and then the word $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}ya\eta\bar{a}ya$ revealed in the Vedas — of us who are not completent to adopt other $up\bar{a}yas$ and the responsibility of redeeming whose souls has been accepted by our Lord, May He dispel very soon all those obstacles (past karma) which stand in the way of our enjoying the imperial bliss of service to Him!.

(2) THE SEER WHO REVEALED THE MANTRA:

May that great seer dwelling in the hermitage of Badarika (Narayana), the friend of (all) good men, who occupies a certain chariot called *Moolamantra* with (its eight syllables as) its eight wheels and who instructs his reverent pupils, Nara and others (Narada and the like) in the *dharma* concerning himself which is characteristic of *Krita* Yuga — may that great seer confer (His) blessing on us !

(3) THE MANTRA:

Let us adore that great mantra, the most important of the •vyāpaka mantras, within which lies imbedded the gist of all the revealed truths found in the srutis and the smritis without any exception.

^{*}The three maniras Namo Narayanaya, Namo Bhagavate Vasudevaya, and Namo Vishnave, with the Pranava (AUM) preceding each are called vyapaka maniras for they have the words, Narayana, Vasudeva and Vishnu, which by their etymology, convey the meaning 'Omnipresent' or 'all pervading' (vyapaka)

(4) THE DISCIPLE WHO HAS BEEN INITIATED INTO THE MEANING OF THE MANTRA BY THE GRACE OF THE GURU :--

Here a being[•] who has been blessed by his guru with vision sees all the meaning concealed within the moola-mantra, without any exception, as if it is a treasure placed underneath a plate made of crystal.

*NOTE: Commentators say that there is here an implied reference also to the author himself who obtained all his knowledge concerning the moola mantra from his acharya, Sri Appullar:

THE PURPORT OF THE THREE MANTRAS AND THEIR RELATION TO ONE ANOTHER :

So far we have explained the most essential truths which every sätvika should remember and established them on a firm basis (of authority (pramäna) and argument). We will now proceed to show in what ways, in the three great mysteries (mantras) which reveal all these (truths), the words and the sentences should be construed (in order that their meanings may be properly understood).

Among them, the first, namely, Tirumantra (Ashtükshara) helps us to understand such things as our essential nature, (svaripa) which finds true happiness only in being the sesha of the Supreme Being. By that (revelation), it creates a longing for the Supreme Goal of attainment preceded by the removal of all obstacles thereto and also a feeling of urgency (tvarā) (in regard to that attainment) whereby the competency for the adoption of the upāya (prapatti) becomes perfected. Dvaya reveals clearly the manner in which this particular upāya should be adopted by this adhikāri with an antecedent longing for the fruit thereof. The charama sloka enjoins (as a vidhi) the adoption of these three mantras by itself, from the words themselves and from the sense

suggested by them $(\bar{a}rtha)$, yet each of these three has a primary aim (which is different from that of the others). In the Tirumantra, the first syllable (a) in the first word (aum) expresses, in a concise form, the Supreme Reality who is the Protector or Saviour; in the middle word (namas) (namo) and the third word $(N\bar{a}r\bar{a}yan\bar{a}ya)$ are to be understood respectively the $up\bar{a}ya$ (prapatti) and the Upeya (the end or goal, vis., service to Narayana); what is stated briefly (in the Tirumantra) is made clear in Dvaya. (1) The word prapadys in Dvaya indicates the particular kind of adhikari (pamely, one who is destitute of other $np\bar{a}yas - akinchana$) by the first person singular and (2) the needlessness of other upayas, is inferred from the sense, and (so also) (3) the disappearance of the hindrances to the goal is implicit in the last word mamas. The Charama-sloka explains distinctly these (three things), (that are only hinted at in the Dvaya). These three (mantras) are to us (men destitute of other $up\bar{u}yas$), insintenance, nourishment and enjoyment (of the fruit), being, respectively, the causes of knowledge (jnāna), practice or adoption (anushtana) and the end or fruit (of our endeavour.)

It has been said:— "The God," Narayana, who is perfect in the possession of the six attributes is certainly in the form of Ashtākshara in the mouths of those who utter the mantra." So, from the tongue of the *üchārya*, it dispels the darkness (ignorance) in the cave of the disciple's heart and makes him realise his pure and essential nature, which finds bliss only in being the sesha of the Supreme Being. Since it gives (in this manner) real (spiritual) existence to bim, the *Tirumantra* is his maintenance (dhüraka). The *charama sloka* is the cause of his advancement in the particular kind of knowledge which would enable him to adopt the final $up\bar{a}ya$ (prapatti). It is the final word as a precept or instruction concerning the $up\bar{a}ya$, omitting nothing that should be learnt. Therefore the Charama sloka is bis nourishment (poshaka).

1. Naradiyam: 1-32

existence by a single utterance of it and enables a person by constant meditation to feel that he has attained his object. Therefore Dvaya is an object of enjoyment (bhogya).

THE PRIMARY IMPORTANCE OF TIRUMANTRA :

Among these (three mantras), in regard to Tirumantra, the seer, (rishi), the metre (cchandas) the god revealed by it, (devatā), the germ (bija), the potency (sakti), the colour (varņa), the application (viniyoga), the locality (sthāna) and nyāsa should be learnt from the respective kalpas and traditions (sampradāya). The greatness and glory of Tirumantra are wellknown from Upanishads like Atharvana and Kata, from sastras like that of Mann, and from various kalpas like Nārāyaņātmaka Hairanyagarbha kalpa, Näradīya kalpa and Bodhāyanīya kalpa.

It has been said :-- " Of "all mantras, the mantras treating of Bhagavan are the highest. Of the countless mantras treating of Bhagavan, the three vyāpaka mantras are the highest, so also the eternal moota-mantra is the highest of all mantras; of all secrets, this is the supreme secret ; of all things that purify, this is the most purificatory". So it is the bighest among the three vyāpaka mantras; it is the essence of all the Vedas; it is capable of removing all evil influences; it is the means of obtaining all the objects desired by men; it helps in the adoption of all $up \ddot{a}yas$; it can be uttered by all castes, either in its Vedic form (with aum) or in its tantric form (without the aum), in accordance with their respective competence; it reveals, in no nucertain terms, all the reals (tattvas). both those that are pervaded by Bhagavan and that which pervades all (viz the Supreme Self); it does not require the help nr aid of any other mantra and is common to all the forms of Bhagavan (*like Sri Rama, Sri Krishna and so on). Owing to these reasons, all

NOTE.*. They may all be worshipped with the utterance of the moolamanina, whereas other maninas are to be used only in connection with particular forms.

Nerediyam: 1 - 11.

acharyas delight in it. The Alvers, too, sing its preise with delight in the following passages :- " Having learnt' Thy boly mantra with eight syllables ", " Those who have been initiated by the acharya into the mantra with eight syllables and utter it with the proper nishtä are capable of ruling over Vaikanta", "Those' who constantly delight in the mantra with eight syllables and utter it become capable of ruling over Vaiknnta", "You "were devotees that sang the name of the Lord saying "Namo Nārāyaņāya" so that country and town might understand" and "By uttering' the holy name Namo Näräyanäya in the proper way (i. e.) without seeking any material gain " etc. etc. The seers (rishis) too, have* declared as follows :-- " Many were the great seers like Sanaka who attained the abode of Vishnu by uttering the Ashtākshara". "Just" as among the deities there is no one superior to Narayana. there is, among the mantras, no mantra superior to the Ashrakshara ": " Raising "my hand and swearing that it is true. I declare this to you! O my children and my disciples, listen. There is no mantra superior to the mantra of eight syllables. Adore Bhagavan with it always. Show your devotion to Him with it, and worship Him with it. Those who show their devotion to Him by looking upon this mantra as supreme will never perish (i. e. will not be in Samsāra-)" and "" Sitting, or lying down, or standing anywhere, we have adopted only the mantra, Namo Nārāyanāya 88 00r upāya."

The Supreme Ruler had this mantra taught to Pundarika by Narada; he received it as his supreme good; and as stated in the sloka ; " Pundarika "whose mind was ever bent on dharma understood that, of all things to be attained, Narayana was the highest and attained mukti by uttering the mantra with eight syllables : Namo Nārāyaņāya ". He practised this mantra and

3. Peria Thirumozhi 8-10-3	8. Naradhiyam: 1-16
4. Tiruchanda Viruttham 77	9. Naradiyam: 1–42
5. Thirucchanda Viruttam 78	10. Narasimha puranam: 14

- 6. Thiruppallandu: 4
- 7. Thiruppallandu: 4

- : 18-32
- 11. Neradiyam :
- 12. Itihasa Samuchayam 33 130

obtained release from samsāra. The supreme Ruler, Himself, initiated Tirumangai Alvar into this mantra.

IT MAY BE UTTERED BY ALL WITH THE OMISSION OF THE PRANAVA AND THE SUFFIX OF THE FOURTH CASE AT THE END:

When this manura is taken without the pranava (at the beginning) and the dative suffix at the end of Narayana (i. e.) (as Namo Nārāyaņa), every one is competent to utter it. This may be seen from the Varaha purāņa in the context of the sanctity of Kaisrika Dvādasī, where it is said: ""(Nampaduvan), who was of the caste that eat dog's flesh, came back after pronouncing the words: Namo Nārāyaņa". It may also be evident from the following Tamil verses :— "When "the name of Bhagavan is uttered, one should say Namo Nārāyaņa", "Having "obtained this excellent garland called Namo Nārāyaņa etc." and "There is "certainly a tongue in the mouth and there is certainly also the mantra called Namo Nārāŋa" which should be uttered at a single breath ".

To Brahmins and the like (Kshatriyas), the mantra coosists of eight syllables including the pranava just as it occurs in the Veda. It has been stated: "Karma" "is said, in the ocean of nectar called Pancharatra, to be of three kinds:-- to Brahmins karma is Vaidic (i.e.) to be performed with Vedic mantras; to Kshatrias, karma is both Vaidic and täntric; to Vaisyas and Sudras karma is täntric; or karma may be tantric to all. Ashtākshara, the mantra with eight syllables), Dvädasakshara (the mantra

with twelve syllables Namo Bhagavate Vāsudeväya with the

Varaha Puranam: 139-53.
 Peria Tirumozhi: 6-10-1.
 Mudal Tiruvandadi: 57

16, Mudal Tiruvandadi 95,

17. Naradiyam : 🗌

NOTE :— • When the worship of Vishnu is performed with only Vedic mantras, it is Vaidic karma; when it is performed only with the mantras found in Pancharatra, it is tantric and when it is performed with both, the karma is said to be both Vaidic and tantric.

pranava at the beginning) and the Shadakshara (the mantra with aix syllables, Namo Vishnave with the pranava at the beginning) - these are the principal mantras of Visbnu whose splendour is beyond all measure. These mantras with the pranava (at the beginning) are Vaidika mantras; without the pranava they are Tantric mantras. To women and Sudras the utterance of the Moolamantra should be without the dative suffix (of the word Narayana). So also there is, for them, no sourg, no pranava por anganyāsa or other rules. To them the utterance of the mere mantra (without pranava) is enjoined." In accordance with this, it has been stated further : "The syllable α in the latter part^{is} of the word Nārāyaņa (i.e.) ayana denotes Vishnu. If the nasal sound of the vowel m (anuswara) at the end of the word avanam be added to it. it becomes am and this a with the m becomes the germ (bija) of moolamantra. By this addition, the manira comes to consist of eight letters.

Even without the pranava, these adhikārīs (women and Sudras) obtain the same fruit or goal and this may be seen from the following sloka:—"Of what" use are many mantras for the purpose of the fruit desired? Of what use are many vratas (ascetic observances)? The mantra, Namo Nārāyanāya, confers all the boons that may be desired". The a in am which has been prescribed as a substitute for pranava (aum) should be considered as having, in brief, all the meaning contained in pranava, because the syllable a being the first letter is stated (in the sāstra) to denote everything in a concise form. The meaning of the syllable *u* in the pranava, namely, 'only' is inferred from the meaning. The ideas of knowership and the like contained in the third letter of pranava, namely m should be understood as being present in the base of the second syllable of namas (or namo), namely m.

THE MEANING OF PRANAVA AND ITS POTENCY :---

When the pranava is used as an independent mantra by itself, it may be pronounced in a single unit or instant of time $(m\bar{a}tr\bar{a})$, or two units of time, or three or three and a half units of time and its meanings vary in accordance with these units of time to denote either Brahman as effect ($k\bar{a}rya$) or Brahman as cause ($k\bar{a}ra\eta a$): (*i. e.*) when it is pronounced in a single unit of time, it means Brahman with the earth as His body; when in two units, it means, Brahman with the *antariksha* (the middle air or sky) as His body; when in three units, it means Brahman with the world of *svarga* as His hody; when in three and a half units of time, it denotes Brahman the Pure and the ultimate cause (of every thing). In some *s\approx is tras* it is said that, when the *pranava* begins any of the three *vy\approx paka mantras*, it should be considered as a single syllable (without any parts as $a \cdot u \cdot m$) and as denoting then the Supreme Self.

" " Pranava or the Veda consisting of three letters, existed at the beginning, and the three Vedas, Rik, Yajus, and Sāman were within it (in σ , μ and m respectively). It should be kept as a secret. It is a Veda with three letters and is different from the other Vedas. He who knows the pranava is a Vedavit (one who knows the Veda or the three Vedas)." The greatness and glory of pranava are well-known from such passages as the above. In the nyäsavidyä, the pranava is an independent mantra and refers to the surrender of the self, for it has been said: "The havis or offering called the self should be taken with the mantra beginning vasuranya" and offered in the eternal fire called Achynta with the mantra called pranava". For the same reason some construe it even here as denoting surrender. Others construe that the pranava should be considered in the Tirumantra only as helping to realise one's being sesha (to the Lord), since primarily it gives knowledge of the essential nature $(svar \overline{u} p a)$ of the *jiva* being sesha. If so, it contributes a qualification or element of competence for the upāya (vis. praying for protection).

20, Manusmriti: 11 - 265.

NOTE :* The mantra vasuranya in the Taittiriya Upanishad.

It has been said :- " By a "knowledge of Iswara, the devont jiva attains supreme purity ". When we consider pranava as giving only a knowledge of the seshi (Bhagavan), 'snpreme purity' would mean that it removes the unfitness of the jiva for the adoption of the upaya. When we consider pranava as indicating a knowledge of the upaya, namely, Bhagavan, (and not the knowledge of the seshi), 'supreme purity' would mean moksha. Bhagavan Sandilya explained, as follows, the way in which the meaning of pranava should be considered :- "This Supreme" Being is of such and such a nature and the jiva is of such and such a nature. Yoga is said to be a knowledge of the relationship between the two (that Iswara is the seshi and the jiva the sesha)". This meaning has been elaborated as follows:-"the a (in"pranava) is Vishnu who creates, sustains and destroys the world: the m (in it) means the jiva, who exists for the fulfilment of the purposes of Vishnu. The ω (in it) indicates that this relationship between the two can exist only between them (and no others). So pranava which consists of three letters and is the essence of the (three) Vedas reveals this meaning." The Tamil verse which says, "I am the "servant of Bhagavan, the Lord of Tirukkannapuram; how am I entitled to be the servant of anyone else?" -this Tamil verse also explains the meaning of pranava. The strutis and the smritis describe the three letters of pranava as the essence of the three Vedas.

THE MEANING OF A IN PRANAVA:

That these letters have these meanings will be seen from the following passage in $V\bar{a}mana pur\bar{a}na:$ — 'By the first³³ letter a in the pranava, which is one of the members or limbs of the mantra with eight letters, Bhagavan, who is the support of everything, is denoted; the letter a is the origin of all words and Brahman is the origin of all things denoted by these words.

Yagnavaikya Smriti: 3-34
 Sandilya Smriti: 5-17
 Ashtasloki: 1

24. Peria Tirumozhi : 8-9-3 25. Vamana puranam : Therefore a denotes Bhagavan. He is denoted by that letter. This relationship between the letter a and Bhagavān is seen from the meaning to be appropriate." Sri Ramanuja stated in the *Vedārthasangraha* that by the first letter (of *praņava*) which is the origin of all words is denoted Narayana who is the origin of all things denoted by these words. From this it follows that Bhagavan is the material and the instrumental cause of the whole world and that, as may be inferred from this. He is both omniscient and omnipotent and has other such qualities. "a means⁴⁴ negation (No) and when masculine denotes Vishnu". "The letter a denotes Vishnu"; "By using^{34A} the letter a, which is the first name of Narayana, what (an excellent) benedictory prayer has been made !" All this is evident from the Nighantus and the usage of scholars.

When this a denotes the Supreme Ruler, it is derived, accord. ing to the science of grammar, from the verbal root ava which means to protect, to please etc., (the termination va is dropped) and the word that results refers to one who is a protector and so on (one who pleases). Though this root has many meanings, its best-known meaning is to protect; further it is this meaning which is required here (in connection with the mantra 'aum'); besides there is no authoritative etymological explanation that it should be considered as having many meanings; so the first meaning given (in grammar), namely, to protect is the direct and primary meaning of the word and the other meanings, when required, should be considered as suggested (*lakshanā*) by the primery meaning. This is the appropriate way of explaining and the *āchāryas* have commented on it only in this way as meaning the Protector or Saviour. As the meaning 'protector' is not restricted by any limiting adjunct, the protectorship extends to all. The manner of protection varies with the objects of protection as shown by the pramänas (sources of knowledge). Since the protection is not stated to be based on any reason or condition, it might appear to be nnconditioned (mirupādhika) but Iswara, who is omnipotent and independent, expects some $vy\overline{a}ja$ or action prescribed by His

26. Nighantu.

26 A. Commentary on the Suiras of Panini-

will to be performed by the $j\bar{v}va$, which would serve as a justification for conferring moksha and the like on those who are in samsāra. Towards the nityas (the eternal sāris) and those who have already attained mukti, the protection is full and complete by way of maintaining what is already present and this is the result of Bhagavan's natural and unconditioned mercy. But this natural mercy (kārunya) is restrained in the case of those in samsāra hy the condition ($up\bar{a}dhi$) of the need for punishment which has arisen by beginningless offence when actions which are displeasing to Him have occurred. Prapatti and other $vy\bar{a}jas$ have been prescribed in the Sāstras only for removing this condition which acts as a restraining force (on the Lord's (mercy).

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The fact of being the Master makes it appropriate that He should protect; *prapatti* and the like are (only) secondary helps. The primary reason (for protecting) is the Omnipresent Lord's mercy which is not dependent upon anything else.

As stated in the stoka: "In all "siddhāntas and in Vedanta, it is declared that the Lord protects with His consort Lakshmi, ever by His side, for she is the very embodiment of mercy", the Lord who has determined to grant redemption to all is ever ready (to do so) with His consort. The relationship with His spouse for the purpose of protection, which is evident from the pramāņas is derived (not explicitly from the words but) by inference from the purpose (of protection) which is evident from the pramāņas. Whenever Bhagavan is referred to, Lakshmi should also be considered as referred to, for it results from the principle:— "If two things are enjoined as necessary, wherever one of them is mentioned, the other should also be considered as indicated" (Sanniyogas ishtanyāya). Thus the āchāryas, too, have said, "Since Thou³⁴ (Lakshmi) art ever inseparably connected with Thy Lord,

even the Veda does not mention Thee separately." In all vidyas or forms of meditation (prescribed in the Upanishads) all qualities and attributes of Brahman which define His nature are to be meditated upon (even though only one or two of them are actually mentioned in the particular $Vidy\bar{a}$). Similarly this attribute, namely, Lakshmi, who is the most important of all attributes should also be borne in mind. It is this attribute (Lakshmi) which rules out other deities, (for they are not related to Lakshmi in that way) and defines Bhagavan's essential nature which is not dependent upon anything else, as having an excellence which surpasses everything else. His essential nature, form and glories, which do not arise from any other source, acquire even greater splendour from the essential nature, form and glories of Lakshmi, who is His attribute, and shine thereby. This may be seen in the simile; " like radiance which cannot be separated from the "sup." And this has been declared by the great sages who understood the nature and person of the Supreme Deity. So also in the word Narayana in Tirumantra, Narayana's relationship to His consort should be borne in mind. This relationship to the spouse is dis. tinctly and explicitly stated in the Dvaya (Srimat - with Sri), In Sri Ramanuja's elaboration of the Dvaya in his *Gadya, it is true that, among the *naras* in the words beginning with Bhagavan Nārāyana (Nārānām + ayanam) Lakshmi is included among the naras by commentators. (From this it might be argued that she is also a $i\bar{i}va$ like other $n\bar{i}ras$). But this inclusion is only to indicate Her dependence on Her Lord and Näras means etymologically only "those who are related to Nara" without the specification of the form of relationship. So from that, it should not be inferred that Liakshmi is also a jiva.

Here the first letter a is in the dative or fourth case singular, the (usual) dative singular suffix has been dropped. The reasons for holding that the a is in the dative singular (when no case suffix is seen) are as follows :-- (1) In the place which enjoins the

^{29.} Ramayana Sundara kanda 21 - 15. NOTE :--- * Saranagati Gadya.

surrender of the self to the Supreme Self (i.e.) the Taittiriya Upanishad, the pranava is prescribed as the mantra (for doing so); (so it should mean 'to the Supreme Self (dative)', (2) the mantra should reveal the nature of the action to be performed, (3) the self to be surrendered is seen in the third letter (m) (in aum)and the Supreme Brahman for whom it is surrendered is seen in the base (prakriti, vis. a) of the first letter; (4) the person to whom the surrender is made and the object which is surrendered should not be in the same case. Therefore the σ (which is the first letter) should be considered to be in the case (i.e.) (dative) suited to the word indicating the person to whom the surrender is made. The view of perverse interpreters (Advaitins) that a and the m (in aum are in the same case (nominative or first case) and indicate the identity in the essential nature of the *iiva* and the Supreme Self is opposed to many pramanas (like pratyaksha and sabda); besides it would not fit in with the natural and obvious meaning of the word name (namas) and the dative of the word Narayana (i.e.) Näräyanäya which are uttered along with the pranava. This is obvious also from the text twhich distinguishes pranava as the bow, the self as the arrow, Brahman as the target and the act of surrender as the hitting of the target (the arrow and the target cannot be identical). In the pranava in Tirumantra, when the intention is the surrender of responsibility (bharasamarpanam), the dative or fourth case should be considered to have the same meaning as the dative in the pranava considered as an independent mantra (i.e.) the person to whom the surrender is made. When the pranava is interpreted as indicating the essential nature (svarupa) of the self which consists in existing solely for the Supreme Self (i.e.) in being seshe, the dative signifies 'having another's interests alone as one's interests'. Therefore it reveals the relationship of sesha and seshi which exists between the jiva and the Paramatma. We should then bear in mind that we are unconditional seshas (nirupādhika sesha) to the Supreme Self, who is the unconditional seshī (nirupādhika seshī).

When the Lord who is the Supreme Ruler says, "You are my sesha" and pursues him, the $j\bar{\imath}va'$, owing to his egoism, tries to wriggle out saying, "I exist only for myself." Therefore the thought that we are seshas to the Supreme Ruler will give (apiritual) existence to the $j\bar{\imath}va$, who is otherwise, as it were, (spiritually) non-existent. To indicate the importance of this thought (that we are seshas), the relationship to the sesh $\bar{\imath}$ (for a) is declared even before the mention of the sesha (namely m the $j\bar{\imath}va$).

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

When the relationship of our being seshas to the Sopreme Being is well established in the mind, the other requisities (for spiritual life) such as self-control and serenity (seama and dama) follow easily as being necessary to it. When that thought is not established in the mind, all the rest serve no purpose and are, as it were, non-existent.

When Iswara is the seshi of all, He is always (to be thought of as) with His Consort, for it has been said, "The two kinds of²⁰ glory (*nityavibhūti* and $Ul\bar{a}$ - *viblūīti*) are sesha to me and to her, O Brahma and this is declared in the Vedanta and in my sūstras (*Pāncharātra āgamas*)." So also it is said "Being seshī²¹ is single and it rests with two (Bhagavan and Lakshui)." In the Agnishomiya sacrifice, the deity (to whom the havis or offering is made) is not Agni alone nor Soma alone: the deity of the sacrifice (which is single) is Agni and Soma. So also in this offering of the self, the deity to whom the offering is intended is both (Bhagavan and Lakshmi). In order to reveal this, it was said "Being seshī is single and it rests with two". When we consider the text^{*} in the *Kața sruti*, it becomes clear from the words themselves that the self is sesha to both. To be sesha is to serve the purposes of another without the primary desire to gain something for oneself.

30. Vishvaksena Samhita
 31. Somasi Andan - vide before (page.)
 NOTE*: KATA TEXT: By the letter a is denoted Vishnu, the ruler of all the worlds, and Lakshmi who is supported by Vishnu is denoted by the letter u; the m indicates that the jiva is their servant. This is the definition of pranava.

The author of Sri Bhilishya has explained it as follows:—"""A He is the sesha whose essential nature (svarilpa) has, for its sole interest, the promotion of the glory or excellence of another; the other is the seshi." The genitive dual case ending which is indicated by the Kata secuti (their servant) is elliptical. The genitive or sixth case, which ordinarily indicates relationship in general, extends here to the special relationship of heing sesha to seshi which is understood from the pramity as. Or it may be considered that in order to make clear the relationship of 'existing only for them', (vis. Bhagavan and Lakshmi), the dative dual termination is present, though in ellipsis. If we take this view, the secuti which says " the servant of both " explains the meaning of the elliptical dative dual.

If we take this interpretation that the u refers to Lakshmi and that the self is *sesha* to both (Bhagavan and Lakshmi) the 'only' formerly stated to be the meaning of u (in $a \ u \ m$) is not given by the word itself but is suggested by the context. It comes to this that this self (the $j \bar{v} a$) is the unconditioned *sesha* to both Bhagavan and Lakshmi and to no other.

THE MEANING OF U IN AUM :-

If, on the other hand, we take the middle letter u to mean 'only' in accordance with Vedic usage, the meaning 'only' is to prevent the doubts concerning the relationship of being sesha extending to any other (deity) unconditionally and this is done by the word itself (and is not merely suggested by the context). Since it declares that the relationship of being sesha to other deities than Bhagavan and Lakshmi does not pertain to one's essential nature, it follows that contact with other deities should not exist to the man who has a knowledge of the nature of his self, and this avoidance of contact extends even to the devotees of other deities. In the chapter on the Ultimate Object in Life, we have already stated that, since being sesha to Bhagavatas is pleasing to the Lord, it does not constitute the offence of heing sesha to others. Thus if by the force of the word itself (namely u), we get the meaning that the relationship of being sesha is ruled out in the case of others, it is also evident that the idea of the relationship not existing (towards the Lord) is also ruled ont, (since there is no limitation of time (ie) the self is always sesha to the Lord and is never non-sesha to Him). By this ruling out of the non-existence of the relationship of sesha, the substance (dravya) called 'the sentient being' is seen to be an attribute incapable of being separated from Iswara (at any time or place) and is therefore shown to be bis body, in the same way as non-sentient matter is His body.

THE MEANING OF M IN AUM:

The third letter m (in any) denotes, primarily, the *jīva* but means also by implication (upalakshana) all other things which are sesha to the Lord. That this (m) denotes the *jivatma* may be understood from the following: (1) The letter m which denotes the jīva should be used to perform vyāpaka nydsa (i.e.) to perform $ny\bar{a}sa$ in one's body as if it pervades the whole body ": (2) The five groups" of consonants (in the Sanskrit alphabet) each containing five letters beginning with k (**5**) and ending with m(**4**) denote the twentyfive tattuas or reals as stated in the Tattuasägarasamhita and the like. The Supreme Ruler is always within these reals as their Inner Self " and (3) " The five" letters in the Ka (5) group denote the five elements (*bhūtāni*), those in the Cha (\exists) group denote the five senses of action karmendriv $\bar{a}s$); those in the $ta(\bar{c})$ group denote the five senses of knowledge (jndnedriyds), those in the ta ($\overline{\mathbf{d}}$) group denote the five tanmatras (like smell) the pa ($\overline{\mathbf{q}}$) denotes the mind, the pha (**T**) ahankāra, ba (**T**) denotes mahat and bha (A) prakriti. The jivätma is denoted by the letter m and he is the twentyfifth fattua or real."

From these it is evident that the *jivätmä* is different (both) from the twenty-four reals which have the defects of inertness and non-sentience and from the twenty-sixth Real, (namely,

32. Tativa Sagara Samhita,

33. Padmottaram IV, 25, 26, 27.

Jawara) who is the (ultimate) cause of everything, the Saviour of all and the *seshi* of all and who is indicated by the first letter (in *aum*).

In the Tirumantra, the words, m and Nära (in Nārāyaņa), refer only to the attributes, namely, the jīva (and matter) as determinate words (* Nishkarshaka words) and do not refer to the Supreme Self within the jīva (and matter).

If we examine the grammatical form of this letter (m), it is found to have been derived from the root mana meaning 'to know' and other such roots (mas - meaning 'measure') and it denotes the $j\bar{v}\bar{a}tm\bar{u}$ who is atomic and who is of the essential nature $(svar\bar{u}pa)$ of $jn\bar{a}na$ and (at the same time) possessed of the attribute, $jn\bar{a}na$. This is in accordance with the Brahma Sutra (2-3-19), which says "The $j\bar{v}\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ is a knower (*i.e.*) he possesses the attribute 'knowledge' (and is not merely jnäna or knowledge as the Advaitins contend) and this is from the struti itself".

Though the $j\bar{i}v\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ is said to be, in general terms, of the essential nature of knowledge, yet since this essential nature (svar $\bar{u}pa$) is known from the pramanas (svabdha and the like) to be agreeable and pleasing, it is also of the essential nature of sinanda or bliss which is a specific form of knowledge

When the *jiviitmii* is said to be possessed of the attribute, knowledge, since the *pramityas* declare him to be possessed of knowledge without any restrictive word, it should be understood that in the state of *mukti*, his knowledge is all-pervasive and not limited by conditions (or *upiidhi*) and without any restriction or limit.

* NOTE: According to Visishtadvaita doctrine, many words are indeterminate in meaning; for example go or 'cow' may mean the body of the cow, or the soul of the cow or the Supreme Self within the cow as its 'Antaryami; some words are determinate in meaning, for instance 'cowness' (gotvam) which refers only to the jati (genus) 'cow' of the cow. The m (in anm) refers to the jive and not to the Supreme Self }. When we consider this natural and pure form of the jivaitma, it will appear that it is free from the inertness and other such defects of nonsentient things and so also from such things as pain, suffering etc., which are found in samsaira. Hence in the essential nature and the attributes (svarapa and dharma) of the jivaitma, there is also spotlessness or freedom from all impurity or defect (nirmalatva). The seeker after salvation should meditate that the jivaitma is absolutely pure and has its enjoyment only in being seeka to the Supreme Being and this may be seen from the Brahma Satra (3.3.52) which says: — "No, only the state (of the jivaitma) that differs from the state of samsara (should be meditated upon), for that is the state which will ensue (in moksha) as in the contemplation of Brahman as He is ".

To the *prapama*, the meditation on the fruit of attainment in *mukti* is useful in creating a desire for that fruit. The forms of meditation (concerning the form of the self) are different in accordance with the difference in the fruits desired and this is evident from the eighth chapter of the $G\bar{i}t\bar{a}$ and $Sr\bar{i}$ $Bh\bar{a}shya$ and other such treatises.

Since the *pramāņas* declare a plurality (or very large number) of souls, this third letter m (in aum), used in the singular, denotes the *jāti* or genus (and not a single individual), as in the Brahma Sūtra (2-3-18) which says ' The soul (or self) is not (generated) for (so says) the Sruti.' (Here the word ' soul' denotes the genus and therefore it really means ' souls' (or self's).

Though it is stated here that all souls are seshes to Bhagavan in general terms as in: — "Ah souls^{33A} are the servants of the Supreme Self by their very nature " — yet since the individual is also included in the 'all souls,' it follows that for that reason, every one should realise that he is also the servant of the Lord.

Here the knowledge of being a sesha, the abandonment of the conceit of one's independence (of the Lord), the adoption of the

33A. Iswara Sambita

MEANING OF M

particular upaya (namely, prapatti) and the prayer for the fruit to be attained, namely, the service of a seshe to the Lord have all to arise in the individual; therefore the individual is the principal (figure). So some *ächāryas* say that the word *aham* (I) should be taken as understood and as being the substantive to which the m (which means the jiva) is the adjective "I who am a jiva". In that case, as stated also in slokas which elaborate the pranava, "Whatever" is mine and I myself are Thine, O Lord, Madhava! I am well aware of this "; since attributes always follow the principal (or the substantive), the qualities and attributes are also intended by implication (upalakshana), (2) Other acharyas hold that the third letter itself (m) shows the *aham* (or I) by dropping, in the word (asmat = I) of the first part as and the latter part at and retaining only the consonant m in the middle, in accordance with Vedic usage. In $Ny\bar{a}sa$ vidy \bar{a} (as described in the Taittiriya upanishad) which enjoins the offering of the self as an oblation to the Supreme Being, the pranava is called the mantra (for it). In order that the action to be performed may be made clear, the actual words indicating the Supreme Self and the individual self should be expressed and not remain merely implicit. Since according to the *pramimas*, the first letter (a) denotes the person to whom the surrender is made and the middle letter (u) has some other meaning (either only or Lakshmi), hy the law of what remains (pariseshya) the third letter (m) should indicate the oblation, namely, the individual self that is to be offered. Therefore it is appropriate to hold that this (third) letter should mean aham (or I). Therefore it is that Bhattar commented on the pranava in Tirumantra as follows :- "I exist" only as the property for Bhagavan who is indicated by the letter σ'' -

THE MEANING OF NAMAS OR NAMO IN TIRUMANTRA :---

So also the ma in maintas (name) denotes the individual self in any one of the three ways indicated before (1) 25th tattua (2) the knowing self and (3) short for aliam. This ma is in the

genitive or sixth case ending, and the na (which precedes it) means negation 'no' or 'not'. So it is equivalent to na mama (not mine). The negation na (not) is placed first to indicate its greater importance as in the sentence drishta Sita" (seen was Sita). The genitive case in ma is a mark of relationship in general (sambandha sämänya) and implies (here) the particular form of relationship (that of a scesha to the sceshi). It is with this idea indeed that Bhattar said, "" I do not then exist for myself," The ides contained in this namas which has the same purport as the only of the middle letter u in pranava-for all sentences should be considered as expressive of exclusive affirmation (avadhāraņa)--this idea is of the greatest importance, and it is said in such slokas as the following :— " The cause" of sams $\bar{a}ra$ (bondage) is the two letters mama (mine) and the cause of attaining the eternal Brahman is the sentence having three letters na mama (I sm) not mine)." The idea of the following sloka has also the same purport as this names: - "All that is crooked^{**} is the cause of samsara; all that is straight is the cause that effects the attainment of Brahman". (Here the idea that we exist for Bhagavan is straight and the idea that we exist for ourselves is crooked.)

If it is asked "What is it that is not mine (*na mama*)?", the answer is found supplied by the context (*anushanga*) viz., the third letter in *pranava* and is as follows :—

"I do not belong to myself". In the chapter on "anushanga (The Context)" it is evident that the meaning indicated by the context (anushanga) is more appropriate than the meaning arrived at by the insertion of a new word (adhyāhära).— Here na mama aham "I am not mine" specifies that I am not mine. As the

36. Remayana : Bala Kanda : 1-78

38. Mahabharata : Santi parva -

37. Ashtasloki 3.

- 13 4.
- 39. Mababharata: Santi perva : 79-22.
- 40. Purva mimamaa.

^{(*}NOTE: Names. Advaiting might say that the mais in the ablative or fifth case meaning "than I" (There is nothing other than I) and if we consider that the word kinchit is understood and insert it, na maimay mean "There is nothing else other than I". Here the word kinchit has to be understood (adhyahara) which is not so appropriate, as anushanga].

middle letter (in *pranava*) namely u = only, has already stated that I exist only for Iswara, which negates *seshatva* to others, this specification in *namas* indicates that in others oneself is also included.— By the principle illustrated in \dagger go-balivarda (the specification is to show the negation of oneself in special as not having been included in the 'others' stated in the middle letter).

Aham na mama (" I am not mine") is the sentence in namas. From this it becomes clear that even one's $svar \overline{a}pa$ (essential nature) does not belong to oneself. Since attributes and qualities always follow the principal or substantive, it is tantamount to saying, specially, that one is not the unconditioned master of any. thing (belonging to one). This is the purpose of the specification.

If we do not take the word *aham* from the context (*anu-shanga*) and accept the interpretation given above, it would mean that the general misapprehension that certain things (for example, our body and our qualities) really belong to us will be taken away from one's self.

Thus names dispels one's conceit (abhimāna) about oneself and about those which appear to be one's by stating that they do not belong to one and the root causes of samsāra, namely the notions of 'I' and 'mine' which are false, are thereby removed. The conceit (ahamkāra) which is thus removed is a form of illusory knowledge. The word ahamkāra is used also to mean one of the twenty-four reals (tattvas), but that ahamkāra is not capable of heing removed by mere intelligence. It persists with the jīva until its separation from the subtle body (sūkshma sarīra). Though this connection with the non-sentient tattva (real) called ahamkāra continuea to exist, its effects in the form of the disease of conceit (abhimāna) and the like which are illusory are cured by medicine in the form of discrimination (viveka) resulting from such things as the moolamantra.

NOTE† (Though the Sanskrit word Go would include 'bull' yet the pharse go and bull is often used to emphasize bull and draw special attention to it) Since, from the middle letter of pranava, the notion that one is sesha to any other than the Lord is got rid of, there is no need to state separately that one is not sesha to oneself. Therefore the purport may also be to show that the person to whom certain things appear to be sesha and who appears to be seshi is not the unconditioned master or seshi of any of these things. All those things that are sesha to the jiva (like his body, his attributes, his wealth etc.) are indeed those that were given to him for His own purposes by the Supreme Ruler, who is the seshi of all.

Those qualities and the like of the jivas which are eternal have become theirs by the eternal will of Iswara. The transitory bodies and the like which are theirs in the impure state (samsära) and in the pure state (after mukti when they might take bodies for some purpose or other)—these result from the transitory will of Iswara as the effect of past karma (in the case of the former) and without any relation to karma (in the case of the latter).

The pranava states that the jiva is sesha to none other (than Bhagavan). So it becomes evident that he is not sesha even to himself as he is other than Bhagavan; it follows from this that, in the same way as he is sesha to nobody else (than Bhagavan), no other thing is naconditionally sesha to him. This does not appear in the pranava. Therefore this may be the purport of the namus that the jiva is in no way independent (i. e.) that he has no svätantryam). In that case, taking from the context (anushanga) the m in pranava, it would give the meaning "I am not capable of maintaining or looking after myself."

It is also possible to understand (adhyāhara), the word svätantrya (independence) after na mama. It would then read na mama svätantryam (I have no independence). The absence of independence in the case of the sentient being is the inability to act independently (of the Lord) (i.e.) without His help. This is because, though in the state of bondage and in the state of release, he acts in accordance with his own mind, he can act only

366

with such things as the senses given by Iswara and by His stimulation and with His help.

The protectorship of all, indicated by the base (prakriti) (vis) a in the first letter of pranava, ensues, in the case of those who adopt an $u \rho \bar{a} y a$, only by stimulating them to the adoption of any one of the upayas. Though the jiva adopts the upaya, since his activity is dependent on the Lord and since the one who affords protection by granting the fruit (of the $\mu \rho \overline{a} \gamma a$) is the Sapreme Ruler who is gracious, it is only His protectorship of all indicated in the base of the first letter (of pranava) that is confirmed by this namas. Similarly Iswara's being the unconditional seshi of all which is stated by the dative case (fourth case) of the first letter a in the pranava (where the case ending has been dropped)- this is confirmed by the interpretation suggested before, which denies unconditioned seshitvam to the jīva. As declared in the Brahma Sutra (2.3.40), "The doership of the *jiva* is due to the Supreme Being as stated in the Sruti", the independence that the jiva seems to possess is granted to him in the execution of His own purposes by the Supreme Being who is the unconditioned seski of The jiva's being seshi to other things is also due to its being all. given to him by the unconditioned seshi for the fulfilment of His own purposes. To sum up -

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:-

The Lord of Sri is (alone) independent in all things and is (alone) the Master (of all) without being dependent on any one else. Independence without any dependence for help on others and mastership belong to no one else.

This gist of the *pranava* and of the *namas* has been taught to us by (our) *achāryas*, in order that we may have the competence (for *prapatti*), namely, such as having the Lord alone as our refuge.

In ordinary life, being master over others and being independent of others are considered desirable, and being a servant and

being dependent on others are considered undesirable. This is merely due to past karma as a conditioning factor (upādhi). But here (in spiritual life), being a servant (of the Lord) and being dependent (on the Lord) are agreeable to those who have a knowledge of the truth, for they are appropriate and natural to our svarupa (essential nature), as stated in such passages as the following :- "We are all, by "" nature, His servants ". Thus it becomes evident that the $j\bar{v}va$ exists solely for another (Iswara) and is entirely dependent on Him. So the jive may rightly be em. ployed to do what is pleasing to the seshi, who alone is independent (and omnipotent). Therefore it follows that the *jiva* should be sesha (and render service) to His devotees, as stated (in the Tamil verse) :--- " The Lord." who is absolutely pure, has made me the servant of His servants". If the Lord who is the $xesh\bar{i}$, and who is independent (and all powerful) is pleased to make us seshas to His good devotees, it cannot be called improper or inappropriate; nor can it he avoided (or resisted). Since He is seshi, He has a right to employ us in whatever He desires, and since He is allpowerful. He can enforce it. This idea may also be seen in the Tamil) verse :--- "I will never" associate with those who think that there is any deity other than Thee. But gladly do I accept (the privilege of) being servant to Thy devotees."

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :---

Being sesha to His devotees results to the Supreme Ruler from His own desire; but to us, it is a consequence of our being dependent on Him. (Sometimes) even to us, it may come as a consequence of our own desire, for to one who appreciates the worth of (virtuous) qualities, a desire to serve may arise towards those who are great by their virtues. (Thus) service may be done owing to an appreciation of (noble) qualities, like the service of Lakshmans to Sri Rama. The omnipotent Lord has Himself

368

said. "I *render service to those who are my kinsmen by virtue of my being independent. I give one half (of what I have) to my kinsfolk and enjoy the other half. I forgive also harsh words (employed) by them towards me ". Thus by virtue of these words of His, He has willed that we should be *s'eshas* to Himself and to His devotees. It has already been shown (in the chapter on the extreme limit of the ultimate goal of life) that the service rendered as *s'eshas* by the devotees to one another is due to the will of the omni-potent Lord and is appropriate in different ways (in exalting others and in being exalted by them).

Since the jīva who is thus (akinchana) destitute (of any $up\bar{a}yas$) has to receive the desired object from the independent seshi in accordance with His will, the prayer for protection (saranavaranam) which is explicitly stated in Dvaya and the Charama sloka for winning His favour is necessary and it is here implicit, in namas of the Tirumantra. Since the surrender of the self and the prayer for protection are inseparable, the word which denotes either denotes also the other and is intended to express both. The word namas is itself (often) employed to stand for the prayer for protection, as may be seen from the following slokas: "The deity^{42A} with eyes broad like lotuses that was seen by me before — He has now become (incarnate as) your relative viz. Janardana, the tiger among men". "Medhave" is hoth the father and the mother of the whole world. Seek His protection, O best among men, for He is capable of protecting (you)." " When Markandeya) gave "them this advice, the three sons of Kunti and the twins. O best of the Bharatas, made namaskara to Janardana along with Droupadi", so also in the episode of Damayanti (we find the following); "She considered" that the time had come to seek refuge of the gods. So she made namaskiira with (all) her speech and (all) her mind." (Since namas has been used to

* Sri Krishna's words.

42-a. Mahabharata: Aranyaparva: 192-51 44. Mahabharata: Aranyaparva: 54-16 43. Mahabharata: Aranyaparva: 192-56

signify the prayer for protection), it indicates that the responsibility for protecting Oneself is not of the $j\bar{v}va$ (na mama bharah), where the word bharah (the burden of responsibility) is understood. Thus its purport may also be the surrender of responsibility. That the word namas indicates the surrender of self ($\bar{a}tma$ samarpaṇam) is also well-known from the following stokas :-"Of all yajnas which" are performed with samit and such like as an aid, the man who has surrendered his self ($\bar{a}tma$ samarpaṇa) to Bhagavan with the word namas is said to have performed the best Yajna," and "I bow" to Bhagavan, for namas (howing) or $\bar{a}tma$ samarpaṇam to Him enables a man to get rid of his suffering and enjoy whatever he wants".

That the word *namas* has these meanings, *vis.*, "I do not belong to myself; nor is any activity of mine *sesha* to me; all these are *sesha* only to *Bhagavān*," is stated in the section on the *namaskāra* in *Nirukti*.

THE THREE MEANINGS OF NAMAS: Sthiila, Sükshma and Para:

Ahirbhudhnya (Siva) commented on the word names as having three different meanings: a gross meaning $(sth\bar{u}l\bar{a}rthu)$ a subtle meaning $(s\bar{u}kshm\bar{u}rtha)$, and a supreme meaning (para), in the following stokas :--

I. STHULĀRTHA:

(a) The act of an intelligent man bowing his body, of his owo accord, before another who is higher (without expecting any gain from it) is called *namas*. In the *sastras*, all sentient beings are spoken of as belonging to two classes, the higher $(Jy\bar{a}y\bar{a}n)$, and the lower $(ajy\bar{a}y\bar{a}n)$. There is no one other than these. The primary meaning of 'the higher being' is He who has superior excellence both in time and (place) and in attributes. Bhagavan is present at all times and in all places and possesses also qualities that are

^{45.} Ahirbudhnaya samhita : 37 - 37. 46. Mantrarajapada: Stotram :

^{47.} Ahirbudhnya: Samhita : 52 (2 - 33).

beyond (all) measure. So He alone is the higher $(Jy\bar{a}y\bar{a}n)$. All sentient beings other than He are lower $(ajy\bar{a}y\bar{a}n)$. The relation between these two, the higher and the lower, is that He is the seshi and the others (who are lower) are seshas (to Him). The higher is only One; all the rest are lower and the relation between these two consists in this, that He is bowed to and that the others how He who is bowed to is called the higher and the seshi: to Him. the others who are seekas are said to be those who how (to him). The relation between them and Him is not on account of any gain (expected by them); this relation between the higher and the lower is due to their nature, when the sentient being hows to the Higher Person without expecting any gain and with a certain feeling; that feeling is called namana (howing) (h) Namanam (howing) consists in the thought; "Bhagavan is always my sishi and I am always his sesha," for it is this thought that is the cause of the bowing (of the hody). This bowing makes Bhagavan bend in favour to the man who hows (i.e.) it makes Bhagavan grant to the man who hows whatever is desired by him. This is certain, Bhagavan, who is higher, observes the howing of the man who is lower and bends (is inclined) (to do him grace). Since it makes Bhagavan hend in favour, this action is called namas: (c) Uttering the word namas with speech, having this namas in mind and bowing with the hody-this is namas in its perfection (saranagati) and what is different from it in being defective in one or other of the three aspects is called defective namas. This is perfect prapatti (as angi).

"I will tell you its accessories or angas in their perfection: Listen. "The prapatti that I perform to Bhagavan. the Supreme Being, is itself my eternal fruit. I desire no other fruit." To have this (thought) is the hest of accessories (angas). The desire for (other) fruit or gain is opposed to this anga. Akinchanya or being destitute is the condition of being without the knowledge or the ahility to perform bhaktī. This state of destitution is due to false notions ($v\bar{a}sanas$) that have been persisting in the mind from beginningless time and to the man's heing hy nature without

It is due also to the mind being covered by the · independence. impurities of desire and hatred $(r\bar{a}ga$ and dvesha). The external manifestation of this feeling of helplessness is called kārpanyam and it is the second anga. The thought that one is independent and could act of one's own accord is opposed to this. The third anga is the thought that, though Bhagavan is the Supreme Being, He is merciful to all creatures and is ever bent on showing His grace to them. This is called mahāvīsvāsa or intense faith and the thought that Bhagavan will reward or punish according to one's karma and will (therefore) be indifferent towards one-this thought is opposed to intense faith. The fourth anga is the thought that Bbagavan is all-powerful and can protect us and the prayer (resulting from it) for protection. This would arise if we give up the thought that Bhagavan, though merciful, is wanting in the ability to protect us. The obstacle to this anga is the thought that He would be indifferent to us as He is without the quality of goodness. The fifth anga is called the avoidance of what is disagreeable (to Bhagavan). It consists in avoiding actions which would be against The obstacle to this is doing what is forthe will of the Master. The sixth anga is the determination to do bidden in the sāstras. good to all creatures in as much as all creatures-both those that move and those that do not move-are His hody. Doing what is harmful to them is opposed to this. Thus have I explained to you namana (or saranagati) which consists of the angas and the upangas, which mean avoiding what is opposed to them. This is the gross (sthild) meaning of the word namas.

II. THE SUBTLE MEANING - SÜKSHMÄRTHA;

Learn (now) the subtle meaning - $(s\bar{u}kshm\bar{a}rtha)$. Whenever the sentient being considers himself and what is connected with him as his own, the two letters ma, and ma in mama (mine) signify the notion of 'mine' or mamakūra. The thought regarding oneself and those things that are connected with

372

^{(*} NOTE: Angas are accessories, upangas are those that are accessories to angas: here the avoidance of what is opposed to the respective angas).

one that one is independent and that these things belong to oneself --- this thought has arisen from the false notion which has grown in strength owing to wrong impressions (vāsanas) which are from time immemorial (beginningless), This notion can be countered by the right thought viz., me na (These are not mine). The meaning of me na is, "I am not independent. I am not syesha to myself (but to God). (My) body and other things are not mine; they are seshes to the Supreme Being." By this right understanding, those false thoughts that they belong to oneself disappear. Owing to those false thoughts that have arisen from false impressions (vāsanas), which are from time immemorial (anidi) and which have grown in strength by notions opposed to the true nature of things, (we think as follows) :- "I am independent: these things are mine." This thought is got rid of by the word namas uttered by the man performing prapatti with the right understanding that Bhagavan (Vishnu) is the inner self or soul of all. Thus has the subtle meaning been explained,

111. THE SUPREME MEANING: (parartha):-

"Listen now to the supreme meaning (para), which is different (from what has been said before). The syllable *na* indicates the $up\bar{a}ya$ (or endeavour): *ma* indicates that it is principal or all important and the *visarga* (final aspirate) *vis.*, S (in *namas*) means Bhagavan. This is the meaning obtained from that word (namas):— "In order to attain Bhagavan, who is eternal and who is the highest ruler, who is omnipotent and is called Purushottama — (in order to attain Him), Bhagavan is Himself the principal means called *namana*. Thus have I explained to you the three meanings of *namas*."

Since, in the above, it is stated that only the Supreme Seshi should be bowed to or sought as refuge, it is evident and appropriate that the Being to whom *namas* is due is the Supreme Seshi. In order to make it clear who this Supreme Seshi is, the specific name (Bhagavan) is given. In one of the sclokas quoted before,

it is said, "Doing what is forbidden by the $s\bar{a}stras$ is opposed to this (avoiding what is disagreeable to Him)", and so also "Doing evil to other oreatures is opposed to this (doing what is pleasing to Him). The gist of these sentences is that, if at the time of performing *prapatti*, there occurs an act which is deliberate and is disagreeable (to the Lord), there are absent the determination to do what is pleasing (to Him) and also such things as the abstinence in thought from doing what is disagreeable (to Him). If, after the performance of *prapatti*, the man deliberately does what is diaagreeable (to Him), he acts in a manner which is opposed to the command of Bhagavan, whom he sought with eagerness (while performing *prapatti*).

In the slokas cited above, the gross meaning (sthulartha) is that which appears obvious from etymological derivation according to grammar. The subtle meaning (sükshmärtha) is that which appears from interpretation based on the similarity of syllables on the strength of Nirukta. The supreme meaning (parartha) is that which is explained in the secret $s\bar{a}stras$ (i.e.) $s\bar{a}stras$ which comment on the meaning of the secret mantrus) with the help of the conventions regarding the meaning of letters. (In the stokas cited above), in the first (sthulartha) interpretation, the hebeviour which is inherent by nature to the sesha (namely, namana) and the structure or constitution of sūdhyopāya with its angus, are explained. Then, in the second, they clarify the svarups of the jīva, as this is essential to the aspirant to mukti who adopts the $w \rho \bar{a} y a$, namely, that he has no unconditional independence. Then in the third (i.e.) para, the slokas indicate the nature of siddhopaya (Bhagavan), who can be won by the adoption of the particular sādhyopāya and who is also the object to be attained. It may also he understood as stating that surrender (of self) is more important " than all other $\mu \rho \bar{a} y as$. All these are to be understood in their proper places where the ten different interpretations are given later on.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:-

All the mantras that are combined with the word names with its various meanings as stated above become operative mantras to be employed while surrendering one's self. The ma in pranava and in the names lead to the consideration of one's self either by reference to the Jiva as a genus (Jati) including one, or by reference to 'I' directly which is like catching hold of the horns (ie) (by pointing to what is essential and all-important). Since the consideration that has been pointed out in regard to one, applies equally to others as well, there is no question of any one being the saviour of any other. Therefore since all creatures are dependent (on another) in the matter of protecting themselves and protecting others, protectorship not dependent on others is vested only in the Lord of Kamala (Lakshmi)

THE WORD NARAYANA IN TIRUMANTRA:

The word Narityana here (in Tirumantra) explains (in full), by its conventional usage and by its etymology, the unconditional Saviour who appears in the first letter (of the pranava) as the Protector of all and as the seski of all. His grace is to be obtained by means of the südhyopäya called suranjägati (seeking protection or refuge of the Lord), which is seen either implicitly or explicitly in the word namas. He is to be the recipient of the service rendered by the prapanna, which is implicit in the dative (fourth) case (of the word Narayana) (i.e.) Näräyanäya.

Explanation or elaboration is the clarification of what has been briefly stated before in another manner, so that there may be no ignorance, doubt or misconception (about its meaning). This explanation may be seen wherever it occurs $\{(i.e.)$ the meaning hinted at in the first letter a (of pranava) is explained in the word Nārāyaņa and the meaning of m in pranava is explained in the word Nāra and so on]. This word, Nārāyaņa, Which is of great help in showing clearly the two tativas, namely, the sesha and the seshi finds the first place, in the Vishnugāyatrī and in the Tirumiraiyaņīyam, where certain names are commented upon before other vyāpaka names of Bhagavan.

[NOTE:-Vishnugayatri: Narayanaya vidinahe; Vasudevaya dhimahi, Tanno Vishnuh prachodayat,]

(Narayana, Vasudeva and Vishnu are called *vyapaka* names because their etymology indicates that the one referred to by them is present everywhere).

In the Nārāyaņānuvāka which aims at determining the person of the Supreme Deity, whn is the object of meditation in all vidyās, the word Nārāyaņa is repeated many times, thus showing great regard, for the purpose of declaring that Brahma, Siva and others, who might, on first thought, be considered as the Supreme Deity, on the strength of the presence of their names in the vidyas are only the vibhūtis (or glorious possessions) of Narayana just like the universe (which attests His glory). This it does by stating them in *grammatical co-ordination or apposition with Narayana (sāmānādhikaraŋyam).

It declares that even these, (Brahms, Siva and others) are included in the meaning of *Nära* (the first part of the compound) and that Narayana is their resting place or refuge.

The potency of this name is well-known from the following passages :— "Those" who are afraid of samsāra and who suffer from terrible diseases are relieved of their sufferings by merely uttering the word $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}yana$, and attain happiness ". "The man" in whose mouth is the suspicious word, Narayana, is followed by

(* NOTE :- Grammatical co-ordination : The following sentences in the Narayananuvaka are referred to here: "This universe is all the deity Narayana: He is the inner self of the universe : Narayana is the Supreme Jyatis (Light): The Supreme Being is Narayana: Narayana is the Supreme Brahman: Narayana is the Supreme Tattva (Reality)...... He is Brahma: He is Siva: He is Indra: He is Akshara and He is the Supreme Independent Sovereign Ruler"). 48. Vyasa Sanjaya samyada: 49.

376

Narayana even as the calf is followed by the cow." "The word "Narayana is always available. Our speech is within our control. Notwithstanding this, the *jīvas* fall into the darkest hell by not uttering the word": "The name.⁵¹ Narayana, confers (on the man who utters it) good birth in a Vaishnavite family: it confers also wealth." "The mother " who gives the name, Narayana, to her son will never go to hell." In the Nāradīya kalpa it is said:---"Even those who utter the mere word, Narayana, are relieved of their diseases, of their dangers, of their fears and of their sorrows. There is no doubt of this." In another purāņa it is stated that if the number of vowels and consonants (in the word, Narayana) be taken separately into account, it will (he seen to) resemble *Tiru*mantra in having eight letters and may be treated as such.

The compound word Nöräyana may be split up into its two component parts thus: Nārāk ayanam yasya (He to whom Naras are the resting place) and Naranam ayanam (the resting place of $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}s$). The word $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}s$ is here determinate in meaning and denotes only the naras (sentient beings and non-sentient things) and does not refer also to the Lord who is their inder self. Therefore the two words are not in grammatical apposition. Nammalvar distinctly showed the meanings of these two wave of combining the two parts of the word (samāsa) in the following two passages by using the word at the end and at the beginning respectively. "He who has, as His seshas, so countless fivas with knowledge and bliss as their attributes and with self-luminousness as their nature and also His own noble qualities-that Narayana," and " Narayana" is the Lord of all the seven worlds ". In this are implicit (Bhagavan's) possessing the two kinds of attributes, namely, freedom from defects and possession of all auspicious and noble qualities and, likewise, His possession of the two vibhatis (glories), namely Lilä Vibkūti (this (material) Universe) with all the

- 50. Sudarsanakalpam.
- 51. Peria Tirumozhi 1-1-9-
- 52. Perialyar Tirumozhi 4-6-1.
- 53. Tiruvoymozhi : 1 2 10.
- 54. Tiruvoymozhi: 2 7 2.

sentient and nonsentient beings in it) and Nitya Vibhūti, (the transcendental region of eternal glory with all that it contains). It should be borne in mind that this $n\ddot{u}r\ddot{u}s$ includes the queen, the ornaments, the weapons, the attendants, the furniture and the like, the door-keepers, the retinue and such others.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:

In the word $N\bar{u}r\bar{a}ya\eta a$, are contained all the attributes (of Brahman) which are established in (the four chapters) of the $S\bar{u}r\bar{r}raka\ S\bar{u}stra$ (Brahma s $\bar{u}tras$), namely, His being the cause of the Universe, the irrefutability of the proposition that He is the cause, His being the $up\bar{u}ya$ for the attainment of mukti and His being the object of attainment (in mukti). All this has been explained by Bhattar in His Nitya in the words beginning with "Knowledge, bliss, purity and the like."

THE MEANING OF NARAS:

"I created the waters," which are called näräs, and lay within them. Therefore am I called Narayana." "The waters are called⁶⁶ Näräs because they were born of Nara (i. e. Bhagavan). They were at first His abode. Therefore is He called Narayana." In these two slokas, the waters refer by implication (upalakshana) to the other tattvas (reals) as well. This is evident from such passages as the following :- " The "tattvas or reals had all their origin from Nara. Therefore are they known as Nürüs. To Him they are the abode, therefore is He considered to be Narayana." In this interpretation of the word as a grammatical compound Bahuvrihi samāsa (Nārāh ayanam yasya), it is stated that the tattvas had their origin from Nara and are therefore called naras. From this it follows that, as stated in Mahopanishad and elsewhere, Narayana is the cause of all (things and beings) including Brahma and Isana (Siva) in every way (i. c. the material cause and the instrumental cause). The word ayana (resting place) is derived

Manusmriti : 1 - 19.

378

^{55.} Varahapuranam

in this way :-- ' I yate iti' ayanam'. That which is pervaded is avana (the passive voice being used for deriving the word). This declares that He pervades all, as they are all pervaded by him and that in order that He may do so. He has unparallelled subtleness (sükshinatva). Ayana may be derived also in another way: Inate asmin iti : (That in which it is), in which case it would mean that in which everything rests. The word Narayana may be considered also as a compound of the tatpurusha type (tatpurusha samāsa), in which case it would be split into Nārānam and ayanam (the abode of Naras). It is true that the compound word should then be in the neuter gender Nārāyaņam, but by the authority of nirukti, the masculine form Nārāyaņah is not improper. The pramänas are the following :- " He is also "called Narayana, for He is the resting place or abode of Naras". " The" multitude of all jīvas is called Nārās. Since Bhagavan is to be attained by them and is also the $u \rho \bar{u} y a$ for attaining Him, He is called Narayana". "Nāra^{*} is so called because it means the multitude of jivas which are indicated by the word nara. I am the goal to be attained by them; I am also the upilya for that attainment. Therefore am I called Nārāyaņa by the seers." "The "wise call the multitude of jivas by the name Nara. He is called Narayana because He is their ayana (abode or resting place). Therefore those who are thoroughly conversant with the Vedas and the Vedanta declare Him to be the kinsman, the mother, the father, the gurn and the abode or resting place."

THE DERIVATION OF THE WORD NARA:

The verbal root $i\bar{m}$ means 'to perish'; so ra (in *mara*) denotes non-sentient things (*i. e.*) matter which perishes (*i. e.*) changes its essential nature (*svarūpa*). Nara therefore, denotes those that do not perish or change their essential nature (*i. e.*) chetanas (sentient beings, using the *na samūsa* on the analogy of words like Naga - which means 'mountain', for it does not (*na*) go

58. Mahabharata: Udyoga parva 69-10 60. Padmottaram:

59. Padmottaram:

or move (ga) and naika, ma-eka "not one" (i. e.) 'many'. So Nara means sentient beings only; nara means the multitude of naras. Their ayanam (abode or resting place) is Narayana. This declares that He who has under His control the three kinds of chetanas or sentient beings (the bound, the released and the eternal) and also nonsentient things, their essential nature (or existence) their sustenance, and their activity-He is, to the three kinds of multitudinous souls (the bound, the released and the eternal) who are denoted by the word nara, the Dispenser of what they desire. It says also that since He is blissful. He is, of His own accord, the upeya (i. e.) the object of attainment and is also the support or resting place $(\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ra)$, for it is said, "Thus has" it been explained how the three worlds have Vishnu as the *adhara* (resting place or abode) " and " He "A has entered into the three lokas, viz. the non-sentient, the sentient and the released souls and supports them without andergoing any change."

How these meanings are traceable in the word ayana will now be explained :— The word ayana may be derived from the verbal root in (which means to go), in three ways: (1) $\bar{i}yate$ anena iti ayana). Ayana is 'that by which or with which one goes, where it refers to the instrument (karana) or aid. (When derived in this way) Iswara becomes the upäya or means (2) $\bar{i}yate$ asau iti ayana) "That which is reached." In this derivation Iswara becomes the upeya or object that is reached or attained: (3) $\bar{i}yate$ asmin iti ayana): "that in which it rests." In this derivation Iswara becomes the support or $\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ra$ on which everything rests. Ayana may also be derived from the verbal root ay, which means 'to go."

It is evident that Iswara has in Him the qualities necessary for being the upāya, the upeya and the like, viz., easy accessibility (saulabhyam) and being supreme (paratvam). When ayana means 'abode', if we take Nārāyaņa to be a bahuvrīhi compound (Nārāh Ayanam Yasya) (He whose abode is Nārās), it is consi-

61. Vishnupuranam: 2 - 13 - 2. 61A. Gita: 15-17.

dered to indicate that Narayana pervades all things and beings within them (i. e.) that He is immoment within them. If we take Nārāyaņa to be a tatpurusha compound (Nārāņām ayanam: the abode of Nārās, the (compound) word indicates that He pervades them from without (they being within Him (i. c.) that He is These two are declared in the scrutis (i.e.) in transcendent. Nārāyaņānāwākam) Immanence (or antarvyāpti) means being present inseparably connected with other things and beings in such a way that it cannot be said that He is not present where they are: Transcendence (bahirvyāpti) means being present also in places where they are not present. Such things as Time ($K\bar{a}la$) are omnipresent (vibhu). So it should not be stated that Iswara is outside of them. When it is said "Narayana" who is smaller (aniyan) than all the smallest; the meaning of the word anne is not 'of small size' but 'subtle'. So the passage really " Narayana who is subtler than all the subtle things ". means : It means that owing to His being subtle nothing can prevent Him from being within them.

In the sruti (which says "He is smaller than the grain called syāmāka), the purport is that He is of the size of the object within which He is present (i, e) of the measure of the *upidhi* or conditioning factor, and this is distinctly stated in the Brahma sütras and their commentary (Sri Bhāshyam), Being full and complete in every object, on the part of One who is both immanent and transcendent, means that that aspect or phase of His which is within any one upindhi or conditioning factor is capable of (creating, sustaining and destroying) and doing such other things to all things and beings. It does not mean that His essential nature is all contained (and exhausted) within any one object (to the exclusion of all others). If we understand it in that sense, it would be opposed to His transcendence. If we explain this by saying that Iswara has the power of bringing together those which cannot be brought together-(aghatitaghatanā sakti), it would be acceptance of the position of other systems (like Bhaskara's and Yadava-

^{62.} Vishnupurana: 1-9-41.

prakasa's), which maintain the possibility of bringing together things which are opposed to each other (Bhaskara and Yadavaprakasa say that difference and non-difference can coexist). Here in *Tirumastra*, when the Supreme Ruler of all is indicated by the first letter (of pranava), the base mara of the word Näräs and the word ayana, all these words are purposely used to indicate His being the Protector and His being the (ultimate) cause (in a). His being eternal and His being the *netä* (gnide) (in the word *nara*) and His being the resting place or support and the inner self (in the word *ayana*) in different ways. (It should not be thought that these three mean the same thing and that two of them are therefore redundant).

If it be asked: "While the Chetana or sentient being is slready indicated by the third letter of prayava (m), why should it be mentioned again in the word Nara (in Narayana)". The answer is as follows: " I do not exist^{ee} without Thee, (my support); Thou dost not exist without me, (for I bring out Thy character:)" "Rama's younger brother (Lakshmana), and Lakshmana's elder brother". (In the two passages mentioned shove, there is apparent repetition, but it is not a fault since it serves a definite purpose). (In the first passage), the first part of the sentence emphasises the importance of the Seshi and the second emphasises the importance of the sesha; so also (in the second passage) Rama's importance is hinted at in Ramanuja (Rama's younger brother) and Lakshmana's importance is hinted at in Lakshmanapurvaja (Lakshmana's elder brother): Thus each is characterised by the other. In the pranava, the sesha's importance is promicent as in the chariot of Arjuna (where Arjuna is prominent and Sri Krishna is not, being only the charioteer); in the word Narayana, the seshi's importance is prominent as in the Rasamandala (the Rasakrida described in the Bhagavatam), where Sri Krishna is all-important and the Gopis not so.

Even in the *pranava*, though verbally the *jīva* is prominent, the prominence, so far as the purport is considered, is of the Protector or Saviour.

63. Nanmugan Tiruvandadi: 7

The jiva who appears in the pranava as of the form $(\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra)$ of $jn\bar{a}na$, both in his essential nature and in his attribute, and also as being atomic $(a\eta u)$, is described in the word Nāra as eternal (without change or deterioration.) Further since Nārāh means 'the multitude of naras', it makes clear the fact of the jīvas being different from one another. If (on the other hand) we take the word Nārāh to mean those who have their origin from Nara (Bhagavan), it indicates that the jivas have Brahman for their cause (in so far as their embodiment is concerned). Owing to these additional meanings appearing in the word (Narayana), the two words serve a purpose (and are not redundant).

(When the word Närāyaņa is taken as a bahuvrihī compound which can be split into Nārāh ayanam yasya), the word ayana is in grammatical co-ordination with Nārāh. By the word ayana, such ideas as their $(n\bar{a}r\bar{a}h)$ being pervaded by Bhagavan are to be understood. The meaning of the *sruti*^{*63A} that in regard to many *chetanas* who are eternal, one *Chetana* who is eternal is the cause of their attaining the desired objects—this meaning may also be seen here,

It is true that the jiva is, according to the *pramāņas*, eternal. When he was described before as taking his origin from Brahman, what was meant was that, in respect of his body which is his attribute, the origin was from Brahman (but not his essential nature, which is eternal.)

The other interpretations which are given in *kalpas* like Nārāyaņātmaka are also similar to these.

In the sloka :-- "Both sentient" beings and non-sentient things which are all different from Vishnu are called Näram. He who has näram as His ayanam (abode or resting place) is Narayana "-- (in the light of this sloka) what was stated before (in Pädmottaram), viz., Näräh is the collection of all persons" should

63A. * Katopanishad: II-5-13 64.

be taken in a wider sense (upalakshana) to include also the collection of all tattvas (non-sentient included). Because even non-sentient matter is not perishable in its essential nature (svar $\bar{u}pa$) and also owing to the continuity (prav $\bar{u}ha$) of the different states (avasth $\bar{u}s$) into which it changes, (even) non-sentient matter is eternal. N $\bar{u}r\bar{a}h$ means therefore by implication (upalakshana) all the tattvas which are eternal and which are denoted by the word Nara.

"Nara means also $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}yana$ for the following reasons:-(1) While pervading all (beings and things), He (*i. e.*) Narayana is *na* ra (one who does not change or deteriorate), for He is not tainted by the faults or impurities in them and by the sufferings which might be caused by them: (2) the verbal root *nr* means "to lead": He who leads (souls) is Nara: (3) In the Sahasra*nāma*, Jahan, Narayana and Nara are said to be the names of Bhagavan: (4) In the passage, 'The⁶⁶ waters are the sons of Nara, the word Nara indicates only Narayana and this is evident from the sentence in $Vy\bar{a}sasmriti:-$ "The waters took their origin from Narayana and they alone became afterwards His *ayana* or resting place". So the eternal and Supreme Ruler who leads all (to Hinuself) is called by the name Nara.

In the Ahirbudhnyasamhitā (we find the following stokas):-"Those that are related to nara are $n\bar{a}r\bar{a}h$. That nara is Purushottama. It is He that confers knowledge on those that have sought His protection. It is He that dispels all (their) ignorance. Wherever He may be, He does not undergo any change. Hence He is called Nara, because He is always the same (na ra), Sentient beings and non-sentient things that are related to Him are His seshas. They are supported by Him, controlled by Him and created by Him. He has entered into them for supporting them. Therefore are they called Nārāh (because they are supported, created and controlled by Nara). He controls all nārās;

65. Manusmriti: 1 - 10.

66. Ahirbudhaya Samhita: (52 - (50 - 54)

that is, by being within them, He pervades them in order to do such things as sustaining them. The norah are helpful (to us) in under. standing Him, for, by their existence is His existence understood. He is the ayana or abode of $n\overline{a}r\overline{a}s$ and they are always His abode. To souls that are $n\bar{a}r\bar{a}h$. He is the supreme end to be attained and also the means or upaya of attaining Him ". This interpretation makes it clear that those attributes which define the essential nature of the Supreme Being such as (satyam, jnanam and anandam) and the qualities or attributes of the essential nature so defined, such as compassion, His activities and the specific forms assumed by Him and likewise the three kinds of sentient beings, (the bound, the released and the eternal) and all non-sentient things - all these are meant by the word *marah*. From all this it may be inferred that He has the attribute of being the apport of all except Himself. Hence it declares also that He stands by Himself and in Himself (alone). From this, the delusion maintained by men of perverted vision (Advaitins) that Purushotama, who pervades, supports, controls and masters them and the maras who are related to Him are identical in their essential nature-this delusion will disappear, since it is evident that He is the soul of all and that He has such glories as that of being denoted by all words.

The word *nara* (meaning - 'one who does not change') brings out the meaning of the clarificatory texts in the *sruti* (where Brahman is declared to be *satyam*, the Real that is unchanging). The word *nära* which means those things which have their origin in *Nara* as declared in the passage :— "Those reals or *tattvas* that are born of *Nara* are called $n\bar{a}r\bar{a}h$ "—this word brings out the meaning of the *sruti* that declares Brahman to be the ultimate cause of all; the word *ayana* brings out the meaning of the texts prescribing forms of meditation or worship (as leading to the attainment of ends desired by men).

Such texts as those in the Subālopanishad declare that the Supreme Being who is the inner self of all and who has all kinds

 $\mathbf{27}$

of kinship (to us) is Narayana. (By this declaration) these passages state that the Supreme Being is no other deity than Narayana.

Those who are relatives in the world became relatives (to us) by the will of Bhagavan. "O, Best of⁶⁷ deities, Thou art my mother. Thon art my father : Thon art my kinsman : Thou art my guru : Thon art my learning : Thou art my wealth. So Thon art my all ". The Lord is mys" master who is my benefactor, He is my father, my kinsman, my king." "Thon" art the world's father, its mother, its beloved son, its affectionate friend, its wellwisher and its guru : Thou art the goal to be attained and also the $\mu \rho \bar{a} \gamma \sigma$ or means by which it is to be attained. I am Thine, 1 am sustained by Thee. I am Thy servant. I am nne who considers Thes as the highest benefit. I am one who has performed prapatti to Thee. Therefore the hurden of protecting me is Thine". The Supreme Ruler is thus one who is the unconditioned relative in every form. If He is pleased (with us), there will be no one who will be opposed to us, for it has been said :---"He from whose" favour all these people will become favourable (to ns)-that Rama is now soliciting the favour of Sugrive, the best of monkeys ", and " When Kesava," the best of deities, who loves His devotees, is pleased, (with a man), his mind becomes clear thereby and all creatures-those that move and those that do not move-became favourable to him ". " When "A Bhagavan who is the God of all gods and who controls even Brahma is gracious, all the three worlds become favourable". " Iswars is, by His very nature, " the well-wisher" of all creatures ". If He is (at any time) differently disposed, it is due to the upadhi or circumstance of the chetana (or sentient being) having disobeyed His command. Even that disposition (of Iswara's) will change (and become favourable) by some little gesture or consideration (vyuja). Have they not

- 67. Gandhari's words.
 68. Peria Tirumozhi : 1 1 6,
 69. Alavandar : Stotram : 60.
- 70. Rameyana: Kishkindha kandam:4-21.
- 71. Ithihasa samuchayam:
 - 33 141.
- 71A. Nerasimha puranam,
- 72. Bhagavad Gita: 5+ 29.

declared :-- "Even "after approaching Sri Ranganatha who is so mad as always to take pity on His servants, why do we still continue to be afflicted by samsāra?"

THE MEANING OF THE DATIVE (FOURTH) CASE OF THE WORD NÄRÄYANA (i.e.) NÄRÄYANÄYA:

We will now set forth the meanings of the fourth case such as "for the sake of some one" which will correspond with the meaning of the sentences to be shown hereafter :

Considered in the obvious and ordinary way, it may be thought that the fourth case is used because of the word namus (which governs the fourth case (according to grammar). In that view there is nothing noteworthy in the use of the dative case. This is the part of the Tirumantra which is the cause of the attainment of the truit (desired), for it has been said :-- "That part of" the mantra (moolamantra) - which remains after the sixth letter counting from namas (i. e.) na nod which is after the fifteenth letter counting the consonants of the alphabet beginning with ka (i.e.) na, and leaving the consonantal part of na— that part which remains after the *n* in Naribyanāya, (i, c), $\bar{a}ya$, is called the potent part of that mantra." This part is concerned with the attainment of the fruit. According to some interpretations, the prayer for the attainment of the fruit is found here. There is no difference of opinion in regard to the statement that the meanings of a word dif. fer in accordance with the purpose, the context, the mark or sign, the propriety, the locality and the time of its use and not by the mere word as such. One of the meanings of the fourth case that are accepted is sampradāna (i, e) to make the word indicate the person to whom a thing is given and who thereby becomes ite sishin. Here in the fourth case Narayanaya in Tirumantra, it is true that what does not belong to Iswara is not given to Him, for the jiva is always Narayana's seesha and belongs to Him. So it might be thought that the sampradana which is indicated by the fourth case does

73. Tirumalai: 4.

74. Naradiyam : 1 - 59.

not apply here. But in as much as the *jiva* offers to Iswara what already belongs to Him for protection by Himself, it may be held that the fourth case indicates *sampradāna* and Ahirbudbaya (Siva) commented in this manner on the dative or fourth case in the Vyāpaka Mantras :—

"The "surrender or offer of the self which is shown to be subordinate or *sesha* is indicated by the fourth case in auch words as Vishnu (*i. s. Namo* followed by *Vishnu*). When the question is asked: "To whom is this self which is subordinate offered or surrendered for the sake of protection", the answer is "to Vishnu". Thus Vishnu is indicated by the fourth case". This is a commentary also on the fourth case in words like Narayana as the *sloka* says ' in such words as Vishnu'.

In this Tirumantra, the meanings of the seven sacred letters beginning from na have been separately stated in the mantrasmritis and these meanings have been summarised as follows by some āchāryas in passages like the following :- "The meaning of na is looking after the welfare of all, helping to incline the mind (of the *fiva*) towards Paramapada and destroying those unworthy qualities of the self which are opposed to it. Since the letter ma signifies doing what is good to those who have sought (Him). helping to expand their attributive juina (knowledge), and securing for them the respect of others ; these are said to be its meanings by those that know. The letter na signifies giving up scepticism, rendering service always to Bhagavan and doing the work of the acharva which consists in leading the sishya to Paramapada. These benefits will, in the opinion of those that know the mantra, accrne to those that meditate on this letter. Those that meditate on the letter ra will acquire the love of the Lord, give up the love of those that are other than the Lord and protect the kingdom (from evils). By the letter ya, wise men acquire the eagerness to adopt the Yoga necessary for attaining Bhagavan and also its fruit.

From the letter $\eta \bar{a}$ one acquires the desire to praise the Lord and the command of language necessary for praising Him. The letter ya causes dread in (the minds) of Yakahas, Rakahasas, Vethalas and goblins (*bhītas*). Those that know the *mantras* have thus described the function of the different letters ".

Thus *Tirumantra* which has been rendered free from the stain arising from perverse controversialists with the help of a careful study of the interpretations derived from grammar, *nirukta* and the like - will, like a mirror, reveal clearly the essential nature of the Supreme Being, and also whatever is difficult to see in regard to one's own essential nature.

THE ATTRIBUTES OF BHAGAVAN TO BE MEDITATED UPON WITH REFERENCE TO TIRUMANTRA:

When we reflect in accordance with the praintings, on the essential nature of the Supreme Being, who is the deity indicated by this (mantra) in all the forms which are explicitly stated and which are also implicit in this manira, we should reflect on the following qualities of His in principal and on other excellent traits connected with them :--- such qualities as His being the protector : His protectorship being the result of His very nature : His protectorship extending to all, the many ways of His protection, corresponding to the nature of the thing to be protected, His being the protector at all times, His being the protector in all places, His protection being of all kinds, His protection being directed by His own interest, the ouniscience so essential for a protector. His omnipotence, the protection being irrevocable by any except His own will, the irresistibility by others of His protection, His supreme mercy. His expecting an occasion for protection. His requiring from the jiva just a pretext or vyāja for extending His protection, His accessibility to all those who have sought His help. His nature being such as may ever be relied on (for succour). His having as His attribute (Lakshmi) who will recommend the pardon of those who have committed offences (17), His being the Seshi, His being the Seshi under all conditions and at all times and to all,

His freedom from being sesha to any one else, His being the seshi to those who admire His attributes and qualities, His being the seshi in association with His consort, His being without equals or superiors, His being worthy of the surrendering of the self as an offering (havis), His being different from non-sentient matter, from souls in bondage, from souls in mukti and from the eternal suris (nityas), His being the cause of others being seshis in relation to certain things (28), His being an independent doer, His being capable of inspiring jivas with such qualities as knowing, His being the inspirer of all activities, His not being inspired hy any one else, His permitting of what is being done, His being the witness of all karmas or rites done, His co-operation (in karmas), His inducing those who have sought succour to do what is pleasing (to Him), His inducing them to do what is beneficial (to them. selves), His being the unconditional object of worship, His readiness to be at the disposal of others (when they have done the right thing), His being the Siddhopüya ever ready to redeem (the jiva), His being the cause of the jiva adopting the sädhyopäya (bhakti or prapatti), His commands being expressed in the scrutis and smritis, His being the ruler who punishes (the evil doer), His equal treatment of all, His being sympathetic to those who seek His help, His being the cause of avidyā and the like, His being incapable of avidyā and the like (karma), His readiness to dispel advidyā (ignorance) of those who have sought His protection (48), His being free from any change in His essential nature. His being free from any change in His character or qualities. His readiness to lead all (the *jivas*) to the attainment of Himself, the creation maintenance and destruction of the world being His lita or sportive activity (52), His being the principal subject of all Vedanta (Upanishads), His being the material cause of everything, His being the instrumental cause of everything, the irresistibility of His will, His having all (beings and things) as His body, His being denoted by all words. His adoration being performed by means of all karmas, His being the grantor of the fruits of all karmas. His being the kinsman to the jiva in every form. His universal per-

vasiveness, His unsurpassed subtleness, His being the support of everything, His being His own support, His being of the nature of satya, His being of the nature of jnana, His infiniteness. His being of the nature of bliss, His being pure (and spotless) His possession of countless and unnamed attributes in His essential nature which has been defined by certain specific attributes like satyam, jnänam, anantam, anandam, amalatyam, His having an eternal, divine and auspicious form, His having states like Para (the supreme) Vyaha and others, His incarnations being satya, (facts of history), His possession of His own svabhava or nature even in the state of incarnation, His incarnations being free from the touch of prakriti or matter. His incarnations not being the result of karma, His incarnations having no fixed or appointed time of occurrence, His incarnations being the overflow of multitudes of qualities which are to serve the purposes of those who seek succour (from Him), His being pure and fit for contemplation in all states. His having Lakshmi as His companion in all states. His possession of divine ornaments, weapons, queen, abode, attendants, furniture, door-keepers, retinue, and the like (81), the power which He has of causing the separation of the gross body from the self, His being the resting place of the jivas for repose, His dispensation of special favours to all jivas at that time, His lighting up of the opening of the Brahma Nādi for the entrance of the self. His enabling the self to enter it. His being the cause of the emergence of the self out of the opening of the Brahma nādī, the appointment by Him of Archis and other escort for the self. His leading the self through the gateway of the sun, His being the cause of the self emerging out of the sphere (anda) of the material universe, His leading the self to the bank of the river Viraja, His being the cause of the separation of the subtle body from the self, His granting, to the self, of a transceodental (non-material) body, His being the cause of the self being welcomed and honoured by the divine Apsarases, His causing the self to enter the Brahmio fragrance and the like, His being the cause of the self being welcomed and bonoured by the eternal suris and the released souls (muktas), the regard shown by Him up to the time when the self

rises to the couch, His being the cause of the self enjoying perfect bliss. His being the principal goal of attainment, His being responsible for all kinds of service rendered with the body, without^{*} the body and with more bodies than one. His possession of all objects of desire. His enjoyment of unsurpassed bliss, His being an object of unsurpassed enjoyment, His being an object of enjoyment in all forms, His being favourable, by His very nature, at all times, His bestowal to the self of bliss perfectly similar to His own. His inability to endure separation from those who seek succour from Him, and his being the cause of the self never returning to samsāra. (108).

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

(Thus) here in *Tirumantra*, the Lord is to be reflected upon by good men in a concise form (in the letter α) as the consort of Sri (Lakshmi) and as the Saviour: (in the dative or the fourth case whose affix has been dropped) as the *seshi*, and as destitute of equals and superiors; (in the word *namas*) as the *upiiya* or means of attaining Him: (in the word *namas*) as the *in-dwelling Self* of all, (and in the fourth case of that word) as the object of attainment and as the Person to whom service is due.

THE QUALITIES OF THE JIVÄTMÄ :

In the same way, when the *jivatina* who is to attain the Supreme Being, which is the object of his attainment, reflects on himself with the help of the *Tirumantra*, he should note the following principal qualities of his own, which are indicated explicitly by the words themselves and which lie implicit in their meaning and other qualities connected with them, which are all accepted on the authority of the *pramāŋas*:—That he has to be redeemed by Bhagavan and Sri (Lakshmi), that he cannot be eaved by any one else, that he has one who will protect him in all places, at all times, and in every manner, that he has a Saviour who has noble qualities, that he has one (Lakshmi) to recommend

(NOTE: • - Service without the body : Perhaps this should be taken to mean anubhava or experience).

him (to the Lord's mercy), that he is the servent of the Lord and (His consort) Lakahmi, that he is their eternal servant, that he is their unconditional servant, that he is not entitled to be the servant of anyone clas, that, in his essential nature, the self is jnang (know. ledge), that it is bliss, that it is self-luminous, that the self shines to itself, that the self is denoted by the word 'I', that the self is stomic (any), that it is subtle (sukshma), that it cannot be cut (burnt and so on), that the self or soul is different from the twentyfour tativas or reals, that (in its essential nature) the self is pure. that it is different from Iswara, that the self is a knower (having knowledge as its attribute), that the *fiva* has, by nature, the capacity to enjoy all things as agreeable, that he is competent to desire the supreme end of life, that he is fit to be commanded (by the Supreme Being), that he is fit to render service, that he has in him the power to have a direct perception of all things, that he is entitled to have unsurpassed bliss, that he is a doer who can act subject to the will of the Supreme Being, that he is a master (of certain things) under certain cooditions or upadhis, that he is the resha of the devotees of the Lord, that he is their servant, that he is destitute of upayas other than the Lord, that he is steeped in such things as avidya, that his knowledge is capable of expansion with the help of (suitable) instruments (karana) and the like, that he is beset by the fears due to samsāra, that he should look forward to the removal of avidya and the like, that he is destitute of any means (of attaining the end), that he has such things as the good-will of Iswars, that he should seek and obtain a good acharya, that he is competent to adopt sadkyopāya (bhakti or prapatti), that he should stand firm in the $\mu p \bar{a} \gamma a$, that he has great potency, that he may be called an object of creation, destruction and the like in virtue of his having the body as an attribute, but that the self is imperiabable, that the self is one among many, that the selves are countless, that the self is pervaded by Iswara (who is its indwelling Self), that he is subject to the control of Iswara, that he is supported by Iswara, and that as a consequence of these, the self is the body of Iswara that the Jies is the cause of the sportive delight

($\Pi \bar{a} rasa$) of Iswara, that he is entitled to be the instrument for the Lord's enjoyment (bhoga) (in Nityavibhūti), that his passage to his goal depends on Iswara, that the attainment of that goal depends on Iswara, that he should seek neither worldly prosperity nor kaivalya, that he should eagerly long for the attainment of Bbagavan, that he should become free from all avidy \bar{a} , that the self would manifest itself in its essential nature, that the self is capable of visualising everything (in the state of mukti), that his sole enjoyment should consist in the experience of Bhagavan in every form, that he is capable of having unsurpa-sed bliss, that his being an enjoyer is (only) for the enjoyment of Bhagavan, that the self (in its realised state) can take any form and the like at will, that its will is irresistible, that the self will have perfect re-emblance to the Lord except in regard to those uncommon qualities or attributes that define His essential nature, that the self's delight is in rendering every kind of service to Bhagavan and that the self will never return to samsūra (after attaining mukti), (67).

SANSKRIT SLOKA :-

In the three words of this (*Tirumantra*), we should reflect, in brief, on our being entitled to be the seshal of no one other than the Lord, that we have no other $up\bar{a}ya$ than the Lord, and that we have no other end to attain then the Lord.

Thus the Tirumantra has (as stated in Nāradiyakalpam) everything that a man ought to know. From it one can understand the essential nature of cit (sentient beings) acit (non-sentient matter) and Iswara, as well as the differences among the jīvas themselves. The Tirumantra also teaches the unconditional differences between the jiva (the individual self) and the Supreme Self, as the former is sesha and the latter Seshī. It determines also the person of the Supreme Deity who is both the material and the instrumental cause of the whole universe. Therefore even those who live in the same locality as those that meditate nn the Tirumantra in this mapper will gever have delusions such as the following :- "There is no Iswara or God": "Iswara is attri-

buteless "; "Iswara is absolutely indifferent (to the welfare of the jīvas), "His god-head is a mere reflection or image which has no reality" "His divinity is the result of some special karma performed by Him". "He is occasionally subject to such things as karma". "The three gods, Brahma, Vishnu and Siva, are of equal rank ". " The three gods mentioned above are really one : " The Supreme Reality or Tattva is someone beyond these three gods", "One of these, Brabma and Siva, is Iswara". "The essential nature (svarūpa) of Iswara is subject to change or modification ". " The material cause and the instrumental cause can never be the same ", " The jiva and Iswara are only a single self". "The difference between the jiva and Iswara is due to certain limiting factors or conditions (upadhi)". " The jiva and Iswara are etornally (both) different and non-different." "The jiva is sesha only on account of his karma". "The jiva is at times sesha and at other times not a sesha ". " The jiva is inert (Jada) ". " The jiva is, in his essential nature, knowledge (and does not possess knowledge as an attribute)". " The five is eternally free from bondage ". "The jiva only seems to enjoy (i.e. his enjoyment is not real but illusory). "The jiva is not a doer or agent". "The jiva is a doer or agent independent of Iswara". "The self lasts (only) until the pralaya". "The jiva lasts only until mukti (and then becomes one with the Supreme Being)". There is only one jiva (all the others are illusory) ". " The jiva stands self-supported ". " The *jiva* is, in his essential nature, an effect having its origin in a cause." " The jiva can, in no sense, be considered as an effect (even with regard to His body and the like)", "The jiva can attain his end only by karma". "The ' jīva, in the state of release from samsūra, is like a stone (having no knowledge and the like) ". " The jiva finds delight only in his own disembodied self", " The jiva is absolutely independent of Bhagavan". "The five is one with Iswara". "The flue is without such things as activities ". " The flug can separate and unite (with Iswars) ". " The jivas vary in the bliss that they will enjoy after mukti". "The jivas have such differences as being fit to have only salokys and the like ", and other such delusions

due to perverted systems of religious thought and to those who are outside the pale of Vedic religion.

So also weakness of faith in the Consort of Sri as a Protector. the search for other Redeemers, "the protest against being depen. dent on God, the delusion of being the unconditional seshe to others, reverence to other deities, association with those who are their devotees, and, likewise, the delight in serving those who are unfit for service, such delusions as that the body and the soul are the same, such delusions as that the self is independent of Bhagavan, the earning (of money or other things) for one's own use, offence to the devotees of Bhagavan, forgetfulness of the fact that one is helpless and destitute of upityas, a longing for the continuance of life in sams $\bar{a}ra$, the fear that the soul will suffer annihilation, the taste for doing what is improper, the classification of men into friends and foes, the seeking of other kinsmen (than Bhagavan), the desire for other ends (than the attainment of Bhagavan), averseness to the supreme goal of life and other such bindrances to one's firm stand in one's essential nature, in the real upaya and in the end in view — all these (delusions) will never approach them. SANSKRIT SLOKA :--

The person who knows the essence of Ashtākshara will never be deluded by these and other ideas which are opposed to his system and his way of life. In no circumstances are the waters of the deep pool of the Ganga disturbed :

TEN WAYS OF CONSTRUING AND INTERPRETING TIRUMANTRA :

We shall now consider in what manner the *Tirumantra* should be considered as forming a sentence or sentences and the meaning of such sentence or sentences:—

The Tirumantra is considered as being a single sentence, as being two sentences and as being three sentences, in different traditions. So also the meanings of the sentence or sentences are reflected upon in many ways :--

* See page (89).

ONE SENTENCE-INDICATING UPAYA.

(1) When it is looked upon as a single sontence, the connection of words is to this effect :-- " Adoration to Narayana whose nature is such as can be ascertained from the pranava (aum), for it has been said :- " Pranava" is the word that denotes Bhagavan." (It is true that) the pranava itself is the name of Bhagavan. but there is no repetition or redundancy in another name being used. vis., Narayana, for, as stated in Söndilya Smriti and the like, the aum (or pranaya) is not of much svail, because its meaning by itself is not definite". Therefore, in the Vyapaka mantras, the other name is for the purpose of indicating the particular (deity). This way of constroing the sentence, when considered in the light of the gross interpretation described in such places as the Ahirbudhnya Samhitā (see before) will make it (Tirumantra) appear as indicating the upäya. However the essential nature (of the jiva) and the supreme goal of life are also implicit in it (for, without a knowledge of them, no $\mu p \bar{u} y a$ can be adopted).

ONE SENTENCE INDICATING KAINKARYA.

(2) Considering such passages as "(They) fold" their hands together (in anjali) and with great delight atter the word namas". the mantra is held also as indicating the activity of the resha, namely, service, which is included in the supreme experience of Bhagavan in moksha. This form of service must (necessarily) be preceded by a reflection on (one's) essential nature or "svarüpa" (*i. e.*) that of being a sesha to the Lord. This can be made use of also by those who have adopted the upāya (propatti), even in their precent state, when they can realise the happiness (of that service to the Lord).

TWO SENTENCES INDICATINO SVARUPA :---

(3) When these two ideas become well-established, it is necessary to have a clear and distinctive knowledge of one's essential

^{76.} Patanjali: Yogasutras: I-1-27 77. Sandijya Smriti: 5-71

^{78.} Mehabherata: Santi Parva: 344 - 45.

nature. For that purpose, the Tirumantra may be looked upon as being two sentences (a) a Nārāyaņāya um — (b) namas (or na mama) meaning (a) "I am the unconditional sesha of Bhagavan alone who is indicated by the letter a (in aum) and who has such attributes as being the universal Redsemar and the support of all and (I am the sesha) of no other. (b) I do not belong to myself, neither am I the unconditional master of anything nor have I any (absolute or unconditioned) independence. If it be asked "Will there not be redundingly owing to the letter a and the word Nārāyana beiog both the names of Bhagavan? The answer is (as follows) :- In that case one of the two should be considered as an adj-ctive to (the other) for the purpose of indicating the special qualities (such as protectorship) contained in its etymological interpretation. The redundancy would also cease if we construe the sentence into "(I am the sesha) of Narayana who is denoted by the letter a in (aum)". Do we not see the word 'Bhagavan', which is His name, heing employed in apposition or grammatical co-ordination (samInIdhikara ya) with the word 'Vasudeva' (in the Vāsudeva manura)? There is no redundance, as the word Narayana serves a purpose, being used for removing all doubts as to which deity is the ultimate universal cause denoted by the letter which is the origin of all letters (namely a). The order of words in a sentence is dependent on the ways in which they are recited (according to tradition); but the construction or syntactical connection of the words is in accordance with the meaning.

When thus taken as two seutences, the whole of the *Tirumantra* is descriptive of the essential nature (svarilpa) of the jiva. In the light of this construction, namely, that of describing the essential nature, the ancients used to say: "Be born in *Tirumantra*, grow up in *Dvaya* and stand firm in *Dvaya* alone". 'Birth' is due to 'the birth of a knowledge of one's essential nature' and the disappearance of the state which preceded it. This may be seen (from the verse): "Before" I had a knowledge of my essential nature, I was like one unborn. After attaining that knowledge, I was born and I do not forget this". That is acknowledging, of one's own accord, that one is the *sesha* of no one other than Bhagavan, that one is not subject to the will of any one other than Bhagavan that, consequently, one has no other interests than being *sesha* to Him and that one has no other saviour than He. 'Growth' means the adoption of the $up\bar{a}ya$ resulting from a knowledge of a particular thing that has to be performed as being suited to one's competency. "To stand firm in *Dvaya* alone" means to become well-established in this $up\bar{a}ya$ and in the (knowledge of the) fruit arising from it, without associating oneself with any other $up\bar{a}ya$ and without any other end in view. Thus *Tirumantra* and *Dvaya* comhined together reveal the *svarūpa*, the $up\bar{a}ya$ and the end for which the $up\bar{a}ya$ is adopted.

TWO SENTENCES INDICATING THE UPÄYA :--

(4) In this construction of Tirumantra into two sentences. some consider that the whole of Tirumantra has, for its purport, the surrender of the self with its accessories. In that case, the m in pranaya should be considered to be in the nominative or first case. By the principle of the offering of the havis (or oblation). Tirumantra would then mean "This unconditional offering, namely, the self or soul is surrendered to Narayana who is denoted by the letter a as His responsibility and who is the deity subject to no conditions or limiting factors ($up\overline{u}dhi$). In this unconditional secrifice of the self, the word namas (nu mama) would come to mean that one dissociates oneself from oneself and says, "The burden of my protection is not mine." As when (an offering is made) with the words: "This is for Indra and not mine", here also, the two sentences are essential; one to express the connection (of the offering) with the Supreme Being and the denial of its connection with oneself. Also in this surrender with the words namas (na mama), the purport may also extend to the denial of one's being an agent or doer absolutely independent of the Supreme Being. The two sentences may also be considered to

suggest the meaning; "The burden of my protection is of no other (than Bhagavan) nor is it my own ".

That Tirumantra has, for its purport, the offering or surrender of the self was stated in the Nitya as follows :-- "After offering" the self to the deity with the moolamantra etc." This idea is shown also in Sri Vaikunta Gadya as follows: "Preying that Bhagavan should accept one for eternal service to Himself alone, one should prostrate oneself and offer the soul to Bhagavan with the utterance of the sacred moolamantra".

TWO SENTENCES INDICATING PURUSHARTHA:--

(5) In each of the two sentences, some understand a word (like syām) meaning 'I pray that etc' or 'May I' etc. (In that case the first sentence would be aum Nārāyaņāya syām, and the second na mama syām), and their meanings would be:--

"May I render service to Narayana denoted by the letter at May there be no hindrances to this!" *Tirumantra*, as a whole, would then be a prayer for the attainment of what is desired and the removal of all undesirable things and this is considered the supreme end. In that case the reflection on one's *svarūpa* is implicit in it. Similarly even when the *Tirumantra* is considered to have, for its purport, only the indication of one's *svarūpa*, (as in the third meaning) it would, by suggestion or implication, indicate the specific end to be attained. The $up\bar{a}ya$ and the prayer would then be known from the respective *pramūņas* which ordsin *prapatti*.

It is often said that *Tirumantra* has, for its purport, the knowledge of $svar \overline{u} p a$, of $up \overline{a} y a$ and of $purush \overline{a} r th a$, because a knowledge of these which did not exist before is acquired from it. The knowledge of these is $pr \overline{a} p y a$ (to be acquired) and the *Tiru*mantra from which this knowledge arises may therefore be said to be $pr \overline{a} p y a par a$ (*i.e.*) to have, for its purport, the knowledge of these three. But the expression $pr \overline{a} p y a par a$ does not mean that Tirumantra has, for its denotation, this knowledge. It denotes the svarāpa, upāya and purushārtha and not the knowledge of these which afterwards arises from it. (For example: the word 'cow' denotes the animal called 'cow'; but when the word 'cow' is employed, we get also a knowledge of the cow. From this we should not say that the word 'cow' denotes the knowledge of the cow. It denotes only the cow though, subsequently, the knowledge also arises. To put it in other words, the denotation of the word and the items of knowledge which may arise when the word is employed are two different things. 'Cow' and 'knowledge of the cow' are two different things.

THREE SENTENCES:-

When *Tirumantra* is considered as consisting of threesentences (there are several ways of understanding the meaning)— (6) & (7) The svarūpa or essential nature (of the jīva) and his supreme goal (purushārtha) are stated by the words themselves and the upāya is implicit in the meaning (though not expressed by the words); (8) or the svarūpa and the upāya are stated by the words themselves and the purushārtha is implicit in the meaning: (9) or the upāya and the purushārtha are stated by the words themselves and the svarūpa is implicit in the meaning (10) or the svarūpa, the upāya and the purushārtha (all the three) are stated (distinctly) by the words themselves.

(6) If it be asked how this is, the answer is as follows: The first two words indicate surrapa and the third word purushartha.

1 4 74

As stated in the following sloks: I am" the property (scsha) na moma

of only Bhagavan who is denoted by the letter a; 1 do not exist for myself: He who is denoted by the word 'Narayana', which means the person whose abode or dwelling place is the multitude of the etermal $n\bar{a}r\bar{a}s$ (*i.e.*) $j\bar{v}as$ — To Him the varied forms of service which I should render in virtue of my nature should 81. Ashtaeloki: 3.

appear (to me) in all places and in all states". (as stated in this sloka), the pranava and the namas clarify the svarupa; and the third word Nārāya jāya with the predicate understood (namely syam) is a prayer for the attainment of the goal, in view of the idea of existing for Bhagavan expressed (already) by the pranava. The form of the prayer would be " May I be for Narayana!" In this view, the prayer for the removal of bindrances (to seshaiva) results from the meaning (and not from the words themselves, because the attainment of the purushartha is impossible without the removal of bindrances). As stated in the Duaya and in such passages as " Thou^{61A} shalt enjoy thyself on the slopes of the hills in the company of Sita ", since the one to whom service is due has a spouse, the meaning would here also be, " May I be for Narayana as well as for Lakshmi!". If the predicate be needed, a word like bhaveyam (May I be) should be understood. This prayer has indeed been made in such forms as the following :--" May I "render faultless service remaining with Bhagavan constantly in all places and in all states." "Vouchsafe sound one Thy grace so that I may render service to Thee". "I pray "for eternal service to Thee " and " I should be " Thy eternal servant,"

'Existing for His sake' is eternal; so there is no need to pray for it; this relationship of existing for His sake (as His sesha) is already expressed by the *prayava*. Therefore prayer is under here for service (to Bhagavan), which is the overflow of the full and perfect enjoyment of Bhagavan and which contains within itself all other ends of life as in the common saying: "In the ocean is a small puddle (*i.e.*) the larger contains the smaller within it.)".

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:-

Here in the dative or fourth case (in the word Nārāyaņāya), aithough the jīva expects his own g in (namely, the attainment of his purushārtha), yet it ends in the Master gaining pleasure or 81A. Ramayana : Ayodhyakanda : 31 - 27. 84. Sri Ramanuja : Siria Gadya. 82. Tiruvoymozhi : 3-3-1. 85. Sri Ramanuja : Siria gadya.

Peria Tirumozhi 1-9-2.

delight. (Therefore there is no violation of the proper function of the sesha). (Likewise) desiring that the Master should gain conduces also to one's own bliss or dranda.

"Whether it is said, "I beg for service which would please Thes " or " Thou shouldst find delight in having my service " -- in either case, both are gainers". (This is the idea). It has been said :- " Food "is of two kinds, that which has three qualities and that which has six qualities : That which has † three qualities is for those bound by karma; the other is for others". Here the food with six qualities is the enjoyment of the (six) qualities of Bhagavan and also the different kinds of service which result from them and which are most enjoyable.

(In the Brahma Satras) it is said that since the scutis indicate that there would be perfect resemblance or equality (bhoga matra $s\bar{a}mya$) only in regard to enjoyment between Brahman and the mukta, the perfect equality is only in enjoyment and in jnana or kn wledge. Therefore there is nothing (in the scrutis or the sutras) opposed to the mukta being a resha (to Bhagavan) which pertains to his essential nature (svarmpa) and, as a result thereof, rendering service (kainkarya) to Him. It has been explained in Sri Bhashya and elsewhere that when, in the state of aspiriog to mukti and in the state of mukti, the jiva meditates on his being Brahman, what is meant is that this contemplation is only in virtue of the self or jiva being the body of Bhagavan. Therefore this notion of " I am Brahman " is indeed the result of the body (here the self or jiva) being dependent on the inner self (Bhagavan) and existing only for the fulfilment of His purposes. (Therefore there is no impropriety in the self being sesha to Bhagavan even in the state of mukti). The service that is rendered in the state of release or mukti comes from the very nature of the self: it is free from the pairs of* opposites, constant and un-intermittent, and produces

87. Brahma Sutras: 4-4-21. 86. Lai ahmi tantram.

[*NOTE: pairs of opposites like pleasure and pain, heat and cold etc.]

[†] That which has three qualities : material objects of enjoyment having sativam, rajas and tamas.

unlimited delight. In the state of aspiration to mukti (mumukshu) the service that is rendered for its own sake is due to the circounstance or upādhi of some good karma done in the past; it has to endure the pairs of opposites (dvandva) such as heat and cold and pleasure and pain; it is interrupted by sleep and other such (hindrances) and the delight arising therefrom is limited.

Here all activities of the jiva which do not form the service of Bhagavan and which do not fit in with His commands will yield adverse results of some kind or other. Among those activities which are permitted by Bhagavan, those which are for the purpose of attaining suarga, cows and the like will yield fruits which may appear to be pleasant but which are mingled with many undesirable elements. Therefore they are, for that reason, hindrances. So, as stated in the sloka : "One"A should give up the performance of those actions which are simed at attaining the three ends, namely, dharma, wealth (artha) and pleasure (kāma)," the aspirant to mukti may perform those rites or actions in relation to Bhagavan which are the means of securing bhakti and jnana and also of promoting the glory of Bhagavan and of Bhagavatas without caring for any other fruit. Since these rites or actions are not productive of life in samsāra, they would be included in the proper form of service. The state of being a sesha to Bhagavan iodicated in the pranava extends to that of being a sesha to His devotees in so far as laid down in the pramänas, as a consequence of the jiva's absolute subjection to and sole existence for Him, which are indicated appropriately by the word namas.

So the kainkarya or service to Bhagavan which is desired in the word $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}ya\eta\bar{a}ya$ extends to His devotees. This idea is expressed in such *slokas* as the following:— "I am[®] greatly pleased with those who have devotion towards my devotees. Therefore one should honour them greatly ", and "Therefore" in order to obtain the grace of Bhagavan, one should please His

89, Itihase samucchayam : 27 - 27.

⁸⁷ A. Vishoupurana.

devotees. By this the Lord becomes heartily inclined to show His grace. There is no doubt of this ".

The saying that "dependence upon others is" miserable" means (only) that the dependence on the worthless, which is due to past karma and which is not suited to one's $suar\bar{v}pa$, is the cause of misery. Being subject to (the will of) Bhagavan and $tad\bar{v}yas$ is the prescribed goal suited to one who realises the essential nature of his self and it is therefore the cause of unsurpassed delight. "Tad $\bar{v}yas$ " or 'Bhagavatas' means those who feel a delight in being the servants of the Lord.

There are some who maintain that service to His devotees (tadiyas) logically follows when one desires to serve Narayana who has for His attribute Naras, for service to the substantive in Nārāņām ayanam includes (they say) service to Nārāņām (all $n\bar{a}r\bar{a}s$) which is the adjective or attribute. (If the logic of this statement that what is prescribed for the substantive should be considered as prescribed also for its adjective or attribute, (in other words), if being the attribute is itself the cause (of being honoured and served), then every thing that is denoted by the word $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}s$ here, such as Brahma and the other gods, the enemies of Bhagavan, cattle and the like, would come under those to whom service should be desired. If it is said, "He desires to serve men's sovereign ", it does not follow that he desires to serve men also who are the adjectives of the sovereign (in the phrase 'men's sovereign'). So also, by mere logic, the desire to serve Nārās will not become proved. (That will require praminas for proof). Therefore only this much can be said :- By the authority of the pramanas, since the appirant desires to do what is pleasing to the Master, he desires to serve those who are dear to Hun and who realise that they are His seshes and have no other interests in life. Even in the experience of the state of mukti, the experience of everything else indicated by the word Nara which is included in the experience or enjoyment of Bhagayan - even this is not understood from (the) logic (of the adjective and the substantive). It is understood from

the authority of the pramana, (namely the sruti which says "He sees all, and attains all things ".

So far the *Tirumantra* was construed as having three sentences among which the first two were held as indicating the *svarips* of the *jiva* and the third as indicating the prayer for the attainment of the *purushārtha* or goal aimed at.

(7) Different from this is the construction of Tirumantra into three sentences of which the pranava brings out the svarupa or essectial nature, namas forms the prayer for the removal of hindrances or evils, and the *purushārtha* which will result from that renewal is indicated in Nārāyanāya syām ("May I be for Narayana !") That the namas in Tirumantra too, like the namas in Duaya has, as its purport, the prayer for the removal of hindrances - this interpretation, too, is explained in Bhatter's Nitya in the stokas: " As stated in the pranava, I am the sesha of Bhagavan; in spite of it, I was subdued by the notions of "I" and ' Mine ' owing to (my) past karma. The word namas states that, hereafter, I should remember my being seshe to Bhagavan and render service to Him ". Therefore the syntactical construction becomes (in this interpretation); Aham na mama syām "I shall not be my own sesha or na mama kinchit synt " " May I have no hindrances!" Sylin or Sylit being understood. So it amounts to a prayer for the complete removal of all hindrances such as avidy? or ignorance, karma, the impressions left by them (vāsanas), the taste or inclination (ruchi) and the association with prakriti or matter This has been shown in the passage in Vedärthasangraha, where it is said -- " Owing to beginningless avidya or ignorance, two kinds of karino, good and evil (pulya and $p\bar{a}pa$) have been flowing like a stream. On account of this, the jiva becomes enclosed in hodies of four kinds: Brahma and the other gods, men, animals and plants. So in accordance with the notion entertained by the jiva regarding his nature, the stream of samsāra increases io its volume. In order to get rid of the fear caused by it which is hard to resist etc." So also it is said.

"In order³⁰ to protect the souls of samsārins whose nature is such that they have false notions of 'I' about the body, bad ways of life due to these (false notions) and the impure body which results therefrom, the Lord of the Nityasuris was born into all sorts of castes and species".

It may be asked :-- "When a prayer has been made for the removal of hindrances, where is the need for another prayer for the attainment of the desired end? Will it not follow as a natural consequence? Has it not been sold: "When the jiva attains" Brahman, those qualities or attributes of his which are natural to him and which were, till now, hidden begin to shine forth. This is revealed by the word Svena in the sruti ". " In the same" way as the brightness or radiance of a gem is not produced (auew) but is a natural consequence of washing away the dirt (covering it), so also jnana or knowledge is not produced in the soul (anew). When a tank or a well is dug, the water and the ether (space) are not created but simply come into prominence (having already been there). It is only what already exists that is manifested clearly. How can there be the production of a thing which did not exist? So also on the removal of undesirable or evil qualities, qualities like knowledge begin to shine forth. They are not oreated anew, for these qualities are eternal in the jiva (since they belong to his essential nature)"?

The answer to this question may be expressed as follows ;---

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

"The son begs of his father for his share of the property, though it is lawfully his. In the same way the servant (the jiva) who has offended (the Master) begs of the Supreme Being that he should be permitted to render service". There is nothing inappropriate in the jiva who has lost what he is entitled to, praying thus:— "May I have what is my share! Be Thou so gracious as to pardon my offence;"

89 A. Tiruviruttam. 91. Vishnu Dharma : IC4 - (55 - 57). 90. Brahma Sutras : 4 - 4 - 1. From the passages quoted above, it would appear also that just as when the bindrance is removed the radiance natural to the gem begins to shine by the will of God, as stated in. "All things^{01A} exist as such only by Thy will", so also such things as the expansion of *juitna* which naturally belong to the *Jiva*, are manifested as a result of the Lord's will, which is of the form of the compassion natural to Him.

But it may be asked :— "In a (*)system of thought which holds that the non-existence (of a thing) means the presence of some other thing, the removal of hindrances should, by itself, be the attainment of what is desired. Hence will not the separate prayer for it be redundant? Besides has not the author of Sri Bhäshyadeclared: "The disappearance of *avidyā* (hterally, ignorance; *karma*) is itself moksha"?

[NOTE (*): Visishtadvaitins hold th: t the non-existence (of a thing) is simply the existence of some other thing and not a category apart. For example, when we say, 'There is no pot on the floor', the non-existence of the pot is merely the existence of the floor. Tarkikas hold, on the other hand, that non-existence or the absence of a thing (abhava) should be considered as a separate category).

To that question the answer is as follows : -- For the sake of some purpose, a single thing may be indicated (in two ways), in its essential nature (svar $\ddot{u}pa$) and also in a form that is opposed to it So (here), it is called the attainment of what is desired and the disappearance of what is not desired, hecause of the intention to signify a special purpose. In the state of sams $\ddot{u}ra$, the disappearance of something that is undesirable may be another undesirable thing or the disappearance of what is desired and what is not desirable slike. But in the state of moksha, since every thing (without exception) that is undesirable disappearance of the undesirable and the attainment of what is desired of the undesirable and the attainment of what is desired is appearance of the undesirable and the attainment of what is desired is appearance of the undesirable and the attainment of what is desired) were stated separately in order to show, with great regard, that the former state (sams $\ddot{a}ra$) is most undesirable and the latter most desirable, since it

91A, Sri Vaikuntasatvam: 36.

(is free from all undesirable elements and) has only what is desirable. Further the two have to be stated separately, since the withdrawel of punishment by Bhagavan and such things as the expansion of the *jiva*'s knowledge are different things. It is not improper to pray separately for these two things since the remission of punishment by God which is the cause of the contraction of knowledge and the like is different from such things as the expansion of knowledge, service and the like which results from the disappearance of the contraction of knowledge, service and the like, which were the results of that punishment?

There is also another reason for two separate prayers :— In some other systems of thought (like that of the Tarkikas), moksha means the disappearance of all undesirable things and the attainment of a state similar to that of a stone (with no positive content in the form of enjoyment or bliss). In order to show that moksha in our system is not of that kind, but has a positive content of enjoyment or bliss, the two are stated as being separate and also to show that in the attainment of Bhagavan (in mukti), there is no such thing as an alloy of misery or pain just as there is in attaining such states as the position of Indra."

Thus if it is held that the svar $\bar{u}pa$ and the prayer are expressed explicitly by the words themselves, the $up\bar{a}ya$ prescribed by the svästras, for the attainment of the end in view (*purushärtha*) namely, the sādhyopāya, is implicit in the meaning of namas which states the disappearance of undesirable things in the case of the man destitute of all other $up\bar{a}yas$ as a result of bharany $\bar{u}sa$.

(8) SVARŪPA AND UPĀYA :--

Since the prayer for the *purushārtha* is not a mere desire but the soliciting of a protector, the anga and other accessories may be considered also as implicit and not expressed. The *Tirumantra* would then mainly indicate the *svarūpa* and *upāya*. If we split Narayana into *nārāņām upanam yena* "He by whom He becomes the resting place of $u\bar{a}r\bar{a}s$ (the world of $j\bar{v}as$), the word ayana may be considered also as indicating the $up\bar{a}ya$, for He is the $up\bar{a}ya$.

(9) UPAYA AND PURUSHARTHA :--

SANSKRIT SLOKA :-

Some āchāryas considered that, as in the order in which these are expressed in *Dvaya* and in the charama sloka, here, in *Tiru*mantra also, the prayava (tāram) expresses bharanyāsa or the surrender of the responsibility of protection and that the other two words namas and Nārāyaņāya express the attainment of the end in view.

(10) THREE SENTENCES INDICATING RESPECTIVELY SVARŪPA. UPĀYA AND PURUSHĀRTHA:--

Tirumantra may be considered also as stating all the three. Viz., svarūpa, upāya and purushārtha in that order. In this case the prayava states the svarūpa or essential nature of the jīva (as Bhagavan's sesha), the namas states the upāya and what remains (i. e.) Nārāya jāya states the prayer for the purushārtha or the' attainment of the end in view.

The details concerning these three should be understood by a study of the Vedanta statras. The construction or interpretation given above of Tirumantro has resemblances to the order in which the tattoa, the upaya and the purushartha are explained in the Vedantha statras. Does not that sastra proceed in this way? In the first two chapters (adhyāyas) (of the Brahma statras), the Supreme Real (Brahman) and the reals subordinate to it are determined. In the third chapter, competency (adhikāra) and accessories (angas) are stated along with the upāya and in the fourth chapter, the fruit or the attainment of the goal is explained by way of conclusion. The essential nature of the Supreme Real and that of the lower reals explained in the first two chapters (adhyāyas) and the fruit or goal of attainment explained in the fourth are the same for the man who has adopted prapatti as a

direct and independent means or updya. The shortcomings and sufferings in samsara which are dealt with in the sections (pada)on Vairagya and Ubhayalinga in the third chapter and the Redeemer's being opposed to all that is of the nature of blemish (heya) and His being the sole possessor of all auspicious qualities --these, too, must necessarily be understood by the prapauna who is an aspirant to mukti. The different forms of meditation or worship (upāsana) of Brahman, which are treated of in the third section $(p\overline{a}da)$ of the third chapter (of the Brahma sutras), should be adopted by those who are competent for them (i. e.) those who have adopted bhakti. The man who is not competent for them and who is destitute of other $\mu p \bar{a} y a s$ should realise that these forms of meditation are too high for him and adopt nyasa as his upaya. For, as stated in the following sub-sections :- Nānā sabdādi bhedāt (Brahma sutras 3-3-56) (The forms of meditation or worship or vidyas concerning Brahman) are different from one another. for their names are different and vikalpah avisishta phalatvät (3-3-57). (Any one of them may be adopted as there is no difference in the resulting fruit). Nyāsa is different from the other vidyas and in yielding the fruit conferred by them, does not require any other sid. The man who has adopted updsana (or bhakti) should perform the rights and duties pertaining to his varna (caste) and asrama (stage of life) as an accessory or anga to the vidyä or form of meditation as stated in Brahma Sütras (3.4.33) " The man who practises bhakti should perform the duties of his varya and asrama as an anga to bhakti." The man who has adopted prapatti as a direct and independent upaya should perform these rites and duties as having been enjoined by Bhagavan and for their own sake (without expecting any gain or reward), for it is said in the Brahma Sutras (3 - 4 - 32). "Since they are enjoined, yajnas and other rites become the duties of the (respective) asramas". If this is understood the, svarupa, updya and purushartha which are explained in the Sariraka Sustras (Brahma sutras) are all to be found within the sacred Ashtakshara. We have already explained how the five truths that ought to be understood may be found in this. (Chap. 4).

SUMMARY OF THE TEN INTERPRETATIONS OF TIRUMANTRA GIVEN ABOVE:-

Thus the acharvas consider Tirumantra, which has innumerable meanings within it, as having ten (different) meanings in accordance with their respective tradition :- When the Tirumantra is considered as a single sentence, it is thought of as indicating (1) the essential nature (svar $\overline{u}pa$) of the jiva and (2) his activity as a sesha. When considered as two sentences, it is thought of as indicating (1) the essential nature of the self and (2) the snrrender (of the self) by a positive and a negative state. ment, namely, (The burden of protecting me is Bhagavan's ; it is not mine) and so also (3) as indicating a prayer for the purushārtha. When it is considered as three sentences, it is thought of in the following ways: -(1) The first two words indicate the syarupa and the third word indicates the prayer: (2) The pranava indicates the essential nature or svarupa, and the other two words indicate the prayer for the removal of undesirable elements and for the attainment of the desired end. (3) In the same manner, the first word indicates the svarupa and the other two indicate the $u\phi\bar{a}ya$, (4) the first word indicates the surrender (of the self) and the other two indicate the prayer for the attainment of the fruit (desired), (5) The three words indicate respectively the tattua, the upaya and the purushartha.

When some of these meanings are considered as the main interpretations, the others, too, should be looked upon as being implicit in the meaning, though not expressed explicitly by the words themselves.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :--

Therefore by the interpretations of the words and the sentences thus taught (to us) by the $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$, who have realised the truth, other interpretations stated by those who are outside the pale of Vedic religion and by heretics (within that fold) have been rejected.

The man who has attained a clear and sure knowledge of Tirumantra which cannot be shaken by those outside the pale of Vedic religion and by heretics - that man has been described as follows in the sloka :-- "He has mounted the (top most) storey of the palace of the knowledge of Tirumantra and has obtained freedom from all anxiety (about himself). The wise man looks down (from there) at ignorant people suffering for lack of this knowledge. like a man on the top of a mountain looking at people standing below (it)". The knowledge referred to in the following stoka :----"Food, "sleep, ear and sex are common both to animals and to men. The superiority of men to animals consists in their possession of knowledge. Those who are without knowledge are like animals"-the knowledge referred to here is this clear and sure knowledge. (The man who has this knowledge) will never be moved or troubled by praise or consure as stated in the sloka :- "Here he" is called a wise man (pandita) who like the (unsullied) waters in the depths of the Gange will never feel elated by praise or pained by censure ". "In the land" where the man who has a true knowledge of Tirumantra and who stands firmly in its meditation, is hononred, there will be no disease, no famine and no fear of robbers ". As stated in this sloka : No such evil will occur there. It has been stated by those who are proficient in Ayurveda: "Adoration™ to that unique physician who killed, without exception, all diseases like raga (desire) which are chronic, which lie spread over all parts of the body and which cause feverish activity, ignorance, disastisfaction (with everything)". The stoka quoted above really means, in accordance with the above statement in Vägbhatam, that (in the land where a knowledge of Tirumantra is honoured) there will be no such principal diseases as $r\bar{a}ga$ or desire, no contraction of knowledge, for knowledge has been described as wealth or Sri and no such great robbers as those who steal the self which cannot be

92. Mahabharata santi parva 150-11 95. Naradiyam : 1. 20.

96. Vagbhatam-

93. Narasimhapuranam 16-13

94. Mahabharata: Udyogaparva 33-33

stolen by external robbers. (The person who considers the self which truly belongs to Bhagavan as his own is a robber).

OTHER VYÄPAKA MANTRAS :---

The ideas contained in the other Vydpaka mantras which contain the words (Vishnu and Vasudeva), namely Namo Vishnavē preceded by pranava and name Bhagavate Väsudeväya preceded by pranava are just those which have been declared as existing in Tirumantra. As interpreted by Ahirbudhnya such qualities^{er} as pervasiveness, splendour, entrance (into all) or immanence and will, which are deduced from the respective verbal roots are additional qualities in the Supreme Being, Vishnu and decisive of His being the Supreme Deity ". The meanings of the word "Vishnu" as derived from the root Vish which means "to pervade, 'vas' which means to 'shine', vis ' which means 'to enter' and ish which means 'to will' and so also the meanings of the Vāsudeva derived from vasati, vāsayati, which mean respectively, "He dwells within all " and ' He makes all dwell within Himself " and Divyati which means 'shines', from which follow His being the support of all, His being free from any taint due to contact with them, His sportive activity, and His will to conquer -- all these meanings are contained in parts of the word "Narayana".

As stated in the sloka : "Whatever" a man ought to know is all contained in Ashtākshura, that is, the Vedas viz., Rik, Yajus, Saman and so also Atharian and other collections of words," as stated therein, this Ashtākshara is, hy itself, the revelation of all that is desired by the aspirant to mukli, in relation to the tattva (Truth) and hita (the means or upaya for reaching the goal). A single utterence of it is equal to frequent repetitions of all the vedas, for it has been said, "Whatever" fruit is gained by those who repeat the Rik, Yajus and Sama Vedas many times — that fruit is gained by the man who utters the Ashtākshara mantra

97. Ahirbudhnya Samhita : 52 - 38. 99. Naradiyam 1-10
98. Haritasmriti : 3 - 65.

only once. A single utterance of this mantra is equal to a repetition of all the Vedas. Though, as stated in the sloka: "In "proportion to the faith that a man has in the Moolamantra does he attain the ends he desires, it is impossible to estimate adequately its greatness "- (though, as stated in the sloka), there are degrees of success varying in accordance with the degrees of faith, the potency (of the mantra) is houndless (incapable of heing measured) in the case of those who have great and intense faith (mahā visvāsa) in it. Since in that sloka (100) it is not specifically stated by whom it is impossible to measure its potency, it may be inferred that even Narayana who propagated this mantra, who is the subject of this mantra and who is, by nature, omniscient and who incarnated as Nara and Narayana and propagated (by precept and practice) the Scripture without letting it become extinct-that even Narayana knows that its potency is not capable of being measured. Tira. mangei Alver who was initiated into this mantra and its meaning by the seer of this mantra (Bhagavan), who is also its deity, has declared as follows :-- "If thou shouldst, with the help of the mantra of eight letters, always enjoy Bhagavan, who appears at the end of the Vedas which form the wealth of Brahmins, thou shalt be happy."

TAMIL VERSE :--

Those wise men with eight qualities who meditate on the mantra of eight letters which treats of Bhagavan who is possessed of eight qualities and who created the eight-bodied (Rudra), the eight-eyed (Brahma), the sight quarters and their eight guardians, the eight prakritis, and the eight great mountains—these wise men have, within their (easy) reach, the eight great flowers, the eight siddhis or super-natural powers, the eight kinds of bhakti or devotion, the eight great qualities, the eight times eight arts or kalās and the rasa or emotional state or sentiment which is beyond the eight rasas, namely, the emotional state called sānti or serenity of spirit.

EXPLANATION OF TAMIL VERSE :-

To those great and wise men who meditate on the sacred Ashtākshara, which treats of the Supreme Ruler, who is the (nitimate) cause of all and who is opposed to everything of the nature of blemisb and is, at the same time, the abode of all auspicious qualities -(to these great men) whatever is desired by them among the spiritual virtues, the eight forms of wealth and the like is never beyond their reach. The 'eight-bodied' means Rudra who obtained the boon of having eight bolies, namely, the five elements (bhutas -earth. water, fire, air and ether or space), the moon and the sun and the man who performs a yajna or sacrifice; the eight-eyed refers to Brahma who has eight eyes because of his four faces; the eight quarters; the eight guardians of the eight quarters such as Indra, the eight prakritis are the eight tattvas or reals like avyakta, mahat and ahañkāra, the eight great mountains means the eight* chief mountain ranges; 'who created these' means the Supreme Being possessed of eight qualities who created all these: His eight qualities are being (1) without subjection to karma, (2) without old age, or (3) death, or (4) grief, (5) or hunger or. (6) thirst, (7) the possession of eternal objects of enjoy. ment and (8) omnipotence or the ability to accomplish whatever He desires: 'to those wise men possessed of wisdom with its eight angas who meditate on the manira ' means ' to those enlightened men who are possessed of understanding with its eight angas and who meditate on the eacted Ashtākshara which is the chief mantra among those that treat of Bhagavan.' The eight angas of wiedom are "quickness of grasp, retention of what is learnt, remembrance, the ability to explain, inference, modification of what has been stated (to adapt it to new situations), reason, the knowledge of Truth - these are the eight qualities of understanding ". The eight great flowers are the following :- "Non-violence (ahimsā) is the first flower, control of the seoses is (another) flower; then comes the flower called compassion to all creatures, then the flower of

*NOTE: They are Himavao, Vishada, Vindhya, Malyavao, Pariyatraka. Mandara, Malaya and Meru.

forgiveness in special, then the flower of knowledge, the flower of tapas and so also the flower of contemplation (dhyāna) and the flower of truth - these eight kinds of flowers are most pleasing to Vishnu. The eight siddhis are "inference, the knowledge of words, learning Vedanta, freedom from the three kinds of suffering : that in the body brought about by animals, and that due to the (anger of the) gods, like storm and lightning, the possession of friends and charity. The eight forms of devotion are the following :- Love¹⁰³ to God's devotees without an eye to their faults, delight in the worship offered to Him by others an eagerness to listen to stories concerning Bhagavan, changes in the voice and the body and tears in the eyes while listening to them, efforts to worship Bhagavan, freedom from hypocrisy in one's relations towards God, constant meditation on God, and freedom from the expectation of rewards from God. The eight angas of yoga are yama, niyama and the rest (āsana, prāņāyāma, pratyāhara, dhyāna, dhāraņa and samādhi); the eight forms of wealth are: - the power to contract one's body to a much smaller size, the power to make one's body assume larger dimensions, the power to make oneself light (of weight), the power to make oneself heavy, perfect control over oneself, control over others, the attainment of whatever is desired, and success by merely desiring it. The eight great qualities are those which manifest themselves after mukti or those stated in the *sloka* "Eight qualities enable a man to shine (above others): They ere:- right^{ios} knowledge, good birth, control of the senses, learning the meaning of the Veda from the acharya, the skill to win over one's rivals, freedom from talkativeness. charity in proportion to one's wealth and gratitude." Eight times eight katās are the sixty four arts and crafts. The eight rasas are "" sringāra (love) vīra (heroism) karuņa (pathos); adbhuta (wonder); hāsya (humour); bhayānka, (terror or fear) bibhatsa (the grotesque or gruesome) and raudra (anger).

101. Sankya Karika. 102. Garudapurana : 219 - 6 - 9 103. Mahabharata - Udyogaparva : 33 - 106 The ninth is santi. Among these, there is nothing which he cannot have at his desire. The imperfect development of spiritual qualities results from want of earnestness in meditation. The inability to attain the eight forms of wealth is due to neglect. The delay in the release from samsāra is due to weakness in the desire for it. That is why it has been declared "The mantra -¹⁰⁸ namo nārā yaņa - secures whatever is desired."

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

Thus (we have shown here what was taught by $(\frac{\partial ch \partial ry}{\partial s})$ who were free from the demon of ignorance $(\frac{\partial vidy}{\partial})$, who never ignored the path of the righteous and who shrank in shame from the enjoyment of petty pleasures.

TAMIL VERSE :---

We have been initiated into the excellent mantra, which enables us to give up all association as seshas with those who are other than our great Redeemer, and to realise that we are souls (and not mere bodies) (pranava) We have awakened from (our) ignorance and being without any other refuge, (we) have sought the feet of Narayana who created (all the worlds) (namas). As the result of our initiation into this mantra, we (now) seek to perform that service which the ancient devotees (the eternal sūris render with eagerness (Nārāyaņāya).

SANSKRIT SLOKA :—

This mantra which is thus constituted of three words that are composed, respectively, of one, two and five letters and which treats of the three things to be known, namely, *tattva* (the truth), *hita* (the upāya and purushārtha (the end to be attained) that are of the very essence of Vedanta—this mantra which is the foremost of all mantras, which has the pranava of three letters which is the

105. Naradiyam 1 - 41

418

origin of the Vedas, which has the word having the three meanings, sthāla (gross) sākshma, (subtle) and para the (supreme) (namely, namas' and which has in it the word (Narayana) that forms the essence of the Upanishads—this mantra confers, on those who seek no other reward, freedom from prakriti with its three qualities (i. c. from samsāra.)

(28) THE CHAPTER ON THE DVAYA

SANSKRIT SLOKA:-

This mantra (Dvaya) which is used for performing saranggati to the Lord of Padma (Lakshmi) is of the nature of the dawn coming after the dark night of samsāra; for having caused it to be heard by Bhagavan, a man is in the position of one who has done his duty; having repeated it frequently, he is in the position of one who has attained his goal.

The particular upaya (vis. prapatti) which was stated as being indicated directly and explicitly by the middle word of Tirumanira (namo) or by implication in its meaning and the goal of attainment (purushartha) viz. service to the Lord which is indicated in the third word (Nārāyaņāya) are shown clearly acd distinctly in Dvaya. This mantra is revealed in Kattavalli separately, in two parts (with other passages coming in between) and is enjoined as fit to be meditated on with the two parts together. It is also explained in such treatises as Sri Prasna Samhitä in Pancharatra with details shout the number of syllables in it (the number of letters, sentences, their order etc.). Therefore it is a tantrika mantra based on the gruti. The statement of some that it is a sentence given by ancient acharyas means only this much :that it should be held in great esteem because it was taught by the ancient acharyas or that Bhagavan who is the Supreme Acharya enjoined it in the Bhagavat Sästra, (Päucharätra). Those who desire to know may find its rishi (its beejam etc.) as for the Moolamantra and the like in accordance with such treatises as the Mantrasastra and the Science of Grammar (vyākaraņa).

THE REASON FOR ITS BEING CALLED DVAYA:

This mantra is called Dvaya (the Two) because it treats of two points, the upitys and the upsys (the means and the goal of attainment) which are implicit in two slokas (of the Ramāyana), namely, "He ('Lakshmana) fell at the two feet of his brother

^{).} Ramayana : Ayodya kanda : 31-2

and said in the presence of Sita, "Thou³ shalt enjoy thyself with Sita on the slopes of the mountains. Whether thou art awake or asleep, I (Lakshmana) will render every form of service to Thee" The man who is not for any other $up\bar{a}ya$ or for any other end or object (in view) has full competence for the adoption of this mantra.

Some (āchāryas) say that this mantra is called Dvaya (The Two), because it indicates (1) the soliciting (varana) of a Saviour and (2) the surrender of the responsibility (bharasamarpanam), both in one The same is the reason for the word Duaya being employed in respect of such other mantras as "Sriman Narayana Swamin". To this mantra also, such things as the adoration of the guru which are prescribed in the following slokas in regard to another mantra concerning prapatti are applicable : "After prostrating^{*} before the guru, this secred mantra (literally: the king of mantrasi should be learnt. The guru is, himself, the supreme Biahman; the guru is himself the great upaya; the guru is him-elf the great vidya or form of meditation; the guru is himself the sacred siddhopäya, the guru is himself moksha, the guru is himself the great wealth of jnana or knowledge and bhakti because he initiates (one) into the mantra, the guru is most venerable. This mantra does not require any suitability or any auspicious position of the stars for its initiation nor any purity attainable from baths in holy waters. Nor does it require for its successful initiation any japam, homam, daily repetition and the like. First one should prostrate in full before the guru three times and touch his feet with one's head and receive the mantra like a poor man expecting a hidden treasure. Having thus received the mantra, one should seek refuge under me. By this mantra alone should one surrender one's self to me. He who has done so becomes one who has done what one ought to do ".

- 2. Ramayana : Ayodhya kanda : 31-27
- 3. Satyaki Tantram:

It is learnt from the Sastras (Katavalli and the like) that a single atterance of this mantra by a believer preceded by a knowledge of its meaning as a whole will secure saturation. The potency of this mantra as distinguished from that of other mantras has been described as follows (by Sri Ramanuja) :- "In whatever manner' you utter the Dvaya etc." It has been said :-- "The man by whom the name of Bhagavan is uttered even uncons. cionsly is fread from all sins as a forest with a lion in it from animals that are airaid of lions ", and (so also), ' The extent' of potency that Thy name, O Hari, has in condoning sins exceeds even that of sins that can (ever) be committed by a man who eats dog's flesh (i. e. a chandāla; ". If, in such passages, the potency of a mere utterance of the Lord's name is praised in very high terms, what is said in such treatises as the *sruti* about even a single atterance of this great mantra which reveals, in full, the Redeemer, the surrender of the self to Him and the fruit to be obtained there. from can be easily realised by the force of pramanas. The reason of this potency may be understood from the praintians and from tradition or sampradāya. It is not proper to argue about these subjects which are of the nature of mystic doctrines. We can only place faith in them on the strength of what is stated in the stateas. In such treatises as the Mahābhārata. it is said ; - " Arguments' based on reason are of no avail in regard to divine and other mysteries. The man who desires his happiness should have implicit faith in them like one who is deaf and who is blind."

The self-surrender which is the purport of this mantra has been praised in such stokas us the following and in other contexts :-"A man should surrender to Brahman his true and natural self free from all limiting conditions like avidyā. This is bhaktiyoga and the karmayoga which is necessary for it. Other things are vain talk." Instead of 'Other things are vain talk " there is

4. Sri Ra	manuja: Saranagati Gadya.	7.	Mahabharata:	Abusanikaparva :
5. Vishou	upuranam: 6 - 8 - 19.			228 - 60.
6, ?		8,	Daksha Smriti.	

another reading of the sloka which would mean "This is (real) knowledge and what is to be acquired with the help of knowledge." Though this sloka has, for its purport, the surrender of the self, the surrender of the responsibility or burden of protection which is described here is contained within it. Other mantras which are mentioned in such treatises as Vyasasmriti and in Svetasvatara upanishad like, "A man should remember that he is the body of the spotless and resplendent Vishnu and with an eager longing for Him, who is the object of attainment, and without any desire for other ends, (he) should surrender his self to Vishnn attering the mantra "Tad Vishnoh" - none of those other mantras reveals the Redeemer, the act of seeking His protection and the fruit arising from it so clearly (as this Deaya) Even in the Tirumantra these three are stated (only) with extreme conciseness. Therefore this Dvava which reveals, in full, the object of attain. ment and the means of attainment is the most important of all mantras dealing with prapatti.

THE MEANING OF THIS MANTRA

The staranagati gadya is a commentary on Dvaya :---

(Sri Ramanuja) has in his $g\bar{a}dya$ (prose treatise) given the meaning of this mantra as known from sruti, smriti, Itihāsas Purāņas, Bhagavat Sāstra and the like and in accordance with the traditional order of interpretation of great $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$:— It is as follows:—The purport of the word Srimat (in Dvaya) is explained in these words (in the gadya);—" (Lakshmi) whose essential nature (svarūpa) and whose form $r\bar{u}pa$ are such as can be held in esteem hy Bhagavan and as are in conformity with (the nature of) Bhagavan Narayana ". In the words beginning with "opposed to everything of the nature of blemish" (in the gadya), (Sri Ramanuja) has described at length Bhagavan's essential nature (svarūpa), and His attributes and glories (vibhūtis). So the meaning of the word Narayana has been commented upon (in

9. Vyasa Smriti.

them.) In the commentary on the word 'Narayana', Lakshmi who has been already stated in *Srimat* is once again made to appear in the midst of His glories (*vibhūtis*) in the word *Srivallabha* in the gadya in order to explain her role as mediator (between the *jīva* and her Sponse). Then Sri Ramanuja takes for comment the words *Sriman Nārāyaņa* employed in the sentences treating of the goal and the means of attaining it. The person competent for *prapatti* indicated by the first person singular in the word *prapadye* (I seek Him for *refuge*) is explained in the words (in the gadya) which mean "I seek the refuge of Thy two lotus feet" explain the words *charanau* (feet) and *saraṇam* (refuge) and so also the verb (in *Dvaya*). Later Sri Ramanuja indicates distinctly the word *Dvaya* which is commented upon.

It may be asked :- "Why should (he) dwell at such length without specifying the word Dvaya either in the beginning or at the end, but only here ?" The answer is as follows :- Here in regard to the upaya and the upeya or what is to be attained thereby, the most important is Narayana with Sri (Lakshmi). Therefore the word Narayana with its attribute Sri which occurs in two places (in Dvaya) has been already commented upon. So in order to show that along with the sādhyopāya (namely prapatti)) which is indicated by this mantra, the principal upaya and the principal object of attainment have been commented upon, the word Dvaya is here inserted (i.e.) to mean that the Dvaya has been, for the most part, explained. Then in the slokas which begin with 'pitarammätaram" and in certain sentences taken form Jitanta, and Bhagavad Gitā and other passages which express the same idea, Sri Ramannja shows that the resulting meaning of the Dvaya is the adoption of the upaya (prapatti) without any other object and with no other $\mu \rho \bar{a} \gamma a$ in one's view or power, and the form of prayer for pardon with the thought of the Saviour's nature preceding it. Thereafter following the order indicated by the meaning (and not by the actual order of words in the sentence), Sri Ramanuja explains the prayer in the word namas for the removal of (all)

hindrances. After this, he explains the supreme sim of life which is indicated by the suffix of the dative (or fourth case) in the words which end in the fourth case (Narāyāņāya), which includes such aims as superior bhakti (parabhakti) that precede the attainment of the supreme aim (the attainment of Bhagavan and His kainkarya). Then he points out how Bhagavan is so full of compassion (as shown also in the second half of the Charamasiloka) as to vouchsafe success both in regard to this life and in regard to what is to follow thereafter. (This he does) by the words stated to have been spoken by Bhagavan. Therefore all that is stated by (Ramanuja) in the (Saranāgati) gadya is intended to be conveyed by the Dvaya. This is indicated also by the words (in the gadya) which mean " uttering the Dvaya in this manner with an understanding of its meaning ete".

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :-

The Āchāryas of old have declared that the statement in such writings as the Gadya that superior bhakti (para-bhakti) and the like ((i. e. parajnāna and parama bhakti) have, for their result, kainkarya describes what occurs in the state of mukti. Since what follows later in order namely, the direct vision of the Lord (parajnāna) and the eager desire for the uninterrupted enjoyment of Bhagavan are preceded by the eager longing to do so, they are said to result from para-bhakti.

At the time of departure from the hody, the grace of the Lord who dwells in the heart (of the $j\bar{v}va$) grants to those who have performed *prapatti* that spiritual ripeness which would enable them to have a vision of the Lord. When the seed of a plant is soaked in the oil of ankola (azhinjil), it produces sprouts, leaves, flowers, fruits and the like in a few instants. So also, in just a few instants, the grace of the Lord enables the self to attain that spiritual perfection which would make possible *parabhakti*, *parajnāna* and *paramabhakti*. This state of perfection or ripeness which is referred to in the gadya is as appropriate as what is taught in such treatises as the Satuata concerning the special religious observances (*vrata*) for attaining *moksha* – for instance, that the minds of men rendered impure by stains caused by the wicked senses become pure at the time of their death, as a result of their residence in a place where there is a temple dedicated to Narayana. Such (great souls) as Naumalvar, Nathamuni and others enjoyed the Lord even before their death, owing to some special grace of the Supreme Being.

Nammalvar has, in the following passages and elsewhere, stated the meaning of Dvaya: - "Meditate" soon on the feet of Narayana and His consort and acquire a new life" (here the words up to and acquire express the $up\bar{a}ya$ stated in the first part of Dvaya and what follows indicates the meaning of the second part); "The" man that has sought the feet of Bhagavan black as the raincloud and who has thereby attained new life" and "O Thou on" whose chest abides Lakabmi because she cannot endure separation from Thee even for an instant, etc".

THE DETAILED INTERPRETATION OF DVAYA:

The meaning of Sriman :--

The word Sriman Näräyana which stands first in Dvaya refers to the Supreme Reality (paratativa) which is the refuge of every one. The determination of the person of the Supreme Reality is well known to be arrived at in the scutis by reason of His being the Lord of Sri and by the word 'Narayana.' The word Srimat in the first part (of Dvaya) is to show that, when Narayana becomes the Redeemer, He i, with His attribute (Lakshmi). Arulalapperumal Emberumanar states :— "Before understanding the substantive which has attributes, the attributes have to be understood. Thefore to understand Hari who has Lakshmi for His attribute, the attribute, 'Lakshmi', has (first) to be understood." That this (Lakshmi) is an attribute both in the first part

```
10. Tiruvoymozhi: 4-i-i.
```

11. Tiruvoymozhi: 7-2-11.

12. Tiruvoymozhi: 6-10-10-

(of the Dvaya) and in the second has already been explained in the chapter Siddhopāya sodhana as also the principle that when the $up\overline{a}ya$ has an attribute, there will be no duality of the $up\overline{a}ya$. (See page : 250).

The meaning of Sri:- In the Bhagavat sastras (Pancha $r\bar{a}tra$), the word $Sr\bar{i}$ has been etymologically interpreted in six ways :- (1) srivate (is resorted to); (2) strayate (resorts to); (3) srnoti (listens); (4) srāvayati (makes listen); (5) srnūti (removes); (6) serinati (makes ripe). The other words that are required to make the meaning clear have to be understood from what is appropriate (in the context) and on the strength of pramāņas. (1) & (2) Srīyate and Srayate :- Among them when we take the word to mean that She (Lakshmi) is resorted to by those who desire spiritual re-birth and that she resorts to the Supreme Ruler of all in order to give them spiritual rebirth, we may take the following scloka (for authority) :- "When Thy" beloved Lord is displeased with a man who has committed serious offences in order, like a father, to reform him, Thou, O mother, sayest to Him, "What is this? Is there any man in the world who has no faults?" and persuadest Him by suitable devices to accept the offender by making Him forget (vismārya) his offences. Therefore art Thou our mother." As stated in this sloka, she helps to mitigate the auger of the Supreme Ruler who wields the rod of punishment for the sake of promoting the welfare of the offender. Thus she sees to it that the Lord's natural compassion becomes the cause of the man's (spiritual) rebirth. The word Sri, in this interpretation, would describe her greatness as a mediator (who intercedes on behalf of the jiva) owing to her excessive love which is that of a mother. The word vismarya, which means 'by making him forget' has, for its purport, as in the word avignata (in avignāta¹⁴ sahasrāmsu), which literally means (not knowing), the removal of the thought of punisbing" entertained by the omniscient Iswara. The same is the meaning of the word 'fascinated' in the

Sri Gunaratnakosam 52
 A. Vishnu Sahasranamam

passage, "He who is fascinated by the "charms of Lakshmi, the lotus-born etc" The word 'mediator' (purushahara) means "another intelligent being who is sought as a means or upaya for securing the favour of an intelligent being who can satisfy the wants of one". This mediator or '*purushakara*' is the mediate (as distinguished from the direct) cause for the attainment of the (desired) fruit.

We have already pointed out in Niksheparakshä, as an authority, that by (her) very nature, by the practice (of the wise), by what we see in worldly affairs and by the words of gurus, and so also by the sruti and smriti, dependence on an (interceding) mediator is established (as a rule to be followed). "By Her very nature" means "By her nature in which there is no admixture of the anger arising from mental strength characteristic of a father and in which love (as for a child) resulting from motherly feelings becomes over-whelming." It is her nature (always) to say : "There is "no one who does not commit an offence": "Who will get" angry, O best of monkeys, with these Rakshasis who are under the authority of a king and being servants, render service to him ?" " I "cannot endure (to see) the sufferings of these servants of Ravana and therefore I pardon their offences". Her being the Lord's favourite is also such that, if she should intercede (on our behalf), the Lord cannot refuse. Since her very nature is such, it cannot be said that, to secure her help, the intercession of some one else will be needed and so on ad infinitum. As (an instance of) the practice of the wise, it is well-known from the Purityus, that, when Brahma and others were afraid to approach the Lord oo seeing His wrath against His enemy, Hiranya, which arose owing to His intense love for Prahlada, they approached the Supreme Ruler, who was then in the form of Nrisimha, with Lakshmi as their leader (mediator) and praised Him. (Instances) may be seen also in

14. Tiravoymozhi : 3-10-8

16. Ramayana: Yuddhakanda: 116-38

 Ramayana : Yuddhakanda : 116-44 17. Ramayana: Yuddhakanda: 116-40

such contexts as the following :- "The renowned" Lakshmana thus spoke to Sitā and the noble-minded Rams." " Lakshmana, the¹⁹ descendent of Raghu, seized the two feet of his brother, Sri Rama, and said these words, in the presence of Sita." In worldly affairs, too, this may be seen in kings pardoning the servants of the zenana as a result of even slight propitiation though their offences are great. This idea is also the purport of the sloka :-- " O Mother Lakshmi!" We who find our sole delight in rendering service to Thee and have only that as our aim, approach the Lord, look at Him and delight in serving Him, here and elsewhere, with the idea that He is Thy Spouse, in the same way as the people of Mithila looked upon Sri Rama as the bridegroom and the beloved husband of Sita ". The words of gurns are such passages as that of Nammalvar who says :-- "O Thou" on whose chest abides Lakshmi, who says she cannot endure separation from Thee even for an instant". Texts may also be seen in support of this in the srutis which are in the form of Sri Süktas. The Smritis based on these are the words of Sounaka and others - such as the following :- "The man²² who begs for the attainment of Bhagavan, who is the purport of all words, should, of necessity, seek the protection also of Lakshmi. It is not enough to perform prapatti to the Lord alone". Since it is evident from many pramanas that Lakahmi plays the role of a mediator interceding at the feet of the Lord, it is but proper to understand it (in this way) in this context. Thus she is resorted to as a mediator and as the attribute of Siddhopaya.

As stated in the following s lokas; - "O Lakebri," with a countenance as delightful as the moon! When we consider Bhagavan and Thee separately, (we see) that the essential nature $(svar\bar{u}pa)$ of Bhagavan and His being the Supreme Ruler over all are the outcome of the superiority resulting from His being associated with Thee. Therefore Thou art that aspect of His which declares Thy Lord as being such and soch." "Thou art, O 18. Ramayana: Ayodhyakandam 31 - 2 21. Tiruvoymozhi: 6 - 10 - 10. 19. Ramayana: Ayodhyakandam 15 - 6 22. Sounaka Samhita: 20. Srigunaratnakosa: 51. Lakshmi¹⁰, the property of Bhagavan solely by Thine own will. Though His greatness (and superiority) result from Thee, they are not dependent on any one else for the reason given above. A gein is precious because of its radiance; still it should not be considered as having no worth (in itself). Its natural value does not diminish and is not dependent on anything else ",---(as stated in these s:lokas) she is attached to Bhagavan (the Siddhopāya) like rays to the sun and the like, contributing to His splendour.

When we take the etymological meaning to be that she is to be reverenced by all, while she (herself) reveres the Supreme Ruler over all, she is the lady entitled to the service of all (of us). She is herself of the nature of a sesha to the Lord as stated in : "Thy beloved** Spouse is Bhagavan who is called Purushottama " and in the s'loka :-- " All things," those that move and those that do not move, are, O Goddess that rulest over Srirangam, for Thy $\pi l\bar{a}$. The eternal suris who are said to be constantly looking at the region of eternal enjoyment (bhoga) namely, Vaikunta, were appointed to be Thy servants. By Thy compassion alone are we among those who are protected. Thy seshi is Bhagavan; all the rest are subject to Thy grace". When the (etymological) meaning of the word $Sr\bar{i}$ is taken to be as stated in the sloka : "The" whole world depends on Lakshmi for support " that all things depend upon Her for protection and that she is attached to all things, that aspect of the Lord of Sri which is declared by such words as Narayana (Vishnu and Vasudeva) is declared also of Vishnu's consort. The author on $Sr\bar{\iota}$ Bhashya, too, has said Baghavati Sri in the same way as He says Bhagavan Näräyana in that context: (i. e.) he calls Her Bhagavari to show that She has the same distinction as Her Spouse.

(3) & (4) When the (etymological) meaning (of Sri) is taken to be, 'Sbe listens' (srnoti) and She makes listen (sravayati) it would mean: "When we who have offended (against the Lord)

29. Srigunaratnakosa: 31

31- Srigunaratnakosa.: 22

30. Chatussloki

32. Alvandar : Stotram - 37

pray to Her that she should persuade Him to be accessible to us on our approaching His feet for protection, she listens to the cry of suffering of those who have sought Her as a refuge and pleads (to the Lord) on our behalf and helps to mitigate our suffering. The words which (literally) state her activity (really) aim at stating Her role as a mediator. Having heard from Him these words: "Those who^{32A} seek my two feet as their sole $\mu p \bar{a} y \sigma$ or refuge — I myself take them, O, my beloved! out of samsāra ", and also "Compassion" is a supreme virtue", she would, when the proper occasion arises, say, like the female pigeon to the male pigeon in the sloka :-- " Listen, my beloved "husband, with attention to what I am going to say for your good. He who has sought refuge under you should be protected even if it be at the cost of your life". It may also be considered to have the following meanings:-Having heard from the Supreme Ruler that He is ever bent on the advancement of the world's welfare, she would offer her advice to be listened to even by her enemies as in the passage :-- "If you shouldss desire to save your abode (viz. Lanka) and to avoid a horrible death, it would be in your interest to obtain the friendship of Sri Rama, who is the best of men ".

(5) "When the etymological meaning (of Sri) is taken to be that she removes all faults (synati), it would mean that she removes all hindrances in the way of those who have adopted the $up\bar{a}ya$ (namely *prapatti*), such as *karma*, and this idea is brought out in the following passages :— "In all siddhāntas (systems" of religious thought) and in the Vedanta, it has been declared that when Bhagavan affords protection, He does it always with Lakshmi, who is the very embodiment of compassion, by His side ", and so also, "Lakshmi³⁴ who resides in the ever fragrant lotus destroys all the (past) karma of jīvas".

- 32a, Varahapuranam
- 33. Ramayana : Sundarakanda : 38-39,
- Itihasa Samucchayam 10-51.
- 35. Ramayana: Sundarekanda 21 19
- 37. Lakshmitantram 28 14
- Tiruvoymozhi 4 5 . 11

(6) In the etymological interpretation, "She makes the world ripe by her qualities " (srnāti), the root (Sri) would mean that, by her attributes like compassion, she enables these who have sought her protection to become ripe enough to have the qualities necessary for rendering service to the Lord. This idea is brought out in the passage :--- " Having" Thy grace and the grace of Thy Consort who shides in the lotus. I will sweep the floor of Thy temple". Bearing in mind all these excellences (of Lakshmi) stated in all these interpretations, Alavandar wrote --- "Thy name" too is Sri. How can we have the fitness to praise Thee?" Bhattar, too, had in mind her being unconditionally gracious and said :- " Bhagavan" is ever gracious because of His association with Thee. Thy graciousness is not due to any cause or condition. It exists of its own accord, for art Thou not Sri?" Among these meanings thuse that are appropriate to Bhagavan's being the $up\bar{a}ya$ should be borne in mind in regard to the first part (of Dvaya), while those that are appropriate to Bhagavan's being the object of attainment should be borne in mind in regard to the second part (of Dvaya).

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

In the surrender of such as one's essential nature (and the burden of protection etc.), the Master is well known, to those who see with the eye of the *srutis*, as the $up\bar{a}ya$ or means and as one to whom the surrender is made. He is also the upeya (the object of attainment).

Though the word Sriman means in general 'one who is associated with Sri,' yet here, as a consequence of the pramāņas (*i. e.*) Sruti which says (Lakshmi is His Spouse) it means the husband or Spouse of Sri.

39. Tituvoymozhi 9 - ? - 1 (i. e.) 9 - 2 - 1
41. Srigunaratnakosa: 29
40. Chatussloki: 3

492

The relationship which appears to be general really means, on the strength of the *Sruit*, the specific relationship (of being the spouse). Since He is called the Spouse of Her who is resorted to for protection and who is (also) the world's mother, His being the Supreme Being and His easy accessibility are both indicated (thereby). Though $Sr\bar{i}$ is included in the word $(N\bar{a}r\bar{a})$ (in Narayana), she is taken here separately in order to show that there is a difference between her and other things (included in $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}$) (in that she has omnipresence and other such qualities). She is shown as an attribute (of the Lord) (in the word $Sr\bar{i}man$) in order to bring out the idea of her existence solely for Her Spouse, in accordance with the *pramānas* (*i. e.* of Her own will and that of Her Lord).

THE MEANING OF THE SUFFIX MATUP (IN SRIMAN):

Though suffixes" like matup have (all) the following meanings :-- 'excess' 'censure' 'praise' 'eternal connection'. 'superiority (over others)', 'association', and 'the statement of mere existence', yet here, by special usage, it indicates 'eternal connection' which is well-known from the *pramāņas*. This eternal connection between Bhagavan and Sri is indeed such that even when He assumed the form of a Brahmacharin (in Vamanavatara), He is said 'to have concealed, with the deer-skin, His Spouse, abiding in His chest'.

The two objections that have been raised by some in this context are not sound: The first objection is that the suffix matup is not employed when, of two things that are put in grammatical apposition or co-ordination (sāmānādhikaraŋya), one is inseparable from the other and cannot exist without the other. For instance, when we say "Brahman is \overline{Ananda} , Brahman and \overline{Ananda} (bliss) are in grammatical apposition or co-ordination with each other. Since $\overline{anandam}$ or bliss is an inseparable attribute of Brahman, matup is not added to it as a soffix. But when we

42. Vartika : 5 . 2 - 14

say, 'the man having a stick (dandi)', the suffix matup comes after danda (stick), because the stick can be separated from the man and can exist without the man. So the objector says that since the suffix occurs in Sriman, Sri should be considered as separable from Narayana (as in Dandi) which is put in apposition with it.

The second objection is as follows:—"If Sri is separable from Narayana and can exist where He is not (as during Sita's separation from Sri Rama) the two words Sri and the word meaning Bhagavan should not be put in apposition or co-ordination without the suffix, but we do find them in grammatical apposition or co-ordination when Sri Krishna says :— "Among women," I am the deity of fame, Sri (Lakshmi) and Vak (Saraswati)" and also in the sentence :— "Bhagavan is" Lakshmi who abides in the lotus".

These (two) objections are unsound for the following reasons --The existence of the suffix does not, by itself, determine whether there is inseparable connection or no. For example: By the principle enunciated in Brahma Staras 2 - 3 - 29, " The jiva is called inana because the attribute inana or knowledge is an essen. tial and distinctive quality of the fiva, in the same way as Brahman is called Ananda (bliss), because Ananda is an essential and distinctive quality of Brahman." Here there is inseparable connection between the *jiva* and *jnāna* and the two are put in apposition with each other, whereas usage such as the jiva is one having inana (Inanavan) is also frequently found. That is, though there is inseparable conjection between the jiva and jnana, the suffix matup is attached to inana, as in dandi, where the connection is separable. It is clear from this that the existence or non-existence of the suffix matup does not, of itself, afford evidence about the separability or inseparability of connection.

(NOTE: * Sriman, Jnanavan, dandi have also the suffix matup though in different variations).

^{43.} Bhagavad Gita : 10 - 34

^{44.} Tiruvoymozhi : 6 - 3 - 6

When it is said, for instance, "The king himself is all the subjects", where there is no suffix matup after the word lokah (subjects) in the appositional use, it cannot be stated that there is inseparable connection between the king and his subjects and that the latter cannot exist without him, for the meaning of the sentence is something entirely different (from separability or inseparability of connection). So the proper thing to do is to understand the nature of the connection with the help of other pramāņas which bring it out clearly (and not merely by the existence or non-existence of the suffix matup).

"Here (in regard to Lakshmi's inseparable connection with Bbagavan), since the pramanas declare eternal connection (between them), both in the state when they are sought as $up\bar{a}ya$ and in the state when the fruit (of the adoption of the $up\bar{a}ya$) is enjoyed and since in this mantra (Dvaya), this idea of inseparable connection needs to be stressed, the matup indicates that the two stand inseparably connected, both in the first part of Dvaya and in the second part and this conclusion is arrived at on the strength of such pramāņas as the following :-- " Lakshmana," the descendant of Raghu, seized the two feet of Sri Rama firmly and said these words in the presence of Sītā ", and " You will" enjoy yourself in the company of Sitā on the slopes of the mountains and whether you are awake or asleep, I will render every form of service to you ". (The first passage describes their inseparability in the state of $up \bar{a} y a$ and the second in the state of the attainment of the fruit, namely, the rendering of service to them (kainkarya). Nammalvar, too, realised in his mind this inseparability in the following passages :- O, Thou, on whose" chest resides Lakshmi, whose home is the lotus, because she cannot endure separation from Thee for an instant !, "While Lakshmi" with her beautiful bracelets and Thou stand together, I see that the supreme end of existence is to enjoy Thee and render service to Thee like the eternal

45. Ramayana: Aranyakandam: 15 - 6 46. Tiruvoymozhi: 6 - 10 - 10 45 A. Do. Ayodhyakanda. 31 - 2 47. Tiruvoymozhi: 4 - 9 - 10 sūris and other selves " (the former describes the state of $up\bar{a}ya$ and the latter the state of attainment) and so also in, "Here (where" we adopt the means or $up\bar{a}ya$) and there (in Vaikunta) where we enjoy Bhagavan, there is no one other than Narayana who is ever found in (intimate) connection with Lakshmi". ((48) describes both the states). This realisation (of inseparable connection between Bhagavan and Sri, is essential for seeking the Lord's protection without any misgivings or hesitation and whenever we desire it.

THE MEANING OF THE WORD NARAYANA AND THE QUALITIES DISCLOSED IN IT:-

Even the intercession of the mediator which appears as a requisite is effective (only because of the relationship between $Ndr\bar{a}yana$ and the *jīva* and because of His qualities which are disclosed in the word $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}yana$. (*i. e.* It is because Narayana is intimately associated with the *jīvas* and has certain great qualities that Lakshmi's intercession proves effective). This may be seen in the passage:— "Bhagavan may" even say: " "My devotees will never commit offences. Even if they offend, it is only for my glory (to bring out my unique forgiveness").

It may be asked whether it is not enough to say Sriman (and not Sriman Narayana) as the word Srimān by itself has been declared to be one of the names of Bhagavan in the stoka :--Sridharah:^{49A} Srikarah: Sreyah: Srimān, Lokatrayāsrayah and elsewhere. The answer is as follows :-- "The word Srimanis here used as an adjective qualifying Narayana, because the word Nārāyaŋa is required here (in Decaya) in the first part in order to enable us to bear in mind the special qualities (indicated by that word) which are adapted for His being the npäya and, in the second part, in order to show that Narayana, the Seshī, who has both kinds of vibhūti, is the object of attainment.

48. Tíruvoymozhi : 7 - 9 - 11 49 A. Sahasranamam

49, Perialvar Tirumozhi: 4-10-2

Though the word 'Narayana' used here (in Dvaya) has, for its purport, all the qualities which were etymologically derived from the word in the chapter on the moolamantra, yet, since in the first part (of Dvaya) the aim of the word 'Narayana' is to show His being the refuge, the following are the principal among the qualities to be borne in mind : affection (like that of a cow for the calf), that of being the Swāmin or Master, good nature, easy accessibility, omniscience, omnipotence, irresistible will or purpose, supreme generosity and the like. These qualities have been briefly told in such passages as the following :— "O, Thous that art full of matchless qualities, that ownest the three worlds, O, Thou, that art my Saviour and that dwellest on the monntain Tiruvengadam which is longed for by hosts of gods and rishis ! (Soulabhya)".

Of these vātsālya or affection is the tenderness which accepts a man for protection ignoring his offences, as in the sloka : "I will never" ignore one who comes to me like a friend, even if there be faults in him. This will not be censured by the good ". It is (a quality) needed for not avoiding Him on account of one's offences. Swiimitva or the attribute of being the master is the special kind of association existing between Bhagavan and the jiva, which is disclosed in the pranava and the like. This is necessary to inspire confidence that He will protect (us) for His own glory. Sausilya, or good nature, is shown in His intimate companionship with such people of low birth as huntsmen, monkeys and cow-herds in spite of His superiority to all beings. This (quality) is necessary for inspiring confidence which would not make one despair saying : "Where is He" who is the Master of all and who is armed with the discus and where am I "? but which would encourage one to expect Him to render even such service as driving the chariot and conveying a message. Soulabhya or easy accessibility consists in 'His being" within the range of the eyes of all men' even though

50. Tiruvoymozhi : 6 · 10 - 1057. Tiruvoymozhi : 5 - 1 - 751. Ramayana : Yuddhakanda : 18 - 353. Sri Ramanuja : Gita Bhashyam.

He is beyond the reach of even such great yogis as Sanaka and Sanandana. This (quality) is necessary in order that we may not give up the longing for Him owing to a notion that He is too difficult of approach. Omniscience consists in His seeing all things. as stated in such slokas as the following :- " There" is nothing in any of the three worlds that is not known to Thee", and "I bow to "Bhagavan who, by His very nature, sees all things at the same time". This is necessary for the thought that there is nothing unknown to Him among the good things to be given to those who have sought His protection and among the hindrances to be removed from them. Omnipotence consists in the ability to accounplish what cannot be accomplished (by others). This is necessary to give us the assurance that, though we are now in samsāra (or bondage of births and deaths). He can make us enter the assembly of the eternal suris. Satyasankalpatoa means that His will or purpose can never be thwarted even by Himself. This is necessary for the confidence that we should have that the words: "I will release you from all sins", will never prove futile. Supreme compassion is the desire to remove the suffering of others without any thought of one's own interests. This is necessary for the knowledge that He will pardon on some pretext (vyaja) even those who have committed innumerable offences, as in the slokas :---" I will never "give up the man who seeks me in the manner of a friend, even though he has faults ", and " Fetch "him, O Sugriva, best of monkeys, whether he be Vibhishana or even Ravana himself. I promise security to him ". Gratitude consists in looking upon even trivial acts of kindness with the greatest regard, as if they were supreme deeds of helpfulness and never forgetting them. (Instances of this) may be seen in such stokas as the following :---" Since Sri Rama" is large-hearted, be does not remember even hundreds of offences committed by others against him. He delights (on the other hand) on even a single act of help ", and " That cry"

55. Nyaya Tatvam

^{54:} Ramayana: Yuddhakanda 17~35,

^{58.} Bamayana : Ayodhyakanda: 1-11 59. Mahabharata : Udyogaparva :

^{56.} Ramayana: Yuddhakanda: 18 - 3 57. Ramayana: Yuddha kanda 18-34

⁴⁷⁻²²

for help uttered aloud by Droupadi even from a distance calling me "Govinda ! "-that cry is never away from my mind like a debt that has increased with the interest accumulated on it ". This quality makes one say to oneself : " If He sees anything of worth done by me within the limits of my ability, He will never forsake me". Firmness is the quality of persistence in protecting suppliants. This is necessary to inspire the faith that He will never forsake us, even though others who are very intimate with Him try to dissunde Him, as (when Sri Rama said); -" I will never59A give up the man who comes to me in the manner of a friend ". Paripurnation (perfection or fullness) consists in (His) having all objects that are desired or desirable. This is necessary to make us endeavour to serve Him in the way that is within our limited power, with the knowledge that in what we offer to bim (upahära), He will consider only the fervour of emotion or feeling and not measure its worth or value. This may be seen in the following words (of the Lord) :- "Though" what is offered to me by my devotees is atoroic, it appears very great to me owing to their love. What is offered to me by those wanting in devotion, however great it might be, never gives me delight". "Whatever" is given to me with love, be it only such trivial things as a leaf, a flower, a fruit or even (mere) water, I eat it, because it is given with devotion by one who is devout ". Supreme generosity is the liberality in making gifts which makes a person feel that he has not done enough, even when he has given all that he possesses without any thought of the slightness of the endeavour on the part of the person benchted, the greatness of the benefit conferred or the unworthiness of the beneficiary. This is a quality which induces men like *Dudhibhanda and others to ask with importunity for the great benefits of spiritual life even for those who are connected with them. Thus such qualities in the Redeemer as are useful for

⁵⁹A. Ramayana: Yuddhakanda: 18-3,

^{60.} Bhagavatam : 10 - 81 - 3

^{61.} Bhagavad Gita 9 - 26

^{*}NOTE: Dadbibbanda begged Sri Krishna for spiritual benefits not only for himself but for those related to him.

seeking His protection and the manner in which they are useful should be borne in mind.

440

In the state of practising the *vidyās* or forms of meditation (prescribed in the *scutis*), only certain qualities (of Brahman) pertaining to that *vidyā* are meditated upon. Still in the state of enjoyment of the fruit of the meditation, the object of enjoyment is Brahman with *all* his qualities. In the case of the word occurring in the second part of *Dvaya*, though all qualities may be present, since the aim is to attain Nawayana with such qualities as are essential for our service to Him which arise from His essential nature and from His qualities, the most important qualities to be understood are His being the *Seshā* and His being capable of affording (perfect) bliss.

In another chapter (in chapter 11 Parikara vibhiiga), we have (already) stated that here (in the word Narayana in the first part of *Dvaya*) the determination to do what is agreeable and the avoidance of what is adverse are indicated on account of the relationship (of master and servant) implied in it.

There are some (*dchdryas*) who take Sriman Narayayacharayau as a single compound word. There are others who take it as three separate words Sriman!, Nuräyaya!, Charayau and supply the word tava (Thy) between Narayana and charayau. In this interpretation, they have in mind such passages as "⁶⁴Do not approach those who say these words". "O Thou with eyes like the lotus, O Vasudeva, O Vishnu... ... be Thou my upaya". "May ^{62A}Thou Thyself be my upitya!" and the Tamil verse: "O Thou⁶⁰ on whose chest resides I skshmi who dwells in the lotus 'O Thou⁶⁰ my lodeemer, I seek Thy feet as my upitya having no other upitya and no other object of attainment", "O Sriman Narayana, my Master" and other such mantras and also Sarayagati gadya which is an elaboration of Dvaya. (In all these

62. Yama's words: Vishnupuranam JJI-7-33 63, Tiruvoymozhi : 6 , 10 - 10-64, Alavandar Stotram : 22,

⁶²A. Ahirbudhnya Samhita: 37 - 3

instances Bhagavan is addressed in the vocative case and the prayer in the gadya sceking His protection follows). So also the first part of Dvaya is interpreted thus: — 'O Thou with Lakshmi for Thy Spouse, O Narayana, I seek Thy feet for refuge,' (understanding the word Thy (tava)). Thus, whether we take it as a single compound word or as three separate words, there is no difference in the manner in which the attribute (adjective) Sri and the substantive Narayana stand in relation to each other in the first part of Dvaya and in the second.

THE MEANING OF CHARANAU.

The word Charanan means not merely 'the two feet' but by implication, the divinely auspicious form (of the Lord), (which is not of matter or prakriti). That the Lord of Sri is the Supreme Deity superior to everyone else and that He is in association with an eternal form are most important among things that should be known. This may be seen from such passages as the following ;— "He whoes has the true knowledge that Bhagavan has an eternal form and so also. O Poushkara, that He is superior to everyone else-Him does Bhagavan approach". Therefore in the word Sriman Narāyana, we should bear in mind His being the Supreme Reality that is easily accessible and in the word Charanau, His having an eternal form. Even by those who have no clear idea of the essential nature of the Divine Being (that He is jnana and ananda) and that He has the qualities mentioned before - even for then the object of meditation should be the divinely auspicious form which is constituted wholly of suddha sattea (and not matter), which, in those who have a knowledge of it, removes the contraction of knowledge (due to past karma) and which suggests Him as the Supreme Reality and His easy accessibility. Owing to its being the principal (meditation), (Sri Ramannja) speaks of the divinely auspicious form to the Gadya before stating the qualities. Though Tirumangai Alwar had a true knowledge of the essential nature of the Divine Being, yet he spoke of bimself as one who

65. Poushkara Samhita:

does not believe in the Supreme Reality (that is jnana and iinanda) like atheists who consider the body as the soul, because of his extreme devotion to the eternal form which is delightful even to Iswars (who is jnana and ananda). This idea is expressed in the sloka : "The divinely" auspicious form of Bhagavan is more delightful and more wonderful to Him than His own essential nature (which is jnāna and ānanda) ",

The divinely suspicious form of the Supreme Ruler is pure and suspicious (subha) and is a fit object of meditation (äsraya) as declared in the following passages :-- " May I see⁶⁷ that holy countenance of Vishnu with eyes like lotus in the form which He has assumed of His own will and which, when remembered, removes the sins of men!" "Sri Ramase fascinates the eyes of men with the beauty (of his form) and their minds with such qualities as generosity." Those who are in the bondage of samsāra may be objects for meditation (āsraya) but there is no purity or auspiciousness in them. The essential nature (svar $\overline{u}pa$) of Bhagavan is pure and auspicious but it cannot be an object of meditation.

The pure and essential form of the jiva (free from hondage) cannot come back to samsara, sinco it is without the qualities necessary for doing so; but by its nature, it has in it in the potentiality of being associated with such qualities. Therefore it has not the purity and anspiciousness which are opposed to anything that is of the nature of a blemish, nor can it be a fit object of meditation. When a released soul (mukta) assumes a form, it can become an object of meditation as also eternal suris (nityas) who have form, but neither of them has the purity and auspiciousness which can release the baddhas from samsāra. Therefore it is only the dividely anspicious forms (of the Lord) which has purity and auspiciousness and the fitness of being an object of meditation, which are both necessary to the aspirant to mukti.

66. Chatuasloki: 4.

68, Ramayana: Ayodhyakanda 3-28

442

SANSKRIT SLOKA :--

It is known that the (divinely applicious) form of the Master is of more importance (to the aspirant to mukti) than His essential nature scar $\bar{u}pa$, because it is capable of being grasped easily both by the high (like Brahma) and by the low (like ordinary men) and because it can produce (in those that contemplate it) blackti and inana.

In the sentence prescribing staranagati, namely, " Seck refuge under me alone ", (charamasiloka) also, the Lord who had assumed a form appeared as the place of refuge. From the sastras, it is well known that this divinely auspicious form in the five states Para, Vyüha, Vibhava, Antaryāmī or Hārda and Archā is pure and suspicious and suitable for meditation.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :--

Here (in the Dvaya) the feet of the Lord should be meditated on as the $up\overline{a}ya$, because they are easier of being grasped by the mind for meditation and have such virtues as raising compassion (in Him).

The servant resorts to the feet (of the Lord) because of their being extremely appropriate for resort and because as stated in the saving : " It is not possible to withstand the seizure of the feet ", they are capable of increasing the compassion of the Lord and also because they have in them the power of affording unique enjoyment as stated in the stoka :- "How can^{69A} a man who has his mind set on Thy lotus feet which are full of nectar ever desire anything else? Will the bee ever seek the worthless Ikshuraka flower, while the lotus in there full of honey?" This idea is well-expressed in the following passages :- "I am a ⁶⁹⁸ suppliant at Thy two feet which protect the gods and the asuras alike ". "Those "lotos feet of the Lord which can secure the state of bliss for me - there is

69a. Alavandar: Stotram: 27 69b. Jitanta Stotram: 1-2

70. Jitanta Stotram: 1-10

no other thing than they, which, in any of my births, can contribute to my (spiritual) welfare." "My $up\overline{a}ya^{31}$ is in Thy Two feet", "I give" up, O Lord, all upity as and also the desire to enjoy the self (io its freed state) and am a suppliant before Thy feet which once measured the universe ". "He seized the two feet of his brother (Sri Rama) firmly", "O my" child, I caught the two feet (of the Lord) whose soles are red, which are well-set and which are adorned with toes that are beautiful, tender and rosy (in colour) and bowed with my head. "I have sought" the feet as (my) refuge". "He who has¹⁵ sought as an $u \rho \bar{a} y a$, (for protection) the two feet that destroy the sine of those who perform propatti ". and others. Those who followed this tradition (like Nammalvar and Alavandar) said also :- "I was" firmly set under Thy feet (for protection)": "I have no" other upaya and no other object of attainment than Theo and am a suppliant before Thy feet". (So also) those who were proficient in the scastrus (like Parasara Bhatta) said, "Hari has" a form full of such qualities as fragrance and tenderness. The means that can secure Him for us are His two feet ".

In the word charanau (the two feet) the word 'alone' is implicit in the meaning ((*i.e.* the two feet alone) as in "seek "refage under Him *alone* by all possible means," "Satagopan⁶⁰ who resorted to His feet *alone* as the *upilya* and as the object of attainment" and "The⁶¹ feet of our foord who lies on the serpent (seeka) ---His feet are alone our upage".

SANSKRIT SLOKA :--

"It has been said, by some who are stupid (manda), that the use of the word charanan (the two feet — the dual of charana r

 71. Jitanta Stotram: 1-13
 77. Al

 72. Jitanta Stotram: gadya
 78. Pa

 73. Mahabharata; Aranya parva: 191-134
 79. Bi

 74.
 80. Ti

 75. ?
 81. Ti

 76. Tiruvoymozhi: 6-10-10
 `

- 77. Alavandar Stotram, 22
- 78. Parasara Bhatta
- 79. Bhagavad Gita : 18 62.
- 80. Tiruvoymozhi: 5 8 11.
- 81. Tiruvoymozhi : 5 10 11.

is against the interpretation that Bhagavan with $Sr\bar{i}$ as His attribute is intended (for in that case 'the four feet' should be the words). This is silly because this objection is refuted by the word $Sr\bar{i}mat$ which distinctly and explicitly states at the very beginning of the sentence "with His attribute $Sr\bar{i}$ ". This indication of the attribute ' $Sr\bar{i}$ ' is first heard in the sentence and cannot therefore be annulled by the dual form, namely, 'the two feet' (which comes later in the sentence). The reasoning which says that the plural form "many or four-feet" should have been used in that case will appear ridiculous to those who are acquainted with literature, for the dual form is used, because the husband is the principal factor and his spouse is necessarily associated with him. When an emperor has a spouse, no one would dare to say that he bas some one else (as a second ruler). So also, here, a serious statement has been recklessly made without due reflection.

It may be asked :— "When the Omnipotent Lord becomes the upiiya, where is the need for this attribute viz. $Sri \ge$ If He needs her, it would follow that He is not omnipotent." The same objection could be made against the qualities (of Narayana) and the form (vigraha) (of Narayana) which are stated in the words Narāyaņa and charaŋan respectively. If it is maintained, in accordance with the pramāŋas, that the qualities and the form are His attributes and that as there is a special efficacy appropriate to them as such, the omnipotence (of the Lord) is not thereby annulled, then the same argument will hold good (in the case of Sri also) because (Her being the upidya along with Bhagavan) has been stated by many authorities (pramūnas) and because in the word Srimat, Sri's being the attribute is natural owing to her relationship of being the Spouse.

If, in spite of all this, it is held merely (on the ground of the (dual) charanau (the two feet) that the association with Sri is mere $\bullet Upalakshana$ and does not signify that Sri is also upaya,

^{*}NOTE: Upalakshana: a mere mark, token or sign to indicate Narayana who is recognised by that mark. An Upalakshana is not an essential feature of the object in question.

then the same thing would have to be said about His association with such things as qualities (and form). If it is held that since the word (*charaŋau*) is employed along with the word $N\bar{a}r\bar{a}ya\eta a$ stating that they are the $up\bar{a}ya$, the one who has the qualities and the form which are necessary for being the $up\bar{a}ya$ is the $up\bar{a}ya$, the same thing can be said also (of $Sr\bar{i}$) here. Such being the case, if it is held that in case Sri is considered an attribute, it would be against (the Lord's) omnipotence, there would be the same irreconcilability with that omnipotence, in expecting Sri to be the mediator (interceding on behalf of the $j\bar{v}a$).

It is said in Bhattor's Srignyaratna-kostam :- "Though qualities like youth are common to Thee and Bhagavan, you have divided between yourselves for the sake of enjoyment (certain qualities) - such qualities as there should be in a man namely, independence of all others, subjugation of enemies and firmness, in Bhagavan-and such qualities as there should be in a womannamely, gentleness, existing only for the sake of the husband, compassion and patience, in Thee ". In accordance with that stoka, when the jivas offend Iswara who stands supreme in power with the characteristics of the masculine nature, of the nature of the father and of the ruler exercising control and such others, Lakshmi who has gentleness, affection and the like becomes the mediator interceding on their behalf. If it is said that this intercession as a mediator is subject to the independent will of the Lord, then it may be said without any contradiction by the pramanus that Iswara's being the Saranya or Protector with his attribute Sri who participates in the performance of (Her husband's) duties is also due to His independent will,

THE MEANING OF THE WORD SARANAM

"Here ^{etA} in Drayam, the word saranam which has the meanings upaya, abode and protector denotes only upaya". Since in

81 A. Abirbudhnya Samhita: 37 - 29.

the above sloka, $up\bar{a}ya$ has been pointed ont as the special meaning here, it denotes $up\bar{a}ya$.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :---

By the very nature of *bharanyäsa* (the surrender of the burden or responsibility of protection), the Protector or Saviour is here (in *Dvaya*) placed in the position of other *upiiyas*, in order that the *prapanna* may refrain from any effort on his own part.

It may be asked :— When the Supreme Ruler is the means or $up\bar{a}ya$ for attaining the fruit of His worship or adoration performed in accordance with the respective ordinances of the *s* astras in the case of every adhik $\bar{a}r\bar{i}$ or competent person, where is the need for His being specially called the $up\bar{a}ya$ here? The answer is :— " It is merely to show that the nature of *prapatti* is such that Iswara who is endowed with qualities like natural compassion is placed in it (*prapatti*) in the position of other $up\bar{a}yas$.

If it be asked, "Since prapatti is adopted in the place of bhaktiyoga, why should Iswara be stated to be "In the place of other updyas "? The answer is as follows: - " The fruit (of spiritual striving) is to be attained by prapatti being adopted as au accessory means or aid and by upitsuna or meditation or adoration (bhakti) being adopted as the (main or primary) $up\bar{a}ya$. When there is no upasana (or bhakti), that fruit has to be obtained by means of prapatti alone. This becomes possible only because Iswara is naturally possessed of such qualities as compassion. That is why it is said that Iswara stands in the case of the man destitute of upityus, in the position of other upityus. The man who is designite of upayas ordained for the attainment of the desired fruit and cannot bear the burden or responsibility (of adopting those $up\bar{u}yas$) places (Iswara) who stands as the Protector in the position of other upayas saying: "May Thou be my upaya!" It means "Instead of placing the burden of adopting other $\mu p \bar{a} y as$ on my shoulders (literally, the head) may the granting of all the desired

fruits which could be obtained by bearing that burden be solely Thy burden or responsibility, since Thou art capable and compassionate!" Separating this aspect (from others, viz., the accessories), nikshepa or bharanyāsa is called the primary means or angī (and not the accessory means). This includes the man's being without the responsibility for any effort on his own part. It is in view of this separation of bharasamarpanam (from its angas or accessories, viz., the prayer that He should not expect one to do anything for oneself, that the word staranam is used here only to denote upüya. (From this it would follow that the prapanna is care-free thereafter in regard to his protection.)

In some places the prayer to Iswara to be the upliya and nikshepa or bharanyāsa are spoken of separately (as if they were different, though, in reality, they are not different). This should be understood as being due to one of three reasons : (1) The word $np\bar{a}ya$ is (then) used to mean only the prayer for protection without including the prayer that Iswara should be in the place of other updyas which can be expected of the prapanna. (2) It may be to distinguish bharasamarpanam and the like distinctly and separately from the prayer for being the $up\bar{a}ya$ or (3) Since the samarpanam or surrender is of three things vis., svariipa (essential nature), bhara (responsibility for protection) and phala (or the fruit to be attained), every one of them has to be stated as different from the other two. Therefore none of these can be said to be redundant, (The prayer for Iswara being the upitya refers to bhara; and the word nikshepa refers to svarupa).

This aspect of Iswara being the $up\bar{a}ya$ should be understood as the peculiar feature of $Ny\bar{a}sa vidy\bar{a}$. (Iswara's) jnana, power, and the like also appear in as much as they are required for this purpose.

THE MEANING OF THE WORD PRAPADYE:

Since verbs meaning 'to go' or 'to move' mean also 'to have a knowledge of' or 'to know', the root pad in the word prapadye, which means 'to go' means, here the specific knowledge required in this context. The knowledge, here, is the sure knowledge or faith that the Lord will save (us). Since faith which is the most important of the accessories or angas (to prapatti) is referred to here, the sādhyopāya with all its accessories is indicated here. If it be asked how, the answer is as follows :- The preposition pra (in prapadye) discloses the greatness or intensity of the faith which is its excellence. This excellence or intensity of faith would arise only when one bears in mind the mediatorship (of Lakshmi) and one's relationship with the Lord, the Lord's qualities and the like (form) which are respectively present in the words (Srimat) and Nārāyaņa. By this intensity of faith, all doubts arising from the greatness of one's offences will disappear. This intensity of faith is necessary as stated in the stoka : " The Rakshasas" had no coofidence in (the effectiveness of) the Brahma missile (astra) with which Hanuman was bound. The result was that that missile which would never fail of its purpose became loose immediately. In the same way, if men had no faith in the efficacy of prapatti, it would be the same as if it had not been performed at all. Therefore prapatti gives moksha soon to those who have faith in it ". The potency of this certainty of belief is well known from the following passages :-- "If a man" has no faith, he can never approach that Supreme Brahman ", and " Hari is ever" present in all those who have no doubts. The Lord of Laksbrii (Madhava) is never present in those who doubt as a result of their reasoning or logic ". If this intense faith is present, doubts will never arise during any inquiry (into Bhagavan's qualities and the like). Therefore intensity of faith at the first moment (of performing prapatti) which would never permit of later doubts is an accessory or anga of prapatti. Even if it is

^{82.} Sanatkumarasamhita :

^{84.} Mahabharata Santi parva 🚽

^{83.} Mahabharata Santi parva 334 - 47.

^{359 - 71.}

weak, Iswara who has begun to show His special grace will make it full and perfect ". Has not the Saviour graciously stated: " I will never give" up the man who has come to me in the guise of a friend, whatever might happen '? Is not His grace of such a nature as is described in the $s \cdot lok \sigma$, about such things as even anjali :-- " If a man" has folded his hands in worship to Thy feet at any time and in any manner, it will destroy all his sins at that very instant and lead to the attainment of good things. It will never prove futile ". Therefore even weakness of faith will grow into deep and intense faith (in those who have performed prapatti with all its angas).

~ On this expression of intense faith stating, "I have made up my mind to beg Thee for being my $u \neq a y a$ ", the prayer (to [swara] to be the $up\bar{a}ya$ may be taken here as having been made and this would be in accordance with the following pramanas: "When what a man" desires cannot be attained with the help of any one else, a prayer is made, with intense faith, to one who has the required ability (to secure the object desired) that he should be the means or upaya. This prayer is prapatti; it is saranügati!. "The thought" of praying :-- " May Thou alone be my $up\bar{a}ya$!" this is saranagati. Let this be done towards Bhagavan". "Those who⁶⁹ say to Hari: "Be Thou my upaya" - never approach them ". The anga or accessory called "seeking protectorship" is contained within this and is not separate or different from this.

It may be asked:-- " What is the prayer in this first part of Duaya for? Since in the second part, there is a prayer for the attainment of the desired end and the removal of hindrances there. to, the prayer here cannot be for the same as, in that case, there would be repetition or redundancy. When prapatti is adopted as an anga or accessory, the prayer might be said to be for bhakti as an upäya; but here, since prapatti is adopted as a direct and inde-

^{88.} Ahirbudhoya Samhita 37-31 85. Ramayana / Yuddhakanda 18 - 3-86. Alavandar : Stotram - 28.

^{87.} Bharatamuni.

^{89.} Vishnupuranam 3-7-33

⁽Yama's words to his agents).

pendent means, there cannot be any $u \rho \bar{a} y a$ which can be acquired and for which a proyer could be made (what, then, can this prayer be for?)". The answer to this question is as follows :-- "The award of the desired fruit which finds a place (in the second part of Dvaya) is common to all adhikūrīs, whatever be the $up\bar{a}ya$ (bhakti or prapatti) that they adopt. Since prapatti is not an anga or accessory for any other upaya in the case of one who is destitute of any other $u p \overline{a} y a$ and who makes a surrender of everything (svarupa - bhara - and phala), its superiority (to other upäyas) consists in the Saviour Himself standing in the place of the $\mu p \bar{a} y a$ and granting the fruit or desired end. Therefore what is prayed for here is the point that Iswara should accept the respopsibility of protection (bharasvikara). But it may be asked again :-- " Will not this prayer that the Lord should stand as the upaya mean the same as a prayer that He should grant the desired fruit or object without any other $up\bar{a}ya$ being required as a further condition coming in between? In that case will not the prayer in the second part of Doaya be redundant?" The answer to this question is as follows :-- Since in the prayer of the above description (in the second part), what is required is stated separately because it is different in some points from the expectation in the prayer (in the first part), there is no redundancy: $\{(i, e_i) \text{ in the prayer for } i \}$ Iswara being the upinya, there is no expectation of any fruit except that He should be the $u \rho \bar{u} y a$; in the prayer in the second part there is expectation of the desired fruit]. It may also be explained thus: though the word signifying prayer (bhaveyam) has to be supplied in order to make the second part a complete sentence, in the first part praying for Iswara becoming the $up\bar{a}ya$, the fruit is asked for, but in a general way, while, in the second part, the purport is to specify the nature of that fruit.

The sum and substance of the first and the second parts, when duly determined, will amount to saying this :— "I am destitute of all $up\bar{a}yas$; therefore in order that Thou mayst stand in the place of these $up\bar{a}yas$ and grant me the specific end that is desired, I surrender the responsibility for the protection of myself as stated (in the scattras)". Thus it (the Dvaya) is a surrender of the responsibility (for protection) accompanied by a special prayer This surrender, too, is the meaning of *prapadys* which indicates resolution (I resolve). We have (already) proved in many places with the help of *pramänas* and on the authority of traditional practice that the surrender of responsibility with all its accessories is the gist of the sästras on *prapatti* (vide chapters 11 and 12 on *Parikaravibhäga* and Sängapropadanu).

The primary importance of the nikshepa (surrender) which is stated in another mantra for the performing of prapatti is the same here also, namely: "By this "mantra alone should a man surrender himself to me. He who has thus surrendered what ought to be surrendered will become one who has done what he ought to do".

The first person singular in *prapadye* (I resolve) which shows one to be the doer, proves that the way to win the favour of Siddhopāya (Iswara), who has the gift of moksha in His power, is some form of vyāja (bhakti or prapatti) which can be accomplished by the aspirant to mukti in accordance with the stastras.

In this (the first part of Dvaya) the specific $adhik\bar{u}r\bar{r}$ or competent person for the performance and the idea of helplessness $(k\bar{u}rpauya)$, which is one of the accessories or augas of prapatti, are also hinted at as in the following passages :— "I who ⁹⁰ have no other $up\bar{a}ya$ and no other Saviour" etc. "I am the ⁹¹abode of all offences: I have no means or $up\bar{a}ya$ for saving myself and have nothing else to attain than Thee." and "I have ⁹² not been firmly established in the performance of *dharma* (*i.e.* I have not performed karma yoga;) neither have I realised the essential nature (svar $\bar{u}pa$) of myself (i.e. I have not performed juāna yoga: nor have I devotion to Thy lotus feet (*i.e.* I have not performed

90. Satyaki tantra 90A. Tiruvoymozhi: 6-10-10 91. Ahirbudhnya Samhita : 37-30

92. Alavandar / Stotram: 22

bhaktiyoga". This is elaborated by Sri Ramanuja in the Saraniigati gadya in the words, "Having no other refuge or Saviour" and in the little gadya (Sri Ranga Gadya) in the churnika, which says, " My soul is eternally subject to Thy control " and likewise in the chūrnikā in Vaikuntagadya where be says, 'I realise that, in order to attain Him, I have no other means, even in thousands of crores of kalpas (1000 yugas), than seeking His two lotus feet". Avalalapperumal Emperumanar, too, has declared: "The "man who, owing to his powerlessness, has not the required updya or means for attaining Bhagavan which is the end desired by himthat man should realise with faith that H is feet are the $\mu p \bar{a} \gamma a$ for securing His object" Since prapatti ordains, es an $\mu \rho \bar{a} y a$, the surrender of the responsibility for protection (bharasamarpana) which is adapted to the adhikhari who is destitute of updyas, it goes without saying (from the very logic of the matter) that it does not require the performance of any other action which is too hard (for him) to perform.

Thus in the word *prapadye* (I resolve to seek) accompanied by the word scaranam (refuge), four accessories may be considered as having been indicated : the faith that (the Lord) will protect, and so also seeking Him as the Saviour, the surrender of the self and (one's) helplessness (kärpanya). We have already stated that the word Narayana with its adjective (Srimat) declares such things as Bhagavan's being the Master in accordance with such authoritative texts as the following :-- "All beings," those that move and those that do not move, are the body of Bhagavan. Therefore I should do what is good for them ". This determination is the sixth anga and " The fifth "anga is the avoidance of what is displeasing to the Master." Therefore His being the Master suggests the determination to do what is agreeable (to Him) and the avoidance of what is disagreeable (to Him), In another chapter we have stated that these accessories, too, are to be thought of only once for the sake of the upaya (namely : prapatti). The use of

93. Ahirbudhnya Samhita : 52-23 94. Abirbudhoya Samhita : 52-21

the present tense in the verb (prapadye) is, like (the use of present tense in the verb occurring in) the mantra uttered while cutting kusa grass, to indicate the time when the prapatti is performed. (It does not mean, as the present tense sometimes means, that it should be done always). The views (held by some) that the present tense (in prapadye) shows that the intention is to enjoin the continuous performance of prapatti until the end of the present body, that it enjoins the continuance of faith which is one of the accessories until the fruit is attained and that it shows also the need for the continuance of such things as the determination to do what is agreeable (to the Lord) even after the performance of prapatti, as its accessories—these views are opposed to the pramāņas which lay down (the rule) that this $up\bar{a}ya$ (prapatti) with its accessories should be performed only once.

If they (viz. the accessories described above) continue (even after the performance of *prapatti* because of the excessive eagerness to attain the object and of their being enjoyable, it does not follow that this continuance is part and parcel of the $up\bar{a}ya$ adopted in the first part (of Dvaya). Therefore the use of the present tense in this sentence (in Dvaya) which states the $up\bar{a}ya$ does not refer to the continuance of uttering the Dvaya for the sake of the cojoyment arising from love which is expressed in Sri Hamanuja's gadya (namely - uttering the Dvaya always with an understanding of its meaning), (The meaning is: as an $up\bar{a}ya$ a single performance or utterance will do; but when one finds it enjoyable, the Dvaya may be repeatedly attered).

Thus the first part of Dvaya discloses the Lord's being the Saviour of all, the essential nature or $svar \overline{u} p a$ of $sarau \overline{u} gati$, its accessories and the nature of the person qualified for its performance. From this it is evident also that the jiva has no other $u p \overline{u} y a$.

THE SECOND PART OF DVAYA:

Saranāgati which has been thus described (in the first part) is capable of securing all desired ends, for it has been stated :---

"Only "so long as prapatti which can remove all sins has not been performed towards Thee, only so long will there be misery caused by the loss of wealth and the anxiety to regain it; only so long will there be the desire to win wealth, only so long will there be no realisation of the self being different from the body ; only so long will there be the suffering of samsāra." Further the Saviour is supremely generous; "Is there "any other who is so generous as He and who can grant whatever is desired?" He stands ever ready with the determination to grant whatever is desired by the suppliants, for it has been said :-- "If we receive" any thing from the Lord, He does not lose anything thereby. He will give us whatever we ask." (Such being the case) the question arises: "What is the object or end for which prapatti should be performed?" The second part of Dvaya, (as if in answer to this question), prays for that object (end or frnit), which would be in keeping with the Saviour who is supremely generous, in keeping with this special upaya (prapatti) which can secure the favour of One who is superior to all others, and in keeping with one's own essential nature (svar $\overline{u}pa$) which will find its sole delight only in being His sesha. As expressed in the passage: "The only" end which I seek is that the Lord should take me as His servant for His own pleasure", the second part prays for this desired end (namely, service to the Lord), including the removal of all hindrances to it. From this it also follows that the jive should have no other interest or object,

In this context, the word Nirāyaņa with the attribute qualifying it (viz. Srimat) discloses, primarily and in accordance with the pramānas, the Lord's being the Master and the like and so also the unsurpassed enjoyability of the Master who has countless qualities and the two vibhutis (glories) which make Him fit to be the object of attainment.

95. Vishnupurana : 1-9-73
 96. Itihasa Samuechayam : 33-119
 97. Tiruvoymozhi : 3-9-5
 98. Tiruvoymozhi : 2-9-4

THE MEANING OF THE WORD SRIMATE (IN THE SECOND PART OF DYAYA):--

Though Bhagavan who is thus endowed with the two vibhatis (Lilā and Nitya) is the object of attainment, the word Srimate (with Sri) is employed here to show that, for the offering of the havis of the self, the intended recipients, are both the Lord and His Spouse. They are (the two) to whom we are seshas and consequently (the two) to whom our service is due. Primarily they are (therefore) the objects of attainment. This idea is implicit also in the following and other passages :-- " Bhagavan, the" Lord of the world, is in the glorious world called Vaikunta with Lakshmi"; "Bhagavan who¹⁰⁰ is seated on the serpent \overline{A} disesha with his Sponse " "The" Lord is seated with Lakshmi who is (in every way) suited to Him." "At the" sight of Thee and Thy consort with her shining bracelets standing together (as the Rulers of the world) " " Lakshmi³⁰⁰ whose beauty fascinates even Thee ", etc. Though the word Sri used here has several meanings as stated in the following sclokas :- " She dispels¹⁰⁴ all blemishes; generates good qualities in those who are in the world; is attained by all at all times and has herself attained the Supreme Object of attainment (Bhagavan)" and "The Lord's Spouse who" has attained the Lord and is attained by others and who dispets all blemishes and listens to the cry of the distressed "- (though the word has all these meanings) yet, here, it denotes the person who is entitled to (our) service as it is derived from the verbal root $Sr\bar{i}$ which means ' Service '.

THE MEANING OF NARAYANAYA:-

Though the word Srimate by itself denotes Bhagavan and His Spouse who are entitled to our service, yet the word Nārāyaņa is employed here to indicate the full and perfect enjoyment (anu-

99. Lainga puranam:	103. Tiruvoymozhi: 6 - 9 - 3.
100. Alayandar / Stotram: 39.	104. Ahirbudhnya semhita.
101. Sri Ramanuja: Vaikunta gadya.	105. Ahirbudhnya Samhita.
102. Tiruvoymozhi: 4–9–10.	

bhava) of the association of a sesha to the seshi, and of His qualities and glories (vibhātis); for this enjoyment (alone) will generate the kind of love which is essential for all forms of service. Here the dative (or fourth case) which means for Närāyaņa (existing for Him) refers thereby to service, 'Existing for Him' is in itself an eternal fact (and need not be prayed for). Therefore what is to be prayed for here is service (to Them) preceded by full and perfect enjoyment as stated in the following stokas and elsewhere :-"Hereafter¹⁰⁶ I should bear in mind the fact of my being His sesha and render service to Him: This is the meaning of namas." "Whether you¹⁰⁷ are awake or asleep, I (Lakshmana) will perform all kinds of service to You".

Since the *fiva* while performing *saranagati* (with this *mantra*) is praying to the Saviour for what he desires, a word like *bhaveyam* (may I be) has to be supplied: *Srimate Narāyaņāya bhaveyam* (May I be for Narayana!) Thus, at first, the attainment of the desired end (service to the Lord) is prayed for as being the more important; thereafter, by the word *namas*, the removal of all hindrances (to salvation and to service) is prayed for.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :--

Giving up the idea of his salvation by himself or by others as impossible and giving up the idea of his existing either for himself or for others by the thought that he exists (only) for the Lord and having become free from all sins by his aversion to all other objects of enjoyment (than the Lord), the *prapanua* enjoys all his bliss as something which is accessory to the Lord's enjoyment.

THE MEANING OF THE WORD NAMAS :-

Here, after the word namas, the verb has to be supplied so that it becomes *na mama syūm*, which means, "Let me not be for myself." Or it may be looked upon as *na mama kinchit syät*

106. Bhattar : *Nityam*. 107. Ramayana: Ayodhya kanda 31 - 27. meaning, 'Let there be (in me) no notion at all of the sense of 'mine' (mamak $\bar{a}ra$)', which would be a prayer for the removal of all hindrances or undesirable things, as it asks for the disappearance of the notion of 'mine' in regard to all objects. The objections raised and the answers given thereto in regard to the attainment of the desired end and the disappearance of all undesirable elements in the case of *Tirumantra* should be borne in mind here (also).

Though this word namas prays for the removal of all such hindrances as $avidy\overline{a}$ (ignorance), karma, the impressions and inclinations which have resulted therefrom ($v\bar{a}sanas$), the ruchi or tastes and the association with prakriti or matter, yet it is considered (by some *acharyas*) that, in accordance with the sruti* which gives the etymological interpretation of this word (namas), the purport or aim here (in the second part of Dvaya) is the removal, in the state of attaining full and perfect service in mukti. of the weeds of one's being the doer by one's own will and of one's being the doer for one's own purposes and, likewise, of one's being the enjoyer by one's own will and of being the enjoyer solely for oneself. This weed or wrong notion is likely to arise because, in regard to the enjoyment of the fruit elsewhere (in svarga), the thought is present that the fruit was won by one by one's own actions and is enjoyed by one for oneself. From this it would follow that the (man performing prapatti) understands that, in regard to the service in the state of mukii, there are no such wrong notions as one's being the doer and the enjoyer by one's own will and for the sake of oneself-notions which are present in the state of enjoyment of other fruits (like those in svarga). Having understood this, the man performing prapatti makes his prayer in conformity with this knowledge.

Arulalapperumalemperumanar commented on the word namas occurring here by the following stoka :--- "The wise say

^{(*} NOTE :- The Sruti says: Whatever actions may appear mine are the Lord's, not mine, They do not belong to me; they are the Lord's; I exist for the Lord and not for myself na mana (not mine; not for me))

that namas means the state of being carefree without expecting any help (of being without any responsibility in regard to oneself) nairapekshyam which results from the jiva's surrendering all his burdens to the Supreme Being." The nairapekshyam referred to in that stoka is being carefree or having no expectation or requirements without the sense of responsibility in regard to one's salvation. From this freedom from responsibility, we should realise that either bharasamarbanam is suggested here, (since there cannot be the state of being carefree without it) or that the surrender of the bhara to the extent of one's being carefree is intended. If we take the latter part of Duaya to be "Aham Srimate, Narityanāya" - (I am for Sriman Narayana) and consider it to be the offering of the self along with the bhara or burden of protection, and that thereafter na mama declares one's having no connection with oneself, as, when an offering is made (to the gods), it is said "This is for Indra and not for me", if we take it in this form, it will be extremely appropriate for this interpretation of the whole of Dvaya being a statement of bhara samarpana. In this interpretation the first part of Dvaya is about the five angas or accessories, while the second part states the angi, which is prapatti. When the offering or surrender is made saying: "I am for Narayana" as in the sloka "I who "" realise that I am Thy sesha surrender myself here and now at Thy two lotus feet". --- (when this surrender is made), it would imply that the responsibility of one's protection and the fruit arising from one's protection are Narayana's as stated in the following passages: "When the¹⁰⁵ question arises to whom the surrender of the self is made for the sake of salvation or protection, the answer is given "To Vishnu", and "I am Thy "responsibility (bhara) (in regard to protection)". This namas discloses the severance of all connection between a man and his self and what belongs to him and also between himself and his protection and the fruit resulting from it.

108. Alavandar: Stotram: 52 110. Alavandar: Stotram: 60 109. Abirbudhnya Samhita: 52-(35-36) The (fourth or) dative case of Narayana and the word namas have, each, its significance, in as much as they indicate respectively the declaration of one's connexion with the Supreme Being and the denial of one's connection with oneself. It may also be that namas denies such things as one's being a door not subject to (the will of) the Supreme Being. Even if we take the simple and ordinary meaning of namas as namāmi (I bow to Narayana," it would be in keeping with the meaning "I sumender" as the word namāmi (I bow) has been commented upon in Mantraräjapadastotra as meaning ' for the surrender of the self'.

Thus in the case of those who consider on the latter part of Dvaya as indicating the surrender of the self, the special kind of fruit or end (namely kainkarya) arises of itself (without the need for stating it), as it is in conformity with the surrender of the self and consistent with one's essential nature ($svar\bar{n}pa$). In the case of those who interpret on the latter part as indicating the fruit or object of attainment (namely, kainkarya) as explained before, the idea of the surrender of the responsibility for protection should be considered as being present in the first part which treats of the $np\bar{a}ya$ in the verb (prapadye) being taken along with the word $saranam (up\bar{a}ya)$.

THE PURPORT OF DVAYA :--

Thus, in Dvaya, in the different words, by the nature of the words actually used and of the meaning which is implicit (without being actually stated in words), the following principal points as well as what is required for them stand revealed:— (Iswara's) association with the mediator (Lakshmi), this (association) being eternal, Sri being included in the upäya along (with Dhagavan), the Saviour being full and perfect in His qualities, the particular form of relationship between the *jiva* and fswara (that of being the body and the soul respectively and that of being *sesha* and *seshi*), Iswara having a divine and auspicious form, the *sesha* making his approach to the ford, in this divine form, how (the

460

divine and auspicious form of the Lord) becomes the updya; the manner of winning the Lord's grace, its accessories, the adlakāri competent for adopting the $\mu p \bar{a} y a$; how the end to be attained is the Lord with His attribute Sri, how the object of attainment (the Lord) is endowed with qualities and vibhittis (glories), how the Lord and Sri are the recipients entitled to (our) service, the prayer for performing this service, the attainment of all forms of service, the removal of all that is undesirable, their utter and complete removal, the *jiva's* being the doer subject to the will of the Supreme Being and for His purposes, the jiva's being the enjoyer (in moksha) subject to the Lord's will and by way of his enjoyment being accessory to the Lord's enjoyment. And these are recalled in Dvaya along with two principal things which are intended to be stated, namely, (1) the $up\bar{u}ya$ which is siddha (viz. the Lord with Sri), the upaya which is sadhuya (viz. propatti) and (2) the object of attainment which is siddha (namely, the Lord with Sri) and the object of attainment which is $s\bar{u}dhya$ (namely kainkarya or service).

Thus in this gem of a mantra (Dvaya), the Supreme Reality (the Lord of Sri), the special upäya (for attaining it), (namely prapatti) and the desired end or fruit (namely-enjoyment and kainkarya) are taught as in the Säriraka sästra (Brahma Sütras) (i.e. in the order in which they are treated there). In Dvaya the order of words follows the order in which the upaya and its fruit occur (i, e) the upaya is stated first and the fruit is stated thereafter (as the former produces the latter). However, as in the mantra (taught) in the Svetāsvatara (upanishad) viz. "Desirous of moksha I seek 'Thy protection)," the important point is the adoption of the upaya preceded by a desire for the fruit (aimed at); former (āchāryas) have explained that, following the logical (or psychological order), the sentence stating the fruit (namely, enjoyment and kainkarya) should be thought of first. For is it not a fact that a man would never study the nature of an $\mu \rho \bar{a} \gamma a$ and adopt it without previously studying the nature of the end to be attained thereby?. So also in the Tirumantra, the order of

meditation should be as stated above in regard to the upiiya, and the fruit thereof. It is only if he desires the fruit and is (also) competent, that the adoption of the upiiya would follow.

The words of learned men such as "One should seek (the Lord's) protection with (the utterance) of Dvaya" and "The staranāgati which is stated in Dvaya consists in the faith that to attain Narayana with $Sr\bar{i}$, His two lotus feet are the $up\bar{a}ya$ " - (these words) also show that Dvaya reveals the adoption of the $up\bar{a}ya$.

THE SUMMARY OF THE MEANING OF DVAYA :-

Although here (in Dvaya), the first part, the words ending with the dative (or fourth) case and the namas — (although) these three are three separate sentences, yet it should be construed as a single sentence with the $up\bar{u}ya$ as the primary purpose. This is how (it should be construed):—

The sum and substance of Deaya is this:— "At the feet of Narayana who is the Lord of all, who is, in everyway and supremely bliesful (or enjoyable) and who is inseparable from Lakshmi and in order that I may obtain the removal of all that is opposed to all kinds of service in all places, at all times and in all states or circumstances and to receive (the privilege of) full and perfect service to Them, I, who am destitute of $up\bar{a}yas$, surrender the responsibility of the protection of myself with the accompaniment of the five angas or accessories at the feet of Narayana, so that I may not have anything to do for such things as the responsibility for protecting myself.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :---

1. This has been taught by *ächäryas* who had full control over their minds as a result of their indifference to worldly objects,

462

who had won over the Lord by (their) prapatti and who were overpowered solely by their compassion (to teach it to others).

2. This (mantra) is understood as consisting of eight words (if Sriman Narayana charanau should be taken as three separate words;) of six words (if that compound word is taken as a single word); and as a single sentence with five words where the predicate is important.

(NOTE: With five words: (1) Prapadye; (2) saranam: (3) Sriman Narayana charanau (4) Srimate Narayanaya; (5) namah according to Saras. vadini.)

3. This unique mantra (or this mantra consisting of a single sentence) called Dvaya has three members (sentences); it can help secure the fourth end of life (namely - moksha); it gives a clear idea of the five things that ought to be known; it explains the $up\bar{a}ya$ with six angas (prapatti); its potency or greatness is similar to that of the seven oceans; it explains the meaning of ashtakshara, the mantra with eight letters, and it produces here (in this world?) in Sriranga, in the minds of wise men^{*} (Like Sri Ramanuja) the ninth rasa, namely, (Santa Or spiritnal screpity).

TAMIL VERSE :---

We have thus explained how, by uttering, in combination, the two parts of *Dvaya* which are read separately in the *sruti*, one can seek, as refuge or *upaya*, the two feet of the Lord of Sri who, out of compassion, is bent on helping us and who is prepared to pardon us; one can thus attain the Lord of the lotus born Lakshmi and in the region of hliss attain faultless service (to the Lord) along with the full and perfect enjoyment of the Lord.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

There is no $s\bar{a}stra$ superior to Vedanta; there is no higher Truth or Reality than the slayer of Madhu (Narayana); there is

NOTE *: There is a reference here to Sri Ramanuja's gadya.

nothing more holy of resort than His devotees; there is no better dwelling place than those places that are agreeable to them; there is no better means of preserving bealth than the quality of sattva (or eating situate food); there is no other way of acquiring wisdom than devotion to the wise; there is no bliss greater than moksha; similarly there is no better means of obtaining spiritual welfare (moksha) than the utterance of Draya.

THE CHAPTER ON THE CHARAMA SLOKA.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :--

(1) Sri Krishna, who stands close to the Upanishads and from whom, as from the boundless ocean of mercy, came of its own accord, the sloka (Charama sloka) which puts an end to the sorrows of all men, — by seeking Him as our refuge in *accordance with the injunction (contained in that sloka), and as the eternal dharma (Siddhop $\bar{a}ya$), we feel happy with our sins extinguished and free from (all) doubts and fears.

* NOTE :- or by good luck (vidhina).

(2) Many are the paths prescribed (in the scrutis) (Karma yoga, Juana yogā and Bhakti yoga), they are hard to understand and impossible of adoption owing to the restrictions and observances enjoined for them; the fruit to be attained by pursuing them is at too great a distance and (above all) they are unsuitable for simple folk (destitute of intelligence and ability) — to us who feel anxious on these accounts, (He who is) the easy highway, the charioteer and the guide of all men, has, by His grace, enjoined certain victuals (the charama scloka) for the journey in order to lead us to His own feet without any hindrances.

TAMIL VERSE :-

In order to afford salvation to all the beings created with one mind by Himself and Lakshmi of shining bracelets. He became the son of Vasudeva so that the beautiful city of Dwaraka might prosper. He was then pleased to be the charioteer of the princes (the Pandavas) and He has become for us the unique *dharma* (*Siddhopāya*) which He, with Tulasi flowers adorning His chest, has Himself declared to us and puts a stop to the game of blindman's buff which stood in the way of our seeing, enjoying and bearing His feet (in Vaikunta) on our head.

INTRODUCTION TO CHARAMA SLOKA :-

" This' Narayana, the Lord of Sri, who abides (for every in the ocean of milk has left His serpent-bed and arrived at the city of Madhura." As stated in this sloka, the Supreme Ruler, the Lord of Srī, incarnated in the beautiful city of Dwaraka in order to protect the good, to destroy the wicked and to establish dharma on a firm footing; He became easily accessible to every one, and assumed the role of a messenger to the Pandavas who had sought His protection, as stated in the passage : "On being" thus advised, the sons of Pritha and the twins made namaskärams to Janardana. O best of men, along with Draupadi." He made Arjuna the warrior in the chariot and acted as his charioteer to do his bidding. This Arjuna realised that the Lord of all had determined to slav his enemies using him as a (mere) instrument, and that the destruction of his kinsmen was certain. He became troubled in mind by inopportune affection and inopportune campassion and by the fear that the slaying of his $\overline{a}ch\overline{a}ryas$ and others, though they appeared (against him) in war-like trim, was a sin. So he wanted to know what was the proper thing for him to do and begged (Sri Krishna) saying, "Be' pleased to tell me what, you feel sure, is to my lasting benefit. I am your disciple and have sought your protection. Therefore advise me as to what I should do ". In order to dispel his grief, the Lord explained to him the eternal and essential nature of the soul or self (*itman*) which is different from the body and the like (the senses etc.) and likewise karma yoga and inling yoga, which are, to the man who understands this essential nature, the mediate means for attaining the supreme goal and also bhakii yoga which has been enjoined as the primary and direct means of attaining it, as also all its accessories. Though Arjuna was eagar to attain this supreme end quickly, he thought it too hard to perform it (bhakti-yoga) with all its accessories and though he had the knowledge and the ability needed for its adoption, he realised that the nature of this updya was such that it would secure 1. Hariyamsa : 113 - 62. 3. Bhagavad Gita : 2 - 7.

2. Mahabharata: Aranyaparya - 192 - 56.

CHARAMASLOKA

the end in view only after a very long period of adoption and after uncessing attention and that the end in view could not be attained quickly. So Arjuna became overwhelmed with despair. Under the guise of teaching Arjuns, the Acharya of the Gitopanishad is graciously pleased, out of His infinite compassion, to explain this most secret upaya (viz. prapatti) in the charama stoka which is the conclusion of the teaching and which leaves nothing unsaid. Prapatti which is one of the upayas that he enjoined as optional iu the sloka: "I can be attained by those who desire to serve me only by bhakti or by prapatti. There is no other way of attaining me", is the means alike, of attaining His feet and all other things that are desired, for it has been said, "Only so long as "prapatti which extinguishes all sins is not performed, only so long will there be suffering, etc." It can be performed without any accessories other than the determination to do what is agreeable to the Lord and the avoidance of what is adverse to Him and the like. It is easier of performance and can be done in just an instant. Since the Supreme Lord, who is the Saviour, has Himself taught this truth which is well known from the strutis, it has also the sanction of the *delairya* (for Bhagavan is Himself the *acharya* here). The permission of the acharya is prescribed in such treatises as Vishnutattva as follows: ... "Giving sup all object of desire and the karmas by which they can be secured, Saranagati with its five angas (the angas and the anga being six) should be performed with the permission of the acharya in accordance with the rules prescribed in the sustras and with renunciation of the fruits of all actions."

SARVA DHARMAN ETC.

We have refuted, at considerable length, in Tätparya chandrikā and Nikshepa-raksha, the interpretations given of this stoka by such perverse (commentators) as Sankara. Here we will state the best and most appropriate meanings as established in the tradition of wise men. The first half of this (sloka) enjoins the

4. Vishnupurana: 1-9-73.

S. Sri Vishnutattvom.

 $up\bar{a}ya$, the second half is the sesha which is subordinate or supplementary to it by way of indication of the end to be attained by that $up\bar{a}ya$. Therefore the main purport of this sloka is to prescribe the upaya. Dharma is a means of attaining an object which can be understood solely from the sästra. The use of the plural form, dharmān, indicates the manifold nature of the dharmas enjoined in the sästra as the means of attaining the desired objects or ends. The word sarva (all) suggests that (these dharmas) have certain accessories or angas. There is certainly no impropriety in calling the accessories to dharma by the word dharma. If (on the other hand) we interpret the word sarva (all) as the opposite of 'one', it would indicate the manifoldness of the angīs (the dharmas themselves).

Though the word dharma is used without any qualification or restriction, yet what is intended (by the word) here is the various kinds of upasana, or meditation prescribed for the attainment of moksha in the stastras with all their accessories (such kinds of meditation as dahara vidyā, sad vidyā and Sānditya vidyā). The knowledge of Purushottama (which is praised highly in the Gita (Chap. XI) is conclusively shown in (Sri Ramanuja's) Gitā Bhishya and elsewhere as leading merely to the knowledge of the Truth or Reality which is of help to all vidyas or forms of meditation; the knowledge of the truth concerning the avatürs (of Bhagavan) is shown io Ch. IV there as the cause of the adopted upitsanas yielding their fruit quickly; residence in places where there are temples of Narayana and the like are also shown to make the $up\bar{a}sanas$ effective by the removal or extinction of those sins which stand in the way of the updsands bearing fruit. Therefore it is not proper to consider them as direct and primary means of attaining moksha and to state that the plucal form of dharma, viz, dharman is used to include these also, (for they are only mediate causes of moksha and not direct causes like the upasanas). This plural form dharman is significant in as much as it refers to such different and many vidyās, as Sänditya vidyā and dahara vidyā even without the three mentioned above ((viz) the knowledge of Purushottama and of the avatārs and residence in holy places), for it has been said "The "vidyās are many, as their names and the like are different;" there is no impropriety even if we take the plural to signify the manifoldness of the $ang\bar{i}$'s (forms of meditation) and of the angasor their accessories.

THE MEANING OF PARITYAJYA (HAVING GIVEN UP):--

In the word parityajya, the 'giving up' or abandonment consists in the aversion to other kinds of $up\bar{a}ya$ (than prapatti), which arises from a consideration of one's being destitute of the competency for them, for it has been said, "By this 'prapatti and with only *äkinchanya* or one's being without other $up\bar{a}yas$ as a prerequisite etc)". If there is connexion due to desire, the giving up of that desire is certainly $ty\bar{a}ga$ or renunciation.

The preposition pari (before tyaj) is to show the extreme aversion arising from the lack of competence (for other $up\bar{a}yas$) at all times and in every form, which the person destitute of $up\bar{a}yas$ has come to realise in himself as expressed in the following passages, "I see "no means of crossing (the sea of $sums\bar{a}ra$) in all the eternity of time which lies before me," and "It is "only by the lotus feet of the Lord that I shall attain the desired goal. I have no other means of attaining spiritual welfare in any of my births."

"Aversion in every form " means being witbout the improper desire to perform what is impossible for a man with the thought: "Though I cannot adopt the $up\bar{u}ya$ in full perfection, I will do it to the best of my ability; when that also is too difficult to perform, I will adopt, in the place of the prescribed *angas*, something less difficult or the $up\bar{u}yas$ which will produce the prescribed $up\bar{u}yas$ ".

Brahma Sutras 3-3-56.
 ?
 Srisanga Gadya
 Jitanta : 1 - 10-

The object of this anuvāda* (statement of what has already occurred) namely sarva dharman parityajya (which is not a widhi or injunction) is to indicate the person who is specially competent to adopt prapatti. The preposition pari in parityajya is to indicate the person who is most competent or has full competency. In the sentence:- "Having" reached this world which is perishable and without pleasure, you should resort to me with devotion," having reached does not state a vidhi or injunction meaning - "Reach this world". It only means 'Being in this world' or 'since you are in this world'. So also, here, the words sarvadharmān parityajya do not mean 'give up all dharmas'. It should be taken to mean : "You that have given up all dharmas". Since this usage of 'having done a thing' (past participle) is met with in other senses also, it is not right to say that 'having given up all dharmas' or giving up all dharmas is one of the accessories enjoined for prapatti.

If parityajya (having given up) is to be considered (at all) as enjoining what should be done (vidhi), there is nothing wrong in stating that it enjoins, as in the chapters on Prapatti and elsewhere, the thought of helplessness (kiirpanya) which is of the nature of such thoughts as being destitute of upäyas. In that interpretatioo, sarva dharmän parityajya would mean "Reflect at first on the state in which you are unfit for the adoption or performance of every form of dharma" (in this case it would be a vidhi). This state has been (well) expressed in such passages as the following :-- "I am the? abode of all offences (against Thee) and I have no means of saving myself nor have I anything else to attain than Thee", and "I have" not performed dharma with any constancy; I have not realised the nature of the self nor have I bhakti or devotion to Thy lotus feet". Even this interpretation, which is not the meaning that strikes one immediately and is

*NOTE: What is meant is not that the person should give up but that as he is unable to adopt other *diarmas*, he should seek the Lord as an *upnya*.
10. Bhagayad Gita 9-33.
8. Alavandar: Stotram: 22.
7. Ahirbudhnyasamhita: 37 - 30.

470

therefore a little strained, is better than the meanings given by Sankara and others that what is intended here is such as the giving up of the dharmas themselves in their essential nature (svariipa), because it is not out of keeping with any pramänas (for in the chapters on prapatti, it is enjoined that the rites and observances of castes and *ūstramas* should (always) be performed).

When we take partyajya as a vidhi or injunction, it would be appropriate to say that the meaning of sarva dharman parityajya is :--- " No dharma of any kind need be performed for the sake of prupatti", in the sense that prapatti does not require any of them (as angas). In that case, the use of the preposition pari would suggest that even such observances as are stated in "This should be done after performing *achamana*" and "This should be done with bodily parity ", which are requisite for securing fitness for all rites in common, are not to be accepted as angas for prapatti.

Notwithstanding this, if it is maintained that the giving up of such dharmas as karma yoga, juäna yoga and bhakti yoga in their essential form (svarupa) is an anga or accessory to prapatti, it would follow that prapatti is not in the competence of any The abandonment of a *dharma* can be enjoined one only in the case of a person who is capable of performing it. This would be opposed to the thought of helplessness (kārpaņya), which is called an anga (of prapatti) according to many pramanas. It would also be opposed to the tradition that prapatti is for those who are destitute of upäyas, which is evident from such passages as the following :-- "I am without⁹ any upayas and have no other saviour". "I was¹⁰ not born into any of the four castes so as to be competent for the performance of dharma". "I have given" up the rites to be performed by a Brahmin who has the mark of worshipping the three fires after ablution ", and " I am not" well established in the

9. Tiruvovmozhi: 6 - 10 - 10. 11. Tirumalai : 25.

10. Tirucchandaviruttam: 90.

- 12. Alavandar: Stotram: 22.

performance of *dharma*, nor have I realised the nature of my self etc.

To state that the *jiva* (kshetrajna) is eternally incapable of all other up#yas, since he is entirely dependent on and subject to the control of Iswara and (in the same breath) to state that the giving up of these dharmas or up#yas is enjoined on him is against all s#stras and is opposed also to the very words of these writers and their actual practice. (For how can a man give up a dharma which he is not capable of performing? How can a man proceed to perform kainkarya, if he is incapable of it owing to utter dependence and subjection?). It would, therefore, he extremely ridiculous to hold this view. all this view were right, then by the same logic, even the vidhi contained in vraja (seek me etc.) would be impossible of performance.

The statement that the $jivatm\bar{a}$ is eternally incapable of all other upilyas would only go to confirm the view that parityajya(here, does not enjoin the giving up and) only states what has already occurred (anuvida) (owing to inability), which these writers would not like to accept.

(Further) the use of a single set of words in a single sentence cannot mean both the statement of what has occurred owing to inability in the case of the man who is not capable and the injunction to the man who is capable of performance to give it up (which is another view beld by some)

Nor can it be held that the option is given to the same person $(adhik\bar{a}r\bar{i})$ who is capable of performing *dharma* either to perform the *dharma* or to give it up. This would mean two alternative courses, one of which is difficult and the other light, when there is no difference in the object of attainment. For if the man who is capable of adopting the difficult upilya is enjoined to adopt the lighter or easier $up\bar{a}ya$ by giving up the performance (of what he is capable of), then no one would ever think of adopting the more difficult $up\bar{a}ya$ in which the

difference in competency could be stated, all the $s\bar{a}stras$ which enjoin the performance or adoption of the more difficult $up\bar{a}ya$ would carry no weight.

It is also extremely inappropriate to say that, in order to stimulate the adoption of the easier $up\bar{a}ya$, the difficult $up\bar{a}ya$ is (first) enjoined and then forbidden for performance.

The view that what are not (really) $up\bar{u}yas$ are enjoined as $up\bar{u}yas$ (and are thereafter condemned as unfit for performance) — this view would tend to look upon the *srutis* and the *smritis* as deceptive. Even such things as the essential nature of the Baviour (which we learn only from them) could not (then) be known for certain.

It is true that, in the world, among those that are desirous of wealth, some choose cultivation of the soil and other such difficult operations, while others choose lighter professions like dealing in rubies and other gems; but this option is determined by the differences in capacity or competence among the *adhiküris*.

Kooarathalvan's commentary on the charama sloka :— "You that suffer (from anxiety) because of the impossibility of adoption of other $up\bar{a}yas$, give up everything that has been declared as the means of attaining me and seek me as (yonr) refuge (tor salvation) —this commentary, too, means only what has been explained (as the meaning so far) and is not, therefore, opposed to it. (In that commentary 'giving up' states only what has occurred before (*anavāda*) or means only "without doing it as an *anga* required for *prapatti*."

It is true that, in such cases, as Samāvartana or the conclusion of the *Priijāpatyavrata*, baths accompanied by certain *vratas* or observances are prescribed and also (as an alternative) baths without any such *vratas* (which are easier). But this option is determined by the condition or the circumstances in which the person is situated. If we do not take it in this light, the enjoining of the more difficult alternative would be of no use (for no one would adopt it).

There is snother (wrong) view that the adhikārī competent for prapatti is the person who has fullness of knowledge or fullness of faith and that the adhikārī for upāsanā is the person who lacks this fullness of knowledge or fullness of faith. If this view were accepted, it would follow that such men as Vyasa who taught the charamasloka and the like and who, because of their piety, had fullness of knowledge and fullness of faith should be looked upon as incompetent for upasana. There is no evidence or pramana to prove that when they taught, they had koowledge and faith and having (later) become weak in intelligence and faith adopted upäsanä. There is no evidence in the respective accounts of their lives to show that though they were propannas (with prapatti as their upüya) they practised upüsanä also for setting an example to the world. Even if this were admitted to be a fact, the adoption of a *dharma* which is forbidden to a man for the sake of setting an' example to the world would be a sin so far as he is concerned and as the observance or adoption of the dharma is opposed to the man's competence, it would not be a proper example to set the world right. (Sri Ramanuja) has explained in the Gita Bhashya that lokasangraha consists in a man choosing ooc of two ways of life (permitted for himself) as being easy of performance by others and beneficial to them, and adopting that way of life as an example to others in order to establish them firmly in it. A samyasin adopting the way of life peculiar to the house-holder and forbidden to himself is not setting an example for the sake of the betterment of the world (lokasangraha). This would be only the violation of the commandment (of the Lord). In the same way, the adoption by a propanna of a dharma forbidden to him, under the misapprehension that it would be a form of kainkarya is also opposed to his competency.

There is nothing wrong in a *prapanna* performing those rites that are prescribed in the *sāstras* and that are not required for *prapatti* with the idea that they are (forms of) kainkarya (service).

474

If it is maintained that as an anga or accessory of prapatti, men should give up all dharmas (rites and observances) appropriate to their respective castes (and *āsramas*) and capable also of being performed by them, it would follow that they would lose the fruit viz. the hainkarya or service appropriate to their respective caste, *āsrama* and the like, in the period after *prapatti*; (it would also follow) that they should give up also such dharmas as nonviolence and speaking the truth which are common to all and the showing of reverence to *āchāryas* and roam about like cattle, beasts and birds.

It may be argued that *dharmas* which are of the nature of refraining (from actions) and which are understood from prohibitory texts (such as 'do not kill') are not activities calculated to protect oneself and are therefore not opposed to propatti and that, owing to this what is intended here is only the giving up of such activities as are positive (pravritti) (and not mere abstentions from activity). 'This argument, too, is unsound. Nivritti, abstention or renunciation, is also a form of activity arising from one's will or determination, and it too, may occur for the sake of protecting oneself as may be seen in the world and from the Vedas. (Prohibitory texts in the Vedas presume that even abstentions are activities. Befraining from treasonable activities is often for the sake of protection of one's self from the penal code).

If it be held that, on the strength of the injunction (vidhi) contained in (parityajya), the propanna should give up all dharmas whether they be positive activities (pravritti) or abstentions from actions (nivritti) and that this is the real intention of the stastras, then it would follow that the ancients who performed prapatti and those who are prapannas today have done and are doing what is against the stastras in performing different kinds of kainkarya (pravritti) and in performing explatory rites for offences (committed by them) (for what is forhidden should, on that view, be performed and no explation would be necessary for it). These men who are propagators of the tradition of prapatti in accordance with the pramanas and who are also supremely compassionate could, under no stretch of imagination, be thought of as deluded or deceitful.

It may be argued that this objection would stand only if the giving up of all *dharmas* (rites and observances) is enjoined (on the *prapanna*) for the rest of his life, and that it would not hold good, if the giving up of all *dharmas* in their essential form $(svar\overline{v}pa)$ is considered as an *anga* (of *prapatti*) to be done only at the time of performing *prapatti* and if their resumption is permitted after that performance. The answer to this argument is as follows:— "There is no need at all to enjoin the giving up of an activity or of an abstention which is not likely to be present at all at that time. If only such activities or *dharmas* in their svar $\overline{u}pa$ as are likely or possible at the time are enjoined to be given up, it would follow that *prapatti* should be performed after giving up such things as the following :— residence in holy places sacred to Bhagavao, the tuft of hair, the sacred thread (*yaguopavita*) and the wearing of the mark of the sect (*pundra*).

Therefore the proper interpretation of the vidhi (if it be taken as vidhi) enjoining the giving up is this :-- "Prapatti does not require, as an anga or accessory, any dharma or observance or vite which is required as an anga (such as karma) to upasana (meditation) according to vidyäs'.

Even in this view that parityajya indicates a vidhi or injunction to give up, the person competent for prapatti is one who is incapable of other $up\bar{a}yas$, or one who, though capable of other $up\bar{a}yas$, is too impatient to endure the delay in attaining the fruit (which the other $up\bar{a}yas$ might eotail). If we take this view, it will not be against any of the pramanas (viz those which enjoin the rites of the varnas and $\bar{a}sramas$, and those which enjoin bhakti yoga and the like). Former $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$ did not discuss the point whether or not the giving up of all dharmas in their essential form is an anga of prapatti. Their discussions were confined only to the question of which words in the charama stoka respectively indicated the qualification necessary for prapatti viz. that of being destitute of other *upityus* and which words the idea that *prapatti* did not require (any *anga* or accessory).

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :

Some acharyas, who were conversant with the requirements of a sentence, thought that the charama stoka speaks first (in parityajya) of the person competent for prapatti (as one who is unable to adopt other upuyus) and then declares by the word cham me alone) that prapatti as an apaya does not require anything else as anga or accessory. Others said that the charama sloka speaks first of prapatti not requiring anything else as anga and then declares who the competent person is for the easier upilya in the word *oraja*) by the suggestion contained in the word *soka* or grief (in mit suchah) (for the grief should be the grief due to the man's inability to adopt other *updyas*). (There was no discussion among these earlier acharyas of the point whether prapatti enjoined the giving up of all dharmas (even such as those ordained for the varyas and the ästramas). Thus the discussion was confined only to the way in which the words (in the stoka) should be construed, though there was no difference in the meaning as a whole, and the author of Sri Bhashya did not stop the discussion-What was (wrongly) imagined as having been the subject of discussion by other people who did not know what had formerly taken place - that was shown to be without any foundation by such teachers as Vishnuchitta.

But it may he asked how the difference between those who are competent and those who are not competent (for *prapatti*) could be justified in the face of such *stokas* as the following:— "This is the *upaya* for those" who do not understand; this is also the *upāya* for those who know well: this is the *upaya* for those who want to reach (quickly) the shore beyond *samsūra* and this is the *upāya* also for those who want (immediately) to render constant service to the Lord", and "Hari is" the only *upäya* to 13. Lakshmi Tantra: 17-109. 14. Bhattar.

29

those who do not know of other upayas, either with their ignorance or with their knowledge of such qualities of the Lord as His being the Lord (of all), and on account of intensity of bhakti ". The answer to this question is as follows :- The ajnanam or ignorance referred to in the word (ajuanat) in Lakshmi Tantra and avidyātah in Bhattar's sloka is not the ignorance of such beings as cattle of what is stated in the sustrus. It means only this much, lack of a clear understanding of such forms (of adoration) as upitsunas or ignorance, even in regard to prapatti, of subtle niceties or distinctions in it. The word vijānatiim in Lakshmitantram (to those who know) and deve paribrudataya viditayā (with the knowledge of such qualities of the Lord as His being the Lord of all) refer only to the kind of knowledge which enables one to understand such things as upiesanas or the kind of knowledge which enables a man to have a clear understanding of such things as the qualities of the Saviour, which is necessary for prapatti. It does not (certainly) mean a knowledge of all things, in general, for only the knowledge of the Saviour's quality of being the Lord of all is referred to here. Even if a man has this knowledge that is essential (for $up\bar{a}sana$), when he is without the ability to adopt that (upasana), he is one that is destitute of $u h \bar{a} y a s$ (akinchana) and has competency for prapatti,

Here another objection might be raised, viz., "Even if a man has (the knowledge and) the ability, he should remain without any endeavour on his own part, knowing as he does, the Saviour's nature, for does not Sita say:—" If Si: Rama¹⁸, who can destroy the hosts of his enemies, discharges his arrows on Lanka and takes me away from here, it will be the appropriate thing for him?". The answer to this is that (Sita's attitude) would be an example of the *prapanna*'s later life (not of what he should do or should not do before *prapatti*). If this is not admitted, all *sūstras* which enjoin the adoption of $up\bar{u}yas$ would be meaningless.

Even when a man has both the knowledge of the other upaya wiz. upusana) and the ability to adopt it, if he is too impatient to brook delay in the attainment (of the end), he may be competent for performing propatti, if he thinks that only propatti would secure his object wiz., (the quick attainment). This (that even the man who has the knowledge and ability to adopt upüsana may adopt prapatti if he cannot brook delay) is disclosed in the words; " This is the updya for those who want to cross the sea of samsära"; 'for those who want to cross', here, means "Those who want to reach the shore beyond samsura quickly (i. c) those that desire a quick removal of the hindrances that stand in their "This is the upaya for those who desire $\bar{a}nantyam$ " (the way. perfect enjoyment of the service of Brahman) which is one's due on account of one's essential nature. Here also the meaning is 'those who desire to have it quickly' (i.e. those who cannot brook existence without that enjoyment.

Having these two in mind, Bhattar says, "by the intensity of their bhakti." Here bhakti does not signify bhakti yoga, but 'intensity of the love (of the Lord)'. Intensity of bhakti or love means a state of mind in which the man would cease to exist if he could not have quick attainment. Though this is not the bhakti yoga enjoined for some adhikteris, yet some get that state of mind by the grace of Bhagavan due to righteous acts (in previous births). The man who has this state of mind is also competent for prapatti.

Therefore *prapatti* may be adopted by (1) those who do not know of other *upityus* and who have a knowledge of what *prapatti* is in general (without a knowledge of details and distinctions); (2) those who have a clear understanding of *prapatti* and the other *upāya* (*upāsana*) but lack the ability to adopt the latter; (3) those who, though they have both the knowledge and the ability, cannot brook delay (in the attainment of the end) and cannot exist without it. This man who cannot brook delay is also destitute of upāyas for attaining the end in his view at the time when he wants it (so he, too, is competent for *prapatti*). It is in consideration of this circumstance that (Bhattar) wrote :-- " who know of no other $np\bar{a}ya$ in the world ".

Vyasa and others who held administrative offices (under the Lord) could brook delay and were also capable of the other upiiya (*i. e.*) upiisana. Therefore it is that they adopted upiisana and not because they were wanting in knowledge or in faith. (Those who hold administrative offices must serve their full period before thinking of attainment.)

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :--

(If it be asked, "Why is it said (in some places) that a man adopts upäsana owing to weakness of intelligence?" the answer is as follows): — "When a man is incapable of an upäya which is extremely difficult of adoption and yet adopts it because of an obstinate desire, the ignoring of the easy upäya should be considered as being due to weakness of intellect." Or it is proper also to explain their adoption of the difficult upäya as due to their unfitness for *prapatti* (owing to their lack of faith in it and the like.) This is not the explanation in the case of Vyasa and others (adopting upäsana), for they remove all the doubts of others (and cannot be considered as wanting in knowledge or faith).

Since in this manner the competency of a man either for *upāsana* or for *prapatti* is determined, both the *sāstras* (those of *upāsana* and those of *prapatti*) have their own respective purpose.

The difference between the two adhikārīs in regard to the rites and observances of their caste and *itsrama* is only the difference of the *purpose* for which or the thought with which they are performed. It is true that, in the case of the *prapanua*, the performance of any rite or observance will prevent the attainment of his end, because, like the Brahma missile, it would cease to have any effect, if any other rite is performed to attain the same end. But if these rites are performed for their own sake (without the desire for any fruit) or for such things as the glory of Bhagavan or the welfare of Bhagavatas, there is no impropriety (and *prapatti* would not lose its efficacy).

But it may be asked by some :-- " Even if it is admitted that the giving up of the *dharmas* themselves is not enjoined, it is possible that parityajya (having given up) may mean the giving up of (only) the thought that they are $up\bar{u}yas$. (The answer to this question is as follows:) "In those texts which refer to the activities of the prapauna after the performance of prapatti, it may be held rightly that what is ordained is the giving up of the thought that these activities are upliyas. But the charama-sloka is a text which enjoins an updiya (before prapatti) and not what should be done after it, and the word parityajya occurs there. Therefore this interpretation would result in the injunction that these dharmas in their essential form should be performed as angas or accessories to prapatti, but without the thought that they If this view were accepted, then, the view that are upäyas. certain things should be performed after prapatti merely for their own sake (without any aim, object or the desire for any fruit) and as mere service (to the Lord) would become untenable. (For they would still be angas or accessories and could not be an end in themselves). Nor could there be, in that case, the absence of any requisite or accessory dharma which is said to be the unique feature of prapatti Thus this upliya would cease to be within the competence of the man destitute of all upityus. This is how it would result. There is no need to enjoin here the giving up of the thought of their being $u p \bar{a} y u s$ in regard to those *dharmas* that are not *upityas*. So the performance of those *dharmas* which are upwyas without the thought that they are upwyas would only he the adherence to such updyas as the old updisanas. If it is maintained that the word parityajya means the giving up of the thought of their being upayas, then there would have been no need to reconcile the conflicting statements about the ordinance concerning abandonment and that about performance by saying that the difference is due to the persons competent for each of them. It is only if the text is held to enjoin the giving up of the dharmas

themselves, there would arise any such conflict. If it is held that the adoption of karma, jnäna and bhakti, but without the thought that they are upäyas is an anga to prapatti, there would be no essential difference between the bhakta and the prapanna. The difference would only be nominal (i, e) the man who has bhakti as the main and independent means and prapatti as an accessory to it could be called a bhakta and the man who adopts prapatti as the main and independent means and bhakti as an accessory to it would be called a bhakta and the man who adopts prapatti as the main and independent means and bhakti as an accessory to it would be called a prapanna, but both would perform the same dharmas and there would be no difference in their action.

It may be argued that, in regard to accessory activity, there need not be fullness or perfection of performance and that some short-comings might be allowed therein; but this argument is not sound. Has it not been stated; — "A rite or observance performed for the attainment of a certain fruit will yield the desired fruit, only when all the accessory rites or *angas* are duly performed?" (*Purva Mimāmsā*).

SANSKRIT SLOKAS ;---

Thus if it is stated that the *dharmas* themselves in their essential form should be given up, even the rendering of service would become an offence. If it is said that what is to be given up is only the *thought* that they are *upayas*, then the *dharmas* in their essential form would become an *anga* (of *prapatti*).

It is only those who have torgotten the episodes^{*} of Kakasura and the like that would maintain, as angas of proparti, these *dharmas* without the *thought* that they are $up\bar{a}yas$ and that the person is himself the doer of the action and its beneficiary (for the doership and the benefit are God's).

We have (also) heard that, by a single performance alone of *prapatti* for removed from any other *dharma*, the ancients obtained at once what they desired.

• NOTE: Kakasura performed prapatti (to Sri Rama) without any of the rites due to varna or asrama.

If it is maintained that the angas of bhakli yoga which might be presumed as necessary also for *prapatti* are declared to be unnecessary for *prapatti* and as being no angas to it, the potency of the *npaya* (*prapatti*), which is equal in might to the Brahma missile, becomes well established and the rendering of service and the like will also become appropriate.

Therefore the view that here (in *parityajya*) the giving up of all dharmas, (rites, observances and the like) is enjoined (for prapatti) and the view that what is enjoined to be given up is only the thought of their loing $u \rho \bar{a} y a s$ and the thought that they are angas to it -- these views conflict with such stastras as ordain the observance of the commandments, with the sustras which state that prapatti does not require noything else, with the traditional observances of former ächäryas and with the code of conduct followed by virtuous mon in former times who had become prapannas. Thus owing to the objections pointed out so far, the giving up of all dharmas is not an anga to prapatti; nor is the performance of these dharmas in their essential form an anga (without the thought of their being upayas or angas to prapatti), because as has been stated before, prapatti does not require the performance of any other dharma. Therefore this injuoction or ordinance about 'giving up' (tyaga) has, for its purport, the statement that prapatti does not require any (aid) from any other dharma.

To make this denial, there must be a wrong presumption of something that has to be denied. If it is asked what dharmas (rites, observances and the like) are presumed (wrongly) as being requisite and are then stated to be unnecessary (the answer is as follows) — In the vidyäs or forms of meditation (upäsanas) enjoined in Vedanta, some of the accessories which appear as angas in certain vidyäs, such as the rites, duties and observances of the varnas and the äsramas and also such things as meditation on the route to moksha are required also in other vidyäs or forms of meditation. So also there may, at first, be a (wrong) presumption that in nyäsavidyä too, these might be required as accessary. To correct this presumption, here (in parityajya), these dharmas which might be thought of (wrongly) on the analogy of $up\bar{u}sanas$ as angas (to prapatti) are not required for it. This is the right interpretation of the text here.

Though these dharmas cannot be considered as accessory aids (to prapatti) as they are stated to be for updisand in Brahma Sūtras (3 - 4 - 33), yet as stated in another sütra (3 - 4 - 32), the karmas ordained for the different \overline{us} ramas have to be performed, as they are enjoined as ordinances or commands; there is nothing to prevent their performance by the prapama (since commandments have to be obeyed as such (and for no other reason).

CONCLUSION :--

Therefore, as neither the performance of these *dharmas* nor their giving up is an *anga* to *prapatti*; to be without the desire to do what is beyond one's ability is a mark of competency (for *prapatti*). The performance of those duties or rites which are *nitya* and *naimittika* and which are within the range of one's ability takes rank as mere service consisting in the observance of a command.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:-

It is only the thought or the will to do what is pleasing to the Lord that is stated (in the süstras) as an anga of prapatti. The action arising out of that will or thought is not an anga. The rites of the varya and üstrama are the proper thing to do in virtue of their being the Lord's command and not because it is the consequence of the will or the thought to do what is pleasing to Him.

If so, it may be asked: "What is the purpose or object of performing those manifold and great acts of service which cannot be included among the commands to perform *nitya* and *naimittika*

karma?" These cannot be included among any of the other upäyas for moksha (because they are not performed with the object of attaining moksha); nor are they angas or accessories to prapatti, because it does not require any such angas or sids. The person does not perform them because of the fear that the Lord would be offended at their non-performance, nor does he perform them with the desire that they would bring about, by themselves, extinction of his sins or the attainment of such fruits as svarga, cattle, children and the like. Neither does he perform them merely for his own delight just like worldly men playing dice and the like, nor does he perform them to please the Lord having seen, like the freed souls, by actual observation, what would be approved of by Him. If so, why does he perform them, it may be asked. (The answer is as follows) :- He proceeds to perform them because it is evident from the stastras that these acts of service have, for their fruit, the propitistion of the Lord (just like other fruits) As one in whose nature the quality of sattuam predominates, he acquires a taste for pleasing the Lord and follows the light shed by the lamp of the scastras in trying to give delight to the Lord who stands in varied relationships to him (as father, mother etc.), in the same way as he shows his love to his friends, children and the like.

In this connection some may ask :— "In the case of the wise man who has made a complete surrender of all responsibility to the Lord of all, is not the criterion for deciding what should be given up and what taken up, the knowledge of his own essential nature $(svar \overline{u}pa?)$ So he is bound (only) by the knowledge of his own essential nature (to do or not to do this or that); why, then, should he follow the dictates of the suistras?". This question is improper, for, if it is determined what his essential nature is with the help of the substras, then there is no other guide for him than the substras, until the time of his attaining mukti, to help him to understand what aims in life he should avoid as also the $up\overline{u}yas$ for securing these aims. From the knowledge that he is substra to the Lord and the

29

like, it is just possible to know a few things that are appropriate to the sesha. The knowledge of one's essential nature cannot indicate, unequivocally, in what manner service should be rendered in order that it may please the seshi, and what $up\bar{a}yas$ should be adopted for this service. This being so, if one ignores the sustras and renders service with forbidden substances (like opium, say) or even among substances that are permitted, to render service with substances acquired unjustly and thus render service according to one's own sweet will and pleasure in ways opposed to what is enjoined in the sastras, then there would be no difference between reverential conduct and offence. Then since there is no criterion besides one's own's own taste, one might think of performing as service all that is considered as forbidden and therefore avoided by seekers after mukti. The author of Srī Bhāshya has stated (in regard to the offering of food (havis) to Archa):- " Having "collected those things which are not prohibited in the sustras etc." Therefore the proper thing is to render such forms of service as are enjoined in the suistrus as competent to the person concerned and subject to the dictates of the stastras. The teaching contained in the sloka :- " To know¹⁵ what ought to be done and what ought . not to be done, the only authority that you have is the scästra. Therefore while you are here, understand the karma enjoined in the stastra just as it is " - this teaching is common to all (whatever their competency). Sri Alayandar in his Gitarthasangraha says :- " Among these ekantins (who are devoted exclusively to the Lord), the wise man (juani) is called paramaikanti, one who has supreme devotion to the foord to the exclusion of all other interests. His being alive is solely dependent on the Lord. To be united with Hum is his (only) delight; to part from Hum is his (only) pain. His mind is always with the Lord. He considers himself as an entity only because of his meditating on Him, of visiting holy places (sacred to Him), and of speaking of Him to others, of bowing to Him and uttering his sacred names. His

- 14. Sri Ramanuja; Nitya.
- 15. Bhagavad Gita: 16-24

actions are performed with his life-breath, mind, will and the senses all dedicated to Him. He performs all the duties pertaining to him and also practises bhakti inspired solely by love. He should give up the idea of their being $u p \bar{a} y a s$ and place the $u p \bar{a} y a$ in the Lord without any fear ". In these solokas what Alavandar meant by the words "inspired solely by love" was not that he should ignore the sustras. His idea was to emphasise the great inspiration arising from his love (of the Lord), whose wesha he is, to perform those acts of service which he can understand only from the stastras, in order to give delight to Him. When these stokas are considered in relation to the man who practises upasanas and the like, its aim is to show that these upasanas are most pleasing to the person himself, that they are not the direct means of attaining the supreme end, but are the means of winning the favour of the Saviour who is the direct means or upaya for obtaining this end, and that the Lord of all who is pleased by these uplisanas stands as the direct $up\bar{a}ya$ for the attainment of this end. When the slokas are considered in relation to the man who has adopted brapatti as the principal and independent means, their aim is to show that, though he performs the ordinances of nitya and naimittika karma and such other rites just as they are enjoined for bhakti yoga, since he does not perform them for the sake of other objects like scarga and moksha and performs them solely for pleasing the Lord, he has not adopted any other upuya (for mokshal and has no other interests or object. (Their aim is also) to show that, as he is destitute of other $u p \bar{a} y a s$, the Lord Himself stands in the place of those upwyas and rewards him with the desired fruit.

Those who have not studied Vedanta might ask (in this connection: "Both these adhikaris (the bhakta and the prapauna) are devoted solely and exclusively to the Lord as stated (in the sclokas):—"Wise men do¹⁶ not worship Brahma, Rudra and other gods, for the reward that can be given by them is limited," "They

^{16.} Mahabharata: Santi Parva: 350-36

are "my devotees who have no devotion to other deities, who love those that are devoted to me and who seek me alone as their upüya" and "He "who has attained the lotus feet of Vishnu should not worship other deities." If these two adhikārīs should perform the rites and observances of their castes and disramas as stated (in Alavandar's slokas) (vis., all the karmas pertaining to them and also bhakti), would not their supreme and exclusive devotion to the Lord be adversely affected in as much as these rites or dharmas are mixed up with (the worship of) such gods as Agni and Indra ?" Here, as explained in the Brahma Sutras (1-2-29), names like Agni may be considered, on the authority of Jaimini, as directly referring to Brahman or Bhagavan for Agui and other such words may be etymologically derived as agram nayati. (He who receives the best part of the offering for Hunself) etc. There is no worship or contact with other deities, because they are like the sacred names found in Sahasranäma (etymologically applicable to Bhagavan). The author of Sri Bhashya has stated in his Nitya:- " Having pleased the gods, the rishis and the Manes with oblations and with the thought that they have Bhagavan as their inner self" etc. So it is perfectly in accordance with the sustras to perform these respective rites meditating that the Supreme Being has the respective deities as His body In "Pratardana vidya and the like we are taught in the sustras that the Supreme Being may be meditated on (or worshipped) in three forms: $_{i}$ one) in His own divine and essential nature, (two), the Supreme Being as having sentient beings as His attribute (or body), and (three) the Supreme Being as having non-sentient things as His attribute (or body). Though He is worshipped in these three ways, the worship is really to the Supreme Being and not to His attributes (or bodies) (namely - the sentient and non-sentient beings). In the same way here also, the bhakta or prapanna does not adore the gods, the rishis and the Manes, who are really attributes or bodies of the Supreme Being.

J7. Mahabharata: Aswamedhikaparva:18. :?104-9119. Brahma Sutras 1-1-32.

As stated in the following silokas :- "In all^{to} yajnas, it is i that am worshipped and it is also I myself that rewards (those who perform them) with the fruits thereof," " It is Thous alone that assumest the forms of the Pitris and the gods and receivest the offerings (havis) (made to the gods) and the offerings (havya) made to the Manes in syriiddhas" and "Those who²⁴ propitiste the Pitris, the gods, the Brahmins and Agni-they propitiate only Vishnu who is the inner self of all things "--as stated in these slokas, it is only the Supreme Being, who is the inner self of all, that is the object of adoration to the wise man. Therefore to the man who performs kainkarya or service according to the süstras with this knowledge, there is no association with other upayas; there is no association for him with other objects or purposes in acquiring the necessary substances for worship and in eating the food offered (to these gods). Similarly there is no association for him with other deities when, obeying the injunctions (contained in the sastras, he worships the Supremo Being having, for His attributes, sentient beings and non-sentient things. It has been said, "He who" worships as the Supreme Being any deity other than Narayana who dwells in his heart, who controls him and is his Lord and Master-he is a sinner," "He who considers" Narayana as being (only) equal to other deities — he will stay in hell as long as the sun and the moon last", and "One should not²⁷ go near the places where Buddha, Rudra and the like are worshould and so also near the cremation ground, near a corpse, near a forest and near the capital city of a kingdom." As stated (in these slokas), it would be a sin for a paramaikantin if other deities are looked upon by him as the Supreme Being, if they are considered equal (to Narayana) and if he goes to places where other deities are worshipped, when these deities have nothing to do with his nitya and naimittika rites and observances. Since these deities stand in the position of bodies to the Supreme Being who is

20. Bhagavad Gita: 9 - 24,23. Prajapatyasmriti,21. Vishnupurana: 1 - 19 73,24. ?22. Mahabherata: Santi parva: 355 - 41,25. Sandilya Smriti: 4 - 191.

the inner self of all and who is the object of adoration in these rites and observances (*nitya* and *naimittika*), there is no thought in the ruind of the man (with supreme and exclusive devotion to the Lord) of these deities being the Supreme Self, of their being equal to Bhagavan, of their being independent of the Lord, of their being objects of adoration or of their being the dispensers of the fruits of actions. Therefore in the same way as by *Prana*, *Vaisvänara*, and the three worlds which are the attributes of the Supreme Being in the *Vidyās* or meditations called *Vaisvänara vidya* and the like, he is not affected, so also his exclusive devotion to the Lord is not (io the least) affected by such attributes as Agni (which are associated with these rites and observances, *nitya* and *naimittika*).

While proceeding to state the adoration of Bhagavan to be performed by the prapanna in the day and the night, Vangipuram Nambi has described the *dharmas* of the castes and the asramas with their respective mantras (in the following passages) : -- " (The prapanna) should spend his time by performing duly all the rites ordained for the time of the sandhyā, after sipping the water (achamana) with the prescribed mantras; after this he should perform the homa to the sacrificial fires during the Agoibotra rites with the prescribed mantras and with samit (fuel), ghee and other such substances. He should do every karma at the time prescribed for them in the sastras". Then he says: "He should "perform, without being lazy, all the rites from the bath to Brahma yajna prescribed in the Vedas for his instrama as fit to be done at noon ". He continues : "Then he should perform the prescribed Yajna to the gods and to the pitris (Manes), after which he should eat the food offered to Bhagavan (which act is called anuyaga)". Bhattar and Koorathalvan, too, in their respective nityas, have stated as follows :- "With the vertical ^{se}mark ($\bar{U}rdwapundra$) on his forehead, (he) should perform the rites ordained in the srutis, and

26. Vangipuram Nambi : Nitya : 77 - 84-

4<u>9</u>Ω

Vangipuram Nambi : Nitya: 90,
 Bhattar : Nityam

smiritis as far as it lies in his power, with the thought that they form the adoration of the Supreme Being and then offer oblations of water (tarpanam)". Peris Jeer (Nanjeer) begins by performing obeisance with the words; "I seek the feet of Parasara Bhuttarya". By this he reminds us of the special tradition concerning the homage to be paid to gurus and the like. After this, he says "I will now describe the manner in which service should be rendered to the lotus feet of Bhagavan every day by men with exclusive devotion to him (Ekantins)". He then says "(The Ekäntin) should sprinkle water on himself by uttering the mantra beginning with Apohi and then sip water (achamana) with the utterance of the *mantras* which denote Bhagavan; after this he should offer arghya to the Supreme Being who is in the sphere of the sun and then perform japa, meditating on Bhagavan with the mantra of Gayatri. After the japa, he should offer praise to Purushottama. Oblations of water (tarpana) should then be made to the gods, the rishis and the pitris (Manes), who have Narayana as their inner self." We see also that, in all families which follow the tradition of the anthor of Sri Bhashya, until the present day, weddings and upanayanas (initiation with the sacred thread) have been performed in accordance with their respective (Grihya) satras, (Apastamba and the like) with the respective mantras denoting the respective deities. It is also well-known to all that the great *itchitryas* like Peris Nambi performed yajnas (sacrifices) and the like in accordance with their respective staras. Therefore io the case of those who follow the teaching of the author of Sri Bhäshya, his disciples and their disciples, it is not proper to perform any rites (like those of weddings) with the mantras in certain samhitäs* following the doctrines of the Agamas (Pancharätra) which are prescribed specially (to those who follow them).

(* NOTE: Satvatam: Padmam: Jayakhyam, etc. these are the againg samhitas.)

We have already shown in Sri Püncharātrarakshii (The Defence of Pancharatra) that the Pancharatra is of four kinds or

systems (Agama Siddhänta, Mantra Siddhanta, Tantra Siddhanta and Tantrantara Siddhanta) in accordance with the competency and the like (ability) of the person concerned, that the principle holds good that what is not stated in any one of them should be taken from the others, provided there is no conflict or opposition and that, in all the four kinds or systems of Pancharätra, the direct means or $up\bar{a}ya$ of attaining moksha is set forth and that by every one of them, there is the attainment of moksha, just in the same way as to all the four avramas there are Brahma Vidyüs (bhakti and prapatti) and that to every one of them there is the possibility of attaining moksha. It has also been stated there, at considerable length, that if a person were to perform all karmas or rites with any mantras of his own liking, ignoring what is enjoined in those scistras as the proper thing for each kind or system, he should perform explatory rites (prayaschitta) and the like. Therefore until the time of attaining mukti, there is no authority for rendering service or kainkarya (to the Lord) in any manner other than what is ordained in the $s\bar{a}stras$ as appropriate for each adhikārī.

Hence since even the prapama is subject to the authority of the stastras and should perform only such service as is permitted or enjoined by them, the following (heretical) views which are opposed to the scriptures and the traditional observances (of the good) supported by right reason or logic are not to be accepted by those who desire to stand in satteam. (views such as the following):-(1) Both those commands that are enjoined as positive rites or actions and those that forbid certain activities should be violated; (2) Both those activities and rites that are enjoined and those that are forbidden should be given up. (3) The rites and observances of the varyas and asramas are dependent only on the bodies (of Brahmins and the like) and may therefore be given up by the person who has attained a true knowledge of the essential nature of his self (which is different from the body). (4) They may be performed or may not be performed (5) Even if they are given up, no harm will result except the loss of the Lord's pleasure

(6) The only harm that would result in their being given up is the ill-will of society and other such (erroneous) views.

It is true that, as for those in the \overline{a} srama of the Sannyasin, to whom certain old rites and observances (of the grihasthisrama) are forbidden and certain new rites and observances enjoined. (so also) for those who are Bhagavatas, as a consequence of their being such, certain things are forbidden and certain new things are enjoined. But no karma or rite which has been ordained as compulsory of performance should (on any account) be given up. For it has been stated :- "The man "who does not perform the rites pertaining to the sandhy \bar{a} is always impure and is unfit for the performance of any (other) rite. Whatever other rite or karina he may perform, he will not obtain the fruit thereof". As in the differences in the manner of performing the sandhya meditation or worship that are found in the sutras and the different Dharma Süstrus (Manu, Apastamba and the like) those differences that are found in the different samhitäs of the Lord's Sästra (Püncharatra) and also such differences in the sampling meditation as are stated in the Itihasas and the Puranas - these differences should be observed respectively by those who follow these respective stastras. Conduct like the following which is enjoined in the sustras and the Ithihasas, namely, "At the time of the "parvas, one should pray to the deities for protection" and "One should bow in front of places where the gods are worshipped -- (conduct like thus) is restricted in the case of the man who is supremely and exclusively devoted to Bhagavan (Paramaikäntin) owing to the authority of the science (i,e) the only deity or God that he should pey homage to is Bhagavan). Therefore no suistra is violated.

Such injunctions as the following :— "Therefore^{s1} the mantra called Ashtäkshara should be repeated at the time of the sandhyā, by pure-minded devotees of mine always in order to purify their

- 29. Dakshasmriti
- 30. Manusmriti : 4-153

solves", and "uttering the Dvaya always in this manner with its meaning at heart" in the gadya — these instructions should be carried out without any conflict with the performance of the rites (nitya and naimittika) which are compulsory of performance and at such times as are left over after their performance. Narada and others have also stated :- " The japa (namely, the repetition of Ashtākshara) should be performed at such times as do not conflict with the performance of rites ordsined in the scrutis and the smritis." Vangipuram Nambi, too, has said : -" Having spent your time in performing the homa in rites like Agnihotra etc " Even in his last days, the author of Sri Bhüshya stood up with great difficulty and offered the anjali of water at the time of sandhya. Therefore giving up the rites of the varyas and the asramas on the pretext that they are dependent on the uplidhi of the body is opposed to such things as the traditional observances of the ancients. If this pretext were sound, since even such special forms of service (in temples) as the weaving of garlands and the lighting of lamps cannot be performed without the upäidhi (or conditioning factor) of the body, the senses and the like-even these would have to be given up (by the man who has realised his essential nature (svarupa). If the performance of such services is desired, the external purity (ächiira) and the purificatory vites (samskäras) which qualify (a person) for such service, cannot be given up.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:-

Observing the restrictions concerning food, residence* (if the reading is graha) or receiving from the good $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$ the mantras prescribed for the person, †money, caste and the like, one should render service to the Lord of Lakshmi in accordance with one's ability and with no other aim or purpose.

NOTE*:--One should live near Bhagavatas:

+Money should not be received as a gift from the unworthy.

32. Sri Ramanuja : Gadya /

Just in the same way as a chaste wife looks after her auspicious thread, clothes and the like with the greatest care, the pra*panna* should preserve the path and manner prescribed in the *süstras* for the service of the Lord,

The husband takes proper care of his wife either with punishment or with favours, respectively, when she loses her thread and the like or guards them with care. So also will the Lord (his devotee for giving up or performing the enjoined rites).

To reflect on such things as the caste of devotees merely for the purpose of treating them with disregard will bring ruin; but if it is done only for understanding the restrictions enjoined in the suistras, there is no harm in it anywhere.

That is the reason why, in the scästras, Dharmavyadha Tuladhara, Sabari, Vidura and others are described only by their respective castes.

Their conduct, too, as described in the Itihasas, was only in accordance with their castes; if there was* any exceptional circumstances (in their lives against the rules of their caste), it was due to the exceptional instructions or regulations. The *dharmas* or rites prescribed for a certain place, a certain period of time, a certain *adhikari* and the like should not be adopted at a different place and a different time or by another *adhikari*.

Some (people) who cannot understand the purport of certain episodes (in the Itihasas and Puranas) increase the *lita rasa* which lihagavan enjoys in Kaliyuga. "Daughters-in-law should be treated with regard and properly adorned by their mothers-in-law, their fathers-in-law, their husbands and so also by their brothersin-law who desire to have varied prosperity. Those houses which are cursed by daughters in-law on account of their ill treatment will fall into ruin as if pulled down by evil spirits". In statements

(*NOTE: Vidura was cremated according to Brahma Medha rites because an aerial voice ordered it.)

like the above, the injunction about treating (the daughter-in-law) with regard has, on account of propriety, to be determined with proper restrictions in each case (the mother-in-law's regard is shown differently from that of the husband or the brother-in-law and so on). So also injunctions regarding the respect to be shown to devotees among *mlecchas* and the like have to be understood with proper reservations and restrictions.

These reservations and restrictions are established also by the practice of successive generations of men accepted on all hands as wise and virtuous. Therefore the performance of the Lord's service along with the observance of the regulations enjoined for the respective castes and $\bar{a}sramas$ is not opposed to the state of supreme and exclusive devotion to the Lord (*parmaikāntitva*).

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :-

496

Even the service rendered directly to the Lord of Lakshmi is surely divided by good men into two classes :-- valuable and wortbless.

That (service) is declared to be valuable service which is rendered by the man who has done what he ought to do (*i* e. the *prapanna*) with no other aim and for no other purpose (*i*. e. for its own sake) or for the protection of such persons as gurus.

That (service) is declared to be of no value which is rendered for ostentation (or vanity), for injuring others, for preventing others from rendering service or for the sake of some gain.

The Lord of all receives, with His feet, the service rendered by those have no supreme and exclusive devotion to Him and that done by those who have supreme and exclusive devotion to Him, with His head. This idea is expressed by Bhagavan Veda Vyasa in the following (passages):—"That which" is offered to the gods and the *Pitris* (Manes) in accordance with the prescribed rules

33. Mahabharata: Santi Parva: 358-63, 64.

reaches the *feet* of the God of gods. The rites performed by wise men who have supreme and exclusive devotion to Bhagavan - these Bhagavan receives with His *head* ".

The rites, *nitya* and *naimittika*, which are performed in this way as commandments of the Lord $(\bar{u}jn\bar{u})$ and those valuable services which are rendered by a man for the pleasure of the Lord as those approved by Him $(anuju\bar{u})$ — these have no connection with *prapatti* and this is declared by the injunction contained in the word *parityajya* (having given up) (in the charama sloka).

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

1. Therefore one should not perform, for the sake of *prapatti*, those things of which one is capable, nor should one acquire, for the sake of *prapatti*, the ability or skill useded to accomplish what one is incapable of.

According to this interpretation (of the word parityajya in the Charama sloka), äkinchanya or being destitute of upayas which confers competence (adhikāra) for prapatti is suggested by the sentence which states "Do not grieve". If parityajya, on the other hand, is considered as an anuväda or expression of what has already occurred (namely, the person being incapable of any upaya), then, the word eka (me alone) indicates that among those rites which arise from the Lord's command and those which are approved of by him, there is no connection between such of them as are performed as being within the range of a man's ability and prapatti. In this interpretation, the sentence ma suchah (Do not grieve) makes clear the qualification (adhikāra) needed for prapatti which has already been expressed (in parityajya) and indicates also the manner in which the propauna should conduct himself after the performance of prapatti (i. e.) being free from all care or anxiety.

There are some other ways of interpreting the word (*pari-tyjya*) without treating the injunction regarding 'giving up' as having no authority :---

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :--

2. What is asked to be given up may be stated to be the prevention of the vain effort to perform what one is not capable of or the giving up of such vain effort along with the shame or remorse due to one's inability (to do it in full).

3[•] The word tyüga contained in *parityajya* may also be considered as preventing the continuance of the trivial desire to perform (these rites) like a drowning man catching at a reed or a blade of grass.

4. In the Brahma Sītras, it is declared that any one of the vidyās (thirty two vidyās or forms of meditation described in the Upanishads) may be adopted at one's option, as all of them yield the same fruit. By drawing our attention to it, the injunction for $1y\bar{a}ga$ contained in parityajya may also be to suggest that prapatti is like the Brahma missile, which proves ineffective if any other $up\bar{u}ya$ is also adopted:

(To sum up :---) The forms of injunction may be such as follows :---

1. To the man who proceeds to adopt what he is not capable of, it says " Don't ".

2. To the man who is firs ly determined to do what is not in his power, it says, " Give up this determination ".

3. If a man were to adopt one of the *apayas* (other than *prapatti*) which are left open to the option (of the aspirant to *mukti*), it says to him that, by the principle of the Brahma missile, it would conflict with the effectiveness of *prapatti*.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :---

Therefore the meanings of the words sarva dharman parityajya (giving up all dharmas) which are approved of by the wise are as follows :---

- incompetence to do those things that are not within one's power gives competence for prapatti; and
- (2) being destitute of all other upäyas is a qualification for prapatti;
- (3) the dharmas or rites being no accessory or anga to propatti;
- (4) the prevention of effort in trying to do what is not possible for one;
- (5) the giving up of the desire to do what is beyond one's ability;
- (6) the indication of the principle of the Brahma missile.

The interpretation (which is given by some) that what is asked to be given up is the *dharmas* or rites associated with other deities (than Bhagavan) is not objectionable, but as this applies to both the man who adopts *bhakti* or *upāsana* and the man who adopts *prupatti*, this interpretation is inappropriate here (in the context specially relating to the *prupanna*).

"The giving "up of upwyrs" stated in such passages as the following :— One should give up the upwyrs and also the apwyrs (those activities that are forbidden)" should also be interpreted in the same way-

(TAMIL VERSE EMBODYING THESE IDEAS ;)

"Do not endeavour to do what is beyond your power though you have begun the attempt; at the outset, it is the part of wiedom to give up the desire to do what lies beyond your ability; the path

34. Lakshmi Tantra.

of *prapatti* does not require any aids; if you desire other aids for it, it will lose its potency like the Brbama missile; you are not among those who have the full knowledge required to follow the path of *bhakti* or *upāsana*, which takes a long time to bear fruit; hence if you seek my feet as your refuge reflecting on your being destitute of other *upāyas*, I will pardon all your sins ": Let us extol the great and good qualities of the holy Sri Krishna who spoke these words.

THE MEANING OF THE WORDS MAM AND AHAM IN THE CHARAMA SLOKA:--

As stated in the context³⁴ in the Gitä, which describes the secret of avatāra or incarnation and that in which Sri Krishna³⁶ declares Himself as (Purushottama), the primary aim of the words $m\bar{a}m$ (me) and aham (I) (in the charama stoka) is to disclose (Bhagavan's) easy accessibility and His absolute independence (and omnipotence) respectively.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:---

500

He who knows the secret of incarnation to consist in its being real, in the incarnation continuing in its real nature (as Bhagavan) though incarnating in lower forms, in its being constituted of the (transcendental) substance called *suddhasatvam* in its being caused only by Bhagavan's own will or desire (and not by *karma*); in its occurrence at a time when there is decline of *dharma* and in its heing occasioned for the purpose of protecting the good — (he who knows all this) will never be born again.

This knowledge of the secret of incarnation is required for the bhakta, who has prapatti as an anga, to complete and perfect his updya. To the man who has adopted prapatti as the direct and independent means, it reveals the easy accessibility of the omnipotent and independent Lord. The accessibility and omnipotence

35. Bhagavad Gita: Chap. IV. 36. Bhagavad Gita: Chap. XV.

which are declared in these two contexts are complementary to each other.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :-

Even if a person is independent and omnipotent, if he is not accessible, no one will seek him (for protection). Even if a person is sought (for protection) on account of his easy accessibility, he cannot award the desired fruit if he is dependent on others.

Towards one who is not independent, there is no possibility of rendering service, as the person rendering service, might think of acting as he pleases; and towards one who is not easily accessible, service might be possible though difficult, but as is seen in the world, it does not confer happiness or pleasure (on the person rendering service).

The Saviour who is both accessible and supreme is not only merely accessible like a blade of grass but exalted (in status and nature). Though exalted and supreme, he is not inaccessible like Mount Meru, but easily accessible. Therefore He is both capable of being sought (for protection) and capable of being attained. In these two words $m\bar{u}m$ and aham, the cagerness with which the universal Saviour and Seshī is awaiting an opportunity for protecting (the *jiva*) is disclosed.

Iswara who thus waits for an occasion or opportunity as stated in the passage :— "The Lord" expects a prayer for protection," is ever favourably inclined saying, "When will these (*jivus*) pray for my help?" This attitude of His is suggested by the word $m\bar{a}m$ (me) The word aham (I) shows His attitude of readiness to confer. for His owo glory, the desired fruit as quickly as possible saying, "When shall I take back (and wear) (these *jivus*) like jewels from which the dirt (*prakriti*) has been removed."

Thus the charama stoka indicates by the words mām and ekam (me alone) the Siddhopāya who does not require anything

37. Lakshmi Tantram.

else than the surrender of responsibility (bharauyāsa) with the prayer for protection performed according to the injunction. And by the words scaranam vraja (seek as refuge or $up\bar{a}ya$) it shows, in the form of an injunction (vidhi) Sādhyopäya (namely, prapatti) which is the means of winning His grace. Since the vidya called prapatti, which is here enjoined, is ordained as a means of propitiating the Saviour, it becomes an upaya and resembles in that respect bhakti yoga. Iswara who is propitiated thereby is the direct means or upaya for moksha. What has to be understood as a distinguishing feature of this vidyä (prapatti) is its being an upaya which does not require (any aid or accessory). All the attributes becessory for this purpose are also signitied in the words mām and cham (me alone).

By the word $m\bar{u}m$ (me) which denotes the Saviour whose nature is indicated in such stokas as the following :- "This Narayana ^{se} who has the ocean of milk as His abode has now come to the city of Madhura leaving His bed of Adhisesha" - by this word (mam) are disclosed His being the Universal Saviour. His being the universal Seshi, His being the Lord of Sri, His being Naravana (the resting place of Naras) which are revealed in Tirumantra and Doaya, and so also the qualities which can be inferred from them, namely, omniscience, omnipotence and the like, and, so also, such qualities as His being supremely compassionate, His good nature (sausilya) and His love which are all the marks of supremacy and accessibility. (The word main also discloses), like the word having the dual termination, viz, charattan (the two feet) in Douya, the divinely anspicious form of Parthasarathi which suggests both His being the Supreme Being and His easy accessibility and which is our auspicious refuge. Here these four qualities of His, namely, His love, His being the Master, His good nature (sausilya) and His accessibility which are stated in such passages as the following :- " O Thou that hast incomparable attributes. Thou that ownest the three worlds. Thou that art my

38. Hariyamsam: 113-62

Saviour! Thou that dwellest on Mount Venkstam, which is eagerly longed for by hosts of incomparable gods and rishis !" -these four qualities are revealed when Sri Krishna did not treat Arjuna's misplaced compassion and affection at the beginning with disregard, when He Himself declared : " There is no³⁹ one higher than I ", when He served as charioteer to Arjuna who addressed Him as "O, Krishna O Yadava" and said "Drive my chariot and station it between the two armies" and when, at Arjuna's request, He revealed to Him His universal form immanent in all things and when later, as soon as he prayed to see His former delightful form as Sri Krishna, He stood before him once again as his charioteer. Among the aforesaid qualities of the Saviour, the most important attributes that are essential for the protection of those who seek Him have been briefly set forth in the Bhagavat Sastra : " Though Bhagavan¹⁰ who keeps all under His control is both omniscient and compassionate, He expects a praver for protection, since He has to conduct the course of the world of samsara" and in this stoke of the wise achierya, "In the face" of Thy omniscience, omnipotence and compassion, my sins cannot bear fruit." Among these three qualities, knowledge and might are required in common for conferring punishment and favour. The Lord's being the Master serves the purpose both of His deriving the delight of Lilä from man in samsdra and His deriving enjoyment or bhoga in Vaikunta from the soul that has obtained mukti. So this attribute of Lordship cannot restrain the Lord's juana or knowledge and sakti or might so as to operate only in the work of protection. Compassion and its varieties (literally branches) good nature, love and the like are solely responsible for the conferment of favours. The ancients who appreciated this distinguishing feature of mercy wrote as follows :-- "O Thou "that art the foord of Brahma, the god of the gods! I have not the ability to adore 'I hee or to praise Thee. (Therefore) with com-

- 39. Bhagavad Gita: 7-7 41. Atimanushastava: 61.
- 40. Lakshmi Tantra : 17-78.
- 42. Vishno puranam; 5-7-70

passion alone in Thy heart, bestow Thy grace on me." "I relied" on Thy compassion alone for protection." "I will "not believe that I could be saved by anything other than Thy compassion," "I look to" Thy compassion alone for salvation," "O Thou that, "in spite of having the glorious Lakshmi, art so full of compassion as to come and abide permanently in my heart". It is impossible" to protect me except with Thy holy compassion," "I will aweep" Thy temple (and render such other service) being the recipient of Thy compassion and the compassion of Thy consort who dwells in the lotus," "Showing" Thy mercy with the exclamation 'Ha! Hall". " Show me "only Thy compassion so that I, Thy servant, may realise that I have nothing else to attain than Thee and that I have no other $up \bar{a}ya$ (than Thee) and so that I may find delight only in rendering service to Thee." "O Lord of Hastigiri⁵¹! Those that are devoted to Thee by their qualities and actions with the knowledge of Thee - it is Thy compassion which has made them devoted to thee. I consider that only that compassion is a source of strength to me who am destitute of $up\bar{a}yas$." In these ways, these ancients looked upon (the Lord's) compassion as their refuge and considered the relationship of the resht to the sesha and other qualities (of the Lord) as the obedient servauts of compassion and became solely dependent on the Lord's mercy. Sita devi also said, in spite of the existence of other qualities:---" Rama³², the descendant of Kakustha, extended his protection to him (Kakasura) out of compassion, though he deserved the punishment of being killed".

Since Sri Ramanuja has also written in his $gadya^{so}$ "At *the time of the death of the body, you will, by my grace alone, become

- 43. Perialvar Tirumozhi: 5-4-1
- 44. Peria Tirumozhi 11-8-8
- 45. Perumal Tirumozhi : 5-4
- 46. Peria Tirumozhi: 9-5-10
- 47. Tiruvirutham 62
- 48. Tiruvoimozhi 9-2-1

- 49. Tiruvoimozhi : 5-1-9
- 50. Alavandar Stotram 48
- 5]. Varadarajastavam 94,
- 52, Ramayana: Sundarakanda: 38-33.
- 53. Saranagati gadya.

(*NOTE: Bhagavan's words to Sri Ramanuja).

wise and have a vision of me etc.," the primary importance of (the Lord's) compassion is indicated. Facing (Arjana) with this primary quality so essential for protection and other attributes which are ancillary to it, Sri Krishna referred to Himself as $m\bar{a}m$ (me).

THE MEANING OF EKAM IN THE CHARAMA SLOKA:

(1) While the singular form $m\bar{a}m$ (me) itself indicates the idea of 'alone' (*ekam*), the further use of the word *ekam* is, according to some, to disclose that He who is the object of attainment is Himself the means or $up\bar{a}ya$ for that attainment like the (celestial) *katpaka* tree giving itself (to those that ask). Thus (these commentators) suggest that the use of *eka* after *mim* is to suggest the identity of the object of attainment and the means of attaining it. This interpretation finds support in the sentence $m\bar{a}m$ (only) is already present, it is but proper to seek some other purpose for the existence or use of the word *ekam* in addition to *only*. (This purpose is the declaration of the oneness of the means and the end).

(2) As against this, others say that the word *ekain* which has the following meanings: 'chief', 'something other than', and 'there is no other than this', is, in this sentence, a mere synonym of 'no other' and means 'only' or 'alone' as in the *stokas*, "Those who ³⁶ seek me alone (and no other) as their refuge will surmount the insurmountable $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ " and "Seek the protection of Him alone (and no other)". In this way they mention that by emphasising the follness of the qualities of the Saviour, one is made to understand that one cannot adopt an $up\bar{u}ya$ by one's own independence. If it be asked how, the answer is as follows:—"As

^{54.} Bhagavatam : 11 - 12 - 14.

^{55.} Bhagavad Gita: 7-14

the man adopts, for his own protection, the means ordnined for Him by the stastras for propitiating (the Lord), it is just possible that he might think of himself also as doing something for his protection on an equal footing with the Saviour who is the Siddhopilya. Taking into account this possibility, the word eka denies that there is any upaya other than the Lord to make the upayas two. If it be asked how this denial is made, the answer is as follows : It is true that the *jiva* is the doer according to the *pramänas*, for it is stated : - "He is the doer : otherwise the sästras could have no meaning or purpose". Still this doership of the jiva is dependent on the Supreme Being and is very limited (in its scope) besides being subject to hindrances. Therefore though he adopts the upuya, it is only on account of the Lord's grace as stated in the stoka :- "Without Thy" compassion, O Varada, even the prayer that Thou shouldst be my updya would not arise from me." When the Lord withholds His help and stimulation, the five cannot even stretch out his limbs or bend them. Therefore he practises the upaya revealed by the Lord, with the help of the body and the senses given by Him and with His help and looks up to Him like the chātaka bird for the desired fruit to be given by Him. So it is improper for the intelligent aspirant to mukti to consider himself, as another siddhopāya equal to the Lord, who is absolutely independent of others and whose activities extend everywhere and can meet with no hindrances or obstacles. This is, according to these commentators, the purport of the word eka. (only He and no other). (3) Following this line of reasoning, they say also that the word eka (one) is used to prevent the consideration of prapatti which is sädhyopäya as being equal to Siddhopäya. If it be asked how, the answer is as follows :--- Although like bhakti. yoga, prapatti too, has been enjoined as an endeavour to propitiate (the Lord), yet its purpose is merely to allay the displeasure of the Supreme Ruler who has innate compassion and other such qualities. For the direct attainment of the desired fruit, it is only

56. Bhagavad Gita: 18-62

506

58. Varadarajastavam: 88

57. Brahma Sutras: 2-3-33

the will of the Lord who has, by His very nature, the ability and the compassion (necessary for protecting the $j\bar{i}va$) — it is only His will that is the cause. He accepts the responsibility for protecting the prapanna who is absolutely destitue of upavas and Himself stands in the place of such more difficult upayas as bhaktiyoga. He expects only the $vy\overline{a}ja$ (pretext or gesture) of prapatti accompanied by such things as the will to do what is pleasing This nyāsa vidyā does not require any ancillary rite or to Him. accessory and has this as its distinguishing feature. Therefore in this vidya, He is the only upaya to be prayed for as stated in the following passages: "Saranägati" consists in the thought "I pray that Thou alone shouldst be my apaya"; "I made Him the "subject of the thought that the Lord should be my $\mu p \bar{a} y a$. Even this became possible only by virtue of His compassion." "O Lord" that mad'st me consent and placed me beneath Thy feet!" As stated in these passages, to place the mere vyāja or pretext vis. propatti, which too was inspired only by Him acting as the upgivato place it on an equal footing with Him is not proper. This is the purport of the word eka (only \cdot no other).

Taking into consideration the fact that $s\bar{a}dhyop\bar{a}ya$ is a mere pretext or gesture $(vy\bar{a}ja)$ in relation to $Siddhop\bar{a}ya$ and is without any importance, some (writers) exaggerated its insignificance by stating that *prapatti* consists merely in (1) the knowledge of the relation of the *sesha* to the *seshī* (2) the mere knowledge that the Lord is the Siddhopaya, (3) nonresistance (to His grace) (4) mere acceptance or receptivity (5) the attitude of being different from *acit* or non-sentient matter (6) the activity of the sentient being and (7) serenity of mind (8) an attribute of the *adhikārī*. From these (exaggerated statements) it is not proper to conclude that any of them is the real meaning of *prapatti*, for the purport (of these exaggerations) is quite different. All these interpretations are opposed to the positive injunction contained in *saraŋam vraja*

(seek me as Thy Saviour). They would also lead to too wide an application (atiprasanga). We will explain how :-- (1) & (2) If praptti were to consist only in the knowledge of the relationship of the sesha to the seshin or in the knowledge that the Lord is Siddhopüya, then since this knowledge would of itself arise from the sentence or text (stating it), there would be no need to state it in the form of an injunction (ordaining 'know this '). If it be said that moksha might result from more knowledge and without doing the thing enjoined in a vidhi or injunction, it would, as explained in Sri Bhüshya and elsewhere (Vedärthasangraha), he opposed to (the spirit of) the injunctions directing one to upasanas; if it he held that the man who has a knowledge of the truth should thereby acquire a different kind of knowledge called prapatti, then the essential nature (svariipa) of this knowledge should be understood in accordance with the texts or passages ordnining the dharma (suranam vraja), those that describe or define its characteristics (that it can yield fruits not attainable otherwise etc.) and those that prescribe the manper of adopting it (anushtana) (the utterance of the Doaya and the like). If the statement that prapatti consists only in the acceptance of Siddhopitya were true, it would mean that the man should first know Siddhobdya and then perform the action called 'surrender of responsibility' (bharanyaisa) for which he is competent and that this surrender should be preceded by the prayer enjoined in the villais or injunctions, (3) If prapatti were to consist in mere non-resistance (to the Lord's grace), it would mean (a) that it was possible for him to resist Iswara owing to superior strength. This would maply that Iswara is not omnipotent or (b) If resistance means resist. ing the Lord's grace by committing an offence and incurring punishment, it would follow that praparti is only a means of propitiation (c) If resistance means resisting by means of one's own activity to protect oneself, then since there is no such resistance of the nature of activity in such states as pralaya and sushapti (dreamless sleep) and so also in the waking stake when the man is capable of action but does not act. Iswara would have to

award moksha to him. (4) If prapatti were to consist in mere acceptance, it would then stand on the same footing as ubüsana. There would be no difference between the bhakta and the prapanna. (5) If prapatti were to consist merely in being different from non-sentient things, it would be present even when the man acts against the will of God. This, certainly, could not be the cause of being saved or protected. (6) If prapatti were to consist in the mere activity of the sentient being, not only upasanas but other activities of the sentient being (like eating, breathing, etc.,) would be the cause of salvation. There would then be no difference between prapatti and all these activities. If it be said that prapatti is what happens to a man of its own accord, there would then be no need for instructions (upades:a) concerning it. (7) If it be said that prapatti means screnity of mind without the purpose of obtaining any fruit, then it would vary with different individuals in accordance with their respective tastes, (8) lf prapatti were a mere attribute of the adhikari (and not something to be done), the word *vraja* would be improper, for it lays down something to be done and there is no reference to any fruit or the desire for it (and the like). So it would not be proper to call prabalti an attribute of the adhikari.* If it were possible to condemn (the performance of) prapatti in these ways, although it is enjoined as something to be performed, it would be equally possible to condemn also upasanas and the like in the same manner In that case, the attempt to distinguish prapatti from upasanas and the like (which was the reason for the argument) would fail, If it be said that though this Siddhop $\overline{a}ya$ (Iswara) has accepted the responsibility of protecting one and is bent on granting the (desired) fruit, yet the man should consider that this acceptance is unnecessary, prapatti would then be a case of meditating on what is not true (drishti vidhi) (and it would not lead to moksha).

NOTE*: "He who desires svarga should perform Jyotishtoma". In this sentence, the adhikari is seen to be one who desires a certain fruit, namely svarga. If it means the thought that the acceptance by Iswara, too, is unnecessary, it would be opposed to the idea contained in the words of the Lord saranam vraja (seek me as the Saviour).

(NOTE*-Drishti vidhi eg. "Imagine the mind to be Brahman and meditate on it". This kind of meditation would not lead to moksha.)

Therefore Iswara is the object of propitiation and He is the Siddhopāya and is of great importance. Both bhakti and prapatte are ways of propitiation and are sadhyopäyas which are not so important. (The aspirant to makti) should be firmly established in either of these two, according to his competence.

It has also been stated by some (*äckäryas*) that the word (4) eka is employed to indicate how the Saviour, who has accepted the responsibility, stands alone, requiring nothing else after the performance of propatti, so that there need be no adoption of any of the means (like upasana) prescribed for the attainment of the desired fruit. This may be explained as follows :--- If a person has performed propatti as an anga and then adopts also upasanas and the like as angi, the Saviour becomes the updya for the Iruit desired. In the case of the man who adopts prapatti as a direct and independent means, being destitute of the other updyas and impatient of delay, the same Iswara takes, upon 11is own qualities, the hurden that lies between prapatti and Himself. As stated in the following passages :-- " Those who" are incapable of bhakte yoga and seek Thee as *upiiya* - they, too, pass beyond samsara and reach Thy abode, Vaskunta", and "Thou hast" Thyself given Thy feet as the fruit of my attainment and as the *upitya* for attaining it "- as stated here, the Lord (limself, who is endowed with the qualities essential for it, stands as the Dispenser of the fruit desired, without requiring any ancillary rite or dharma. Therefore what is stated in the charama-sloka comes to this :-- " Bear this in mind and seek Me alone for your salvation ". (5) It is said by some that the word eka is used here for the purpose of making it

62. Brahma puranam.

63. Tiruvoymozhi : 5 - 7 - 10.

MEANING OF BKAM

clear that, when prapatti is performed to Him who is perfect in every way, nothing else is required except such as the will to do what is pleasing to the Lord. Therefore the Lord teaches that one should not introduce, into this, uncillary rites such as are required for the vidyäs, under the impression that they, too, form $up\bar{a}yas$ for propitiating Him. The Lord's purport (in the stloka) is as follows :- " Prapatti does not require any aids such as are performed either because they are my commands or because their performance is approved of by me. When I become gracious owing to the performance of prapatti, I am bent on granting the desired fruit and stand as the one and only surety for this updive which is capable of being performed in an instant. In regard to the fruit of this prapatti, nothing else need be performed. I do not require any such aid in regard to my determination to save Thee ". This amounts to saying that, with the exception of such things as the will to do what is pleasing (to the Lord), no other auxiliary causes should be added on in the name of ancillaries to prapatti.

As stated (in the Saranāgati Gadya "Thou that utterest the Dvaya in some manner or other" and in Varadarāja stava. "By the mere words which state propatti, I long to see Thee—Thee that canst not be attained by bhakti", eveo though a man has not the clear understanding necessary for the full and perfect performance of prapatti, this $up\bar{a}ya$ will be effective even if it be adopted in a less satisfactory manner. Therefore there is certainly no need to seek ancillary rites or dharmas for this $up\bar{a}ya$.

(It is true that) (in the $R\bar{a}m\bar{a}yana$) it is stated :— "Then Rame," the slayer of his enemies, spread kusa grass on the beach, had us arm shining like the body of a serpent for his pillow, folded his hands in *anjali* and lay down facing the east and expecting the arrival of the god of the ocean "and, likewise, in the story of Brahmadatta in the episode of Saptavyadha, "The kiog" was in great danger and sought with devotion Narayana, the chief of the 94. Ramayana: Yuddba Kanda 21-1, 2. 65. Hariyamsa: 27 - (11 - 13).

gods, the Saviour and the Ruler over all, as his up a value with concentration of mind and fasting for six nights. The renowned king saw in his contemplation (dhyāna) the Lord Narayana " But these ancillary rites that are described (in the Råmäyana and Harivamva) are not necessary for prapatti. In these two places, what is of importance is the ritual of sitting with the intention of starving unto death and therefore the ancillary actions described there are proper. The same explanation holds good in the case of namaskāra well performed. (There is no prapatti in all these cases). But in prapatti, no other requisites are needed except such as the will to do what is pleasing to the Lord - such as are stated in the chapters on Prapatti. We do not find any ancillary rites except such requisites, at the time, as the will to do what is pleasing, in the following instances of those who performed prapatti with only their being destitute of all updy as for their sole wealth. (a) Droupadi, (b) Damayanti, (c) Rakshasis, (d) Vibbishana, (e) Kshatrabandhu, (f) Muchukunda, (g) Gajendra, (h) the Pandavas, (i) the gods, (j) Sumukha, (k) Trisanku, (l) Sunaschepa, (m) Kirata, (n) Kaka, (b) Kapota and the like We find that, by the instantaneous performance of mere prapatti without any other requisites, the desired fruit was obtained by each of these that sought protection In the same manner, even in prapatti performed for the sake of moksha, there is nothing to prevent the attainment of the fruit at the desired time. This is the purport also of the words mit suchah (Do not grieve),

If parityajya is interpreted to mean that there is oothing else required for prapatti, this interpretation of the word cka may be considered as confirming or enumbasizing this. (It need not therefore be looked upon as redundant). Or the word cka may be considered to have another meaning, namely, the idea of the upāya

⁽a) to Krishna at the time of the removal of her sari: (b) to the gods at the time of the Swayamvara: (c) Trijata to Sita: (d) to Rama: (e) to Bhagavan after Narada's advice; (f) when the Lord appeared before him; (g) to Bhagavan; (h) to Bhagavan (i) to Bhagavan; (j) to Upendra in dread of garuda; (k) to Visyamitra; (l) to Visyamitra; (m) to the pigeon; (n) to Rama; (o) to Sibi

and the *upeya* being the same. If the word *eka* is considered as stating that *prapatti* does not require anything else, then the word *parityajya* may be considered as having the other meanings stated before.

If it be asked why the word eka should be used as an adjective of the Saviour, when (properly speaking), it should qualify *prapatti* (so that it might mean that *prapatti* alone is necessary without any ancillary *dharmas*), the answer is as follows: The words, *mitm cham* (me alone) are employed to show that, in the case of the man destitute of other *upityas*, these aucillary *dharmas* other than *prapatti* are in no way connected with the Saviour as the means of propitiating Him. (6) It is also stated by some (commentators) that, as in the first half of the *sloka* we find the words *sarva dharmitm* (all *dharmas*) and in the second half the word *sarva päpchhyo* (from all sins), the word *eka* stands here as the counter-part or counter-poise to the world *att*. If this be admitted, then the gist of the *charma sloka* would be:

SANSKRIT SLOKA :-

If a man is in despair because of his inability to adopt such means or $up\bar{a}yas$ as will yield the respective fruits desired by him, then, I myself (single as I am) will stand for him as the respective means or $up\bar{a}ya$ to enable him to secure that fruit."

This statement may be explained as follows:— "Whatever" be the object that a man, whoever he may be, wishes to attain and whatever be the means or $up\bar{a}yas$ by which he cannot attain them and likewise, the attainment of moksha desired by the aspirant, which cannot be attained by karma yoga, juina yoga and bhakti yoga, all these fruits, can. O great sage, be attained by prapatti. The place desired by the aspirant to mukti is that supreme abode from which the man who reaches it never returns". As declared (in the passage cited above), when a man falls into grief because he cannot obtain any one of the fruits desired by him either because

66. Ahirbudhnyasamhita. 37-25

of ignorance of the means prescribed in the $s\bar{a}stras$ for acquiring the respective fruit, or because even while possessing that knowledge, he is unable to adopt the prescribed means, or because, while possessing both (the knowledge and the ability), he is too impatient to wait until the time when the fruit will be attained thereby --(when a man falls into grief in this way) the Charma-sloka declares to him, "You need not go about in search of these means. I will myself be the only and sole upitya for your attainment of all these fruits, provided I am propitiated by the performance of prapatti. The Charma Sloka says, as it were :- " This single and sovereign medicine will give you all the health which a larger number of other medicines may confer on you. It is enough, if, instead of falling into despair, you take this single medicine. This will cure all the ailments that can be cured by other medicines. After taking this medicine, you can onjoy all pleasures. Therefore you need not fall into despair thinking that you have lost your health and the pleasures." This is, as it were, the meaning of the Charama-stoka.

Though the word eka stands as the counter-part of the word sarva (all) and qualifies the Saviour as He is most important, being the Sidhopiiya, yet it is tantamount to stating that prapatti alone is enjoined as the single dharma in the place of all other dharmas, as in the phrase "by $ny\bar{a}sa$ alone" From this it follows that even those who desire the three ends (other than moksha (viz)., (dharma, artha and kāma) may perform prapatti to Bhagavan for securing them. So it is not proper to have any contact with other deities except as they figure as attributes to the Lord in the performance of the nitya and naimittika rites, as stated in such sickas as the following : — "I am "propitisting Him from whom, O Indra, you have obtained your position of lordship. I will not adore you. Here is my anjati to you. You may strike me with your thunderbolt or abstain from doing so. I will never adore any one but Govinda."

Even those who seek other upayas for attaining moksha (like bhakti yoga) may perform prapatti in order to get rid of the hindrances in the way (of those $up\bar{a}yas$), Prapatti is suitable in the case also of those who want to get rid of obstacles to the attainment (of Bhagavan) vis. sins and this is declared here (in the charama-scloka) to show that it is capable of securing any fruit that may be desired (by one). The idea that propatti will destroy the obstacles to the $up\bar{a}yas$ for attainment (namely, sins) is conveyed (by Sri Ramanuja) in his Gitä Bhäshya in his second interpretation (of the scloka). In the Gadva, he has declared that prapatti will help to get rid of the hindrances to the attainment of It does not mean that, in those two places, Sri Bhagayan Rumanuja expresses one of these two ideas in disregard of the other. Both passages illustrate the potency of this upaya (prapatti) which can secure all desired ends. The pranavam (aum) enters into the body of another mantra, viz. namo Nārāvanāya as a part thereof and yet is itself an independent mantra. So also this prapatti forms an anga to bhakti in the case of others. This is evident from the authority of the texts. That bluckti and prapatti stand as alternative updyas determined by the competence of the persons concerned is evident from such passages as the following: "I am capable of being attained O, Thou of great wisdom, either by deep bhakti or by prapatti on the part of those who desire to render service to me. I cannot be attained by any other means ".

(There is a well-known stoka which says): - "A man attains moksha either by right knowledge, or by death in the waters of the Ganga or by namaskara well-performed or by bhakti". In such passages those that are direct means of attaining makti are stated along with others that are not direct but only anxiliary. This is only a way of exaggerating the importance of these indirect means.

CONCLUSION IN REGARD TO THE MEANING OF THE WORD EKA

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :---

Six meanings have (thus) been pointed out by $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$ for the word eka. They are (1) the identity of the object of attainment (Bhagavan) and the means or $up\bar{a}ya$ for that attainment (2) the denial of one's own importance (*i. e.* of the *jiva*'s works); (3) *prapatti* being only a more pretext or gesture $(vy\bar{a}ja)$, (4) the absence of any relation or connection between *prapatti* and other $wp\bar{a}yas$; (5) and likewise, the absence of any relation or connection between *prapatti* and the *angas* or ancillary *dharmas* (*karma yoga*, etc.) of other $up\bar{a}yas$ (like *bhakti*) and (6) *prapatti* as a single means of securing all desired ends without any distinction whatsoever.

THE SAVIOUR IS BHAGAVAN WITH SRI AS HIS ATTRIBUTE:

There are some commentators who state that the meaning of the word eka (a single one) declares that the Saviour is only one (namely, Bhagavan) and that Sci has nothing to do with salvation). But their contention does not stand to reason. Just as qualities and forms qualify the Lord as His attributes, so also Sri is an attribute of His (inseparable from Him). She is 'the ruler over all beings ' and is dear to Bbagavan and is declared in the scutis as sharing in His duties and as ever bent on protecting those that seek her help. Though it is stated (in the Chandogya Upanishad and other Vedic texts) that the material cause of the Universe is only one (sat), yet other things that are requisite as material causes are understood as existing as His attributes (viz. cit and acit in their subtle and unmanifested forms) on the authority of the pramanas. So also in this context, Sri should be understood, although not distinctly stated. Even when a single form of updisana or meditation is enjoined, the qualities that are connected with the object of meditation enter also into it. Similarly though a single deity is enjoined as the Saviour to be sought, Sri,

who is His attribute, should also be considered as being associated with Him (in the act of salvation). The Siddhopaya (namely, Bhagavan) is (of course) single but His qualities remain with Him (though not explicitly stated). In the same way, in the opinion of those who see with the eye of the Sästra, Lokshmi (His sponse) is associated inseparably with Him as an attribute. Light and the object emitting light (are inseparable from each other) and when one is mantioned, the other is also present, by association Likewise when either (Bhagavan or Sri) is mentioned, the other is also present by virtue of their being always with each other. Sages have declared in the smritis that she helps (jivas) to cross the sea of samsura and both of them (Bhagavan and Sri) have themselves spoken to the same effect in such (samhitas) treatises as satuatam. In Duaya it is considered appropriate that kainkarya or service in the state of attainment (mokska) should extend to Sri (as to Bhagavan) So Srī is equally connected with Him in both the states, that of the endeavour for attaining moksha (the first half of Decaya) and that after attainment (the second half of it). Therefore, on the authority of many Vedic texts and Smritis which cannot be stated to have some other purport, the single one who is to be sought as the Saviour is the Lord with His attribute Sr7 who is His consort.

THE MEANING OF THE WORD SARANAM IN THE CHARAMA-SLOKA:

The meanings that have (already) been given to the word scaragam occurring in Dvayam (on p.) should be borne in mind in regard to the word scaragam employed here in the charama-sloka. This prayer for refuge or updya (scaragam) though taught to Arjuna, should be considered applicable to all and this is evident from the *Svetāsvatara Upanishad* and from Sri Bamanuja's words in the (Saragāgati) Gadya: "O Thou that art the Saviour of all beings in the world ignoring the differ-

(*NOTE): VI chapter, 18: Desirous of *makti* I seek refuge of HIM who created Brahma before and taught him the Vedas.

ences that may exist among those that seek Thee". Similarly in such passages as the following :— "Those Brahmins" who know the first part of the Veda and those people who understand the meaning of its latter part declare that the Supreme Being, Sri Krishna, is the ever-existing *dharma* or $up\bar{a}ya$ ", "The great" and eminont Rishis say that Thou art the refuge and the Saviour of those that have snight Thy protection". "He is the "Yoga $(up\bar{a}ya)$ and the one who leads those who know *bhakti yoga* to their goal". and "Bhagavan 71Awhom the wise call the eternal means $(up\bar{a}ya)$ and the goal of attainment" — (in such passages) also, since there is no limitation of meaning, it has to be understood that the Saviour is for all. The only requisite for seeking Him as the Saviour or Refuge is that the person should be destitute of any other refuge or protector.

THE MEANING OF THE WORD VRAJA:

The word vraja also, like the word prapadys (in Dvaya) enjoins self-sourcender with all its auxiliaries. In the word (prapadys in Dvaya), the first person is used, because it is the thought or meditation in the mind of the man who performs prapatti. Here (in the charamasiloka) it is in the second person, since the injunction is addressed to the man (Arjuna) who stands opposite to the Lord (*i.e.*) Sri Krishna) saying :-- "I am²² Thy disciple and (it is only fitting that) Thou shouldst order me to do what is right". Auxiliaries like the will to do what is pleasing to the Lord are also indicated here and may be found suggested in *suitable words as in Dvaya. There is a (well-known) principle which states: "When an action is enjoined in the süstra, a single performance of it satisfies the requirements of the suistra". The

70. Ramayana - Yuddha Kanda 120-18 72, Bhagayad Gita : II-7

71. Sahasranamam (Mahabharata)

NOTE*: The will to do what is pleasing and to avoid what is displeasing in mam; being destitute of all means in parityajya and intense faith, and the prayer for protection in saranam vraja

^{69.} Mahabharata : Aranyaparya 71 - 122. 71A. Vishoutatya : 72-4

upāsana however, is an exception to this general rule because it requires frequent repetition. But *prapatti* is no such exception (and hence a single performance will quite do). This statement is confirmed also by such words as the following: - "To the man who "performs *prapatti* only once and says "I exist for Thee " --to that man I grant freedom from fear from all beings. This is my vow". To the man who performs *bharanyāsa* (the surrender of responsibility) to the generous and omnipotent (Lord), there is no reason for delay in the attainment except his own desire. Therefore in the case of this nyāsa vidyā, the peculiarity is that it arrests even the consequences of past *karma* which have already beguo to operate (*prirabdha karma*).

In this connection some (commentators) ask :— "An action maybe enjoined for performance only by a man who has freedom of will and action. How can an action be enjoined (in the word vraja) for performance by one who has been taught as being absolutely dependent (on the Lord) in the Vedanta and other süstras treating of the self and io such as the moola mantra? This (question) is the result of an inadequate understanding of what is meant by complete dependence on another (the Lord). As determined in Vedanta (Süstras), "He (i. c. the jiva is the doer;" otherwise the süstras which enjoin dharmas would have no purpose or meaning", and "The doership" (of the jiva) is dependent on the Supreme Self, for the siruti says so". Since the jiva is an agent or doer subject to the will of Bhagavan, there is nothing to prevent an injunction asking him to do something.

Just as nonsentient things (acit) like ether and fire bear the attributes respectively of sound and heat created by Iswara, the *jīva'*. absolute dependence on Iswara consists in bearing this burden of free will (and responsibility) (granted to him by Iswara). If it be maintained that he has no responsibility at all as a doer or agent, it would be like the heresies (*siddhānta*) which attribute

73. Ramayana : Yuddha Kanda 18-33 75. Brahma Sutras : 2 - 3 - 40.

doership (respectively) to prakriti and avidya (Samkhya and Advaita). If (on the other hand) it be maintained that the *iiva's* doership is dependent only on himself (and on nobody else), then since it would resemble the heresy which does not admit the existence of one who is in supreme control of all selves, it would be a form of atheism. If it is held that the doership which comes from the will of the Lord is merely the state of being the knower. there would be no such thing as a desire for the ends of life (burushärtha) and the endenvour to attain them. If it be held that apart from the knowledge and the particular form of knowledge called desire, there is no such thing as effort (or month) activity). it would be impossible to adopt any means for securing objects that are tangible or intangible and for rendering service for its own Therefore it has to be admitted that the self has these three enke. (attributes) :- knowledge, desire, and effort (knowing, feeling and willing). Among these (three), desire and effort are only different modifications or states of knowledge and this may be understood from the principle of logical economy "adopted by Sri Ramanuja in his Vedärthasaugraha.

The doership or agentship which consists in being the seat (iisraya) of an action (or the place where an action takes place) is a common attribute of both sentient beings and non sentient things (for the latter also move about and uodergo changes). But the seat (iisraya) of an elfort or endeavour (prayatna) is (an attribute) peculiar to sentient beings. Elfort or endeavour (prayatna) is a form of knowledge or will that is the cause of stimulating one to exercise the body (the senses and the like). When one is the seat of mere knowledge and of mere enjoyment (bhoga), there is only the doership which consists in being the seat of such action as is common to sentient beings and non-sentient things.

(NOTE* Logical Economy :---

(Instead of saying that the fragrance of a flower is known to be or is experienced as agreeable and that this knowledge or experience gives rise to pleasure (or sukha), we may, as well, say that the agreeable is itself pleasure and is therefore a form or state of knowledge.)

When a person is stimulated by his will to act in a particular manner, he becomes the seat of endeavour or effort (prayatna) and is a doer of that particular form. It cannot justly be maintained that this form of doership is found only in rendering kain. karya or service. Even in this case, the man must have adopted an upity a with a view to attaining the end called the love of the Lord. If all this is admitted, it is only the doership that takes the form of an endeavour or effort which would lead to bondage - it is only this form of doership that should be avoided. If it be asked what that form of doership is, the answer is as follows :-- Iswara has given the jiva such qualifies as knowledge and ability and also such things as the senses and the body. He supports the jiva and stimulates him (to action). He enjoys also the fruit of (that) action. When He is thus rendering help (to the *jiva*), if the latter should consider himself as being different from this and if, even when he considers his position in the right spirit, he adopts an upitya for some (worldly) purpose - the endeavour would lead to bondage. Even bhakti and prapatti would certainly lead to bondage in the case of the man who performs them for securing other ends (than moksha). Therefore just like the doership in the state of attain. ment (multi) of the man who longs for rendering service to the Lord by virtue of his very nature and with no other purpose, the doership to the state when he adopts an $up\bar{a}ya$ (for the sake of mukti and service) is not improper. The doership of varied forms of service (to the Lord) in the state of attainment (i. c. mukti) results from the self s own longing (to render service) combined with the varied desires of the Lord (which have no connection with karma). The doership in the state of samsāra is of different kinds corresponding to the conditioning factor (upadhi) in the forms of sativani, rajas and tamas which have been evolved in accordance with the past karma and by the will of Iswara. That doership which results from rajas and tamas and so also from that sativa which is the cause of attachment to other interests (than maksha) - that doership leads to bondage. That doership (on the other hand) which results from the form of

superior sativam which creates a longing for he attainment of Bhagavan — that doership leads to moksha. In the state of mukti, the doership will have no connection at all with any of these three qualities.

Therefore between the texts which state that the self is not a doer and the texts which state that the solf is a doer, there is no conflict, since it is possible to discriminate wherein it has doership and wherein it has no doership. If it be 'asked how this could be understood, the answer is as follows :- The texts which declare that the self is not a doer or agent have, for their purport, the truth that the doership of the self which is dependent on Iswara and on the qualities of prakriti (sattvam, rajas and tamas) should not be considered as independent of other (forces). The texts which declare that the self is a doer or agent have, for their purport, the truth that, of the judina or knowledge and its particular forms called 'desire to perform and effort' which have come to him in accordance with such things as the desire of Iswara. the jiva is himself the sent or *āstraya*. This conclusion has been taught clearly by the Gitächarya Himself in the stokes beginning with the following -- " It is "ascertained that when a man does any action with his body, speech or mind, there are five causes that contribute to that action, viz, the body, the self, the senses, the vital breath and fifthly Divinity or daicam In that context the Divisity that is called the fifth (cause) is Purushotama When Purushotama stimulates the jiva to perform an action. He is called the $k\bar{a}rayita$ or one who causes that action. He is (also) called the karta or doer in regard to those actions like the awarding of the fruit (to the jiva) and the creation of the world. When He does not prevent the sentient being from performing an action, He is said to be indifferent. Since He permits it. He is said to be anumanta (i. e.) one who acquiesces. As Iswara, sometimes, acts like an expert porter who carries a heavy weight to help an apprentice who can carry only a light burden. He is also said to co-operate (with the $j\bar{i}va$) (sahak $\bar{a}r\bar{i}$). In such things as the

^{76,} Bhagavad Gita 18-15

creation (of the world), since differences like gods and men are due to the conditioning factor $(up\bar{u}dhi)$ of their respective past *karma*. Iswara is declared not to be the doer as stated in the *sloka*: "The four³⁷ castes were created by me in accordance with such qualities as *sativam* that are found in *jīvas* and also with their past *karma*. Though I perform the act of creation, I am not the real cause nor am I tainted by it." Thus from Iswars who has the common and general doership of all things without exception, the *jiva* acquires the power to make an effort and act in accordance with his own will. Therefore it is evident that the *jīva* is fit to be directed to do a thing and the word *vraja* may justly enjoin the performance of an action.

Since the *dharma* called *staranāgati* can rightly, be enjoined for performance, just like such things as *bhakti*, the statement of Tirukkurukai Piran Pillan (the foremost of the disciples of Sri Ramanuja) that the good we do for ourselves is like milk that is bought (in the market) and that the good that comes from Iswara is like mother's milk should be understood to mean that we should remember that *bhakti*, *prapatti* and the like result (from the grace of) (fod and that the *jiva* is solely dependent (on Iswara)., (It does not mean that we are not right in performing *bhakti* or *prapatti* and should leave everything to God.)

SANSKRIT SLOKAS:-

He who states that the texts which declare bhakti to be an *upāya* are only for the purpose of creating faith in *prapatti* is undermining faith in every sastra without directly appearing to do so.

Bhakti as an $up\bar{a}ya$ has been compared (by some critics of it) "to water from a sacred river contained in a pitcher but mingled with a drop of liquor". But this comparison or simile would hold good only when egoism is mingled with (bhakti). The same condem-

77 Bhagavad Gita4-13

nation would hold good in the case of prapatti also, when it is mingled with egoism or akankāra.

It is stated by some that Alavandar begs, in the following sloka, forgiveness for having performed prapatti :- " In consideration" of my grandfather, Nathamani, and ignoring what I myself have done, vouchsafe unto me Thy grace." Here Alavandar is not seeking pardoo for the prapatti which he performed in accordance with the sästras, on the ground that the performance was an offence. He is seeking pardon for any trace af egoism (ahanküra) that might have been present in him and no more than that. If it were for having performed prapatti, he should beg the pardon (of the Lord) for having shown reverence to previous āchāryas, for this, too, would be an offence, being an action of his. Thus there would be no end to the making of apologies (anavasthā (i. e.) infinite regress). If it is argued that this second begging of forgiveness is both for itself and for other offences, it might also be argued that the previous performance of prapatti is also begging If these forgiveness for that action and for previous actions. fallacious arguments were adopted, it would follow that the ancients, too, sinned in performing prapatti and that it was not proper to show reverence to them. So the reverence shown in this stotra (by Alavandar) (to his grandfather etc.,) at the beginning and at the end is either for the purpose of satisfying the requirements of the prapulti done here or for removing any defects in the performance. As in this reverence shown to acharyas, in prapatti and the like also, the doership that is subject to the will of another (vis Iswara) is no fault. There is a (wrong) view that since the doership of the jive is subject (to the will of Iswars), the self has no real doership and therefore if a man knows this real nature of his (that he is not a doer), none of the forbidden actions that might be performed by him will leave any stain on him. This view finds its refutation also (in what has been said so far).

78. Stotram 65.

In the upanishads, it is stated that the aspirant to multi should be like a child. Some writers argue from this that be night act just as be pleases (doing even forbidden things). 'Being like a child' means 'remaining without revealing his "greatness' and this is established in the siltra "Without revealing his greatness) for this fits in with the eidyä " (and not behaving in a childish manner.)

(The Gita says :-)

"To those that "have not renounced their doership and the fruit of their actions, the fruits of their karma will (certainly) follow and they will be of three kinds, what is undesirable, what is desirable and what is partly desirable and partly undesirable. These consequences of karma never affect those who have renounced." and "He "who, while performing an action, does not think that it is done by him and whose mind has no attachment to the fruits of that action ------ he does not slay any one even though he has slain all those that live in these three worlds ".-- These passages do not refer, as shown in GHü-bhüshya to sins committed deliberately atter the renunciation. otherwise they would conflict with many sustras. Further the word "though he has slain" apply to what is done in a righteous war.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

The contention that like a potent medicine which is taken now acting like a cure for unwholesome things that may be done in the future, *prapatti* will destroy any sins that might be committed after its performance — this contention is disproved by hundreds of texts in the *srutis* and the *suritis* which are directly applicable to the *prapanna* and are not sublated by other texts.

In such stokas as the following, Manu and others, too, prescribed the conditions under which transgressions may be permitted: "When there^{ss} is a real fear that want of food might cause death,

 79. Brahm: Sutras: 3-3-49;
 81. Bhagavad Gita: 18-17;

 80. Bhagavad Gita: 18-12 82. Manu: Smriti 5-104.

if a man eats food obtained from wherever it was possible, he will not be tainted by the sin thereof, in the same way as mire does not stain ether $(\bar{a}k\bar{a}s^{\prime}a)^{\prime\prime}$ "At a time" when there is a fear that want of food might cause death, the man that eats the food obtained by him, whatever the source might be, will not be stained by the sin thereof, in the same way as water does not wet the leaf of the lotus." That this rule applies equally to the man who knows or meditates on Brahman is seen stated in the Sütra which says, "At a time** when death is feared (owing to starvation), the man (the man who meditates on Brahman) may eat any food, whatsoever, for the sästras say so " and this is taught there with the belp of an illustration*. Therefore the view that the self is not a doer and that it is entirely dependent (on Iswara) and hence will not be stained by any sins committed after prapatti though they are deliberate and that no further prapatti oeed be performed (for their expiation) - this view is opposed to our siddhanta (Sri Ramanuja's doctrine).

In this context some (commentators) explain the meaning of *vraja* otherwise (*(i. e.* that it does not enjoin any action) and state that to the aspirant to *multi*, there is no *upitya* to be adopted except the knowledge of relationship that is learnt from the *stistras* to exist between the *jīva* and Iswara. They find support for this view in the following *stokas* without comprehending their real purport. The *stokas* are:- "The self is the property⁸⁸ of Bhagavan. He who considere his self as belonging to himself, is a thief of the self. Is there any form of sin that is not committed by him?" Bhagavan controls⁸⁰ all beings in the universe from within the sphere of the sun and He is the ruler over all. He is within your heart and if you have no quarrel with him, you need not go (on a

NOTE*: ILLUSTRATION. Ushasti was a seer and when owing to starvation, he feared he might die, he ate the cooked grain given to him by a man of a low caste. But when this fear did not exist, he refused what was offered to him afterwards viz, water.

83. ?? 85. Mahabharata: Udyoga pacva ; 42-35.

84. Brahma Sutras : 3-4-37

86. Manu Smriti : 8-92.

pilgrimage) to the Ganga or to Kurukshetra " and " Remember" always that the Lord is the master, that the self or *ātmā* is His servant and that this relationship exists always by your very nature." This argument is opposed to the natural and selfevident injunction for *bhakti*, *prapatti* and the like. Since listening to Vedanta and reflecting on it arise from mere desire, there is no need also to enjoin the knowledge (of relationship) which arises from the stastras. ('There is no need for the stastra to enjoin something which a man will do of his own accord). As is well known, it has been established (in Sri Bhaishya) that if knowledge which need not be enjoined is called the means of attaining *mukti*, it would be opposed to the injunction orduning certain things for performance and there would be other objections too; (the injunction made in the stastras would serve no purpose at all).

If this is admitted, the knowledge of the relationship between the jiva and Iswara is indirectly helpful to all aspirants to mukt. whatever oldyll they may adopt. When a man has acquired this knowledge from the sustrus, the question then arises "What is the means or upaya for attaining Bhagavan, the Lord of Sri, who is our master and who imparts unsurpassed bliss?" When this question has arisen, the answer is that these means or $up\bar{a}yas$ are certain other forms of knowledge (other than the knowledge of relationship) which are enjoined for the purpose in accordance with each man's competence and they are bhakti and prapatti. Of these, prabatti is the surrender of the responsibility for one's protection along with its auxiliaries as stated in the following passage: "When the question** arises : " To whom is this self to be surrondered for protection? the answer is "To Vishnu". In order to show that this (prapatti) should be performed with the knowledge of the relationship existing between one's self and Iswara, it was stated that prapatti is the knowledge of the relationship. (It does not mean that prapatti is merely that knowledge and nothing else). We have

87. Vishnu-tattvam.

already described at great length the essential nature (svar $\overline{u}pa$) of this propatti, its auxiliaries and the like (pages 115 - 135).

TAMIL VERSE:

"I am the means as well as the end to be attained. The aspirant (to-mukti) should become subject to me and seek my protection. The upāyu called saraņāgati is not the direct means for the attainment. Sādhanas or means like bhaktiyoga and karma yoga are no aids to prapatti; other troublesome angas are not required for prapatti. I will myself stand in the place of all such means (as are prescribed for attaining the desired fruits). I am the messenger and the master. Seek me as your refuge and be free from all anxiety". So says the Lord and surrounds me on all sides).

THE MEANING OF THE WORD AHAM (1) IN THE SECOND HALF OF THE SLOKA

Thus in the first half of the charama sloka, Sri Krishna enjoins, for a particular person (adhikari), the adoption of that upaya (prupatti) which is referred to in the first part of Duaya and which has (in itself) the potency of all dharmas or rites and, at the same time a peculiar and unique potency of its own which none of them possesses (for it can destroy even that karma which has already begun to operate and yield its consequences). He has explained that this $\mu p \bar{a} y a$ does not require any special or ancillary dharmas on the part of the adhikari and has to be performed only once. In the second half of the stoka, he gracionaly explains, at length, the fruit to be attained (by adopting this upaya), which is (only) briefly indicated by the word namas in the second part of Dvaya. In the first half of the stoka, Sri Krishna stated that which has to be done by the person or adhikārī (seeking mukti). In the second half, He states what He, as the Savionr who has accepted the responsibility of protecting, will perform and comforts the man who has done what he ought to do (ie) performed prapatti.

Here from the first person singular, mokshayishyämi (I) will set Thee free) - (from this) itself, the meaning 'l' is evident. So the use of the word ahain (I) has the purpose of indicating, by virtue of its meaning, the power of accomplishing what is to others impossible, for this is essential for setting (one) free from all sins. Its purport is this :- "I that bound the offender with fetters and that am destitute of equals and superiors am now full of compassion as the result of a vyāja or pretext and am bent on granting him pardon. (When I am thus inclined) there is no one to prevent Nor is there any one else who is capable of making him me. attain freedom (from sins)". This idea is well-known from such passages as the following:-- "Bhagavan Vishnu is the" giver of moksha ". " The jivas" have been bound with the bonds of karma by the Supreme Being for His tita and they can be liberated from these bonds only by Him. No one else is capable of doing so." In this word aham (1), Bhagayan's natural compassion is the superior aiding force and the graciousness of the Lord which results from propatti acts as a subordinate aiding force and His independence and omnipotence, which are irresistible, stand as the foremost and direc' agency to dispil all obstacles. If it be asked bow, the unswer is as follows .-- "His natural compassion makes Him so gracious as to overlook countless offences in consideration of some slight cyaja or pretext. This graciousness accompanied by compassion makes the Lord's independence and omnipotence operate for the removal of all hindrances that stand in the way of propannas. Thus Iswara, who has all the qualities essential for granting freedom from all sins, can act towards that end without requiring any aid. This is the purport of the aham or (I) and it amounts to saving "I myself (without the help of anybody else)".

THE MEANING OF THE WORD TWA (THEE) :---

The word twoi (Thee) means: Thou that hast acquired the power of discrimination (viveka) necessary to understand the (nature of the) three tattvas (reals) viz., cit, acit and Iswara,

89. 2

90. Vtshnutattvam. 1-2-10

and hast realised such defects or demerits as triviality and transience which are inherent in such ends of life as wealth and lordship. Thou that art eager to attain the supreme end of life which consists in attaining me. Thou that hast given up all connexion with extremely difficult $up\bar{u}yas$ which have been taught for that purpose. Thou that hast surrendered the responsibility for protecting to me who is the object of attainment and who is capable of removing all obstacles. Thou that having done what onght to he done, hast nothing else to do towards the attainment of the desired fruit

530

THE MEANINGS OF SARVA PAPEBHYO (FROM ALL SINS) :--

Having thus referred to the Dispenser of mukti who is capable of putting the jiva in bondage or out of it and also to the aspirant to mukti who is incapable (of any endeavour) and who has surrendered (to the Lord) the responsibility for his protection, the stoka proceeds to speak of the bonds in the word sarvap $\bar{a}pebhyo$ (from all sins). Sin is the cause of evil and what it is can be understood from the sciistrus. 'Evil' means 'becoming subject to what is disagreeable and losing what is agreeable.' Here (in the charama siloka) sin denotes also acts of merit (punya) which lead to enjoyment in samsura (which includes svarga), for they, too, are to the aspirant to mukti, productive of undesirable fruits. It has been said: -- "This "svarga and other such places are, my child, like hell when compared with the abode of the Supreme Being". Thus to the aspirant to mukti, even such places as suarga are like hell. In the case of the aspirant, therefore, that which leads to svarga is, in no way, different from that which leads to hell. That is why the aspirant to mukii is enjoined to give up those means which lead to dharma, artha (wealth) and $k\bar{a}ma$ (pleasure), in the same way as he is enjoined to give up sinful actions. Indeed the Srutis and the Smritis declare that good deeds and evil deeds are both to be avoided by the aspirant to mukti, for it is stated "Giving "up

91. Mahabharata-Santi parvam 196-6.

92. Tiruvoymozhi 1-5-10

punya and $p\bar{a}pa$ of which are both of the nature, karma of and which are hard to give up etc."

Thus having denoted, by the word pilpa (sins), both punyaand pilpa, which are the causes of bondage, the stoka indicates, by the plural of the word päpa (viz. pilpebhyah), their being countless. If so, it may be asked what purpose is served by the word sarva (all). (The answer is) the word 'all' is used for the purpose of including among the hosts of sins, avdiyä or ignorance, the past impressions ($v\bar{a}sanas$) which create a liking for adverse things, the taste (ruchi) for evil things and the contact with prakriti or matter both in its gross state and in its subtle state (in pralaya), for these are the causes of karma and also the products of karma. (In the Saranägati Gadya). Sri Ramanuja has, in three clauses chooranikas, indicated, hoth explicitly by the words themselves and implicitly from their meaning, those hindrances or obstacles which are referred to in 'all sins'. The three passages begin with 'manoväkkäyaih' (by mind, speech and body).

(A question might arise in this connection):— It is said, "Having ³¹administered the affirs entrusted to them by the Lord for a long time and again and again, they reach the end of their *karma* and attain *makti*, where *jivas* who were formerly Brahmas, Indras, and Rudras, are enjoying bliss in *Paramapada* (the supreme abode)." (So also) it is said :— "Those⁹⁴ that have been appointed (by the Supreme Being) to be administrators should remain here (in the world of *samsāra*) until the expiry of their period of administration". (We find also the following :—) The man who adopts⁹² bhakti or upāsana as the means will have the ben fit of the destruction (the fruits of) such karmas (puŋya and pāpa) as have not began to yield their consequences at the very beginning of this upüsana; for (it is said) that there will be delay (for their attaining *mukti*) till the enjoyment is

93 Lakshmitantram: 17-18

94 Brahma Sutras; 3-3-31

completed of those karmas that have begun to operate (prarabdha). This is applicable even to those who are not admini strators. The question may be asked :- "If so, what is the meaning of saying that the Lord will pardon also (in the case of the prapanna) those karmas which have begun to operate and vield their fruits?" The answer is as follows :- " Even in the case of karmas which have begun to bear fruit, if the man who has adopted propatti as the direct and independent uping considers as evil and undesirable those parts which would lead to another birth or (even) another day's existence and grieves on that account, Iswara will give him pardon even in respect of all that karma. If the propama is so impatient as to feel that he cannot hear to live without the immediate attainment of moksha, the Lord will, at that very instant, pardon even all that karma which has began to bear fruit so that no trace of it may be left over. There. fore there is no reason why one should not pray for pardon even in respect of that karma which has begun to bear fruit (prarabdha).

(In the Staragagati-gadya Sri Ramunuja says :--) " Dardon all sins without exception, (sins) past, future, and present - committed by the mind, the speech, or the body." Here past (sins) refer to those committed before prapate and future (sins) refer to those that might be committed after the performance of prapatti. We do not see any sins committed at the instant of performing prapatti. What, then, is the meaning of present (kriyamāņa) sins? (The answer is): - By the word 'present' (kriyamäna) is meant 'That which was begun before and which will be completed in future? According to this definition, those sins which were begon before and which require a long time for their completion and those that might be done on accouot of negligence or carelessness at the instant of performing prapatti - these are called present (sins) -- Future (sins) are those that may begin after prapatti. Among those sins which are committed after prapatti and which may be partly of the present and partly of the future, those which are not committed deliberately will be pardnned by Iswara and will leave no trace at all (on the self). Those that are

committed deliberately can be stoned for by the performance again of *prapatti*, for it is said:--"If an "offence be committed, expission should be made therefor and the expission to be made is only the performance of *prapatti* again." When it is said that if Iswara is bent on granting moksha, even deadly sins cannot stand in the way, and that there will be no punishment for sins committed deliberately after *prapatti*, what is meant is not that there is no need for expisition or *präyaschitta*, but that if Iswara is again propitiated by *prapatti*. (which is the *präyaschitta*), moksha is sure to follow. It is the result of God's forgiveness that the man is induced to perform expisition by a further *prapatti*.

It has been said (by some *iichāryas*) that, to Iswara, the sins or offences of the *jiva* are (agreeable) like the dirt on the body of a beloved wife and like the slime on the body of the new-born calf to the cow. This only means that even if wicked men should perform *prapatti*, Iswara will not forsake them and will bring them round to righteous ways. It does not mean that sins committed deliberately would give delight to Iswara. If so, the *prapanna* should have, by all the means in his power, to commit such sins.

Even if offences are committed deliberately owing to the peculiar nature of a man's *prakriti*, and even if a further *prapatti* is not performed (by way of expiation), it is the peculiar characteristic of Iswara's forgiveness that He sees to it that such *prapannas* do not go to hell and that He awards them light punishments (sufferings) as (kings do) in the case of their offending sons. Sins lead to sufferings that are seen and that are tangible and also to sufferings like those in hell. So when it is said that there is no hell for the *prapanna* as in the following *slokas*:— "Those whost consider Vishnu, the bearer of Sarnga, as the supreme end of life and who perform *prapatti* to Him never go to the world of Yama" and "Whatever" be the family into which they are born, and

96. Lakshmi Tantram: 17-91†92. 98. ?? 97. Vamana-purana: 94 - 43.

wherever they may live, those who are ever attached to Vasudeva never go to hell" - when such statements are made to the effect that there is no hell for them, there is nothing in them to deny that they will not have any sufferings in this life itself (like blindness). Logic cannot repudiate explicit statements (made in the sruti and the surili). It is idle to say that, even in some of those who have committed offences and who have not repented for them by performing a further propatti, we do not see such visible sufferings as blindness which are stated to be their punishments. Even in their lives, we may see such sufferings as the following in accordance with the seriousness of their offences :- many varieties of suffering due to the three well-known causes (the elemeous of nature, the body and supernatural powers), dullness of the intelligence required for the Lord's service, the diminution of delight and the absence of it in the enjoyment of Bhagavan, the absence of delight in rendering service to Bhagevan and His devotees, offences against the Lord and His devotees, the censure, the boycott and the like by the virtuous, the destruction of their good deeds, the loss of the esteem in which they were formerly held by good men, the suffering due to the frustration of their ambitions and desires. and such other forms of sorrow. It is well-known from the scrutis, the Dharma scistras of Manu and others and from the Itihasas, Puranas and the Pāncharātra that, for varied kinds of sins of omission and commission, there are varied kinds of visible suffering. Therefore when blindness and the like are taken by way of example, it should be taken only as one instance (and not as an invariable form of suffering). This is evident from the opening words of the sentence which speak of sufferings in the world in general,

It is not possible to argue, with any certainty, that the varied sufferings which come to a man as the fruit of sins committed deliberately after *prapatti* and which find support in the authority of the texts are due to past *karma* which has begun to yield its fruit. These may come as the result of either of the two kinds of *karma*. That is why good men $(s\bar{a}tvikas)$ dread offences that might be committed deliberately. If this were otherwise, it would be opposed to the *s* \bar{a} *stra* which enjoins a further *prapatti* and to the code of conduct followed by virtuous men and to ancient tradition.

(It is true) Nanjiyar stated that if a man does not feel repentant after committing an offence, he should be considered as without knowledge. But what is meant is that his omission to repent is due to dulness of intelligence and not that the *prapatti* was not fulfilled. Deliberate offences which would meet with Bhagavan's disapproval would not be committed by some men as these result from conditions created by past *karma*. In the case of some others who commit such offences, repeotaoce and the like put an end to them. Men of hard hearts may not repent at all. Therefore if deliberate offences happen to be committed, the man should repent and perform *prapatti* again. If he does not, they would lead to light punishments or sufferings in accordance with what is said in the *srutis* and the like.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :-

Wise men who have performed *prapatti* would never think of committing offences deliberately, men of a mediocre intelligence repent (for such offences.) Hard - hearted men meet with punishment for them.

Therefore in order that there may be no punishment of any kind, one should guard against deliberate offences.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :--

When one performs the commands of the omnipotent Lord (nitya and maimittika karma) solely for the purpose of pleasing Him, no punishment will ensue, though he may not have thought (of avoiding any such punishment).

If a propanna should try, as much as it lies in his power, to avoid offences, he should avoid. even more carefully, offences against the devotees of Bhagavan and association with those who commit such offences.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :--

The countless and serious sins committed by the man who meditates on Brahman (*Brahmavit*) will be transferred to the man who hates him. Realising this, one should dread committing any offence against a *Brahmavit*.

Even by associating with one who has offended against the devotees of Brahman, a man will incur sins. (At the same time) a man should not hate one who offends against the devotees of Brahman for, by so doing, he takes upon himself the burden of Iswara, who alone is competent to punish him.

TAMIL VERSE :---

The Lord, whose will is irresistible and who creates, in the minds of those who do not care for him, all their desires and who also frustrates them — the Lord has turned my mind today from samsära or bondage and has placed me beneath His two feet for protection. He has been pleased to forget His wrath caused by my actions in the past prompted by my mind before the performance of *prapatti* and has appeared already (as *avatürs* and *archü*) to grant me *mukti*. He smiles gently, wondering whether we shall accept His grace today or tomorrow.

THE MEANING OF THE WORD MOKSHAYISHYÄMI (I WILL SET THEE FREE)

The word mokshayishyami (1 will set thee from (all sins) means "I will grant thee release (from the bondage of samsāra) at the time when you want it ".

It may be asked :— " (in another context) the Lord says, " I will never pardon ". Is it not opposed to what is stated here viz. "I will set thee free from all sins?"; therefore this should be merely to give a little seeming confort in words and is not to be

536

taken literally." The answer to this question is as follows :---" There is no conflict between these two statements for they apply to different cases. "I will not forgive " occurs in the stoka :---"Though a man adores" me with a hundred lotnses, O Goddess of the earth, whichever¹⁰⁰ devotee of Vishnu touches blood even unawares I will not pardon biru. I will never pardon his offence. O Goddess of the Earth, even if he tries to show me a hundred marks of reverent attention (upachara)". It only means. " I will not forgive the man for such trivial forms of explation (as the offering of lotuses and external marks of attention). Here in the Charamastoka, the word mokshayishyāmi (means "I will forgive all (offences) if the man adopts the particular upaya which is an atonement for all sins." The intention of the Saviour is expressed indeed in the stoka :--- " Be it¹⁰¹ Vibhishana or Rayana himself, bring him here, O Sugriva I will grant him pardon." These words are not seeming-pleasant, for there is no conflict between what is stated (io Varahapuränam) and what is stated in the Gita, as they apply to different situations. If they are to be taken as only seeming-pleasant, all the texts in the sastras which enjoin bhakti and prapatti would be void of authority.

Here "Releasing from sins" means Iswara giving up His intention to punish the sinuer for having violated His commands from beginningless time. By this turning away (of Iswara) from the thought of punishment, the effects of His punishment, such as avidyä or ignorance, would all cease to exist. Iswara's turning away from the thought of punishment means His will which takes the form: "By my grace^{ma} will the man who performs all his duties as for me and as being done by me attain the world that is eternal". The cessation of such things as avidya in the jiva means the expansion of inteiligence, knowledge, wisdom and such others.

99. Varahapuranam101. Ramayana : Yuddhakanda : 18 - 34,100. Varahapuranam102, Bhagavad Gita: 18 - 56.

The manner and the order in which the causes of samsara, which are of the nature of punya and papa will disappear are as follows :— The hindrances to the adoption of the $u p \bar{a} \gamma a$ have disappeared already by their respective causes (such as the performance of the rites and duties of varyas and asramas), theo the punyas and $p\overline{a}pas$ done before the adoption of the $\mu p\overline{a}ya$ which are opposed to the attainment (of mukti) will disappear without any trace at the beginning of the adoption of the $up\bar{u}ya$, provided they are not such as have already begun to yield their fruit (prarabdha). Among sins committed after prapatti, those offences which may be committed without any awareness of them and which are the result of unfavourable conditions of place, time and the like will not in the least taint (the self). Those offences which are committed deliberately when there is no danger of death will cease either by some form of atonement or explation which is within the man's power or by experiencing the fruit of the karma which would be of the nature of a light punishment. That portion of the karma which has begun to yield its fruit to the prapanna that will have to be experienced within the time desired by him (for mukti) will disappear either by the experience or enjoyment of the fruit or by an intervening rite of explation. That which remains will disappear by the potency of the upinya (prapatii).

Among those good deeds (punya) which are done deliberately with thought both (of moksha and of samsūra), such as are not hindrances and such good deeds (punya) done before and after the adoption of the upiiya by the upisaka as are capable of strengtheoing his vidya or meditation — these will disappear after having yielded their froit. Those good deeds (punya) done before and after the adoption of the upitya (namely, upisana) which are of no use to the meditation or vidya and which have been prevented from yielding their fruit and those good deeds, done before and after, which are useful for the meditation but which have had no occasion to yield their fruit owing to the superior strength of (other) karma favourable for the meditation and unfavourable for it and which still remain without yielding their fruit — these too, will disappear

538

in the last moments of the man's life. This truth is expressed in the $s\bar{u}tra$ "By ¹⁰⁰ the same principle, the deeds, too, which are other than sinful will not stain (the self)." Those good deeds (which are the cause of rain, food and the like which are necessary for the performance of the $vidy\bar{u}$) will cease to exist immediately after the fail of the body ".

Since those good deeds which were performed solely for plensing the Lord and which were merely of the nature of service bave already yielded their fruit, there is no need to speak about their staining the self. Those deeds which were performed by the man for setting an example to the rest of the world (lokasangraha) had to be performed as commands of the Lord. They are of the nature of pure kainkarya or service and have already given their fruits. Among them, if any such good deeds were done without the renunciation of egoism (sätvikatyäga) out of carelessness, they, too, would amount to sins as stated in the soloka: - "Tapas is" no sin, the study of the Veda is no sin, the rites and duties prescribed for each man are no sins, nor is the earning of money by hard work for their sake a sin. If these very things are done with a wrong intention with the thought, "I am doing this and doing this for myself", they become sinful". These (sins) will become subject to the release promised in mokshavishyiimi. Those prapattis that were performed for other ends (than mukti) must have already yielded their fruit.

For the sake of the end for which a former *prapatti* was performed, a second or further *prapatti* ought not to be performed, as it would be inconsistant with the potency of *prapatti* and with the intense and great faith with which the first *prapatti* was performed. If one were to perform more than one *prapatti* for the sake of a single end in view, it would be like adopting another $up\bar{n}ya$ (and there would be need for atonement).

103 Brahma Sutras : 4–1–14 104. Mahabharata : Adi parya : 1–301 The disappearance or destruction of past karma means Iswara's giving up the thought of awarding the person the fruit thereof. When karma is said not to stain or taint the self (aslesha), it means that the thought of awarding the fruit or consequence of the karma in the case of those who have sought His protection does not even arise in Iswara.

If it be asked · " (It is stated in the synutis that the good deeds and the had deeds of the man who is to obtain mukti will be distributed among his friends and his foes respectively (at the last moment of his life). If good deeds and had deeds (or past karma) are all destroyed in the manner described above, how can this distribution take place?" The answer is: The distribution among foes and friends will be, respectively, of those evil deeds which are said not to taint and to be destroyed, (aslesha and vinasia) and those good deeds (punya) which are done deliberately after the adoption of the $up\bar{a}ya$ and which have been prevented from yielding their fruit by stronger karma. (It is said in the scrutis) that Iswara distributes these among the man's friends and foes at the time of his death. Why should Iswara wait until the time of the man's death for this distribution, when He could as well have done so, at the very beginning when the man adopted the $\mu p \bar{a} y a ?$ "The answers to these questions are as follows :- "A man may have behaved with favourable intentions towards the devotee at first, but later he may change and behave with evil intentions. In such circumstances the good deeds should not have already been given sway to the friend (who has afterwards turned foe). Further the Lord desires to give opportunities to fees who have done evil to the devotee to beg for forgiveness. "These are the reasons why Iswara does not effect the transfer of the good and the had karma until the last moments of the devotee.

The good deeds done by a man for the sake of such ends as svarga are, to the aspirant for mukti, of the nature of sins (papa). Hence such good deeds (of the devotee) will not be transferred to his friend, if the latter is an aspirant for mukti.

540

. . . ___

It may be asked "What is the meaning of transferring the karmas performed by one man to some others?" The answer is as follows :-- "I he punishment or reward which Iswara intended to award to those who performed these karmas is now transferred to his foes and friends in equal measure," It may be asked, "If punishments and rewards for the karmas performed by one man are to be awarded to some other man or men, would there not be too wide an application (atiprasanga) (i, e.) the punishment does not go to the evil-doer but to some body else who has not done evil, It is also against the principle that he who sowed must reap the The answer is this :- There will be no such unfairness harvest". due to too wide an application (atiprasanga), because the rewards and punishments are awarded in accordance with the obligations or offences done, respectively, by these friends and focs to the aspirant for mukti. That is why it is nowhere stated that these good deeds and bad deeds are transferred to those who are neither friends nor foes. The word 'transfer' is used here in the sense that (their friends and foes) will have fruits similar to those of the tarmas said to be transferred. 'This sruti which speaks of the transfer of good and had deeds suggests the extreme of pleasure and displeasure that the Lord would feel, if the obligations and offences are done to the jnam (the man of wisdom) who is extreme. ly dear to the Lord.

If we take the "interpretation given in the sloka (on page 318), sarva papebhyok (from all sins) would briefly include, as desired by the man, all hindrances to the attainment of the flord, all indrances to the proper adoption of the $up\bar{u}ya$ and also the causes of experiencing disagreeable things.

Here the hindrance to the attainment of the Lord is the Lord's will that the offender shall not enjoy Him. The hindrance to the

NOTE* This interpretation:- "If a man is in despair at the thought that he is incapable of performing whatever will produce the ends desired by him, I myself stand in the place of those actions.")

_ ___.

upaya is the Lord's will that the offender shall not understand Him aright and do what is necessary to propitiate Him. The causes of experiencing disagreeable things consist in the will of God that the man shall experience the consequences or fruits of doing such karmas as displeased Him.

If all punishments are cancelled in the case of the aspirant for *mukti*, the effects of such punishments, namely, contact with *acit* and the like will stand cancelled by the Lord's grace which cancelled the punishments. Thereafter since there are no causes, there will be no such effects as disagreeable experiences. This is indicated in the $s\bar{v}tra$: "The man who has attained ¹⁰⁵moksha will never retorn to samsūra, for the scrutis say so", These conclusions are within the reach of the knowledge of those very wise men who have studied $Sri Bh\bar{v}shya$ for a long time along with the traditions of the good,

Thus (the words) "I will release thee from all sins " speak of the removal of the stream of all hindrances which are of the nature of either causes (the foord's will to punish) or effects (avidyā and the like); it means the same thing as saying that the self will (then) have the manifestation (*avirbhava*) of the perfect enjoyment of Bhagavan. For Bhagavan Sounaka says :- " When the¹⁰⁶ dirt is washed away, the gem shines of itself, but the sheen is not newly produced. In the same way, when the self casts off its blemishes or faults, juana is not newly created in it (for it is already there). When a tank is dug, water and space are not newly created. What is already present is now brought to manifestation. How can a thing which did not exist before arise anew? So by the casting off of evil qualities, good qualities like jnana shine forth. They are not newly produced, for it has been stated (in the substras) that they are eternal qualities of the self ". Since the substance called jnana or knowledge and its inherent power to cover all objects are both eternal, the right word to use is 'manifestation ' (i. c.) it is used in its primary sense.

105. Brahma Sutras: 4-4-22. 106. Vishnudharma: 104 - 55 - 57.

(It is true that) the expansion of jnana or knowledge to cover all objects, the freedom from pain, sorrow, and the like, the will to do what is good and other such things and the forms of service or kainkarya arise anew. Still as their origin depends on the conditional factor (upädhi), namely, the removal of hindrances thereto, the word 'manifestation' (avirbhava) is used in such places as :---" May for the performance of different kinds of service which are natural to myself manifest itself." This is in order to show that when the hindrances disappear, these services will automatically follow. (In the state of samsiira), the objects (in the Universe) which are all the glorious possessions of Bhagavan appear disagreeable in various ways or (only) slightly agreeable as a result of the conditioning factor (upādhi), namely, karma. In the state of moksha, they would all become agreeable (since karma has disappeared), as agreeableness is their natural quality. Therefore in that state, too, the word 'manifestation' (of agreeableness) may justly be used. Therefore the words "I will release thee from all sins" make it evident that the self will have a new state in which all things will manifest their natural agreeableness to it.

If the word ckam means the identity of the upiiya and the upeya or the end of attainment (as has already been indicated), this attainment of the desired end is also made plain. Therefore the idea that was expressed clearly in the sloka ($Git\ddot{a} - 18 - 65$), namely "Thou wilt come to me" may be considered to be stated in the *charama sloka* (also) by the meaning implicit in the word *ckam*, and by the statement that the hindrances to that attainment are destroyed — (I will release thee from all sins). Therefore the *charama-sloka* cannot be said to supplement or explain what has been stated in the preceeding sloka.*

107. Ashtasloki: 3.

NOTE: — The author declares that the charama-sloka is not explanatory of the previous sloka (Gita 18-65) as stated by some who consider it to refer to bhaktiyoga. It is independent of the previous sloke and concerns itself with propatiti-

Here an objection that has no soundness in it may be raised to this effect :- "When it is said "I will release thee from all sins", does it follow from those words alone that the release from sins would lead to the attainment of Bhagavan stated in "Thou wilt reach me?" Is it not possible that, with the removal or pardon of all sins, the self may attain the state called kaivalyam in which it enjoys only itself without attaining Bhagavan? That there is a state called kaivalyam, has, it is well known, been declared in such treatises as Naradiya katham, where we find the sloka :- " This mantra enables a man to obtain wealth and lordship in this world, soarga and the like in the world beyond, kaivalya (the enjoyment of one's own self) and the enjoyment of Bhagavan ". Alavandar also says " To those " who desire to have wealth and lordship, the enjoyment of their own self dissociated from the body and, hkewise, the performance of kainkarya or service at the feet of the Lord etc. etc. " He says also (elsewhere):-"Without the grace¹⁰⁹ of Lakshmi, the beloved coosort of the Lord with the lotus eyes, we cannot have the valuable things desired (by men) in samsara, the enjoyment of the (disembodied) self, (kaivalya) and the enjoyment of Bhagavan". In the Gadya also, is found quoted (the sentence) in Jitanta stotra :- "Leaving all desired pleasures and the enjoyment of the (disembodied) self, I have reached Thy feet." This truth is also stated at length in Sri Ramanuja's Gitä-bhäshya and such other writings. Therefore should not the freedom from all sins which results from the statement "I will release thee from all sins" be considered common to kaivalya and the enjoyment of Bhagavan?".

The objection (stated so far) is unsound for the following reasons:— "If all sins have disappeared, there is no reason why the self should be without the experience of Bhagavan, which is its natural and inherent right. Therefore the state of the enjoyment of the mere self (kaivalyam) without the enjoyment of Bhagavan would not be the goal of attainment then. Hence in

108. Gitarthasangraba : 12.

109. Chatussioki : 3.

that state (kaivalyam), (we should understand that) the karmas which produce wealth, and such sufferings as old age and death have disappeared but that the karma which is a bindrance to the perfect enjoyment of Bhagavan has not yet been destroyed. This state (of kaivalya) is a particular kind of enjoyment which consists in the experience of one's own disembodied self. Of this state it has been said :--- " In that" experience of the self, the self feels that there is nothing greater than it ", and also, " If a man desires¹¹¹ this enjoyment of the (disembodied) self, these three (karma yoga, jnäna yoga and bhaktiyoga) will enable him to attain that extreme which is called kaivalya ". As stated (in these slokas), this experience in which there is neither contact with acit or rustler nor enjoyment of Bhagavan and which results from the adoption of a particular upaya within the man's ability was called kaivalyam. because it is the enjoyment of the mere (kevala) self without association with either acit or Bhagavan.

(In some places) mukti or the attainment of Bhagavan is called by the word kaivalyam in order to show that all upädhis or conditioning factors have ceased to exist in mukti. So also the word mukti is sometimes employed to denote the experience of the disembodied self as in the *sloka* :-- "O, Lord of Hastigiri," I look upon all the enjoyments of this world, the title of Brahma, the high position of Rudra, and the experience of the disembodied self, which is called *mukti* - I look upon these as water poured in the soil saturated with salt. I know the bliss of rendering service to Thee ". The word mukti employed here should be explained as in the stoka :- "The man who" is free from desire, fear and anger — he is always a mukta (even here in samsāra). It only means "He resembles a mukta". It may be asked "Is it (kaivalyam) not stated to be freedom from old age, and death?" The abswer is that it is just like calling the gods immortal (amara), (That is: they are not truly immortal.) Compared

110. Bhagavad Gita : 6 - 22. 112- Varadarajastava : 81. 113. Bhagavad Gita: 5 - 28. 111. Gitarthasangraha: 27.

29

with human beings, they may be said to be relatively immortal, for they live much longer. Or it may be explained as stating that *kaivalyain* will lead, in course of time, to *mukti*. In the same way should be explained the use of the word *mukti* when it is intended to mean merely the attainment of the same abode or loka as that of *the Vibhavas or Vyühas and also sāmipya and sārūpya.

It has been declared (that real mukti is different from the others) in the (following) stoka:-" Some live """ in the worlds of Vishnu. This is salokyam (living in the same toka or world as Vishnn). Some reach near Him, This is samipyam (attaining a place near Vishnu). Some others attain a form resembling that of Vishnu. This is surapyam (having a form resembling His). Others still attain savaiyam and it is this which goes by the name moksha", The säynjyam referred to here is going to Paramapada and enjoying the same bliss as that of Bhagavan (and this alone is real and true mukti). That the experience of the more disembodied self is not eternal and that it is not real moksha are evident from these slokas :- "There are four kinds" of people who are mine and who are called my devotees. Among them, those who are exclusively attached to me (ekinting) are the best, for they worship oo other deities. To these men who perform their duties and rites without any attachment or desire for their fruit. I am alone the goal. The other three virtuous kinds of bhaktas or devotees are said to be desirous of certain ends. All these (three) are liable to fall (from their bigh state) but the jnani (who is an ekänti attains moksha " (Again it is said) :-- " Haviog " listened to this story, the man who has lost his wealth will become free from the sorrow due to it; the man (jijnasuh) who wants to enjoy his disembodied self will attain bhakti or devotion (to the

NOTE*: He who worships or meditates on the Vibhavas (avatars) or Vyuhas will, after death, go to the world of the Vibhavas or Vyuhas in accordance with the principle called tatkratu: As he meditates, so will he be "from that world after meditation on the Supreme Being, he will reach Paramapada, which is true moksha).

113A. Bhagavatam 115. Mahabharata: Santiparva 348-81 114. Mahabharata: Santi parva 350 - 33-35.

Lord), the bhakta or devotee will attain moksha". This amounts to stating that the *jijnusuk* spoken of in the Gitä, who is desirous of experiencing his self, will, in course of time, become a jouini. life ceases, the self, which is different from the body and which is happy, will become manifest. Without being caught (in this state) viz. kaivalya, if you want to realise that your essential nature consists in heing seshe to Bhagavan and in being eternal, get hold of Bhagavan and then give up the pleasures of worldly objects." In this (Tamil verse) the enjoyment of Bhagavan is said to be eternal and the experience of the disembodied self, which is distinguished from it, is indirectly stated to be not eternal. (It is true that) the experience of the measureless self is described as imperishable or without changes like diminution or increase; like the statement of the fruit of the rite called *chaturmaisya* being imperishable; it only means that it will last for a very long time (i, e_i) many and many a kalpa (and not that it is eternal). This locality where the self enjoys itself is thus described :- "The place" called the amritam of yogis is the place to which those who want to enjoy their own self go." That this locality is not Paramapada is also evident from (another) sloka in the very same context: "Those who^{tso} are yogins and who, being ekantins, are constantly meditating on Brahman, reach that supreme abode which the eternal suris are gazing at. (It is clear from this) that the ekäntin who is desirons of attaining Bhagavan is here stated to go to a place other than that (to which the man who is desirous of enjoying his self would go) and that it is a place gazed at by the sūris.

In such treatises as Sri Bhäshya (and Gitäbhäshya) it has been stated that those who meditate on their self with Brahman as its inner self as in *Panchägni-vidyä* and the like pass (after death) along the *archiridi* route and attain Brahman.

 116. Tiruvoymozhi ; 1 - 2 - 5.
 119. Vishnupuranam : 1 - 6 - 38.

 117. Tiruvoymozhi : 6 - 9 - 10.
 120. Vishnupuranam : 1 - 6 - 39.

 118. Tiruvoymozhi : 6 - 9 - 10.
 120. Vishnupuranam : 1 - 6 - 39.

Therefore to those that meditate on their self according to the Panchagni vidya, there is an intermediate result or experience consisting in the enjoyment of the mere self. However, according to the principle explained in the madhu vidya, they will ultimately attain Brahman. If, however, the meditation is on the self either as associated with prakriti or matter or as disembodied or dissociated from matter, whether the self is meditated on in its essential nature $(svar \overline{u} p \mu)$ or as if it were Brahman, — in all these four cases, as when name (näma) and the like are meditated on (as if they were (Brahman), there is neither the passage along the archirādi route nor the atteinment of Brahman. This is declared in the following :- Bādaräyaņa is of ¹³⁰ opinion that the $\overline{A}ti$ vishikas (escorts) lead those who do not meditate on pratikas (i.e.) narts or aspects (of Brahman). In either of the other alternatives, there is conflict (with the signation) and also with the *principle of tat-kratu. In another context to prove that the being meditated on in that vidya is (not the jiva (but) the Supreme Being or Brahman, the Satrakara gives this as his reason for saying so :-"Since the person who meditates according to this vidya is said to pass along the archirādi route." This is done in the sutra "since ⁱⁿmention^{*} is made of the route meditated on by those who have listened to the Upanishads" (i.e.) to those who meditate on Brahnian.

Therefore to those who do not attain Brahman, there should not have been the cancellation of all sins spoken of in the charama

* NOTE i— If it is said that only those who meditate on Brahman will attain Brahman, it would conflict with panchagni vidya, according to which he who meditates on his self with Brahman as its inner self will travel along the archiradi route and reach Brahman). If, on the other hand, it is said that those who meditate on (not the cause (i e.) Brahman (but) on the effect such as prokritl, name (name) and the like, it would conflict with what is stated in Sadvidya. Therefore Badarayana expresses his conclusion negatively thus : " The escort leads, by the archiradi route, those who do not meditate on parts or aspects of Brahman pratikas: From this it would follow that those who meditate on Brahman alone would take the archiradi route and also those who meditate on the self but with Brahman as its inner self. Tat-kratu is the principle which states the truth "As a man meditates, so will he be". So if he meditates on the self alone, he will become the self (and not attain Brahman).

120A Brahmasutras: 4-3-14.

121. Brahma - sutras 1-2-17.

sioka. To those whose sins have been pardoned or cancelled, there is no limitation in regard to the enjoyment of Brahman. Thus since in this stoka, the annulment of all sins is declared, (we have to understand that) even that particular karma which resulted in the experience of the mere self and which stood as a hindrance to the full and perfect enjoyment of Brahman is annulled or cancelled. From this it would follow that what is stated in the previous sloka namely, "Thou will attain myself" is declared in this sloka also mäm eva eshyasi. "Thou will attain myself" means 'the full and perfect enjoyment of Brahman'. In order that this perfect enjoyment of Brahman'. In order that this perfect enjoyment may happen, the archirädi route has to be traversed and a particular region or locality has also to be reached.

It is clear from the scästras which speak of such thiogs as this route that, from beginningless time, the independent and omnipotent Lord has made it a rule that the full and perfect enjoyment (of Himself) should be granted in this order (namely, the archirādi route, the entrance into the region or locality and then the enjoyment).

Before starting on the archiradi route, when a man understands the essential nature (svarapa) of Brahman with the help of the sustras and so also when he has a vision of Brahman with the help of yoga and when he reaches such worlds as those of *Vibhava, the vision or realisation which he gets results from some specific good deeds and is dependent on the instruments of knowledge. Therefore this vision will be partial or limited and liable to interruption. But the vision that results in the state of mukti is full and perfect, because there are no factors that limit or diminish the experience nor is it liable to any further interruption or break. As an overflow (parivāha) of this enjoyment arises the perfect service or kaiakarya in which the seshi (the Lord) finds delight and this

* Vibhava: The avatars are called Vibhavas. He who meditates on a Vibhava, Rama, Krishna, Nrisimha and the like will reach the world or laka of Rama, Krishna, Nrisimha and the like. From there, after meditation on the Supreme Being, he will go to Paramapada. This is how two scholars of repute explained this passage to me-

CHAPTER

idea is expressed in the sloka := "Though" you live for a hundred years, I will always be your servant. Therefore give me orders saying - "Do this in this beautiful place." Here all the successive stages of realisation (or fruit) which are stated (by Sri Ramanuja) in the (Saranjūgati) Gadya in the sentence with the word pāramārthika are also implicitly suggested in accordance with the pramānas.

THE MEANING OF MĀ SUCHAH (DO NOT GRIEVE - DO NOT DESPAIR)

Thereafter the words " Do not grieve " reveal the certainty of what has been stated before ".

There are some (commentators) who hold that "Do not grieve" enjoins something to be avoided. (According to them), if, after a man has performed *prapatti*, he grieved or fell intu despair, he would be violating the injunction and the Saviour who is the *upäya* would become indifferent and leave him to look after hiuself. The man would thus become liable, in their opinion, to this disadvantage or risk. This view is opposed to their own commentary on the sins committed deliberately after *prapatti* and on the words "from all sins". It is also opposed to the texts in the *srutis* and the *smritis* which declare that the Saviour will never forsake the man who has sought His protection. Therefore since all causes for grief or despair have disappeared in the case of the man who has adopted this $up\bar{u}ya$, it repeats that there is absolutely no cause for despair and airus at confirming the mao's faith.

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :--

Many causes of sorrow (in the case of Arjuna) like those concerning the destruction of relatives have already been removed by appropriate and excellent teaching. Now the sorrow or despair caused by the thought that the *dharma* or rites enjoined (for *mukti*) are too difficult to perform, that the hindrances to *mukli* are insuperable and that the end in view can be attained only after protracted delay — it is this sorrow that is now dispelled.

(The Lord) intends to say:— After I have taught you this $up\bar{a}ya$, which, without being too hard to adopt for the end desired by you, is also capable of annulling all hindrances that stand in the way and is not liable to cause any (undue) delay in the attainment of that aim — after this has been taught to you, there is no room for despair due to such things as the difficulty of adopting an $up\bar{a}ya$. If you adopt this upaya, your welfare is my burden or responsibility and I shall myself be interested in looking after it. If I do not protect you, it will be a reproach to me. You are, as it were, my property or wealth (to look after) and there is no reason why you should grieve ".

The grief that is here put an end to is not the old sorrow caused (in Arjuna's mind) by the thought of killing (his) relatives. It is a different kind of grief that has to be consistent with the If it be asked how, the answer is as follows :- "The context. rich qualifies¹⁸² that distinguish the nature of the gods lead to moksha, the qualities that make for the nature of the Asuras are the causes that lead to bondage ". When the two were thus distinguished, Arjuna was grieved at the thought of what he should do if he belonged to the class of Asuras. Sri Krishna, who understood this, said to him :- "O Pandava! do not grieve. You are born to attain the rich qualities of the gods ". Similarly here, when (Arjuna) is extremely desirous of attaining the supreme end, he grieves at the thought that the $\mu \rho \bar{\mu} \gamma \sigma$ taught so far has to be adopted for a long time, and is subject to many hindrances, while being extremely difficult of performance even for those who are very careful. At the thought of that updays and of his own (want of) capacity for adopting it, be grieved, saying to himself :- "How can I succeed in adopting this $\mu \rho \bar{a} \gamma a$ and secure the end in view ?" To Arjuoa who was in despair (at this thought), Sri Krishna shows

^{123,} Bhagavad Gita = 16 - 5.

another $up\bar{a}ya$ which can be duly performed in a single instant, which is without any obstacles, and which is (also) easy of performance. By doing so, He makes Arjunn care-free and certain in regard to the attainment of the desired end and then says, "Do not grieve". So the words should certainly be understood as intended to dispel the despair due to such things as the difficulty of adopting the (former) $up\bar{a}y\sigma$. (It is only this grief that is here put an end to and no other).

In this Bhagavad Gita, the Gitacharya, at first, imparts a knowledge of the difference between prakriti (matter, the body) and the soul or self; then He describes karma yoga and jnana yoga which are induced means leading to moksha; thereafter He teaches bhakti yoga with its augas, which has been enjoined as the direct means of attaining moksha and says :- "Thus" has been taught to you the judna which is the most secret of all secrets. Consider all these and then do what you desire to do". Though He perceived the dissatisfaction that passed over Arjuna's face at that instant, Sri Krishna did not at once favour him with instructions concerning the easier upilya, for it has been said: • The Lord of "sthe world tests the minds of those who are weak of heart ". Instead of declaring the final conclusion by saying :---"This is the direct means of attaining moksha and is supremely good to you. Act in accordance with this " -- instead of saying so, he says :- " Do whatever you wish to do," as if He were indifferent (and not interested in Arjuna's spiritual welfare) like one who tells a traveller, "This ""route leads to the land of the Viderbhes and this other to the laod of the Kosalas. (Take whichever yon choose)". Sri Krishna makes others think that this is the cause of Arjuna's mental depression and enjoys the ma of instructing him once again in the principal meaos of attaining moksha viz., bhakti yoga. He begins by stating :- " I will teach you again this greatest secret of all", and in two stokus explains

124. Bhagavad Gita : 8-63 125. Vishnu-dharma : 74-89.

126. Mababharata: Aranya parva - Nala's words to Damayanti:

to him, conclusively and with deep earnestness, what it is, so that he may remember that it is just what has already been taught. On seeing that Arjuna's depression has become twice as great as before, the Supreme Ruler, who is (now) the Charioteer, feels that Arjuna is now in a state of fitness to receive instruction in regard to the means of attaining moksha (viz. prapatti) which is the supreme secret and is, at the same time, extremely easy of adoption. Without the least delay, even without prefacing that $up\bar{a}ya$ with any praise, the Lord immediately teaches him to perform $s\bar{a}ran\bar{u}$ gati towards Himself. Thus acting as the Charioteer also to the chariot of Arjuna's mind, (*i. e.* to all his aspirations), the Lord dispels all his griefs. That the grief which is here put an end to is therefore different from the old grief coocerning the destruction of his relatives etc.) thus becomes clear after a careful consideration of the context (io which the words occur).

In the first half of this sloka, since (the Lord) says to the man who is destitute of all other $up\bar{u}yas$, "Take refuge under me alone," the man who is incapable (of making any endeavour) surrenders the resposibility (of his protection) into the hands of One who has the ability. Further the independent and omnipotent Seshi, who is compassionate, accepts the responsibility. Therefore (in the first half of the sloka), the prapauna becomes free from all responsibility in regard to his own protection. And since the Seshi, who is composed to his own protection. And since the Seshi, who is composed to his own protection. And since the Seshi, who is composed to his own protection. And since the Seshi, who is composed to his own protection. And since the Seshi, who is composed to his own protection. And since the Seshi, who is composed to his own protection. And since the Seshi, who is composed to his own protection. And since the Seshi, who is composed to his own protection the seshi second the second the responsibility and is so gracious as to say .—" I will release you from all sins", there is absolutely no likelihood of any evils like hell ensuing in the future. Freedom from fear results from this sloka, as also freedom f.com all doubt, because these are the glorious and true words of Sri** Ranganatha Himself, who is ever truthful.

(**NOTE: Here the reference is to the words of Sri Ranganatha as recorded in Saranagati Gadya: "Therefore be free from all uncertainty about the attainment of knowledge coacerning myself, about having a vision of myself and also about the attainment of myself)." Therefore, if one has been told about this special $up\bar{u}ya$ and if one adopts it, one will have no grief or despair, at all, in connection with that $up\bar{u}ya$.

Here it may be asked :--- From the words "Do not grieve", it is evident that grief (at one's being without $\mu p \bar{a} \gamma a s$) makes one competent for propatti; how then could it be stated that fear is also one of the marks of competency for *prapatti*, as shown in the following stoka := I dread⁴⁴⁷. O, best of gods, at the sight of this samsara which fills me with fear. Save me, O Lord of the lotus eves. I know of no other upaya than Thee", and in the Tamil verse:- " Embrace "this red-hot iron pillar, O, sinner "; in dread at these words, I have come and sought Thy feet for protection." To this question the answer is as follows :- Having realised that the end desired by him has not been so far attained, the man preves; he is also afraid at the prospect, in future, of formidable obstacles to the end desired by him. Therefore (he has both grief and fear) In the case of the aspirant to mukti, when either of the two vis., the annalment of what is undesirable and the attainment of what is desired is mentioned, the other also comes (to the mind). (n the same way when either of the two marks of competency for prapatti, viz., grief and fear, is mentioned, the other is also taken for granted. In the man who is absolutely without upayas (and is therefore fit for performing prapatti). these two, grief and fear, are excessive. Therefore by way of conclusion, this adlakārī who is overwhelmed with excessive grief is taught the updya which is appropriate for him, and is thereby made free of all doubt, free from all responsibility (concerning ins protection), free from all four and thus delighted at heart. On this extreme fear, we have already stated as follows : - " The Lord has came¹²⁸, the Lord who said : - " Then that art afraid of samsura, come and seek protection from me ".

127. Jitanta stotram : 1-8 128. Peria Tirumozhi : 1-6-4 129. Tirucchinnamalai : 8

The propagna who has done what he ought to do(i, e)prapatti, should (continue) to perform the rites and duties (ordained for his varya and üsrama) (nitya and naimittika), which are among the kainkaryas done by the virtuous : such karma as is described in the following stoka :- " Do that" karma which, when performed, does not yield any fruit and which, when omitted, will bring in ceit." This is evident from the passages which are found before and after this stoka and also in this very stoka, where it is said that the omission will cause evil. Therefore since (the prapanna) has no uncertainty concerning the attainment of the desired end, since he has nothing further to do for the sake of moksha, since what he has yet to perform is only the keeping of (the Lord's) commands for their own sake, and since, if offences should be committed (there-after), they could easily be atoned for by repentance and the like as pointed out in another chapter -there is no reason why he should not feel delighted at heart. Though this delight is mingled with disgust (nirveda) in the intelligent (prapanna) who is still connected with such unwholesome things as the body, yet the two may exist without any inconsistency as the disgust and the delight are due to different factors respectively.

The words "Do not grieve" are, themselves, an indication of the cancellation of all causes of grief; even that portion of the *karma* which has already begun to operate (*prārabdha*) and which will cause grief will be cancelled and the man who is so impatient as not to be capable of existing even for a moment longer will attain *moksha* at that very instant. As stated in the Tamil verse: "The Lord is^{tat} one who, to those that seek His protection, grants Vaikunta at the time of their death ", we have to believe that all that unexpired part of the *karma* which has already begun to operate (*prārabdha*) will be annulled and that *moksha* will be at the death of this body. Therefore if, in this bodily existence itself, it be felt by a man) that what remains of this life is an evil, it will also

130. Lakshmi-tantram: 17.

131. Tiruvoymozhi: 9 - 10 - 5.

certainly be cancelled by the will of the omnipotent Lord whose grace has been secured by *prapatti*.

(In this connection it may be asked whether it is not a sin to desire to die immediately after performing prapatti). The answer is as follows:—)

SANSKRIT SLOKAS :--

In some forms of explation for sins $(pr\bar{a}yaschitta)$ and likewise, in certain kinds of offering (made in the fire) like sarvasoāra karma, it is not considered a sin if the man gives up life (by casting the body down a precipice or in the fire). So also there is no impropriety in praying for instant death in the saranägati of the prapanna who cannot bear to continue in samsära even for a moment ($\bar{a}rta$). On the other hand, the prapanna who desires to live a long life (dripta) commits an offence, if he violates the rule in the sästras which enjoins the protection of one's own life.

In the same way as yogis cast off their hodies in virtue of certain yogas practised by them, there is nothing to prevent the man who, while performing *prapatti*, longs to die immediately. It cannot be said that such casting off of the body is not permitted to those who are in Kali yuga, like entrance into holy waters for giving up one's life there. In fact the *ärtha prapanna* who prays for immediate death is one who saves his self sooner than all others.

Propannas are spoken of as belonging to two classes $\bar{a}rtas$ and driptas on account of the difference in the intensity of the grief felt by them respectively, (It should not, therefore, be thought that the latter viz., the dripta has no grief. The dripta prapanna is one who grieves at the thought of another janma and believes that he will some day or other attain moksha and has the patience to wait until the end of this existence. The word dripta is here used not in (the usual) sense of one who has arrogance or pride, which is a quality opposed to the virtues of the soul and leads one to treat good men with disesteem. The word $\bar{A}rta \cdot prapanna$

556

is used here to denote the man who, in this life, looks upon even Brahma's position of lordship as an obstacle to the perfect enjoyment of Bhagavan, who feels that his present association with the body is unbearable as with a raging fire, and who, therefore, cannot endure existence even for a single moment after the performance of prapatti. He is, therefore, like one who prays, "Be pleased"¹⁸² to make my body and my life-breath perish." He is not the arta referred to in the Gitä (7 - 16) "Artho, jijniisub, artharthi, etc.," where arta means "one who prays for the recovery of his lost wealth or lordship"

There are some who are of opinion that, in Sri Rama's words:-"Whether ""the man is an $\ddot{a}rta$ (who cannot bear delay in the attainment of the desired end) or **a** dripta (who has no such impatience), the man who seeks refuge should (always) be protected", there is ativita or exaggeration in that even the driptawho is proud or arrogant is stated to be worthy of protection. Whatever that may be, there is no room for dispute in regard to this passage where moksha is promised to the man who grieves for the evils of samsaira but can bear to live till the end of this life and then attain moksha, for he is not one who is proud or arrogant.

The use of the future tense in mokshayishyāmi (I will release you) is not inappropriate in the case of the *iirta* who prays for immediate release from the body, for the end desired is to come after the adoption of the upüya and the word is used at the time when the $up\overline{u}ya$ is taught (*i. e.* before the adoption of the upuya).

These classifications into *urtas* and *driptas* arise on account of differences in the intensity of the Lord's grace which are due to the differences in the good deeds performed by them before.

Such statements as are made in the *slokas* :--- "The man¹³⁸ who has performed *prapatti* after learning the truth experiences,

in this life, the consequences of his *prärabdha* karma alone (*i. e.*) that karma which has begun to yield its fruit in this life, and thereafter attains moksha " — such statements do not apply to the *ārta*. (Sri Ramanuja) has described what the *driptaprapanna* should do after the performance of *prapatti*. in his gadya, in the passagea beginning with :— "You will be¹⁰⁰ free from all trace of suffering or hindrance caused by the body, the elements (earth, water, fire, *etc.*,) or the gods " and ending with " Be free from all uncertainty in regard to knowledge concerning me and the vision and the attainment of myself".

The freedom from grief that is stated here (in the charamarioka) has no limiting factors. Therefore the causes of all forms of grief are stated here to be annulled for the man who has a knowledge of this $up\bar{a}ya$ and adopts it. By the teaching given here, mere knowledge (of the $up\bar{a}ya$) may exist without its adoption, but it would be of no use at all as stated in the stoka. "The song¹⁰ does not regulate (the actions of) the man though he may sing it very often. Creatures behave in accordance with their past impressions (väsana) like the bird^{*} ' Kulinga', which, though it utters the words mä sähasam kuru, (Do not behave rashly), continues to behave rashly". So in this stoka, it is implied that annohument of grief would be the fruit of a combination of both knowledge and action.

Therefore, here, all grief or despair that might arise in regard to the adoption of the $\mu p \bar{\alpha} y a$, whether before its adoption or after it, or in the middle of it -- all this grief is here (said to be) annulled.

If it be asked how, the answer is as follows;— Despair may arise in many forms;— (1) in regard to one's competency for the $up\bar{a}ya$, (2) in regard to (the potency of) the $up\bar{a}ya$ itself, (3) in

140. Saranagati gadya,
 141. Mahabharata: Sabhaparva: 42-21.
 (*NOTE) Kulinga: a fabulous bird whose cries are said to resemble the words ma sahasam kuru. The bird, however, attempts to snatch the flesh from between the jaws of the lico.

regard to the things that the prapanna should do after prapatti, and so also (4) in regard to the end to be attained including the full and perfect service or kainkarya to be rendered. (1) Among them, this dharma called sarayāgati, which is to be adopted, is not confided to any particular family (jäti) caste or äsrama. Provided there are the desire for attaining the end in view and faith in the upāya which is the means for that attainment and such things as knowledge of one's being destitute (of other upäyas), there is no reason why any one should despair at the thought that he may not be competent (to adopt the upäya).

(2) The (südhya) upuya (prapatti) is capable of being performed or adopted in a single instant with all its accessories, it is easy of performance; it does not need to be repeated; it does not take long to bear fruit; its accessories are not difficult; it is capable of yielding the desired fruit just at the time when it is desired; therefore to the man who is destitute (of other upityas) and who is afraid of delay (in attaining the desired end), there is no reason for despair at all thinking that he may have to adopt other upityas like bhakti, which have to be practised for a long time with all accessories thereof, which are difficult of performance even to those who are very careful and whose accessories are also equally difficult to accomplish and which yield the desired fruit only after a long time.

The Saviour who is propitiated by this easier *upaya* and who is to grant the desired end is easily accessible to all, inspires confidence, is supremely compassionate and is independent and omnipotent without subjection (to any one else). Therefore there is no reason why one should despair at the thought of the Siddhopäya (the Lord).

(3) After the performance of this upaya, none of the good deeds that may be done by a man either by way of keeping the commands (of the Lord) or winning His approval is an auxiliary or anga for prapatti. Therefore even if, owing to adverse circumstances of place and time, there are short-comings in their perfor-

mance, there is no reason for grief or despair at the thought that these shortcomiogs might adversely affect the accessories (for they are not its accessories).

If, in dread of certain sins due to prarabdha karma which render a man absolutely unfit for all karma like a piece of burnt cloth, by causing a man to offend the great devotees (of God) deliberately, if, in such cases, the man desires at the time of the first prapatti that his actions after prapatti should be free from all such offence or if he performs a later prapatti for avoiding these offences — if he does these, there is no reason for grief or sorrow at the thought that offences may happen to be committed in future. Even if, at the time of the first prapatti, one has not prayed for a later life free of all offence, in case offences are committed deliberately, the Saviour of those that have no (other) refuge who stated, " I will never forsake" the man who comes to me in the guise of a friend " will create repentance in his mind, and induce him to perform explation or prayaschitta which (in this case) is a further prapatti. If the man is too stubborn to feel repentant, (the Lord) will cause sufferings by way of punishment and thus prevent him from committing further offences. Thus before the time when the attainment of the desired end is prayed for the expisition will be over. Therefore the prapauna need not feel grieved at the thought that great sufferings like those of hell would come to him as a result of deliberate offences committed by him which flash like lightning and disappear quickly.

(4) Since the arta prapauna will have the desired end at the very time of performing *prapatti*, there is no reason why he should feel grieved at the thought that the body which resembles hell would continue, (for the body has been thus described):— "If a man¹⁴⁶ is fond of his body constituted of flesh, blood, pus, foecal matter, urine, muscle, fat and hone — then he should be fond also of hell".

As for the dripta prapanna :-

All rites or *dharmas* of renunciation such as *karmayoga* will never be in vain as stated in the stoka :- " The beginning" that has been made in this karma-yoga will never prove futile. There is no harm in its being left incomplete; even a fraction of it saves a man from the great fear of samsūra" "The foundation that is laid is, as it were, a foundation of stone" and especially with regard to the man who has performed suranägati, it has been stated: "I will never forsake him." Further the dripta prapanna is certain of attaining what he desired, though it is delayed until the time specified by him. So the dripta prapanna has no reason to feel grieved at the thought that the good deed in the form of prapatti might be counteracted by certain evil deeds which might be thought capable of doing so, as has been said in the sloka "A yajua ¹⁴⁶performed by one loses its effect by untruthfulness, tapas perishes by arrogance, age becomes diminished by contemptoous talk of Brahmins and gifts of charity lose their virtue by their revelation." Since he has prayed for moksha at the end of this life, he has no reason to feel grieved at the thought that, owing to cortain prarabilha karma (which has begun to yield its fruit), he might have to take another birth.

Since he is a *prapanna* for no other object (than moksha). all his sins which might act as obstacles will be absolved; therefore he has no reason to grieve that the supreme end desired by him would suffer delay owing to such hindrances as the enjoyment of his mere disembodied self.

The Lord intends to say :-- "You have become the recipient of my grace which can cancel the causes of all torms of grief. So if, hereafter, you should entertain grief or despair, it would be as foolish as when you had no grief or despair before performing *prapatti*, while being subject to punishment by me. This grief or despair is not in keeping with the peculiar potency of this $up \bar{u}ya$,

144. Bhagavad Gita 2-40

with my glory as Siddhopäya who has accepted the responsibility of protecting you and with your state as one who has done what he ought to do by the surrender of all responsibilities to me." In their last moments $\ddot{a}ch\ddot{a}ryas$ teach their good disciples the purport given above of this last sentence, $m\ddot{a}$ such ah, " Do not grieve", which is the quintessence of the charama-siloka (the last siloka).

Among the words of this siloka (charamasiloka) are expressed explicitly by the words themselves or suggested implicitly by their meaning, the following ideas concerning this $up\bar{a}ya$; (I) the person who is competent for it, the preliminary condition of being destitute (of all other upilizas), the needlessness, for it, of any auxiliary rites which are too difficult to perform, the inappropriateness of trying to perform actions which are beyond one's power, the futility of obstinately attempting to do what is impossible (for one) this upily a being such as will not tolerate (the adoption of any other $up\bar{u}ya$; (2) the Saviour who alone can be of use to the aspirant for mukti, the Saviour's being perfect and full in such qualities as accessibility and good nature; the Saviour being the teacher of what is most salutary (hita); the end of attaioment (viz, the Lord) being Himself the means of attainment; His being capable of acting in all matters without being bindered (by any one) and without the aid of any one else; His expecting a mere pretext (or vyāja) for protecting (one): His not requiring the intervention of any other upitya: Ilis being capable of being propitiated without any other anxiliary rites : His being the Saviour who can grant whatever fruit is desired; His intolerance of the acceptance of any other protector; His being ever in the company of Sci (or Lakshmi); His capacity for being placed in the position of other upityas; His readiness to accept the responsibility or burden (of protecting one); (3) the Sādhyopäya which is of the nature of the surrender of responsibility; its ancillaries; its being within the competency of every one; its requiring to be performed only once; its being easy of performance; its capacity to bear fruit without delay its being potent enough to annul prārabilha karma; (4) the man who

performs prapatti being a doer or agent subject to the Supreme Self; his being subject to the authority of the stastrus; (5) The Saviour's supreme compassion; His being ever gracious; His independence and freedom from subservience to any one else; His being irresistible; Ilis not requiring any other aid, Ilis waiting for a pretext (to protect the jiva); (6) the prapanna being one who has done what he ought to do; the absence, on his part, of any need to do anything else (than prapatti) in regard to the $u p \bar{a} v a$ adopted by him or the fruit expected from it; his being extremely dear to Ehagavan; (7) the many obstacles (to mukti) past, present and future; and the varied nature of the different groups of obstacles, their being capable of annulment by the mere will of Iswara, the time of this annulment being dependent on the will of the prapanna; the nature of the annulment of these obstacles; (8) the manifestation of one's real and essential nature $(svar \overline{u} p a)$, which is different from the more enjoyment of one's disembodied self ; the full and perfect enjoyment of Bhagavan ; service of all kinds; freedom from coming back (to samsara); (9) the manifold causes of grief or despair before (the performance of prapatti), the freedom from such grief or despair after (its performance); freedom from all uncertainty, at all times of reflection; freedom from grief, freedom from fear, a peculiar delight, biding the time when the body will perish and the delight in the performance of service free from offence; these are the principal ideas (suggested) along with other things required for them.

A SUMMARY OF THE MEANING OF THE CHARAMA SLOKA:---

'This is the sum and substance of the meaning of the sloka :-

"Your knowledge is limited; your ability is insignificant; your life is short and you are also impatient of delay. Therefore do not go about seeking other *updyas* which you cannot (fully) understand, which you cannot easily adopt and which can bear fruit only

after much delay. Realise that J who am easy of access to all, who ain the Saviour of all the worlds, and who am endowed with all the attributes essential for a Saviour, am the only upaya and perform the surrender of the responsibility of protecting your self to me with its five angas. When you have adopted this upaya, you will have done what you ought to do, you will become my ward and be extremely dear to me. Supremely compassionate and gracions, independent and omnipotent, I will, myself, by my mere will and without any other aid, and for the fulfilment of my own purposes, free you from the manifold, endless, and insurmountable groups of obstacles without leaving any trace of I will enable you to have enjoyments similar to mine own, them. since you will enjoy myself and all that belongs to me. I will find delight in making you render all forms of service in all places, st all times and in all circumstances - service which will be of the nature of the overflow of the full and perfect enjoyment (of myself), You have absolutely no cause for grief."

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

This path (prapatti) has been disclosed (to us) by $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$ who resorted to that unique *dharma* (Siddhopäya) which can grant all (desired objects) and which is ever accompanied by Sri and who (by so doing) became free from (all) grief.

TAMIL VERSE:

Giving up those *dharmas* which have to be performed with extreme care, we were convinced that the true refuge for us is the feet of that Gopala which are fragrant with *intasī* and we resorted to them at once. By the gracious and faccinating words of that Supreme Person, we have become free from ignorance so that the *karma* which has been separated from us can never follow us.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:-

There are some (*icharyas*) who have understood the noble and charming *sloka* uttered by Hari which is like the gem *koustu-* bha taken out of the ocean of Vyasa's Veda (Mahābhārata). Its meaning finds confirmation in the ways of the world (or the smritis) and in the paths of the Vedas. On hearing the words of these ($\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$) which are like steps in the staircase that leads to the mansion of makti, great souls like Vaisampayana and Sounaka nod their heads (in approval and admiration).

Here ends the third part of Srimad Rahasyatrayasara called "The Interpretation of the meaning of the three rahasyas or mysteries by a study of the grammatical construction of the words and sentences in them".

PART IV

THE PATH OF SPIRITUAL TRADITION

30. THE CHAPTER ON THE DUTIES OF AN ACHARYA.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:--

The noble $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$, who longs to attain the primeval and heavenly abode of Vishnu, lights up the imperishable lamp of spiritual tradition (sampradaya) in some deserving disciple who has been tested in various and well-known ways and found fit (to receive the light). (He lights up this lamp) with true affection (sneha) when the disciple is in a state of mind (dasā) free from unworthy qualities* (guna) like a well-tested and well-burnished vessel with oil (sneha) poured into it and with a wick (dasā) made of pure threads (guna).

TO WHOM SHOULD THE ACHARYA IMPART SPIRITUAL KNOWLEDGE :--

It has been said :-- "Thou' art the Eather of this world consisting of things that move about and things that do not move. Thon art also its gurn; therefore, Thon art the greatest of those who deserve to be worshipped." and again, "We request⁹ permission of all (those who are assembled here) to show our reverence to Sri Krishna who deserves, in virtue of the perfection of all his (guod) qualities, the foremost tokens of reverence, and who is (at the same time) the *ächārya*, the Father, the gurn and one fit to be honoured." As stated in these slokas, the Lord of all is the Snpreme *Achārya*. From Him has come down to us the spiritual

^{(*}NOTE:-- The words gunn. sneha and dasa have each two meanings respectively:-- I. good qualities and thread; 2. affection and oil; 3. state and wick)

^{1.} Bhagavad Gita: 11 - 43.

^{2.} Mahabharata : Sabha parva : 41 - 21. (Sahadeva's words to the assembled princes at the end of the Rajasuya sacrifice).

tradition of noble ächaryas. The (true) ächärya collects together the meanings of the three great scorets (mantras) whose delightful flavour cannol, like the milk of the lioness, be appreciated by strangers. He makes a brief summary of them and then meditates on them. (How and to whom, after doing so, he should teach these truths is described in the following slokas :). "He who" guards this meaning from those who are unworthy and imparts it to those who are worthy -- he is fit to be honoured by me." " This (the Bhagavad Gitā) is not to be taught to those who have not performed tapas. It should never be taught to the man who has no devotion or *bhakti* and who does not show eagerness to learn it. It should never be imparted to the man who hates me. He who teaches this greatest of secrets to my devoters will show parabhakti or deep devotion to me and will attain me. There is no doubt of this," " This great" sustra should not be taught to men who are not constantly repeating the Vedas. This suistra will impart wisdom to the man who is eager to learn it and bows devoutly to the guru for attaining wisdom. This should not be imparted to the man who is ever in the habit of telling lies; (it should not be imparted) to deceitful men, to ennuchs, to men that are mentally perverse, to those who are so conceited as to think that they are learned when they are not truly so, and to those who cause affliction to others. I will tell you of the man who deserves to he taught (this scientra). Listen. It should be taught to the man who has faith, who is virtuous, who never indulges in vilifying others, who can understand sound reasoning and who is capable of grasping what is taught. (It should be taught) to the man who performs the rites and duties (ordained for him) and who endures the inconveniences and discomforts arising from their performance, to the man who is intent on doing what is good to the world and who desires to live in solitude. (It should be taught) to the man who delights in the injunctions of the sastras, who dreads vain argumentation and who has learnt much from *āchāryas*. It should be

^{3.} Satva samhita : 25 - 375- 5. Mahabharata : Santi parva :

^{4.} Bhagavad Gita: 18 - 67 - 68.

^{313 - 32 - 38.}

taught to the man who is grateful for the help rendered to him, who considers patience and compassion as desirable virtues and who looks upon all eternal souls as recembling himself. Wise men declare that this stastra should not be taught to those who have not these virtues. When the sastra is imported to the unworthy, it will not bring good to the teacher for that very reason. Even if this earth is filled with gems and offered (to one), one should not. O king, impart this statra to the man who has not subjected himself to discipline. This noble sastra may certainly be taught to the man who has subdued his senses. You need not be, in the least, afraid, O Karala. You have been taught this sustra treating of the Supreme Brahman. You have been taught the Supreme Brahman that has no beginning, no middle and no end, that is free from sorrow and that is most holy." "If a fit "disciple cannot be found, the man who is fit to teach this vidyā may (even) die with his vidyā or learning. It should, on no account, even at the time of great adversity, be sown in a desert". "Ignorant' men who consider themselves learned and hypocrites who pretend to observe dharma while they are really doing adharma - these man under the disguise of the virtuous harass (good) meo who practise dharma. He who has skill in performing well such actions as would lead to moksha but who, elsewhere, follows the ways of the world — he is looked down upon as a guru of a low type. Many are the men who are foolish; the man with a clear intelligence may occasionally be found somewhere and only one among many. He is a man with a virtuous mind who will never allow his intelligence to be clouded by men that are silly. Trust should never be placed in any man; this is a matter for careful consideration, especially, in Kali yuga. Great singers confound the intellects of the weak minded with perverse reasons spouted forth like a downpoar of rain. One should guard dharma from the unfit and perform dharma. Nover teach anything to one who does not beg for it. Even when asked, secret meanings or the mysteries of religion and the true doctrine abould

6 Manu Smriti : 2-113

568

not be revealed (lightly). To him who has sought one, with true devotion, who has constant faith in the stastras, who is pure and is prepared to learn in accordance with the rules - to him one should teach everything. The man who teaches the saturas to the unworthy for winning the respect of others, for wealth, for being honoured by others and for getting rid of the suffering (caused by poverty) --- that man strays from the right path. (You) may sow the seed in a desert, give (your) daughter in marriage to a eunuch and give a garland of flowers to a monkey; but (you) should never impart (instruction) in the seastra to one who is unworthy". " This great mantra should not be taught⁶ to an atheist, to one who is insincere, or who is not devout, to one who delights in harassing others and to a miser. This mantra is not fit to be taught to such as these. It should be taught by the achieva to the man who is sincere, to one who has devotion to his gurn, to the man who has devotion to Vishnu and who is intent on doing good to all beings. These two things should be borne well in mind." " Thus "have I uttered words which are like a garland to the Lord and words that would delight His servants, for the sake of the enjoyment of the Lord which is sweet as ambrosia ". "Here have I ¹⁰taught deep truths in the form of verses each of which begins with the last word of the previous verse (antiidi). Considering their great value, receive them without casting them among the unworthy". As stated in such treatises as the above, viz., Sätvata, Bhagavad Gitä, the discourse between Vasishta and Karala, Sandilya Smriti and Satyaki tantra, the ancient acharvas would teach only to those who had such qualities as goodness and faith and who would therefore be approved of by the Saviour. (They would teach these truths) only to those who were of the nature of the gods (Devas) as described in the following slokas :-- "These "are the qualities, O. Bharata, which enrich man with a nature similar to that of the gods :- fearlessness, a mind free from the taint of rajas and tamas, meditation on the essential nature of the

8. Satyaki tantra

Nanmugan Tiruvandadi ; 1

9, Tiruvoymozhi:9-4-9

11. Bhagavad Gita: 16-1-3

570

self, charitableness, subjugation of the senses, the performance of yajnas or sacrifice, the study of ones Veda, austerities like fasting, being the same in thought, word and deed, refraining from doing evil to others, speaking that truth which will be wholesome to other beings, freedom from anger, giving up what is productive of evil to oneself, control of the mind, refraining from tale-bearing, compassion to all creatures, freedom from desires, accessibility to the good, shamefulness at the thought of doing what is wicked, serenity of mind even when the objects of sense-pleasure are very near, never yielding to the vicious, forbearance even when injured by others, mental courage in continuing to do one's duties even in times of great adversity, the purity (of body and mind) that is necessary for the performance of such rites as are enjoined in the suistra, freedom from treachery and freedom from conceit." So also, it has been said; "Creation" is of two kinds:- the god-like and *äsuric*. The god like are, by nature, always full of devotion to Vishna. The Asuric are the very opposite of this." Those onen with a nature like that of the gods would be tested hy (our) acharyas for ascertaining their real character as stated in the following stokas:- "The disciple" should be tested in various ways for a period of one year, or half of it, or (at least) three months and he should be taught with compression and without any thought of gain and the like", and "If a man" has come by chance from a distant land with a mind full of the love of the fiord, he should be taught what he descres without any prolonged tests ". (Having ascertained his fitness), the ächarya would reveal (spiritual truths) in such a manner that six cars could not hear them (i, e,that it could be heard only by the sishya's two ears and the guru's two ears and no other) and only to a disciple who had no desires and was content with what he had in regard to other things and who was keenly desirous only of learning the sustras. Acharyas of olden days would guard these secrets from asuric natures which are different and which have, as their possessions, such attributes as the

12 Vishnudharma : 109--74

13. Sandilya Smriti: 1-116

20. Vishnu dharma 109-74

^{19.} Satvata sambita : 21-45.

following: — Performing ^{20A} dharma for the sake of praise, delight in the enjoyment of sense-pleasures which make one indifferent to the knowledge of what is good and what is evil, irrational arrogance, anger, harshness of speech and folly ". They would keep the sustra hidden like a wealthy man who would (guard) a cherished treasure and see to its proper use:

THE NATURE OF THE TEACHING :---

They would teach their sishyas such truths as the following :---"There is a self or atma which is ctornal and (1) Tattva. different from the body and the senses. Besides these two viz., the sentient beings and non-sentient things, there is a Supreme Self who dwells within all of them as their ruler and who is the seshi (for whom they exist). Other than this Supreme Self there is no one, either oneself or others, that can protect the self". (2) Hita : They will also teach the means (hita) by which the end can be attained, in the following words to be ultered by the sishya-"From beginningless time, I have been in samsara subject to the cycle of births and deaths. In order that I may not have such sufferings as dwelling within the embryo, I pray that Thou shouldst grant me Thy holy feet and save me." (With these words) and with the utterance of Doaya, preceded by obeisance to the succession of gurus, taught graciously by the acharyas, the sishya should be taught to seek the feet of Narayana and Sri and surrender his self and whatever is his, as also the burdens or responsibilities connected with them, When the good $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$ has thus introduced the s ishya to the Lord, the s ishya should be made to feel assured that the Lord would never, thereafter, forsake him so that he may live the rect of his life here in the spirit of good will to every one and free from all offence and his later life may become the prelude to moksha. Thus he would teach the sishya what he should do after the surrender of responsibility (bharanyasa) in as blief a manner as would suit the aptitude of the sishya.

20-A. Bhagayad Gita, If-4

SANSKRIT SLOKA :---

"The soul or self is different from *prakriti* or matter; greater than the self is the Saviour who is the Ruler (over all); the supreme good of man consists in the surrender of the responsibility of the self to Him; the end (attained thereby) is existence solely for the fulfilment of His purposes". In these words our spiritual teachers whose sole wealth was their compassion gave us, of their own accord, as a heritage, the (spiritual) wealth divided into three classes (viz., tattva, hita, purushārtha), when we had surrendered ourselves to them.

All these words of mine on the three secrets (mantras) found in the earlier and later chapters) are in accordance with the spiritual tradition of Vedānta Udayana, otherwise called, Madappalli iachān, (the preceptor in charge of the kitchen), (who propagated the truths of Vedanta like Udayana commenting on Tarkasidstra). Kidambi Appullar made me learn these words like a parrot, just as he had learnt them from his own iichiirya (his father). (Therefore) these words are those that illumined his mind owing to the compassion of the Lord and that were guarded by him without forgetfulness and taught to me without any error.

TAMIL VERSE:--

Those lamps (of spiritual wisdom) which lighted the threshold of a certain house (in Tirukkovatur), when, of old, the cow-herd (Sri Krishna) who vouchs fed His grace (even) to cattle, came of His own accord and squeezed Himself arong those three ancient singers (the first three " $iilv\bar{a}rs$)—Those lamps lighted there (*i.e.* their songs) dispelled the darkness of the land and revealed the path indicated in the Upanisheds which form the concluding part of the four Vedas.

NOTE*: Peyalvar, Poygai Alvar, Bhutattalvar:

TAMIL VERSE:-

Our great \bar{a} chairy as, who were absolutely free from ignorance and error, lighted this undying lamp out of compassionate grace, in the minds of worthy disciples who had grown rich in the true wealth of the performance of ordained rites in a manner which woold accord with their knowledge. (They did so) because they desired that the whole world (of men) should become free from ignorance and adorn their heads with the Lord's feet owing to their longing for Paramapada,

SANSKRIT SLOKA :--

The *āchārya* who obeys the successive commands of (the Lord who is) the first *āchārya* regulates (the minds and actions of) his *sishyas* by methods of instruction which *create in them noble qualities similar to those in himself and which are like irresistible waves of the celestial sea of his boundless compassion. By doing so, he saves not only the disciple but bimself also under the guise of saving him.

(* NOTE : Gunasamktamah may mean also "which create a path for the entrance of their noble qualities into their disciples ".)

31. THE CHAPTER ON THE DUTIES OF A SISHYA.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :-

The sishya or disciple should be staunch in his devotion to the guru; he should be intent on doing such things as extending the renown (of his guru) (and rendering service to him); he should inve the greatest regard for such things as his guru's property and his house, he should guard the spiritual tradition in order to haud it on to another who is worthy; the sishya who is grateful and is perfect in his performance (of the ordained rites) is he not sure to attain the treasure (viz.) Bhagavan?

THE SURVICE RENDERED BY THE SISHYA TO THE GURU IS IN NO WAY A RECOMPENSE :

The stastras say that since the acharya reveals the meanings (and mysteries) of religion like one who reveals to a poor man the existence of a great treasure within his house, the sishya should feel grateful to the *āchārya*, who is the great benefactor, and never do anything against his interests. (The sastras declare that the sishya should behave in this way) merely in order that he may not be looked upon with contempt by (those who are in) the two vibhūtis (i. e) this world, the Ula vibhūti and the region of eternal glory (nitya vibhūti) and by the Lord who has these (two) vibhūtis. (They give this advice) in order that the (sishga) may not resemble such men as Hiranya and Ravana who did evil to Prahlada and Vibhishana for giving them wholesome advice. It has been stated :--- " The man' who steals learning (by listening to the *acharya* without bis permission and in hiding), the man who does evil to his guru, and the man who speaks ill of the Vedas and of the Lord who rules over all - these men, the scrutis declare, should be punished at once". So when the sishya is asked to behave properly to the guru, it is not to say that, by doing so, he will make due requital or recompense (for what the guru has done to him), but that he would then avoid the evil consequences for himself (referred to above).

It is said :- "The sishya" should surrender his body, his property and his life-breath to his guru", and likewise it is said, "The sishya" should give his guru as dakshina the whole of his wealth or one half of it or one fourth of it. If he is unable to do so, he should give whatever he can." These stokas state only some of the duties of the sushya like prostration (namaskara) and salutation (abhivadana). They do no not consider this gift as a requital or recompense to the *acharya*, who does not expect any such return and imparts instruction merely as a matter of duty: for it is said: "The guru' should teach out of compassion and without any desire (for profit)"

When it is stated in the Vedantas that the sishya should behave towards the guru as towards Bhagayan (Himself) and should have as devoted an affection to the gurn as to the Lord, when it it said, "The sishya should" never misbehave either with the mind or with the speech or with the body and should behave towards him as if he is not different or separate from himself " and further, "The sishya" should adore the acharya as he does Bhagavan "--when witters like Sandilya and Apastamba say so, they do not prescribe the offer of a fee or recompense. They give the advice merely in order that the sishya who has the sastra for his eyes may not become blind of vision with eyes wide open, and in order that he, poor from his birth onward, may not lose this (blissful) experience which resembles the (blissful) experience of Bhagavan. That all this is no fee or recompense (to the guru) has been declared by Sandilya Bhagavan in the following stoke :--"Even the' gods cannot make a recompense for the gift of the knowledge of God. The sishya should (therefore) give whatever lies in his power to the acharya who has given him (this) instruction." When it is said " whatever lies in his power ", it means

2. Vihageswara Samhita:

- 3. ??
- Sandilya smriti.

- 5. Sandilya smriti : 1 118.
- Apastamba dharma: 1 2 6 18.
 Sandilya smriti: 1 117.

that it should be given as an outlet for his affection and regard. The *sishya* should, on no account, consider himself as having thereby recompensed his *guru*.

THE DUTIES OF THE SISHYA :---

The sishya, who is thus without any means of recompensing (his gurn), should consider it his duty to behave as follows :----He should not let the instructions imparted to him become useless like water kept in a skull, by actions and observances opposed to them; for it has been said :- " Water' kept in a skull and milk preserved in a water-skin made of dog's leather become worthless owing to the impurity of the containers. So also does the sastra taught to one who misbehaves. The sishya should not make mere learning an end in itself, for it is said : " That stistra "which, having been earnt from the *acharya*, does not lead to non-attachment (to worldly things), to the performance of dharma or to serenity of mind --- that sāstra, however beautiful in its diction and style, is as useless to the man as the cawing of a crow," He should not eat the vomit, as it were, by making the stastra which comes out of his mouth the means of his livelihood. He should not suake the stra the prostitute's adornment, the sandal paste (chandana) made only for sale, the bag of parched rice emptied in a thoroughfare, or the garland of flowers in the monkey's hands, for it has been said in ridicule :--- Learned ** men who study their subjects carefully, again and again, owing to their greed for money make their learning useful to others like prostitutes adorning their persons again and again to serve (the lusts of) others."

Learning approached the Brahmin and said, " 1^n am your wealth; guard me with cara; do not give me away to those who have no liking (for me). If you guard me in this manner 1 shall become very powerful". As desired in those words, learning should not be betrayed into the hands of those who already clasp hate and the like in their bands.

^{8.} Mahabharata : Santiparva ; 35-42: 10. ?? 9. Itihase Samucchaya : 14-43 11. Manusmiti : 2-114

The sishya should clearly realise that he cannot make any recompense to the *āchārya* who has enabled him, though born blind, to become fit for the assembly of the immortals that are free from ignorance and error. As taught in the sloka : " The man who worships Bhagavan alone (the ekiintin) should, while speaking of himself, call himself the follower of Vishnu. He should never refer to himself by his village, or his family, for, to him, Bhagavan is every thing ". Superior to this is the state (described by Viswamitra while addressing Dasaratha) : - "This is "indeed befitting one who was born of a noble race and who always calls himself Vasishta's disciple. No one else would call himself so, O best of kings ". The srishya should realise that the Saviour* Himself was born in such a family and adopted this form of referring to himself and should adopt it in his own life saying :- " You have "reformed me from wickedness. What requital can I make to you?"

THE IMPARTING OF INSTRUCTION SHOULD BE PRECEDED BY MEDITATION OF THE SUCCESSION OF GURUS :--

When the *sishya* hands over the great wealth of the truths contained in the gist of the three mysteries (*mantras*) thus acquired by him (from his *acharya*) to one who, as pointed out in an earlier chapter, has been found fit for it, he should impart instruction first on the succession of *acharyas* and reveal also his gratitude (to them) and the sacredness of those truths. As an example are quoted the words of the Brahmarishi Sri Parasara to Bhagavan Maitreya:-- "Brahma, born of the lotus, the graod-father of all, first gave instruction to great sages like Daksha at their request, In that same way was the instruction imparted by them to King Purukutsa on the banks of the Narbada. He banded it over to Sarasvata and by Sarasvata was it imparted to me". When the

(NOTE* SAL RAMA) 12. Visbyaksena Samhita 13. Ramayana: Blakanda: 19-2

- 14. Tiruvoymozhi: 2-7-8
- 15. Vishnupurana. 1-2-8-9,

man who imparts instruction in the mysteries of the science of the soul is without a tradition and teaches (merely) on the strength of his own reading of the books or of what he over-heard from (a hiding place) behind a wall, he will, like one who wears stolen jewels. be ever in dread of those that see him. Moreover it may even become sinful, for it has been said :-- " The mantra" which is learnt by mere chance by one in hiding, under some pretext or from books - that mantra will be of no avail; on the other hand, it may even cause evil ". Even when a man has learnt from a guru and then teaches, if he should learn and teach against the regulations enjoined for it, he would create disgust in the minds of onlookers like one who wears jewels made from the money given to him along with "Kata "* (These regulations) may be seen in the following sclokas :— " Bow to the guru," ask for explanations, render service to him and then learn the essential nature of the self ".

It is said also, "Maitreya" prostrated (pranipatya) before Paresara and made salutations to him (abhivadya)". As stated in the sloka :— " He who imparts" instruction in violation of the regulations and he who learns violating the regulations — of these two, one will die or will come to hate the other " — as stated here, it may even have evil consequences. Even when the man has learnt according to the regulations and then teaches, if he should not render due praise to his guru, his sushya may suspect that the truths revealed by him may have no basis (or authority) like parasitic plants growing (on the branches of trees) and treat them with disregard. This omission of giving due praise to one's guru before one's sishya is included as one among the thirty-two kinds of offences. It has been stated: "The wise" man should reveal (the greatners of) his guru and guard the mantra with great care.

(NOTE * Kala: When a man is dangerously ill and longs for recovery, he has the figure of a man (Kalapurnsha) made with pingely seeds, decks it with jewels and gives it to a poor man along with money. To receive such a gift is looked upon as a disgusting thing.)

Padmasamhita : Charyapada : 23 - 49. 19. Mahabharata : Santi parva :
 Bhagavad Gita: 4 - 24.
 335 - 5.

- 18, Vishnupurans: 1 1 1-
- 20. Sesha Samhita : 14 50.

By the omission to reveal (the former) and the omission to guard (the latter) respectively, a persons's wealth and term of life suffer diminution". (By this omission), the wealth of enjoying Bhagavan with clarity of knowledge and the state of mind which consists in the thought of one being a seesha, which is the cause of the soul or self becoming and continuing as an entity - these two will suffer decline. If, while revealing the greatness of his guru, a man should contradict the teaching imparted to bim by the gurn in the stastras, he would be called a deceiver and become also a sinner. for it is said ;- " He who "teaches astronomy, law, the saistra of explatory rites and the science of medicine against the spirit of the respective sästras is called a Brahmaghätaka" or one who has committed the sin of killing a Brahmin ".

If a man should fail to impart instruction to a worthy sishya at the appropriate time, he would be called a miser and incur also the sin of violating the Lord's command contained in the sloka :---"One "should east off one's body after imparting one's knowledge of the self to a worthy sishya. A man should not die without imparting to a worthy sishya that knowledge which is the cause of the world's being (or which is the cause of the world's knowledge)". Therefore when the king's torch-bearer is commanded by the king to go on a certain mission, he would hand over the torch in his hand to some one who is found fit for it and then start (on his mission). When a man imports instruction in a similar manner to a pupil who is apt for it, he should first reveal (the greatness of) his gurn and then teach the truths taught to him. Even when, owing to some (favourable) circumstances, he has received divine vision and divine auditions and learnt truths with their help, he should express the truth in the following words: - "I learnt this owing to the grace of my great ächarya. I am not stating what I learnt by my own cleverness". This is what (Sanjaya), for example, says :- " By the grace's of Vyasa did I hear, directly, this great Yogasiästra, which Sri Krishna, who has wisdom and

other attributes taught in person ". If he should say so, the truths that he teaches would be held in high esteem. He would (also) then be esteemed as a grateful sishya by sātvikas. As one who spoke the truth, he would be regarded by the Upanishads and by the Person revealed in the Upanishads as an authority having the same validity as they themselves are. His $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$ too, would feel gratified to find that, in this grateful and diligent sishya, his labours have borne fruit.

SANSKRIT SLOKA ;---

He who imparted instruction in the *vidy* $\ddot{a}s$ or meditations that lead directly and by themselves to *moksha* — he is considered in the *s* $\ddot{a}stras$ on *moksha* as the greatest of $\ddot{a}ch\ddot{a}ryas$.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :--

The Vedas declare that a man attains moksha by having an $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$ and the same is declared in the Smritis also. $\bar{A}ch\bar{a}ryas$ knew that, in this world and so also, in the world beyond, the feet of the *ächarya* are the refuge (for the *sishya*).

TAMIL VERSE :---

Even (the owniscient and ownipotent) Mayan cannot requite the $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}crya$ who dispelled all the darkness (in the mind) by lighting the bright lamp of wisdom therein. (Therefore) for what was received before (from the $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$), it is indeed very little (that is done by the sishya) in recounting his praise with delight, in constant meditation of him, and in extending his growing renown.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :--

The tip of the *ächärya's* tongue shines bright being the throne on which is seated (the god) Hayagriva. So we do not consider any deity as being higher than the *ächärya*. Even Narayana plays the part of the *ächärya* without ever giving up His supreme greatness, in order to save those who are sunk in the sea of samsära.

580

32. THE CONCLUDING CHAPTER.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :--

There may be found some one who, by the grace of his acharya, has seen (the truths concerning) the Universe (as distinctly) as if they were a jubube berry ($\Im @wimpsiscont$) in the hand, whose illusions have been dispelled and who enjoys (the meanings contained in) such mysteries (mantras) as the moolamantra. When a worthy sishya having the necessary virtues has been found, he instructs him in the spiritual tradition and gleans, for his own observances, all that is good in the conduct and observances of great men and becomes worthy (bimself) of the appreciation of the hosts of the eteroal sūris.

TOPICS TREATED OF SO FAR:-

Here (in this treatise), we have set forth, just as we learnt them ourselves and just as we believe them to be true in our heart of hearts, without giving room to any ignorance, doubt or misconception, the following topics of knowledge in accordance with what is sanctioned by the scrutis, the smritis, the satras and the ancient tradition and in accordance also with right reason :-- (1) How the fivatma whose essential nature is such that he is fit to enjoy the bliss of Bhagavan just like the suris - bow he has lost this fitness from beginningless time, how later he acquires the spirit of detachment (vairāgya) in some measure, how he comes in contact with a worthy *ächārya* from whom he begins to learn the truths and the means of attaining the ends desired by him; (2) how among the pramanus or valid sources of knowledge, the three secrets or mysteries (mantras), are of the greatest importance: (3) how, smong the meanings revealed in these (mantras), things as the relationship of soul and body that sneb exists between the Supreme Ruler and those which are ruled over by Him are the central and most essential doctrines to be learnt (4) how the five subjects of knowledge (artha. panchaka) including that relationship which have been summar582

ised by our ancient acharyas are contained in the three great secrets or mysteries (mantras) (5) what the chief aim is of those who, among these five subjects of knowledge, devote themselves to a classification and study of the three taitons or Reals (6) how among these three Reals or tatteas, Iswara, who is described in the satving sustras is the Lord with His spoose Sri (viz. Narayana) (7) how the man who has clearly learnt these subjects becomes eager for makti and seeks the upayas for makti (8) the classification of those who are competent to adopt the different $up\bar{a}yas$, (9) the classification of $up\bar{a}yas$ suitable for the competency of different individuals (10) how, among the upinyas, the man who wants to adopt prapatti as a direct and independent upaya should have certain characteristics or marks of competence (11) the classification of accessories enjoined as appropriate for this upwaya which has to be performed only once (12) the nature of this important duty called the surrender of the burden or responsibility (concerning the self) with its accessories, to be performed by the man (13) how the man that has performed this prapatti with its angas has done what he ought to do, in as much as he has surrendered the responsibility to one who is the Universal Saviour (14) the signs or marks by which a man could realise that this state has been attained by him (15) how, if he is not so impatient as to pray for immediate release (by death), he should, for the rest of his life, render service (to Bhagavan and His devotees) as befitting one whose essential nature $(scar \overline{a} p a)$ is that of a sesha (16) how the service to Bhagavan extends as far as service to His devotees (17) how this service should be rendered in accordance with the regulations prescribed in the mastrus, since what is against the liking of the Master, who is the Ruler, connot be called service (at all) (18) the ways in which or the means by which the man who has entered on his career of service in accordance with the suistras could avoid offences from being committed in future and explate or atone for those committed in the past, (19) how the fit place for the residence of the man who is to render faultless service in times free from danger or serions adversity is the place where there are temples sacred to the Lord and which are (therefore) resorted to

By His devotees. (20) how, to the man who has been living such a life, the soul's departure from the gross body may take place, by the peculiar will of the Saviour, in any place and at any time irrespective of their being usually considered worthy or unworthy (21) how the self that has started (from the body) proceeds, like a prince invited by his father to his installation as heir-apparent, in full glory to travel along the archiriidi route to Paramanada, (22) how after reaching there, the self will have the full and perfect enjoyment of Bhagavan which will include also free and voluntary service or kainkarya and which is the supreme state of Lordship reserved for the makta (23) the Siddhopsiya which is the most important of what are to he known (24) the siidhyopiiya which is the most important of those things that are to be performed (25) and (26) the ways in which misconceptions arising from impure food, from association (with undesirable persons) as also from the character of the yuga and the like should be removed, misconceptions in regard to the potency of this upiliya in relation to the man who has adapted it, misconceptions which describe its potency as higher or lower than what is stated in the sästras $(27, 28 \otimes 29)$ the construction and interpretation of the words and the sentences in the three secrets or mysteries (mantras) which enable a man to meditate on all these points, (30) the regulations in regard to the imparting of instruction and the refraining from it, which have to be kept in mind by the achieva who has to propagate a knowledge of the spiritual tradition concerning these truths in accordance with the süstras and (31) the duties expected of the sishya who, on account of his inability to recompense his ächärya adequately has to remain eternally indebted to him -

THE GOOD RESULTS THAT WILL FOLLOW FROM A STUDY OF THIS TREATISE:-

The sishya should, at first, approach the good $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$ in the proper manner and beg of him in these words:— "I am your" pupil and have sought you (for guidance). (Therefore) command

me as to what I should do ". He should listen (to the $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$'s discourse) with reverence and close attention and should also glean with care the advice which would supplement (what is taught by the $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$) as stated in the following sloka := "One should" observe the wise words of great men and their virtuous ways of life and glean from them whatever is required for oneself, in the same way as some live on the grain gleaned in the fields." He should try to have a clear understanding of what is taught therein by repeated study.

It has been said :-- " The dharma" followed by the good is too subtle, O monkey, to be easily understood " and " There is" no end to arguments. The Vedas are diverse; the rishis from whose words truth could be ascertained are many. The truth regarding dharma is hid (as it were) in a cave." and also, " Dharma⁵ is more subtle than the sharp edge of a razor. Who is there competent to declare what it is ? " Thus where even sages have to tread with the greatest care, we have to follow what is stated in the following stokas ;- " The way that is followed by great men is the way (to be followed by others) " and " Whatever* is said, even to sport, by Brahmins seated in the chariot of the dharma saistras and armed with the sword of the Vedus -- (Whatever is said by them) is considered as supreme dharma ". Therefore to walk along the path followed by the ancient achieryas who depended solely on the scrutis and the smritis and cleared the way so that it may be free from hindrances - to walk along this way is not improper for us. To those who walk along this path, there will be no such painful anxieties as those felt by great sages when they said :- "There is' no rest house, no staff for support, no food (on the route) and no one to guide us. It is the path which leads to hell and is too dark to walk along. How will you

- 2. Mahabharata : Udyoga parva : 34-34. 5. Mahabharata: Udyogaparva: 35-29
- Ramayana: Kishkindhakanda: 18-15.
 Mahabharata: Aranyaparya: 314-119.
- 6. Bodhayana Gribyasutra 14 1-7
- 7. Mahabharata: Santiparva : 337-34

traverse it alone?" and "The path "leading to the house of Yama where there is no water for quenching the thirst, no stall for support, no shade to sit under, no house or lodge to rest in and which produces prolonged suffering." To one who follows the track of great men, there would be no such painful paths. They need not be tossed about to and fro like cotton cleaned of its seeds, combed, and carded before being spun and go up and come down, like the bucket in a picotah, along the path of smoke (dhāmādi route)* described in the Panchagni vidya in the sixth chapter of Chandogya upanishad.

It has been said :-- "O Lord," Thou playest, as with balls, with creatures bound by the strings of karma and going up and coming down". To the man who follows the ways of great men, the state when he is thus a toy for the sport of Bhagavan will soon pass away. He will then go along a path which is superior to the paths followed by others to attain high ends (like svarga), because it will lead to an end or goal greater than all others and will never result in a return to samsüra. It has been said :--"l know" that the path which will be trodden by the man who has exclusive devotion to Bhagavan is far superior to others" and likewise. "He (the jiva) starts from the body by an excellent path, which is so cool as to remove the sufferings of samsara." and further, "To those "who have practised yoga, (all) sufferings will cease and they will then journey by the Devayana route." This excellent path will terminate in the region (Paramapada) described in the following stokas :-- " It is a "splendid region on reaching which the self will become (itself), (i. e.) free from avidy, and consequently from suffering." "The abode" of the Supreme Being, Vishno, shines far more than the sun or the fire. It is so doz-

NOTE* Going up to srarge and coming down to this world of sounsare after the fruits of good deeds have been enjoyed there and repeating this process. 8. Vangipuram Nambi: Nityam-24 9. Seshadharma. 10. Mahabharata: Santi parva: 358-6. 11. Mahabharata: Santi parva 12. ?? 13. Mahabharata: Santi parva 196 - 11.

11. Mahabharata: Santi parva: 194-27 19. Mahabharata: Arahya parva 136-13.

zlingly bright that even the gods and asuras cannot gaze at it." "Those great souls" who have completed their practice of yoga and have become free from ignorance and illusion reach that region from which there is no return to this world of samsūra." "When compared¹⁶ with the region where the Supreme Being abides, this svarga and other worlds are, my child, like hells". This path (Devayana) will terminate in Paramapada which is declared in the scrutis also as the ultimate terminus of the route leading away from samsura. The self (that takes that path) will have, for its supporting staff, the grace of Bhagavan, and will have, for the victuals of its journey, the delight in the thought that it will (soon) attain the supreme end of life. As stated in the Brahma-sutras " He will stort" from there by the opening of the vein $(n \vec{u} d \vec{i})$ indicated and lighted by the Lord who dwells within the heart" and take the archirädi route along which, in the respective regions of the gods, he would be greeted and welcomed with honours befitting emperors by the respective deities thereof with auspicions lamps and pitchers full of water (poornakumbha) and attended by their followers. They will escort the self on its path and those deities to whom the man made offerings in the world of karma before will (now) pay tributes to his self. The self then passes into regions which are beyond their range and attains a supreme perfection which would make it difficult for it to be distinguished from the eternal suris when it enters their assembly. The self will then reach under the two feet of the Supreme Ruler and His consort who are resting on a couch in Paramapada, the like of which has been described in the Mahabhärata and the Rämäyana in connection with the avatars as their manner of being seated :- "Then he saw Sri Krishna scated." on a throne made of gold and adorned with gems and looking like a blue cloud resting on Mount Meru. His form was radiant and adorned with ornaments of unsnrpassed brilliance; he was dressed in a raiment of gold and shone like a blue gern set in gold; on his chest shone the gern kaustubha and

15. Mahabharata: Aranya parya 136-23. 17. Brahma Sutras: 4-2-16.

16. Mahabharata : Santi parva 196-6. 18, Mahabharata / Santi parva :

44 (13 - 16).

He was like a mountain lighted up by the san and had a crown on His head. Such was His appearance that, in all the three worlds, there could be found no one to be set in comparison with him?" and "Sumantra, the" charioteer, saw Sri Rama seated like Kubera on a throne made of gold and having a beautiful coverlet. His body was covered with the paste of sandal red like the blood of the boar, pure, fragrant and of superior quality. Site was standing by him with a chamara in her hand, like the star Chitra near the moon. His splendour was like that of the sun, Sumantra, who knew the etiquette (suited to courts), bowed with respect to the invincible Sri Rama who ever gave what was asked of him," (Having reached the feet of the Supreme Being), the self will receive the privilege of rendering all kinds of service suited to all places, all times and all circumstances and be for ever blissful as prayed for in the verse :- " We should render," constantly and without intermission, service to the Lord - such service as will be most appropriate to all times, to all places and to all occasions ".

Thus (both) in the state of eudeavour $(up \ddot{u}ya)$ and in the state of attainment, the holy feet of Narayana, the Lord of Sri, are our support.

TAMIL VERSE :

The feet of Sri Ranganatha, ever true to their devotees can never be given up; they were once awake to the danger from a mighty wheel (the form taken by an *asura*) and by touching it they made it break (into pieces); on another occasion, they crawled on all fours and caused the two *Maruda* trees which grew close to each other to fall down; on the occasion of His searching (for butter) in the pots hanging from above, they stood indistinguishable from the mortar to which they were bound (by Yasoda), (On a later day), they gladly consented to go on the mission assigned to them by the virtuous Dharmaputra; they came to Brindavan

19. Ramayana : Ayodhya Kanda : 20. Tiruvoymezhi : 3 - 3 - 1. 16 - (8 - 11).

to bring about the destruction of the wicked asuras; while gently stroked by the hand of Lakshmi, they became red like the petals of the lotus; they were favourable to the devotion shown by the great sages who wanted freedom form the bondage of births; they rested in a wonderful vimana (having a resemblance to pranava), which was an object of worship to kings of the race of Manu; they shone in the chariot of the righteous Ariana during the great war; they were inflamed with wrath when they danced on the cruel hoods of the serpent, Kaliya, and made them break down; they rested in a region (Paramapada) that could not be (even) imagined by the six systems of philosophical thought; they became the subjects of praise in the tongge of the Alvar (Nammalvar), who was the glory of the beautiful city of Kurugai; they had, for ornaments, the fragrant flowers of Tolasi; they showed their glory by graciously transforming the fallen piece of charcoal into a child (Parikshit); they chased the armies of the asuras to their destruction.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:-

Imbibe the nector of spiritual tradition which has come down from the Udayana of Vedanta (Kidambi Achan) which is fragrant with the odours streaming forth from the kitchen of the prince of *sannyasins* (Sri Ramanuja) and fit to be enjoyed by the assembly of learned men (also gods).

(NOTE: Kidambi Achan, the disciple of Sri Ramanuja, was in charge of the kitchen during his *acharya's* life-time)

SANSKRIT SLOKA:

When its sprouts which were relished by quails and which are (said to be) the arrows of the god Kama are vomited by such animals as feed on margosa leaves, the mango tree does not feel aggrieved.

TAMIL VERSE:

Of what avail is anger towards those Vedic scholars who teach the knowledge which they have of the truths, and the way of cast-

58**8**

ing off illusions and errors, as also the nature of the self (as the sesha of the Lord), the unique compassion of the Lord shown to those who are destitute of $up\bar{a}yas$, and the carefree state arising from holding on to the Lord as a refuge as taught in the three mysteries (mantrus)? We have spoken (thus far) to those who can appreciate excellence.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :-

Our youth has been spent in the enjoyment resulting from repetitions of the words of that prince of Sannyasins (Sri Ramanuja). Our days have been lived in happiness by casting off the hell of depending on any others (than the Lord); the arrogance of those who are perverse has also been annihilated for the satisfaction of good men; and for the rest of our life, we shall be earnestly and constantly looking up to the realisation of the vow of compassion taken by that divine couple for the fulfilment of whose purposes alone we exist.

TAMIL VERSE :--

These thirty-two Tamil verses, which, when recited, are like nectar to the ears (that hear them), which explain clearly the truths that lie deep within the four Vedas the like of which cannot be seen elsewhere and which became possible only owing to the compassion of those $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$ who delight, of their own accord, to impart iostruction to *scishyas*, when they find in them integrity of character and freedom from faults like envy — these thirty-two verses form an ornament to this treatise by virtue of those three qualities *vis.*, their beautiful diction, their wealth of ideas and their fitness for being sung.

SANSKRIT SLOKA:-

There will be found some one (or other) who has faith in religion, who is keen of intellect and (at the same time) free from envy, whose mind has become pure by following the righteous tradition of good men, whose aim is to attain the bighest goal, who

32

is not afraid of conventional judgments and who would look upon wealth and worldly success as if they were more trash — He will certainly follow the virtuous path (which is described in this treatise) and which will never become obsolete.

TAMIL VERSE :--

590

Those who have faith in the truths revealed in the Vedas, who have keenness of intellect, who would never think of speaking in depreciation of real merit, whose minds have become pure by the training which they have received from their gurus, who have lofty aims, who, being firm of mind, would never swerve from the right path owing to the fear of perverted judgements and who would never care for what is worthless — these men will enter the righteous path which will never become obsolete and which has been indicated by our pure-minded *ächäryas* who had more forbearance than even the Earth.

TAMIL VERSE :-

"Our $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}ryas$ will say :— "This is the right path" and will look upon (this treatise) as being delicious like nectar; they can show how the pleasures of the senses are mean and are different from the righteous way (that is described in this treatise). Owing to their desire that the path indicated here should prevail, they will overlook any mistakes of ours that may be found (in the book). We have, by the grace of our *achairyas*, accepted this as the proper way.

TAMIL VERSE :---

I was so ignorant that I did not know even that eight and two make ten;* Our Madhava who is eager to bestow on us Paramapada, which is most difficult of access, has taught me (through the *ächäryas*) the mantra of eight syllables and the other two mantras (Duaya and Charama stoka). By His brilliant and noble speech

(*NOTE: it may mean also '1 did not know the Draya (the two) nor did I know the Ashtakshara (the eight).

saying : "I will see to the absolution of all your sins ; Do not he afraid "-By these words I have attained the state of staunch faith.

TAMIL VERSE :--

These states of mind in regard to one's essential nature, the $up\bar{a}yas$ and the end to be attained (which are explained in this treatise) and which are understood only with effort by even those who are in *Paramapada* are indeed possible of attainment even here in this world of samsāra. This treatise, though decried by perverse minds bent (only) on finding faults, will be found sweet by the Supreme Ruler whose lotus-like feet overflow with honey.

TAMIL VERSE :--

The Lord whose face resembles that of a white horse (Hayagriva) assumed the form of my $\bar{a}ch\bar{a}rya$ and, by that device, wrote these truths in my mind and I have (only) transcribed them on these leaves. The part played by me is none other than this. Whether this treatise is accepted as worthy or rejected as unworthy, my clear mind, O men of critical minds, will feel neither elated nor depressed in the least.

SANSKRIT SLOKA :--

This treatise, "The Gist of the Three Mantras or Mysteries" was composed in brief by the wise Venkatesa endowed with manifold vision, to the satisfaction of those who look upon Sri Narayana and His consort as the only Savioura.

Here ends the fourth part called " The path of Spiritual Tradition."



ERRATA.

The reader is requested to make these corrections before reading the book.

Page	Line	For	Read
ÍNTROD	UCTION :		
v	Last line	reverance	reverence
VII	25	Saraņāgati panchās at	Saraņāgati deepikā
Х	13	Visistadvaita	V isishtadvaita
XIX	5	imcomparable	incomparable
,,	8	vibhuti	vibhūti
	17	- do -	- do -
	25	bas exhibits	exhibits
XX	Lines 6 & 7 from below	sārira.sāriri-bhāva	sarira sarīribhāva
XXII	25	Southen	Southern
XXIV	22	vibhava lokās	vibhava-lokas
11	**	vyūha lokās	vyūha lokas
**	25	vyuha	vyūha
Text :			
13	15	and hita	and hita (
18	26	latter	later
25	24	Shine	Thine
50	12	actively	activity
**	18	tamas). Time	tamas), Time
55	Footnote	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	Visishtadvaitins
77	6	are others like the	are, like others, the
108	4	that felt	the yearning felt

Page	Line	For	Read	
155	5	quiities	qualities	
163	Footnote	ll. Amlanadipiran	Amalanadipitan	
170	20	a queen who	a queen, who	
"	21	unworthy would	unworthy, would	
174	1	give the delight	give Thee delight	
183	16	life?	life.	
2 20	4	toma	some	
261	25	which says	which say	
278	5	mukti	mukta	
310	Footaote	Tirumali	Tirumalai	
328	4	bhakti on prapatti	bhakti 0r prapatti	
331	5	charma sloka	charama sloka	
333	9	Bramā pralaya	Brahmä pralaya	
343	7	furtune	fortune	
352	Last line	praying for	desire for	
358	Footnote	page	page 256	
360	15 - 20	After the word "following" - insert "as stated in the <i>Tattvasāgarasamhitā</i> and the like" and delete these words after <i>tattvas</i> or reals in line 20.		
361	19	sabdha	sabda	
365	Footnote	pharse	phrase	
418	10	ear	fear	
417	6	— that in the hody brought	that in the body, that brought	
"	25	They ere	They are	
,,	31	bhayānka	bhayānaka	
420	6 (Para 2)	Kațțavalli	Kațavalli	
424	9 - 10	insert the following after 'words' in line 9 and before '(in the gadya)' in line 10: 'I who am without any other protector. The words'		

Page	Line	For	Read
435	Note*	also	ali
448	line 8	or accessories	or accessories)
459	6	requirements	requirements,
517	line 2 (Para 2)	(on p.)	(on p. 447)
531	1	of which are both of the nature of karma of and	
548*Note 5		those	only those
**	,, 6	and the like	and the like take this route